# Magnetism and charge density waves in  $RNiC_2$  ( $R = Ce$ ,  $Pr$ ,  $Nd$ )

Kamil K. Kolincio,<sup>∗</sup> Marta Roman, Michał J. Winiarski, Judyta Strychalska - Nowak, and Tomasz Klimczuk<sup>†</sup> 2

<sup>3</sup> Faculty of Applied Physics and Mathematics, Gdansk University of Technology, Narutowicza 11/12, 80-233 Gdansk, Poland

We have compared the magnetic, transport, galvanomagnetic and specific heat properties of  $Cenic<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $PrNic<sub>2</sub>$  and  $NdNic<sub>2</sub>$  to study the interplay between charge density waves and magnetism in these compounds. The negative magnetoresistance in  $NdNiC<sub>2</sub>$  is discussed in terms of the partial destruction of charge density waves and an irreversible phase transition stabilized by the field induced ferromagnetic transformation is reported. For  $PrNiC_2$  we demonstrate that the magnetic field initially weakens the CDW state, due to the Zeeman splitting of conduction bands. However, the Fermi surface nesting is enhanced at a temperature related to the magnetic anomaly.

### I. INTRODUCTION

<sup>5</sup> The interaction between charge density waves <sup>6</sup> (CDW) and different types of orderings such as superconductivity<sup>1-3</sup>, spin density waves<sup>4-6</sup> and <sup>8</sup> magnetism<sup>7</sup> has been a long standing area of interest. <sup>9</sup> Magnetic order or applied magnetic field have been <sup>10</sup> found to impact the CDW state through changing the <sup>11</sup> geometry of the Fermi surface (FS). The effect can be <sup>12</sup> destructive due to the disturbance of the FS nesting <sup>13</sup> caused by the magnetic field-induced splitting of the <sup>14</sup> conduction bands or modification of the electronic <sup>15</sup> structure due to a magnetic transition<sup>8</sup>. Alternatively, <sup>16</sup> a constructive effect has been observed in a group of <sup>17</sup> materials, in which this FS transformation leads to <sup>18</sup> the enhancement of the nesting conditions or when the <sup>19</sup> nesting vector has the ability to adapt to the evolution <sup>20</sup> of the Fermi surface<sup>9-15</sup>. Recently, much attention of <sup>21</sup> the researchers exploring the coupling between CDW, <sup>22</sup> superconductivity and magnetic order has been devoted 23 to the two families of ternary compounds:  $M_5Ir_4Si_{10}$ , 24 (where  $M = Y$ , Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb or Lu  $16-24$  $25$  and RNiC<sub>2</sub>, (where R = La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Gd or  $(26 \text{ Tb})^{25,26}$ . Most of the members of the latter family <sup>27</sup> exhibit the Peierls transitions towards the charge density 28 wave state<sup>27</sup>. The relevance of a Peierls instability  $29$  has been confirmed for  $R = Gd$ , Tb, Nd, Pr and Sm,  $\omega$  while the LaNiC<sub>2</sub> and CeNiC<sub>2</sub> compounds do not show  $_{31}$  any anomalies that could be attributed to CDW<sup>28-32</sup>.  $32$  Instead, LaNiC<sub>2</sub> is an unconventional noncentrosym- $74$  tem (PPMS) allowing for the application of a magnetic 33 metric superconductor with  $T_c = 2.7 \text{ K}^{33-35}$ . Next to  $\tau_5$  field as large as 9 T. Thin Pt wires  $(\phi = 37 \text{ }\mu\text{m})$  serv-<sup>34</sup> the CDW, the members of the  $RNiC_2$  family show a  $\pi$  ing as electrical contacts for transport and Hall measure- $35$  wide range of magnetic orderings originating from the  $77$  ments were spark-welded to the polished sample surface. <sup>36</sup> RKKY interaction between local magnetic moments <sup>78</sup> A standard four-probe contact configuration was used to 37 and conduction electrons<sup>36,37</sup>. The ground state of  $\tau_9$  measure resistivity. A magnetic field was applied per-38 RNiC<sub>2</sub> depends on the rare-earth atom marked in the so pendicularly to the current direction. The Hall voltage 39 above formula by R: CeNiC<sub>2</sub>, NdNiC<sub>2</sub>, GdNiC<sub>2</sub> and  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  at was collected in reversal directions of magnetic field in 40 TbNiC<sub>2</sub> show the antiferromagnetic character<sup>34,38-42</sup>,  $_{41}$  SmNiC<sub>2</sub> is a ferromagnet, while the PrNiC<sub>2</sub> compound  $_{83}$  tance voltage due to misalignment of electrical contacts.  $\alpha_2$  has been identified as a van Vleck paramagnet<sup>43</sup>. This  $\alpha_4$  The specific heat measurements were performed using <sup>43</sup> rich variety of the types of magnetic ordering shown by <sup>85</sup> the dual slope method on flat polished samples. Magne- $44$  the RNiC<sub>2</sub> family members motivated us to explore the  $*$  so tization measurements were carried out using the ACMS <sup>45</sup> interplay of charge density waves and various magnetic <sup>87</sup> susceptometry option of the PPMS system. Pieces of the <sup>46</sup> ground states. Here, we compare the physical properties <sup>88</sup> samples were fixed in standard polyethylene straw hold-<sup>47</sup> of three isostructural, yet highly dissimilar compounds: <sup>89</sup> ers.

48 NdNi $C_2$ , PrNi $C_2$  and CeNi $C_2$ . The first compound, 49 NdNiC<sub>2</sub> shows the Peierls instability with  $T_P = 121$  K 50 and antiferromagnetic ordering with  $T_N = 17$  K. The  $_{51}$  second, PrNiC<sub>2</sub> undergoes the CDW transition at  $T_P =$ <sup>52</sup> 89 K and instead of long range magnetic ordering, shows <sup>53</sup> a magnetic anomaly at  $T^* = 8$  K. The last compound,  $_{54}$  CeNiC<sub>2</sub> becomes an antiferromagnet at  $T_N = 20$  K and <sup>55</sup> does not exhibit the CDW transition.

