Anomalous decay of quantum correlations of quantum-dot qubits

Katarzyna Roszak,[1] Paweł Mazurek,[2,3] and Paweł Horodecki[2,4]

[1]*Institute of Physics, Wrocław University of Technology, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland*
[2]*National Quantum Information Centre of Gdańsk, 81-824 Sopot, Poland*
[3]*Institute for Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, University of Gdańsk, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland*
[4]*Faculty of Applied Physics and Mathematics, Gdańsk University of Technology, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland*

We study the evolution of quantum correlations, quantified by the geometric discord, of two excitonic quantum-dot qubits under the influence of the phonon environment. We show that the decay of these correlations differs substantially from the decay of entanglement. Instead of displaying sudden-death-type behavior, the geometric discord shows a tendency to undergo transitions between different types of decay, is sensitive to nonlocal phase factors, and may already be enhanced by weak environment-mediated interactions. Hence, two-qubit quantum correlations are more robust under decoherence processes, while showing a richer and more complex spectrum of behavior under unitary and nonunitary evolution.

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of quantum correlations in open quantum systems has, for a long time, been limited to the study of entanglement due to the fact that straightforward methods of calculating the amount of correlations in a mixed two-qubit system have only been available for some entanglement measures, such as the concurrence [1,2] or negativity [3,4]. Although entanglement itself is a very important resource for a number of applications [5], including quantum computation, quantum cryptography, and teleportation, separability (the lack of entanglement) does not automatically exclude the presence of quantum correlations [6]. This is, in particular, the reason why quantum computation models relying on mixed, separable (not entangled) states [7–9] are possible.

The quantum discord [10,11] is a measure of quantum correlations (see, however, Refs. [11,12] for Holevo-type and thermodynamic-based measures) which captures correlations beyond entanglement; it is defined as the difference of two classically equivalent formulas for mutual information and is non-negative. Due to the null volume of the set of zero-discord states [13], discord measures are not expected to undergo sudden death, which is characteristic for entanglement evolutions [14–16]. The geometric measure of the discord describes the amount of correlations in a quantum system by finding the minimal Hilbert-Schmidt distance to the set of zero-discord states [17]. Recently, a lower [17] and an upper [18] bound on the geometric discord which can be calculated from a two-qubit density matrix have been found, which substantially simplifies the problem of studying the evolution of the quantum discord and opens the path for a qualitative and quantitative description of the decay of quantum correlations in realistic open quantum systems.

In this paper we study the evolution of the lower and upper bounds of the geometric discord of two exciton quantum-dot (QD) qubits interacting with an open phonon environment in order to capture the physical aspects of decoherence effects on quantum correlations. The interactions present in the system and the resulting dynamics are well understood. The experimentally observed evolution on picosecond time scales [19,20] can be described by pure dephasing within

the independent boson model [20,21]. Super-Ohmic phonon spectral densities [22,23] (resulting from the actual form of the carrier-phonon coupling and the phonon density of states [24]) are responsible for characteristic features of the dephasing, which is nonexponential and always only partial. Furthermore, a finite distance between the QDs leads to a time-delayed interference of phonon wave packets traveling from the two QDs, which induces an environment-mediated interaction between the dots (and a small enhancement of the density matrix coherences) in addition to the exciton-exciton interaction present in the system. The fact that the complex evolution of this ensemble can be credibly described theoretically in combination with experimental accessibility to a wide range of pure initial states (which are optically excited on femtosecond time scales) make this system ideal for the examination of the quantum-information properties of open quantum systems.

