This version of the article has been accepted for publication, after peer review (when applicable) and is subject to Springer Nature's AM terms of use, but is not the Version of Record and does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any corrections. The Version of Record is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s13226-015-0126-7

Postprint of: Krzywkowski M., On trees with equal 2-domination and 2-outer-independent domination numbers, INDIAN JOURNAL OF PURE & APPLIED MATHEMATICS, Vol. 46 (2015), pp. 191–195

On trees with equal 2-domination and 2-outer-independent domination numbers

Marcin Krzywkowski*†
marcin.krzywkowski@gmail.com

Abstract

For a graph G = (V, E), a subset $D \subseteq V(G)$ is a 2-dominating set if every vertex of $V(G) \setminus D$ has at least two neighbors in D, while it is a 2-outer-independent dominating set if additionally the set $V(G) \setminus D$ is independent. The 2-domination (2-outer-independent domination, respectively) number of G, is the minimum cardinality of a 2-dominating (2-outer-independent dominating, respectively) set of G. We characterize all trees with equal 2-domination and 2-outer-independent domination numbers.

Keywords: 2-domination, 2-outer-independent domination, tree.

AMS Subject Classification: 05C05, 05C69.

1 Introduction

Let G = (V, E) be a graph. By the neighborhood of a vertex v of G we mean the set $N_G(v) = \{u \in V(G) : uv \in E(G)\}$. The degree of a vertex v, denoted by $d_G(v)$, is the cardinality of its neighborhood. By a leaf we mean a vertex of degree one, while a support vertex is a vertex adjacent to a leaf. We say that a subset of V(G) is independent if there is no edge between any two vertices of this set. A path on n vertices we denote by P_n . By a star we mean a connected graph in which exactly one vertex has degree greater than one. Let uv be an edge of a graph G. By subdividing the edge uv we mean removing it, and adding a new vertex, say x, along with two new edges ux and xv.

A subset $D \subseteq V(G)$ is a dominating set of G if every vertex of $V(G) \setminus D$ has a neighbor in D, while it is a 2-dominating set, abbreviated 2DS, of G if

^{*}Research fellow at the Department of Mathematics, University of Johannesburg, South Africa

[†]Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences. Research supported by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education grant IP/2012/038972.

every vertex of $V(G) \setminus D$ has at least two neighbors in D. The domination (2domination, respectively) number of G, denoted by $\gamma(G)$ ($\gamma_2(G)$, respectively), is the minimum cardinality of a dominating (2-dominating, respectively) set of G. A 2-dominating set of G of minimum cardinality is called a $\gamma_2(G)$ -set. Note that 2-domination is a type of multiple domination in which each vertex, which is not in the dominating set, is dominated at least k times for a fixed positive integer k. Multiple domination in graphs was introduced by Fink and Jacobson [2], and was further studied for example in [1, 3, 4]. For a comprehensive survey of domination in graphs, see [5].

A subset $D \subseteq V(G)$ is a 2-outer-independent dominating set, abbreviated 20IDS, of G if every vertex of $V(G) \setminus D$ has at least two neighbors in D, and the set $V(G) \setminus D$ is independent. The 2-outer-independent domination number of G, denoted by $\gamma_2^{oi}(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a 2-outer-independent dominating set of G. A 2-outer-independent dominating set of G of minimum cardinality is called a $\gamma_2^{oi}(G)$ -set. The study of 2-outer-independent domination in graphs was initiated in [6].

We characterize all trees with equal 2-domination and 2-outer-independent domination numbers.

2 Results

We begin with the following three straightforward observations.

Observation 1 For every graph G we have $\gamma_2^{oi}(G) \geq \gamma_2(G)$.

Observation 2 Every leaf of a graph G is in every $\gamma_2(G)$ -set and in every $\gamma_2^{oi}(G)$ set.

Observation 3 For every path there is a minimum 2-dominating set that contains all vertices that are at even distance from one of the leaves.

Let T be a tree. We say that two vertices of T of degree at least three are linked, if all interior vertices of the path joining them in T have degree two. Then the path is called a link. Paths joining leaves of T to the closest vertices of degree at least three we call chains. The length of a link or a chain is the number of its edges. A link or a chain is even (odd, respectively) if its length is even (odd, respectively). We say that a vertex of T of degree at least three, say x, is within even range of a leaf, if there is a leaf, say y, such that all links and chains of the path joining x and y in T are even.