### <sup>56</sup> II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

 The polycrystalline samples of RNiC<sub>2</sub> (where R = Ce, Pr, and Nd) were synthesized by arc-melting the stoichio- metric amounts of pure elements: Ni (4N), C (5N) and  $\epsilon_0$  Ce (3N), Pr (3N), Nd (3N) in a high purity argon atmo-61 sphere. Small excess of Ce, Pr, Nd ( $\approx 2\%$ ) and C ( $\approx 5\%$ ) was used to compensate the loss during arc-melting. To obtain good homogeneity of samples, the specimens were turned over and remelted four times in a water-cooled copper hearth. A zirconium button was used as an oxy- gen getter. The buttons obtained from the arc-melting process were wrapped in tantalum foil, placed in evac- $\epsilon$ <sup>8</sup> uated quartz tubes, annealed at 900 $\rm ^{o}C$  for 12 days and cooled down to the room temperature by quenching in cold water. Overall mass loss after the melting and an-<sup>71</sup> nealing processes were negligible ( $\approx 1\%$ ).

<sup>72</sup> The low temperature experiments were performed with <sup>73</sup> a Quantum Design physical properties measurements sys-<sup>82</sup> order to remove the parasitic longitudinal magnetoresis-

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

<sup>91</sup> The phase composition and crystallographic structure <sup>92</sup> of the samples were checked by powder X-ray diffrac-<sup>93</sup> tion (pXRD) at room temperature. The pXRD analysis 94 shows that all observed peaks for  $NdNiC_2$  and  $PrNiC_2$  are  $\frac{1}{95}$  successfully indexed in the orthorhombic CeNiC<sub>2</sub>-type <sup>96</sup> structure<sup>42</sup> with a space group Amm2 ( $\#$  38), which con-<sup>97</sup> firms the phase purity of the obtained samples. Only for  $\mathcal{P}_{98}$  the CeNiC<sub>2</sub> sample, additional reflections corresponding <sup>99</sup> to a small amount of the secondary phase<sup>44</sup>  $\mathrm{CeC}_2$  are ob-<sup>100</sup> served. The lattice parameters were determined from the <sup>101</sup> LeBail profile refinements of the pXRD patterns carried <sup>102</sup> out using FULLPROF software<sup>45</sup>. The obtained values <sup>103</sup> of the lattice constants, shown in Table I are in good  $_{104}$  agreement with those reported in the literature<sup>39,43,46,47</sup>. 105

TABLE I. Lattice constants, unit cell volume and the parameters of the LeBail refinements for  $CeNiC<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $PrNiC<sub>2</sub>$  and NdNiC2, at room temperature.

	CeNiC <sub>2</sub>	PrNiC <sub>2</sub>	NdNiC <sub>2</sub>
a(A)	3.8753(2)	3.8239(5)	3.7834(1)
b(A)	4.5477(2)	4.5428(8)	4.5361(1)
c(A)	6.1601(3)	6.1448(1)	6.1285(1)
$V(\AA^3)$	108.565(8)	106.746(3)	105.178(3)
$R_p$	12.3	7.51	8.35
$\mathrm{R}_{wp}$	16.5	10.1	10.8
$\mathrm{R}_{exp}$	11.49	7.54	7.7
$\chi^2$	2.05	1.81	1.96

106 107

<sup>108</sup> The temperature dependence of the magnetic suscep-109 tibility  $(\chi)$  measured at 1 T applied magnetic field is <sup>110</sup> presented in Figure 1. All three compounds show para-111 magnetic behavior at high temperatures. The  $\chi(T)$  data <sup>112</sup> were fitted using the modified Curie-Weiss expression:

$$
\chi(T) = \frac{C}{T - \Theta_{CW}} + \chi_0 \tag{1}
$$

113 where C is the Curie constant,  $\Theta_{CW}$  is the Curie-Weiss  $_{114}$  temperature, and  $\chi_0$  is the temperature-independent sus- ceptibility resulting from both sample (Pauli and Van Vleck paramagnetism, Landau diamagnetism) and sam- ple holder (small diamagnetic contribution of sample straw assembly). Having estimated the C parameter and <sup>119</sup> assuming that the magnetic moment originates from  $R^{3+}$  ions only, one can calculate the effective magnetic mo-ment using the relation shown in Equation 2:

$$
\mu_{eff} = \sqrt{\frac{3Ck_B}{\mu_B^2 N_A}}
$$
 (2)

 $_{123}$  magneton, and  $N_A$  is Avogadro's number. The result-  $_{131}$  gesting the weakness or absence of magnetic interactions  $_{124}$  ing effective magnetic moments of CeNiC<sub>2</sub>, PrNiC<sub>2</sub> and  $_{132}$  down to 2 K.



FIG. 1. Magnetic susceptibility of  $CeNiC<sub>2</sub>$  (a),  $PrNiC<sub>2</sub>$  (b), and NdNiC<sub>2</sub> (c) at applied magnetic field  $\mu_0H = 1$  T (open circles). Red lines show fits using the modified Curie-Weiss expression (Eq. 1). Insets show inverse susceptibilities displaying linear temperature dependence in agreement with the Curie-Weiss law (Eq. 1). Blue ticks mark the used fitting ranges. The effective magnetic moments extracted from fits agree with the values expected for free trivalent  $R$  ions. Lowtemperature part of susceptibility for  $PrNiC_2$  is presented in Fig. 2

.

where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant,  $\mu_B$  is the Bohr 130 PrNiC<sub>2</sub>, the absolute value of  $\Theta_{CW}$  is close to 0 sug- NdNiC<sub>2</sub> are consistent with the values expected for free <sup>126</sup>  $R^{3+}$  ions<sup>48</sup>. The negative sign of  $\Theta_{CW}$  obtained for the Ce- and Nd-bearing compounds (-26 K and -5.9 K, re- spectively) indicate an effectively antiferromagnetic cou-pling between the magnetic moments. In the case of