II. THE SYSTEM AND ITS EVOLUTION

The specific system under study consists of two QDs stacked on top of each other and interacting with a phonon reservoir. The single-qubit states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ correspond to an empty QD and an exciton excited in the dot, respectively. The system is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \epsilon_1(|1\rangle\langle1| \otimes \mathbb{I}) + \epsilon_2(\mathbb{I} \otimes |1\rangle\langle1|) + \Delta\epsilon(|1\rangle\langle1| \otimes |1\rangle\langle1|)$$
$$+ (|1\rangle\langle1| \otimes \mathbb{I}) \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} f_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{(1)}(b_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\dagger} + b_{-\boldsymbol{k}})$$
$$+ (\mathbb{I} \otimes |1\rangle\langle1|) \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} f_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{(2)}(b_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\dagger} + b_{-\boldsymbol{k}}) + \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}} b_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\dagger} b_{\boldsymbol{k}}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbb{I}$ is the unit operator, $\epsilon_{1,2}$ are the transition energies in the two subsystems, $\Delta\epsilon$ is the biexcitonic shift due to the interaction between the subsystems, $f_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{(1,2)}$ are system-reservoir coupling constants, $b_{\boldsymbol{k}}, b_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\dagger}$ are bosonic operators of the reservoir modes, and $\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ are the corresponding energies (we put $\hbar = 1$).

Exciton wave functions are modeled by anisotropic Gaussians with the extension $l_{\perp}$ in the xy plane and l_z along z for the electron and hole in both dots. The coupling constants for the

deformation potential coupling between confined charges and longitudinal phonon modes have the form $f_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{(1,2)} = f_{\boldsymbol{k}} e^{\pm i k_z d/2}$, where d is the distance between the dots and

$$f_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \sqrt{\frac{k}{2\varrho V c}} (\sigma_{\mathrm{e}} - \sigma_{\mathrm{h}}) e^{-l_z^2 k_z^2/4} e^{-l_e^2 k_\perp^2/4},$$

where V is the normalization volume of the bosonic reservoir, $k_\perp, z$ are momentum components in the xy plane and along the z axis, $\sigma_{\mathrm{e,h}}$ are deformation potential constants for electrons and holes, c is the speed of longitudinal sound, and ϱ is the crystal density. In our calculations we put $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}} = 8$ eV, $\sigma_{\mathrm{h}} = -1$ eV, $c = 5.1$ nm/ps, $\varrho = 5360$ kg/m^3 (corresponding to GaAs), $l_\perp = 5$ nm, and $l_z = 1$ nm. The distance between the dots is taken to be equal to $d = 6$ nm unless stated otherwise.

The Hamiltonian (1) can be diagonalized exactly using the Weyl operator method [24,25], and we find the evolution of the double-QD subsystem following Ref. [26]. Since local unitary transformations do not change the amount of quantum correlations in the system, we can use the density matrix $\tilde{\rho}(t) = e^{-iH_L t} \rho(t) e^{iH_L t}$, with $H_L = E_1(|1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes \mathbb{I}) + E_2(\mathbb{I} \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1|)$, where $E_i = \epsilon_i - \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} |f_{\boldsymbol{k}}|^2/\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ instead of $\rho(t)$ in the study of the geometric discord. Assuming a separable initial system-reservoir state with the phonon reservoir at thermal equilibrium, we find the evolution of the elements of the density matrix $\tilde{\rho}(t)$ by tracing out the phonon degrees of freedom. These are equal to

$$[\tilde{\rho}(t)]_{ii} = [\tilde{\rho}_0]_{ii}, \quad [\tilde{\rho}(t)]_{ij} = [\tilde{\rho}_0]_{ij} e^{-iA_{ij}(t)+B_{ij}(t)}, \quad (2)$$

with

$$A_{01}(t) = A_{02} = \sum |g_{\boldsymbol{k}}|^2 \sin \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}} t, \tag{3a}$$

$$A_{03}(t) = 4 \sum |g_{\boldsymbol{k}}|^2 \cos^2(k_z d/2) \sin \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}} t - \Delta E t, \tag{3b}$$

$$A_{12}(t) = 0, \tag{3c}$$

$$A_{13}(t) = A_{23} = A_{03} - A_{01}, \tag{3d}$$

$$B_{01}(t) = B_{02} = B_{13} = B_{23}$$
$$= \sum |g_{\boldsymbol{k}}|^2 (\cos \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}} t - 1)(2n_{\boldsymbol{k}} + 1), \tag{3e}$$

$$B_{03}(t) = 4 \sum |g_{\boldsymbol{k}}|^2 \cos^2(k_z d/2)(\cos \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}} t - 1)$$
$$\times (2n_{\boldsymbol{k}} + 1), \tag{3f}$$