Let \mathcal{T}_0 be a family of trees in which for every pair of adjacent vertices of degree at least three, at least one of them is within even range of a leaf.

Lemma 4 If $T \in \mathcal{T}_0$, then $\gamma_2^{oi}(T) = \gamma_2(T)$.



Proof. Observation 3 implies that for every tree there is a minimum 2-dominating set that contains all vertices of degree at least three that are within even range of a leaf. Let D be such a set for the tree T. Suppose that some two adjacent vertices of T, say x and y, do not belong to the set D. Since $T \in \mathcal{T}_0$, at least one of them has degree two. This is a contradiction as that vertex must have at least two neighbors in D. We now conclude that for every pair of adjacent vertices of T, the set D contains at least one of them. Thus $V(T) \setminus D$ is an independent set. Consequently, D is a 2OIDS of the tree T. Therefore $\gamma_2^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_2(T)$. On the other hand, by Observation 1 we have $\gamma_2^{oi}(T) \geq \gamma_2(T)$.

We characterize all trees with equal 2-domination and 2-outer-independent domination numbers. For this purpose we introduce a family \mathcal{T} of trees $T = T_k$ that can be obtained as follows. Let $T_1 \in \mathcal{T}_0$. If k is a positive integer, then T_{k+1} can be obtained recursively from T_k by the following operation. Let x be a vertex of T_k , which belongs to some $\gamma_2^{oi}(T)$ -set. Let y be the central vertex of a star, each edge of which can be subdivided any non-negative even number of times. Then join the vertices x and y.

For checking whether a given vertex of a tree belongs to some of its minimum 2-outer-independent dominating sets, let us consider the following algorithm, which labels vertices of a tree T as taken, omitted and undecided. Initialize by calling every leaf taken and every other vertex undecided. Root T at a non-leaf vertex, say r. Let $u \neq r$ be a vertex of T, which has not already been decided, and such that all its children have been decided. If some child of u has been omitted, then take u. Otherwise omit u and take its parent.

Proposition 5 Let T be a tree, and let v be a vertex of T. There exists a $\gamma_2^{oi}(T)$ set containing the vertex v if and only if v is a leaf or, rooting T at v, the above algorithm labels at least one child of v as omitted.

We now prove that for every tree of the family \mathcal{T} , the 2-domination and the 2-outer-independent domination numbers are equal.

Lemma 6 If $T \in \mathcal{T}$, then $\gamma_2^{oi}(T) = \gamma_2(T)$.

Proof. We use the induction on the number k of operations performed to construct the tree T. If $T \in \mathcal{T}_0$, then by Lemma 4 we have $\gamma_2^{oi}(T) = \gamma_2(T)$. Let k be a positive integer. Assume that the result is true for every $T' = T_k$ of the family \mathcal{T} constructed by k-1 operations. Let x be a vertex of T' to which is attached the new tree T_1 . It is easy to notice that $\gamma_2^{oi}(T_1) = \gamma_2(T_1)$. The vertices of T_1 at odd distance from the vertex of maximum degree, say y, form a $\gamma_2^{oi}(T_1)$ set. Let D' be a $\gamma_2^{oi}(T')$ -set that contains the vertex x. It is easy to observe that the elements of the set D' together with the vertices of T_1 at odd distance from y, form a 2OIDS of the tree T. Thus $\gamma_2^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_2^{oi}(T') + \gamma_2^{oi}(T_1)$. Now



let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertex y and the vertices of T_1 at even distance from y. Let D be such a set. Notice that all vertices of T_1 at odd distance from y belong to the set D. Observe that $D \cap V(T')$ is a 2DS of the tree T'. Therefore $\gamma_2(T') \leq \gamma_2(T) - \gamma_2(T_1)$. We now get $\gamma_2^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_2^{oi}(T') + \gamma_2^{oi}(T_1) = \gamma_2(T') + \gamma_2(T_1) \leq \gamma_2(T)$. This implies that $\gamma_2^{oi}(T) = \gamma_2(T).$

We now prove that if the 2-domination and the 2-outer-independent domination numbers of a tree are equal, then the tree belongs to the family \mathcal{T} .