FIG. 2. Panel a) Magnetization vs. applied magnetic field  $(M(H))$  measured for CeNiC<sub>2</sub> at 2 and 5 K (below the Néel temperature  $T_N = 19$  K) showing a hysteretic behavior probably due to a field-induced magnetic transition. The inset presents the magnetization at 10, 20, and 40 K. While the magnetization at  $T \geq 40$  K (above the AFM transition) is a linear function of applied field, in the vicinity (20 K) and below the  $T_N$  an upturn is seen arround 3 T, suggesting the field-induced magnetic transition suppressing the AFM order. Panel b) presents  $M(H)$  curves for PrNiC<sub>2</sub> showing linear character down to 40 K. Below that temperature the curves start to saturate in high magnetic fields. At the lowest temperatures (2, 5, and 10 K; see the inset) the deviation from linearity is clear above 1-2 T. Straight lines are least-squares linear fits to the low-field (below 1 T) magnetization data. Gray shading in the inset marks the fitting range used. Panel c) shows the low-temperature  $M(H)$  data for NdNiC<sub>2</sub>. At 20 K (above the  $T<sub>N</sub> = 17$  K) the curve is linear up to 9 T while below this temperature an upturn is observed above approx. 4 T. In the temperatures lower than  $T_N$  the magnetization below approx. 4 T is visibly suppressed due to AFM ordering of the magnetic moments. At 4 T a magnetic order-order transition results in rapid increase in magnetization. The inset shows magnetization around the field-induced magnetic transition at 5 K showing no sign of hysteresis. Panel d) presents magnetization of  $N\text{d}NiC_2$  between 20 and 100 K, showing a linear character up to 9 T. Straight lines are least-squares linear fits to the low field data.

<sup>137</sup> ments is the dominant part of magnetic susceptibility <sup>154</sup> ity. <sup>138</sup> above 35 K. The Van Vleck paramagnetic contribution 139 reported by Onodera et al.<sup>43</sup> is in our case well modeled <sup>140</sup> by the temperature-independent term  $\chi_0$ .

 $_{142}$  magnetic susceptibility of NdNiC<sub>2</sub> drops rapidly. A sim-  $_{159}$  lower temperatures. Below the second transition temper-<sup>143</sup> ilar drop, yet much less pronounced, is seen also in <sup>160</sup> ature  $(T_t = 7 \text{ K})$  hysteresis is observed in  $M(H)$ . Even <sup>144</sup> CeNiC<sub>2</sub> below  $T_N = 19$  K. The susceptibility of PrNiC<sub>2</sub> <sup>161</sup> at 9 T applied magnetic field, the magnetization reaches <sup>145</sup> shows no clear sign of a magnetic transition above 2 K,  $_{146}$  in agreement with previous reports<sup>37,43</sup>, however a small <sup>147</sup> kink in the curve is seen at  $T^* \approx 8$  K (see Fig. 3), <sup>164</sup>  $g = \frac{4}{5}$  is the Lande g-factor, and  $J = 4$  is the total an-<sup>148</sup> consistent with the decrease in magnetization along the  $_{165}$  gular momentum)<sup>48</sup>. The magnetization at 2 K and 9  $_{149}$  a crystallographic axis seen at this temperature by On-  $_{166}$  T for CeNiC<sub>2</sub> is however approximately half of the ob-

133 It is worth noting that the measured susceptibility of  $\overline{150}$  odera et al.<sup>43</sup>). The underlying cause for this magnetiza- $_{134}$  PrNiC<sub>2</sub> is well reproduced by the modified Curie-Weiss  $_{151}$  tion anomaly is not clear, but may suggest some type of 135 equation, yielding reasonable values of C,  $\Theta_{CW}$ , and  $\chi_0$  152 electronic or crystal structure transition, resulting in the <sup>136</sup> and suggesting that the contribution of  $Pr^{3+}$  local mo- <sup>153</sup> decrease of Pauli or Van Vleck paramagnetic susceptibil-

141 Upon crossing the N'eel temperature  $T_N = 17$  K, the 158 with an upturn developing above approx. 4 T in the 155 Magnetization vs. applied field  $(M(H))$  for CeNiC<sub>2</sub>,  $156$  PrNiC<sub>2</sub>, and NdNiC<sub>2</sub> is presented in Figure 2. For  $_{157}$  CeNiC<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 2a) the magnetization is linear above  $T_N$ , <sup>162</sup> only  $0.27\mu_B$  which is ca. 13% of the expected saturato tion magnetization for  $Ce^{3+}$  ion  $gJ = 2.14 \mu_B$  (where



FIG. 3. a) Low-temperature dc magnetic susceptibility of PrNiC<sup>2</sup> measured at 1 T applied field showing a slight upturn arround 7 K, below the magnetic anomaly temperature  $T^*$  (see text). The differential of the dc susceptibility (blue line) shows a minimum arround 4 K. b) Inverse magnetic susceptiblity of  $PrNiC<sub>2</sub>$  corrected for the temperature independent contributions  $\chi_0$ . Red line shows the Curie-Weiss fit from Fig. 1 b). Dashed lines are a guide for the eye.

<sup>167</sup> served saturation moment for a pure Ce metal which is <sup>168</sup> only  $0.6 \mu_B{}^{48}$ .

<sup>169</sup> For PrNiC<sub>2</sub>,  $M(H)$  is roughly linear up to 9 T applied field at temperatures above 40 K (see Fig. 2b), below which the curves start to slightly deviate from linearity. At 10 K and below (Inset of Fig. 2b) the deviation is more pronounced and the curves start to saturate. At 2 K and 9 T applied field the  $M(H)$  of PrNiC<sub>2</sub> reach approx. 1.5  $\mu$ B, which is half of the expected saturation <sup>176</sup> magnetization for  $Pr^{3+}$  ion  $gJ = 3.20 \mu_B^{48}$ .

 In case of NdNiC<sub>2</sub>, the magnetization curves are linear  $_{178}$  down to 20 K (Fig. 2c and d). Below the  $T_N$  the  $(M(H))$  is strongly suppressed, but above 4 T a sudden upturn is observed, resulting from field-induced magnetic order- order transition that reduces the AFM compensation of local moments. Similar transitions have been previously <sup>183</sup> observed in GdNiC<sub>2</sub><sup>49</sup>. Above the transition the  $M(H)$  curves start to saturate, reaching  $1.6\mu_B$  in 9 T at 2 K, about one half the saturation magnetization for Gd ion <sup>186</sup>  $(gJ = 3.27 \mu_B^{48})$ . The magnetization loop shows no trace of hysteresis at the AFM-FM transition as it is presented in the inset of Fig. 2c.