$$B_{12}(t) = 4B_{01} - B_{03}, \tag{3g}$$

where $n_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ is the Bose distribution, $g_{\boldsymbol{k}} = f_{\boldsymbol{k}}/\omega_{\boldsymbol{k}}$, $\Delta E = \Delta \epsilon - 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}} |g_{\boldsymbol{k}}|^2 e^{ik_z d}$, and the indices $i, j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ correspond to the two-qubit states $|00\rangle$, $|01\rangle$, $|10\rangle$, and $|11\rangle$, respectively. For long times, the factors $\cos \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}} t$ and $\sin \omega_{\boldsymbol{k}} t$ become quickly oscillating functions of $\boldsymbol{k}$, and their contribution averages to zero. Consequently, the phase-damping factors B_{ij} decrease from their initial value of zero to a certain asymptotic value depending on the material parameters, system geometry, and temperature, while the phase shift factors A_{ij} affect the system evolution at small times and then average out to zero. As a result, the off-diagonal elements of the density matrix are reduced, and the phase information is partly erased.

III. GEOMETRIC QUANTUM DISCORD

Here, we are interested in the symmetric geometric discord [17], which may also be expressed in the form of a purity deficit (see Ref. [18]),

$$D_S(\rho_{AB}) = \min_{\mathcal{M}_A \otimes \mathcal{M}_B} \left\{ \mathrm{Tr} \left[\rho_{AB}^2 \right] - \mathrm{Tr}[(\mathcal{M}_A \otimes \mathcal{M}_B)\rho_{AB}] \right\}. \quad (4)$$

Specifically, the discord is formulated as a purity (quadratic Renyi entropy) deficit under global versus product local ($\mathcal{M}_A \otimes \mathcal{M}_B$) von Neumann measurements. In the case of two qubits, the lower bound on the discord is given by [17]

$$D_S' = \tfrac{1}{4} \max(\mathrm{Tr}[K_x] - k_x, \mathrm{Tr}[K_y] - k_y), \quad (5)$$

where k_x is the maximum eigenvalue of the matrix $K_x = |x\rangle\langle x| + T T^T$ and k_y is the maximum eigenvalue of the matrix $K_y = |y\rangle\langle y| + T^T T$. Here, $|x\rangle$ and $|y\rangle$ denote local Bloch vectors with components $x_i = \mathrm{Tr}[\rho_{AB}(\sigma_i \otimes \mathbb{I})]$ and $y_i = \mathrm{Tr}[\rho_{AB}(\mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_i)]$, and the elements of the correlation matrix T are given by $T_{i,j} = \mathrm{Tr}[\rho_{AB}(\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j)]$ (stemming from the standard Bloch representation of a two-qubit density matrix ρ_{AB}). The upper bound is given by [18]

$$D_S'' = \tfrac{1}{4} \min(\mathrm{Tr}[K_x] - k_x + \mathrm{Tr}[L_y] - l_y,$$
$$\mathrm{Tr}[K_y] - k_y + \mathrm{Tr}[L_x] - l_x), \quad (6)$$

where l_x and l_y are the maximal eigenvalues of the matrices $L_x = |x\rangle\langle x| + T |\hat{k}_y\rangle\langle \hat{k}_y| T^T$ and $L_y = |y\rangle\langle y| + T^T |\hat{k}_x\rangle\langle \hat{k}_x| T$, respectively, while $|\hat{k}_x\rangle$ and $|\hat{k}_y\rangle$ are the normalized eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalue k_x of matrix K_x and k_y of matrix K_y. In the case of symmetric two-qubit states, meaning $\rho_{AB} = \rho_{BA}$, no minimization or maximization is needed in Eqs. (5) and (6).