Lemma 7 Let T be a tree. If $\gamma_2^{oi}(T) = \gamma_2(T)$, then $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

Proof. The result we obtain by the induction on the order n of the tree T. Assume that the lemma is true for every tree T' of order n' < n. If at most one vertex of T has degree at least three, then it follows from the definition of the family \mathcal{T}_0 that $T \in \mathcal{T}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ as in the tree T there is no pair of adjacent vertices of degree at least three. Now assume that at least two vertices of T have degree at least three. Let x be a vertex of T of degree at least three, which is adjacent to exactly one link. Thus x is adjacent to at least two chains. First assume that some of them is even. Let T_x be the tree induced by the vertex x and the chains adjacent to x. Let S be the set of vertices of $V(T_x) \setminus \{x\}$ that are leaves or are at even distance from x. Let T' be a tree obtained from T by replacing T_x with a path P_3 , say xyz, where z is the leaf. Let D' be a $\gamma_2(T')$ set that contains the vertices x and z. It is easy to observe that $D' \cup S \setminus \{z\}$ is a 2DS of the tree T. Thus $\gamma_2(T) \leq \gamma_2(T') + |S| - 1$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2^{oi}(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertices of T_x , which are not leaves and are at odd distance from x. Let D be such a set. Observe that $\{z\} \cup D \cap V(T')$ is a 2OIDS of the tree T'. Therefore $\gamma_2^{oi}(T') \leq \gamma_2^{oi}(T) - |S| + 1$. We now get $\gamma_2^{oi}(T') \le \gamma_2^{oi}(T) - |S| + 1 = \gamma_2(T) - |S| + 1 \le \gamma_2(T')$. This implies that $\gamma_2^{oi}(T') = \gamma_2(T')$. By the inductive hypothesis we have $T' \in \mathcal{T}$. It follows from the definition of the family \mathcal{T} that $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

Now assume that all chains adjacent to x are odd. Let T_x be the tree induced by the vertex x and the chains adjacent to x. The neighbor of x that does not belong to $V(T_x)$ we denote by k. Let S be the set of vertices of T_x that are at odd distance from x. Let $T' = T - T_x$. Let D' be any $\gamma_2(T')$ -set. It is easy to observe that $D' \cup S$ is a 2DS of the tree T. Thus $\gamma_2(T) \leq \gamma_2(T') + |S|$. Now let us observe that there exists a $\gamma_2^{i}(T)$ -set that does not contain the vertex x and the vertices of T_x at even distance from x. Let D be such a set. The set $V(T) \setminus D$ is independent, thus $k \in D$. Observe that $D \setminus S$ is a 20IDS of the tree T' of cardinality $\gamma_2^{oi}(T) - |S|$, and which contains the vertex k. Therefore $\gamma_2^{oi}(T') \le \gamma_2^{oi}(T) - |S|$. We now get $\gamma_2^{oi}(T') \le \gamma_2^{oi}(T) - |S| = \gamma_2(T) - |S| \le \gamma_2(T')$. This implies that $\gamma_2^{oi}(T') = \gamma_2(T')$. By the inductive hypothesis we have $T' \in \mathcal{T}$. Moreover, there exists a $\gamma_2^{oi}(T')$ -set that contains the vertex k. The tree T_x is



obtained from a star by subdividing each one of its edges a non-negative even number of times. The tree T can be obtained from T' by attaching the tree T_x by joining the central vertex to the vertex k. Thus $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

As an immediate consequence of Lemmas 6 and 7, we have the following characterization of trees with equal 2-domination and 2-outer-independent domination numbers.

Theorem 8 Let T be a tree. Then $\gamma_2^{oi}(T) = \gamma_2(T)$ if and only if $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

References

- [1] M. Blidia, M. Chellali and L. Volkmann, Bounds of the 2-domination number of graphs, Utilitas Mathematica 71 (2006), 209–216.
- [2] J. Fink and M. Jacobson, n-domination in graphs, Graph Theory with Applications to Algorithms and Computer Science, Wiley, New York, 1985, 282 - 300.
- [3] J. Fujisawa, A. Hansberg, T. Kubo, A. Saito, M. Sugita and L. Volkmann, Independence and 2-domination in bipartite graphs, Australasian Journal of Combinatorics 40 (2008), 265–268.
- [4] A. Hansberg and L. Volkmann, On graphs with equal domination and 2domination numbers, Discrete Mathematics 308 (2008), 2277–2281.
- [5] T. Haynes, S. Hedetniemi and P. Slater, Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [6] N. Jafari Rad and M. Krzywkowski, 2-outer-independent domination in graphs, submitted.