<sup>189</sup> The real part of the ac magnetic susceptibility of  $190 \text{ CeNiC}_2$  and  $\text{NdNiC}_2$  shows a drop at the Néel tempera-191 ture  $T_N$  of 19 and 17 K, respectively (see Fig. 4a,c), in <sup>192</sup> agreement with previous reports<sup>43</sup>. Below  $T_N$  both com- $193$  pounds undergo further magnetic transitions. In  $\mathrm{CeNiC}_2$ <sup>194</sup> a sudden drop of susceptibility is seen at  $T_t = 7$  K fol-<sup>195</sup> lowed by a pronounced upturn. The change in magnetic  $_{201}$  surements. In NdNiC<sub>2</sub> a small feature is seen around  $4$  K  $_{207}$  in which a quasi-2D magnetic order is observed below 7



FIG. 4. Real part of ac magnetic susceptibility of a)  $\text{CeNiC}_2$ , b)  $PrNiC<sub>2</sub>$ , c)  $NdNiC<sub>2</sub>$  measured in a constant field of 5 Oe with 3 Oe, 1 kHz excitations. Blue arrows on panel a indicate the transition to an AFM state at  $T_N = 19$  K and orderorder transition at approx. 7 K. Inset of panel b presents the comparison of real and imaginary parts of the ac susceptibility (blue and black points, respectively) and the derivative of the real part (red line). The value of derivative is negative and decreases with decreasing temperature. In panel c the  $T_N =$ 17 K is defined as a position of the drop of susceptibility at the AFM transition. Inset shows a small jump around 4 K that is attributed to magnetic order-order transition.

<sup>196</sup> order below 10 K was previously observed by magnetiza-<sup>202</sup> (see the inset of Fig. 4c) that was reported by Onodera <sup>197</sup> tion, specific heat and NMR measurements<sup>43,46</sup>. An ad-<sub>203</sub> et al.<sup>43</sup>. The ac susceptibility of PrNiC<sub>2</sub> shows no clear <sup>198</sup> ditional small upturn around 29 K results from the pres-<sup>204</sup> sign of magnetic transition, however the slightly saturat-<sup>199</sup> ence of a minor quantity of the antiferromagnetic CeC<sub>2</sub> <sup>205</sup> ing dependency of  $\chi'$  and its derivative  $d\chi'/dT$  resembles <sup>200</sup> impurity phase<sup>44</sup> ( $T_N = 30$  K), observed in XRD mea- 206 the results obtained for the  $Pb_2Sr_2PrCu_3O_8$  compound

<sup>208</sup> K as evidenced by neutron diffraction study<sup>50</sup>. In the aforementioned case the ac susceptibility show a satura- tion below the ordering temperature rather than a pro- nounced drop while the differential exhibit a minimum at the ordering temperature. In our case there is no clear minimum of the differential curve, yet it would be nec- essary to perform a neutron diffraction measurement in order to confirm or deny the presence of long-range mag-216 netic order below the  $T^*$ .

217 In contrast with  $CeNiC<sub>2</sub>$  and  $NdNiC<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $PrNiC<sub>2</sub>$  does <sup>218</sup> not reveal any clear magnetic transition. Since the three <sup>219</sup> compounds are chemically similar, the discrepancy arises <sup>220</sup> likely from the difference in the detailed structure of 4f  $_{221}$  energy levels. The ground state of a free  $Pr^{3+}$  ion is 222 ninefold degenerate with total angular momentum  $J =$ <sub>223</sub> 4. The crystalline electric field (CEF) acting on the  $Pr^{3+}$ <sup>224</sup> removes the degeneracy (either fully or partially), with <sup>225</sup> the nature of the effect dependent on the point symmetry <sup>226</sup> of the ion crystallographic position. In the orthorhombic  $227$  PrNiC<sub>2</sub> the 2a site occupied by a Pr atom has the point  $_{228}$  symmetry group  $mm2$ . For such relatively low symmetry <sup>229</sup> one would expect a complete uplifting of the ground state <sup>230</sup> degeneracy, yielding a nonmagnetic configuration with 9  $_{231}$  separated singlet states similarly as in  $PrNi<sub>2</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>^{51}$ . Note <sup>232</sup> however that in the case of exchange interaction energy <sup>233</sup> exceeding the first CEF excitation, the magnetic order <sup>234</sup> may appear due to the intermixing of higher energy states  $_{235}$  into a ground state with higher degeneracy<sup>52</sup>. Such sit- $236$  uation occurs in the orthorhombic  $PrN_1Ge_2$  compound  $_{237}$  crystallizing in the CeNiSi<sub>2</sub>-type structure (related to <sup>238</sup> CeNiC<sub>2</sub>) in which the  $Pr^{3+}$  ion position has the same  $239$  point symmetry as in  $PrNiC<sub>2</sub>$ , yet the material reveals <sup>240</sup> ferromagnetic (FM) ordering at  $T_C = 13 \text{ K}^{52,53}$ .

<sup>241</sup> Figure 5a, b and c, shows the thermal dependencies <sup>242</sup> of electrical resistivity  $(\rho_{xx})$  measured without and with <sup>243</sup> applied magnetic field  $(9 \text{ T})$ , for CeNiC<sub>2</sub>, PrNiC<sub>2</sub> and  $_{244}$  NdNiC<sub>2</sub> respectively. At high temperatures, all the com-<sup>245</sup> pounds exhibit typical metallic behavior with resistivity <sup>246</sup> deceasing with temperature lowering. Upon cooling,  $\rho_{xx}$  $_{247}$  of both  $PrNiC_2$  and  $NdNiC_2$  show the anomalies pro-<sup>248</sup> nounced by a minimum followed by a hump. This metal-<sup>249</sup> metal transition is a typical signature of the charge den-<sup>250</sup> sity wave state with incomplete Fermi surface nesting,  $_{251}$  characteristic for quasi-2D materials<sup>54</sup>. The temperature <sup>252</sup> of this anomaly corresponds to the Peierls temperature <sup>253</sup> ( $T_P = 121$  K for NdNiC<sub>2</sub> and  $T_P = 89$  K for PrNiC<sub>2</sub>)  $_{254}$  established by X-ray diffuse scattering<sup>28</sup>. In contrast <sup>255</sup> to that, no CDW-like anomaly is observed in the third  $256$  compound,  $CeNiC<sub>2</sub>$ . At the magnetic crossover temper-<sup>257</sup> atures, all three curves exhibit a decrease in resistivity, <sup>258</sup> shown closer in the insets of Figure 5. This downturn is  $_{259}$  visibly sharper for the antiferromagnetic ground states of  $_{271}$  bly small. In CeNiC<sub>2</sub>, this behavior is present down to  $_{260}$  NdNiC<sub>2</sub> and CeNiC<sub>2</sub> than in the case of PrNiC<sub>2</sub>, where <sup>261</sup> instead of a long range of magnetic ordering, one observes  $_{262}$  a small magnetic anomaly at  $T^*$ .