The upper and lower bounds often coincide, yielding the true value of the geometric discord. This is specifically the case for pure states, Bell diagonal states, and states with vanishing local Bloch vectors, $|x\rangle = |y\rangle = 0$ [18]. Hence, it is straightforward to show that the geometric discord is equal to $1/2$ for all maximally entangled two-qubit states [27],

$$|\psi\rangle = \sqrt{a}|00\rangle + \sqrt{b}e^{i\alpha}|10\rangle + \sqrt{b}e^{i\beta}|01\rangle - \sqrt{a}e^{i(\alpha+\beta)}|11\rangle, \tag{7}$$

with $2a + 2b = 1$. Furthermore, the discord of the Bell states ($a = 0$ or $b = 0$) under phonon-induced partial pure dephasing is equal to $D_S(t) = 2|\rho_{ij}(t)|^2 = 1/2 \exp[2B_{ij}(t)]$, where $ij = 12$ for $a = 0$ and 03 for $b = 0$, and the appropriate forms of $B_{ij}(t)$ are given by Eqs. (3g) and (3f), which, up to a normalization, yields the square of the concurrence.

IV. RESULTS

Let us first study the evolution of the mixed X state,

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & ag_{03}(t) \\ 0 & b & bg_{12}(t) & 0 \\ 0 & bg_{12}^*(t) & b & 0 \\ ag_{03}^*(t) & 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

which is significantly simpler (although hardly accessible experimentally) but already carries some of the properties of

FIG. 1. (Color online) Evolution of the X-state geometric discord at $T = 77$ K for (a) $d = \infty$ and (b) $d = 6$ nm; the solid red line shows $|a - b| = 0.3$, the dotted pink line shows $|a - b| = 0.15$, and the dashed blue line shows $|a - b| = 0$.


FIG. 2. (Color online) (top) Evolution of the geometric discord bounds at $d = 6$ nm for the pure initial state (7) with $a = 1/\sqrt{2}$. (a) Zero biexcitonic shift, $\Delta E = 0$, for which the upper and lower bounds coincide and give the true value of the geometric discord. Curves are shown for 3, 20, and 77 K. (b) Nonzero biexcitonic shift, $\Delta E = 6$ ps^{-1}, at 77 K; the red solid line shows the lower bound, the blue dashed line is the upper bound, and the pink dotted line shows $\Delta E = 0$. (bottom) Lower bound values D_i corresponding to the three eigenvalues of the matrix K_x (the minimum of which yields the geometric discord lower bound) (c) at different temperatures for zero biexcitonic shift and (d) at 77 K for $\Delta E = 6$ ps^{-1}.

the discord evolution of a pure initial state with all coherences present. The entanglement of such a state, measured by the concurrence, is equal to $C(t) = \max\{0, b|g_{12}(t)| - a, a|g_{03}(t)| - b\}$ and is prone to sudden death. The geometric discord is given by $D_S(t) = [a|g_{03}(t)| - b|g_{12}(t)|]^2 + (a - b)^2$ if $|a - b| < a|g_{03}(t)| + b|g_{12}(t)|$ and by $D_S(t) = 2a^2|g_{03}(t)|^2 + 2b^2|g_{12}(t)|^2$ if $|a - b| > a|g_{03}(t)| + b|g_{12}(t)|$ (for long times, if $a \neq b$). Hence, the discord will not undergo sudden-death-like behavior, but if $a \neq b$, it will display a transition between two types of decay (there is no simple relation between the transition point and the point of entanglement sudden death). The transition point coincides with the transition point between quantum and classical decoherence indicated in Ref. [28].

This is illustrated in Fig. 1, where the geometric discord of state (8), with $g_{ij}(t) = \exp[-i A_{ij}(t) + B_{ij}(t)]$, is plotted as a function of time for different values of $|a - b|$. Figure 1(a) corresponds to infinitely distant dots, for which $g_{12}(t) = g_{03}(t)$, and the transition which is induced by the smooth partial pure dephasing process is clearly visible. The time at which the transition occurs is longer for less correlated states (for which $|a - b|$ is smaller), which can be easily understood since the transition condition $|a - b| = a|g_{03}(t)| + b|g_{12}(t)|$ simplifies in this case to $g_{03}(t) = -\ln(2|a - b|)$, while $g_{03}(t)$ is a nondecreasing function of time for infinitely distant dots. Hence, the decoherence of every X state is governed by the same function, but to reach the transition point this function has to grow more if the state is initially less correlated, which takes a longer time (while for the fully correlated Bell states, the transition time is $t = 0$). In Fig. 1(b), a similar evolution of the dots separated by the distance $d = 6$ nm is shown, which additionally displays an enhancement of the geometric discord after a finite time. This effect is due to a positive interference between phonon wave packets traveling from the two dots. Note that the process is sufficient to induce quantum correlations in an initially uncorrelated state with $a = b$ (which remains uncorrelated if $d = \infty$). The curves in both plots correspond to 77 K, which leads to strong phonon-induced decoherence and consequently to a small amount of coherence left in the system after the pure dephasing process is complete. This allows for the decoherence process to reveal all the characteristics of quantum correlation decay since at appropriately low temperatures the weaker decoherence would not be enough to cause a transition between the two types of correlation decay.