<sup>264</sup> have been reported beforehand<sup>27</sup>, the influence of mag-<sub>276</sub> sistance with magnetic field at  $T \to T_N$ . In PrNiC<sub>2</sub> the <sup>265</sup> netic field on transport properties, up to now, has been <sup>277</sup> onset of the negative magnetoresistance can be observed



FIG. 5. Resistivity of a)  $CeNiC<sub>2</sub>$ , b)  $PrNiC<sub>2</sub>$ , c)  $NdNiC<sub>2</sub>$ , measured without (black color) and with (red color) applied magnetic field of 9 T. Arrows indicate characteristic temperatures:  $T_P$  - Peierls temperature for NdNiC<sub>2</sub> and PrNiC<sub>2</sub>,  $T_N$ Néel temperature for  $\text{CeNiC}_2$  and  $\text{NdNiC}_2$ , and  $T^*$  - magnetic anomaly temperature in PrNiC2. Insets: Expanded view of the vicinity of the magnetic ordering (anomaly) temperature.

263 Although the anomalies in the zero field resistivity 275 waves; in NdNiC<sub>2</sub> one observes a notable decrease in re- $_{266}$  studied solely for the Nd-bearing compound<sup>28,55</sup>. Elec-<sup>267</sup> trical resistivity measured in the presence of a magnetic <sup>268</sup> field of  $\mu_0 H = 9$  T is shown as a red line in Figure 5, a b <sup>269</sup> and c. The influence of magnetic field on  $\rho_{xx}$  in the high <sup>270</sup> temperature metallic state of each compound is negligi- $_{272}$  the vicinity of  $T_N$ , where the magnetic field weakly mod-<sup>273</sup> ifies the resistivity. This is in contrast to the features <sup>274</sup> seen in the two compounds exhibiting the charge density

<sup>278</sup> at  $T \approx 60$  K, much closer to  $T_P$  than in NdNiC<sub>2</sub>. To  $_{279}$  investigate further the impact of  $\mu_0H$  on transport prop-<sup>280</sup> erties of studied compounds we have performed the field <sup>281</sup> sweeps at constant temperatures.

 The magnetic field dependence of magnetoresistance <sup>283</sup> (MR =  $\frac{\rho(H)-\rho_0}{\rho_0}$ , where  $\rho_0$  is the zero field resistivity) of <sup>284</sup> CeNiC<sub>2</sub> is depicted in Figure 6a. At  $T > T_N$ , MR is weak and negative (resistivity decreases by a maximum of 3%). Below this temperature, the magnetoresistance changes its sign and magnitude. This is a typical picture of the modification of the scattering rate in the vicinity <sup>289</sup> of the magnetic ordering temperature<sup>56–58</sup>; above  $T_N$  the reduction of resistance can be attributed to the field in- duced ordering of the local magnetic moments, resulting in the quenching of the spin fluctuations and effectively a decrease of the related scattering mechanism. On the  $_{294}$  other side of the transition, below  $T_N$ , the magnetic field induces a partial reorientation of the local spins and per- turbs the antiferromagnetic order, which results in the increase of the scattering rate and, consequently, of the electrical resistance.

 Figure 6b shows the magnetic field dependence of mag- netoresistance of PrNiC2. One can notice that, in the charge density wave state, MR is dominated by the neg- ative component which rises as temperature decreases down to  $T^*$ . Below this temperature limit, the nega- tive MR decreases and finally at  $T = 2$  K a positive term can be observed at low magnetic field. This positive MR component can originate from an onset of another magnetic-like transition at lower temperatures or from the light carriers related to the small Fermi surface pock- ets that can be opened in the FS due to imperfect nest- ing. A complementary experiment, such as ARPES spec- troscopy, neutron diffraction or magnetotransport mea- surements performed at temperatures below 1.9 K and higher field would be required to clarify this point. Fig- ure 6c shows the magnetic field dependence of resistivity of NdNiC<sub>2</sub>. Due to the rich variety of positive and neg- ative MR components seen in this compound, we find it 317 more clear to use the  $\rho_{xx}(H)$  instead of MR(H) for discus- sion of the magnetotransport properties in NdNiC<sub>2</sub>. At 30 K, one observes an onset of the negative magnetoresis- tance term, which becomes stronger as temperature de- creases. Below  $T_N$ , the resistivity firstly rises with magnetic field and after reaching the maximum, the  $\rho_{xx}$  de- creases again. The position of the resistivity maximum at various temperatures below  $T_N$  corresponds to the mag- netic field induced ferromagnetic transition according to the H-T phase diagram of NdNiC<sub>2</sub> constructed for a sin- $_{327}$  gle crystal<sup>43</sup>. Below 14 K, one observes an additional kink (marked in Fig. 6 by arrows) on the decreasing side of resistance. This can be attributed to the intermedi- ate magnetic phase separating the AFM and FM orders <sup>336</sup> of the charge density wave as seen in the isostructural, 331 at this temperature range. In addition, one can notice  $337$  albeit ferromagnetic compound, SmNiC<sub>2</sub> in which the that at the lowest temperatures the resistivity saturates <sup>338</sup> relevance of the CDW suppression has been confirmed at high magnetic fields. The negative magnetoresistance <sup>339</sup> by the X-ray diffuse scattering experiment performed in <sup>334</sup> in NdNiC<sub>2</sub> has been attributed<sup>28,55</sup> both to the suppres- <sup>340</sup> magnetic field<sup>59,60</sup>.