The next step is to study the evolution of the lower and upper geometric discord bounds for an initial state (7) with all nonzero coherences ($a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$) under phonon-induced partial pure dephasing. For simplicity the studied state is taken with $a = b = 1/4$ (the local phases α and β do not change the values of the geometric discord or either of its bounds). In Fig. 2(a) the evolutions of the geometric discord are plotted at different temperatures for zero biexcitonic shift (the upper and lower bounds are equal in this case). The 3 K curve shows a distinct point where the discord is not smooth, resembling the evolution of the X state (8), which is absent at higher temperatures. To understand this, the evolutions of $D_i = \mathrm{Tr}[K_x] - k_i$, where k_i are the three eigenvalues of the matrix K_x (the minimum of D_i yields the true lower bound of the geometric discord), for 3 and 77 K are plotted in Fig. 2(c). At 3 K a crossing of two D_i curves is observed which is caused by the positive interference of phonon wave packets, which is responsible for the enhancement of the geometric discord for the X state of Eq. (8).

Figure 2(b) shows the evolution of the lower (red solid line) and upper (blue dashed line) bounds on the geometric discord for the same initial state at 77 K when the biexcitonic shift is nonzero. The biexcitonic shift in the absence of any decoherence processes causes a coherent oscillation between the initial, maximally entangled state and the separable state $|\psi_{\mathrm{sep}}\rangle = 1/2(|0\rangle + |1\rangle) \otimes (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$ [reached when $\Delta E t = (2n + 1)\pi$, where n is a natural number]. Under phonon-induced pure dephasing, the oscillations of entanglement are damped and display prolonged periods when the entanglement is zero (which is only possible when the damping process can lead to sudden death of entanglement) and are otherwise smooth while their amplitude is limited by the entanglement decay displayed by the zero-biexcitonic-shift evolution [26]. The oscillations of the geometric discord,

which without decoherence would mimic entanglement oscillations, are substantially different. First, the discord does not display sudden-death-type behavior and approaches states with $\Delta Et = (2n + 1)\pi$ smoothly, reaching zero only at $t = (2n + 1)\pi/\Delta E$, if interphonon interference does not induce extra coherence in the system (at short times and/or long distances between the dots). This confirms the notion that since the set of zero-discord (only classically correlated) states has zero volume, decoherence processes will never lead to the sudden and permanent vanishing of the quantum discord [13].

Furthermore, the evolution of the quantum discord induced by the biexcitonic shift leads to the situation where the value of the geometric discord is greater than the corresponding zero-biexcitonic-shift value. This can be clearly seen in the inset of Fig. 2(b), where both the lower and upper bounds of the geometric discord exceed the zero-biexcitonic-shift curve (pink dotted line). This behavior is nonmonotonous and symmetric (for constant decoherence) with respect to the maximally entangled points given by $\Delta Et = 2n\pi$ (for which the nonzero-biexcitonic-shift and zero-biexcitonic-shift lines have to coincide). This shows that the dependence of the discord on quantum phase relations is nontrivial and that nonlocal phase correlations may lead to an enhancement of quantum correlations in mixed states depending on the actual value of the phase factor. The fact that the lower and upper bounds on the geometric discord are different in this case is in agreement with predictions made in Ref. [18]. We surmise that the discontinuity of the upper bound and the sharp features of the lower bound of the discord are an artifact of the procedure of their generation from the density matrix, while the actual curve of the geometric discord is continuous and smooth. Figure 2(d) shows the evolutions of the lower bound values D_i corresponding to the three eigenvalues of the matrix K_x, the minimum of which yields the actual lower bound, to illustrate the origin of the irregular shape of the lower bound of the geometric discord.