FIG. 6. Magnetotransport properties of  $RN<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub>$ . All the measurements have been performed at constant temperature. a) Magnetoresistance in  $CeNiC<sub>2</sub>$  as a function of magnetic field, b) Magnetic field dependence of magnetoresistance in  $PrNiC_2$ , c) Resistivity of  $NdNiC<sub>2</sub>$  as a function of magnetic field. For better clarity, for this compound we show the  $\rho_{xx}$  instead of MR. Arrows indicate the kinks attributed to a metamagnetic phase separating the FM and AFM orders.

<sup>335</sup> sion of spin disorder scattering and to the destruction <sup>341</sup> An interesting observation is the irreversible behavior

 of the electrical resistivity at low temperatures. In order <sup>401</sup> duction of the pairing interactions and degradation of to prove that this effect is not an artifact caused by unsta-<sup>402</sup> nesting properties. This term has been found to origi- ble electrical contacts and is intrinsic to the sample, we <sup>403</sup> nate both from orbital effects and from local spins pro- have repeated the measurement at lower temperatures. <sup>404</sup> ducing stronger magnetic moments. For magnetic fields 346 Firstly the sample was warmed up to 40 K, far above the 405  $\mu_B H \ll \Delta_{CDW}$ , the Zeeman magnetoresistance term is <sup>347</sup> magnetic ordering temperature  $(T_N = 17 \text{ K})$ . Next, we 406 expressed<sup>8</sup> by Equation 3: have cooled the sample with zero applied field, and stabi- lized the temperature before activating the magnet. The magnetic field was swept initially to 2 T, to avoid cross- ing the AFM-FM transition. Then, the magnetic field was swept and reached -9 T (9 T applied in the adverse direction). Afterwards, we performed the final sweep and continuously reversed the direction of the magnetic field to 9 T. The whole procedure was repeated for each scan in order to remove any magnetic memory from the sam-<sup>410</sup> ing, since this temperature interval corresponds to the ple. In Figure 7 we show the results of the field sweeps <sup>411</sup> onset of the field induced magnetic ordering. This can at the selected temperatures. The resistivity measured <sup>412</sup> lead either to the previously suggested CDW suppression, 360 at  $T = 14$  K (Figure 7a) is reversible with  $\mu_0 H$ . At T 413 stronger than predicted by Equation 3 or to the reduc- $_{361}$  = 10 K (Figure 7b) one can notice a small irreverisibil-  $_{414}$  tion of the spin scattering, which also results in negative 362 ity of  $\rho_{xx}$ , which becomes more pronounced at  $T = 8$  415 magnetoresistance as in CeNiC<sub>2</sub>. The comparison of the 363 K, as depicted in Figure 7c. When the magnetic field strength of the negative magnetoresistance in NdNiC<sub>2</sub> <sup>364</sup> is increased to 2 T and then swept to 0, the resistivity <sup>417</sup> and CeNiC<sub>2</sub> in the vicinity of  $T_N$  can also be a useful  $_{365}$  returns to the zero-field cooled value of  $\rho_0$ . In these con-  $_{418}$  guide. In the former compound, showing the Peierls in- ditions, the sample remains in the AFM state. However, <sup>419</sup> stability, MR reaches -40 % which is an order of magni- the application of a magnetic field exceeding the limit of <sup>420</sup> tude larger than in the latter one, in which the CDW is 4 T, at which the FM order is induced in the sample, <sup>421</sup> absent. This suggests that, the negative magnetoresis-369 prevents the resistance from returning to the original  $\rho_0$ . 422 tance in NdNiC<sub>2</sub> originates, at least partially, from the Further magnetic field sweeps do not induce any irre-<sup>423</sup> suppression of the CDW state.  $_{371}$  versible transitions and the resistivity returns to the new  $_{424}$  The negative MR in PrNiC<sub>2</sub> reaches a maximum of 372 value of  $\rho_0^*$  when the field is reduced back to 0. Figure 7d 425 12%, which although is visibly weaker than in NdNiC<sub>2</sub>, compares the result of a field sweep of the sample cooled  $426$  still exceeds the value found in CeNiC<sub>2</sub>. This, similar to  $_{374}$  to 2 K in ZFC condition and the  $\rho_{xx}$  of the same sam-  $_{427}$  the case of NdNiC<sub>2</sub>, suggests that the decrease of resis- ple, which previously experienced the transformation to <sup>428</sup> tance in magnetic field originates from the suppression of the FM state at  $T = 5$  K (inset). The irreversible be-429 the CDW. To verify this hypothesis, we have scaled the  $_{377}$  havior is clearly visible in the former case, while in the  $_{430}$  magnetoresistance in  $PrNiC<sub>2</sub>$  with Equation 3, as shown  $_{378}$  latter one the resistivity returns to the initial value. This 431 in Figure 8 b. At  $T > 20$  K the PrNiC<sub>2</sub> can be qual- shows that the resistance of NdNiC<sub>2</sub> depends not only on  $432$  itatively described by the Zeeman term; the MR plots temperature, applied magnetic field or the type of mag-<sup>433</sup> fall into a single straight line. At lower temperatures, 381 netic ordering present in the sample at these conditions, in the vicinity of  $T_M$  the negative magnetoresistance is but also on the magnetic history of the sample and this <sup>435</sup> weakened and diverges from this scalling law (as shown metastable effect is clearly associated with the AFM-FM <sup>436</sup> in the inset of Figure 8b). The curve obtained for T transition. Previous reports on the magnetoresistance of  $_{385}$  NdNiC<sub>2</sub><sup>28,55</sup> have not mentioned the irreversible phase transition, probably because this weak crossover could be easily overlooked, since once the sample experiences the high magnetic field at temperature below 12 K it re- mains in the metastable state and the irreversibility is no longer observable until the sample is reheated and cooled down again. One plausible scenario to explain this irre- versible effect is the magnetoplastic lattice deformation induced by the ferromagnetic transition. Note that even a small lattice transformation and a consequent Fermi surface modification can substantially impact the nest- ing conditions and this can lead to the quasi-permanent suppression of CDW.

 sistance in CDW systems to originate from the Zeeman <sup>452</sup> effectively than in PrNiC2, showing no clear long range  $\omega$  splitting of the conduction bands<sup>61</sup> which results in re- $\omega$ <sub>53</sub> magnetic ordering.

$$
MR = \frac{\rho(H) - \rho_0}{\rho_0} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu_B H}{k_B T}\right)^2 + 0 \left(\frac{\mu_B H}{k_B T}\right)^4 \quad (3)
$$

 The Figure 8a shows the magnetoresistance of NdNiC<sub>2</sub> <sup>408</sup> above  $T_N$  as a function of  $\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\mu_B H}{k_B T} \right)^2$ . The plots do not converge into a single straight line. This is not surpris-

 The BCS approach predicts the negative magnetore-<sup>451</sup> moments amplifies the internal magnetic field much more  $437 = 10$  K is a boundary of the relevance of the Equation <sup>438</sup> 3. At  $\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\mu_B H}{k_B T} \right)^2 \approx 0.02$ , which corresponds to  $\mu_B H =$  6 T at this temperature, the magnetoresistance plot di- verges from the Zeeman scaling and starts decreasing. We find that, to apply Equation 3 one has to use the prefactor of approximately 1.4. In other CDW materi- als this coefficient is usually smaller than unity. The key <sup>444</sup> examples are  $\rm Li_{0.9}Mo_6O_{17}^{62}$  or organic compounds such <sup>445</sup> as  $(Per)_2Pt(mnt)_2^{63-66}$  in which the existence of weakly magnetic chains ramps this magnetoresistance prefactor <sup>447</sup> in comparison with  $(Per)_2Au(mnt)_2^{67,68}$  showing a non- magnetic character. On the other hand, the value we 449 found is significantly lower than the factor of  $\approx 30$  found <sup>450</sup> in  $GdNiC<sub>2</sub><sup>49</sup>$ , where the presence of strong local magnetic



FIG. 7. Resistivity of NdNiC<sup>2</sup> measured at selected temperatures. After each field sweep data collection at constant temperature, the sample was warmed up to 40 K in zero magnetic field to remove the magnetic memory of the material. Arrows and numbers show the direction of field sweeps. a)  $T = 14$  K, b)  $T = 10$  K, c)  $T = 8$  K, d)  $T = 2$  K. Inset: Resistivity at  $T = 2$  K of the same sample of NdNiC<sub>2</sub>, however previously subjected to the magnetic field of 9 T at  $T = 5$  K.

 Due to polycrystalline nature of our samples, we are <sup>480</sup> has been attributed to the destruction of CDW and a unable to perform the X-ray diffuse scattering experi-<sup>481</sup> concomitant release of previously condensed carriers. Al- ment to follow the intensity and position of the satellite <sup>482</sup> though the CDW suppression by magnetic field appears reflections at various temperature and magnetic field. In-<sup>483</sup> to be quite a possible scenario, this mechanism itself is 458 stead, to investigate the suppression of the charge den-484 not sufficient to explain the features observed as  $T \to T_N$ , <sup>459</sup> sity waves state by magnetic field, we have conducted <sup>485</sup> especially considering that the low temperature  $|\rho_{xy}|$  is  $\epsilon_{460}$  the Hall effect measurements, which can be used as a di- $\epsilon_{486}$  lower than the value found for  $T > T_P$ . This could lead rect probe for electronic carrier concentration. Figure 9a <sup>487</sup> to a misguiding suggestion that the carrier concentra- $\mu_{462}$  shows the thermal dependence of Hall resistivity  $(\rho_{xy})$   $\mu_{88}$  tion below  $T_N$  exceeds the high temperature normal state in NdNiC2. The sign of the measured Hall resistance  $\frac{464}{10}$  is negative, opposite to the results reported recently<sup>55</sup>. 465 To clarify this point, we have repeated the measurement  $\alpha_{91}$  ponents of the Hall resistance<sup>72</sup>: with a reference sample of Cu foil, which shows a nega- tive Hall signal in the same contact geometry. This con-468 firms the relevance of the negative sign of  $\rho_{xy}$  in NdNiC<sub>2</sub>. At  $T > T_P$ , the Hall signal is almost independent of temperature. At the Peierls temperature one observes  $\alpha_{471}$  a downturn of  $\rho_{xy}(T)$  (and increase of  $|\rho_{xy}|$ ), which is a typical signature of the opening of the CDW bandgap  $_{473}$  and condensation of electronic carriers<sup>69,70</sup>. Upon fur- $_{495}$  Hall coefficient associated with side jump and skew scat- ther cooling, the Hall resistivity decreases until it reaches <sup>496</sup> tering. To obtain the more clear evidence of the par- $\alpha_{475}$  a minimum followed by a prominent increase of  $\rho_{xy}$  (and  $497$  tial CDW destruction in NdNiC<sub>2</sub>, we complement the  $_{476}$  decrease of  $|\rho_{xy}|$ , which grows even higher than for tem-  $_{498}$  previous Hall effect study<sup>55</sup> of this compound in re-peratures above  $T_P$ .

 This increase of  $\rho_{xy}$  in proximity of the magnetic or-<sup>479</sup> dering temperature observed in  $\text{SmNiC}_2{}^{71}$  and  $\text{NdNiC}_2{}^{55}$   value. To avoid the oversimplification, in a material ex-hibiting magnetic ordering, one has to consider two com-

$$
\rho_{xy} = R_0 \mu_0 H + 4\pi R_S M \tag{4}
$$

The  $R_0$  in Equation 4 is the ordinary Hall coefficient which, in a single band model, is inversely proportional to the carrier concentration.  $R_S$  denotes the anomalous gard to the anomalous component of the Hall signal. We also present the results of the same experiment for CeNiC<sub>2</sub> and PrNiC<sub>2</sub> which similarly to magnetoresis-tance in these two compounds have not been reported



FIG. 8. Scaling of magnetoresistance in  $PrNiC_2$  wit Equation 3. Inset: Expanded view the MR scaling for  $T \geq 10$  K