V. CONCLUSION

We have studied the evolution of the geometric discord of a two-QD qubit system under decoherence caused by the phonon environment, giving the lower and upper bounds on the discord where it was impossible to find its true value. We have shown that the discord does not display sudden-death-type behavior but reveals a number of characteristic features (which are not displayed by entanglement) under the influence of phonons which cause a continuous and smooth partial pure dephasing process. First, the evolution of the geometric discord often displays a transition between different types of decay, which is particularly evident for initial entangled X states and has also been observed for maximally entangled pure states with all coherences present. The study of the evolution of the discord in these pure initial states showed the importance of nonlocal phase correlations; a shift in the phase can lead to the enhancement of quantum correlations in a mixed two-qubit state. Furthermore, the positive interference of phonon wave packets originating from the two dots (interaction through a common reservoir), which is weak in the system and cannot generate entanglement between separable states, does lead to the appearance of quantum correlations described by the discord. Hence, the study of the quantum discord in this realistic scenario shows, among other things, that quantum correlations are a common occurrence in mixed separable states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

[1] S. Hill and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 5022 (1997).

[2] W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2245 (1998).

[3] K. Życzkowski, P. Horodecki, A. Sanpera, and M. Lewenstein, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 883 (1998).

[4] G. Vidal and R. F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 032314 (2002).

[5] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and K. Horodecki, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 865 (2009).

[6] K. Modi, A. Brodutch, H. Cable, T. Paterek, and V. Vedral, Rev. Mod. Phys. **84**, 1655 (2012).

[7] E. Knill and R. Laflamme, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5672 (1998).

[8] B. Meurer, D. Heitmann, and K. Ploog, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 1371 (1992).

[9] G. Passante, O. Moussa, D. A. Trottier, and R. Laflamme, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 044302 (2011).

[10] H. Ollivier and W. H. Żurek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 017901 (2001).

[11] L. Henderson and V. Vedral, J. Phys. A **34**, 6899 (2001).

[12] J. Oppenheim, M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 180402 (2002).

[13] A. Ferraro, L. Aolita, D. Cavalcanti, F. M. Cucchietti, and A. Acin, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 052318 (2010).

[14] K. Życzkowski, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 012101 (2001).

[15] T. Yu and J. H. Eberly, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 140404 (2004).

[16] J. H. Eberly and T. Yu, Science **316**, 555 (2007).

[17] B. Dakić, V. Vedral, and Č. Brukner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 190502 (2010).

[18] A. Miranowicz, P. Horodecki, R. W. Chhajlany, J. Tuziemski, and J. Sperling, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 042123 (2012).

[19] P. Borri, W. Langbein, S. Schneider, U. Woggon, R. L. Sellin, D. Ouyang, and D. Bimberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 157401 (2001).

[20] A. Vagov, V. M. Axt, T. Kuhn, W. Langbein, P. Borri, and U. Woggon, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 201305(R) (2004).

[21] A. Vagov, V. M. Axt, and T. Kuhn, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 115338 (2003).

[22] B. Krummheuer, V. M. Axt, and T. Kuhn, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 195313 (2002).

[23] R. Alicki, Open Syst. Inf. Dyn. **11**, 53 (2004).

[24] G. D. Mahan, *Many-Particle Physics* (Kluwer, New York, 2000).

[25] K. Roszak and P. Machnikowski, Phys. Lett. A **351**, 251 (2006).

[26] K. Roszak and P. Machnikowski, Phys. Rev. A **73**, 022313 (2006).

[27] P. Blanchard, L. Jakóbczyk, and R. Olkiewicz, Phys. Lett. A **208**, 7 (2001).

[28] L. Mazzola, J. Piilo, and S. Maniscalco, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 200401 (2010).