 $_{503}$  previously. The separation of normal and anomalous  $\rho_{xy}$  components is not straightforward unless the magnetic moment saturates with magnetic field which then reduces  $\frac{1}{506}$  the latter one to a constant<sup>73-76</sup>. Here, no signs of sat- uration of  $M(T)$  up to an applied field of 14 T for any 508 of the studied compounds have been found<sup>77</sup>, which pre- cludes the possibility of the direct extraction of electronic  $\epsilon_{510}$  concentration from  $\rho_{xy}$ . Nevertheless we can propose an alternative road to follow the number of carriers con- densed into the charge density wave state. The idea is  $\epsilon_{513}$  to compare the field dependencies of  $\rho_{xy}$  and M with a special regard for the temperature region, in which mag- netization follows the linear field dependency. In this condition the anomalous component contribution is also linear with field and, for a single band metal, any depar- $_{518}$  ture from the the linearity of  $\rho_{xy}$  indicates the change of  $R_0$  which is a measure of electronic concentration.



FIG. 9. a) Hall resistivity of NdNiC<sub>2</sub>, divided by magnetic field, measured at various magnetic fields. Arrows indicate the Peierls and Néel temperatures  $T_P$  and  $T_N$  respectively. b) Hall resistivity of  $NdNiC<sub>2</sub>$  as a function of magnetic field. The plots have been shifted horizontally to improve data reading.

 $_{520}$  Figure 9b shows the magnetic field dependence of the  $_{541}$  some similarities to the case of NdNiC<sub>2</sub>. A significant  $\epsilon_{21}$  Hall resisitivity of NdNiC<sub>2</sub> measured at various temper-  $\epsilon_{42}$  downturn of  $\rho_{xy}$  below  $T_P$  concomitant with an increase  $522$  atures. At  $T \geq 60$  K one cannot find any departure from  $543$  of resistivity (Figure 5c) due to the condensation of the  $\epsilon_{23}$  linearity for the  $\rho_{xy}(H)$ . A small nonlinearity can be seen  $\epsilon_{44}$  electronic carriers is observed at  $T_P$ . Upon further cool- $524$  at 40 K. Upon further cooling, the deviation from linear  $545$  ing, the Hall resistivity continues to decrease and does  $\frac{1}{2}$  variation for  $\rho_{xy}(T)$  becomes more pronounced. Com-  $\frac{1}{2}$  saturate at  $\frac{T_P}{2}$ , where the electronic gap is  $526$  paring this result with magnetization data for NdNiC<sub>2</sub>  $527$  (Fig. 2d), which shows linear  $M(H)$  dependence at  $T \geq$ <sup>528</sup> 20 K one can deduce that, in this temperature range,  $\epsilon_{529}$  the non-linearity of  $\rho_{xy}(H)$  can be safely attributed to <sup>530</sup> the increase in electronic concentration. This indicates <sup>531</sup> that, the release of previously CDW condensed carriers <sup>532</sup> is, next to the anomalous Hall component, responsible  $\epsilon_{533}$  for the increase of  $\rho_{xy}$  as temperature is lowered to the  $534$  vicinity of  $T_N$ . Here we emphasize that, since we were  $\mathfrak{so}$  unable to observe the saturation of  $M(H)$  we are unable <sup>536</sup> to separate the normal and anomalous components of the 537 Hall resistivity for  $T \leq 20$  K, where both  $\rho_{xy}$  and M are 539 non-linear functions of  $\mu_0H$ . The thermal dependence of  $_{540}$  Hall resistance of PrNiC<sub>2</sub> depicted in Figure 10a exhibits



FIG. 10. a) Hall resistivity of  $PrN(C_2, \text{ divided by magnetic})$ field, black points show the data collected from the temperature sweep at constant magnetic field of 9 T. Red, blue and green points show the data collected from the field sweeps at constant temperature. Arrows indicate the Peierls and magnetic transition temperatures  $T_P$  and  $T^*$  respectively. Solid lines are the guide for the eye. b) Hall resistivity of  $PrNiC<sub>2</sub>$ as a function of magnetic field. The plots have been shifted horizontally to improve data reading. Dashed lines show the low field linear dependencies of  $\rho_{xy}(H)$  expanded to the high field regime.

<sup>547</sup> expected to be fully open. This behavior is consistent <sup>548</sup> with the non-BCS thermal dependence of the satellite  $_{549}$  reflections intensity<sup>28</sup> suggesting that the nesting vector  $550$  adjusts to the FS evolution. In contrast to  $NdNiC<sub>2</sub>$ , no  $\epsilon_{551}$  significant upturn of  $\rho_{xy}$  is observed as T approaches the  $552$  magnetic ordering temperature. Contrarily, below  $T^*$  the <sup>553</sup> Hall resistivity starts to decrease again. This observa-<sup>554</sup> tion is in agreement with the behavior of the intensity  $555$  of the CDW satellite reflections<sup>28</sup>, which show a sud- $\epsilon_{\text{556}}$  den increase upon crossing  $T^*$ . Below  $T \approx 60$  K, corre-<sup>557</sup> sponding to the onset of negative magnetoresistance, the  $\epsilon_{558}$   $\rho_{xx}(T)$  curves obtained at different magnetic fields do <sup>559</sup> not converge. The application of stronger magnetic field  $\epsilon_{560}$  drives the thermal dependence of  $\rho_{xy}$  towards more pos-  $\epsilon_{68}$  studied the thermal and magnetic field dependencies of <sup>561</sup> itive values, in comparison to the data obtained at lower <sup>619</sup> specific heat  $(C_p)$ . Previously the  $C_p(T, H)$  has been

 H. Similar to NdNiC<sub>2</sub>, this can be attributed to the pos- itive anomalous Hall component growing as the magneti- zation increases or to the partial suppression of the CDW and increase of the electronic concentration. It shall be noted that, the strength of the  $\rho_{xy}$  downturn below  $T^*$ 566 is sufficient to overcome the anomalous term driving the Hall resistivity towards more positive values. Note that,  $\frac{569}{2}$  the strength of the anomalous Hall signal in PrNiC<sub>2</sub> is expected to parallel the scale of  $NdNiC<sub>2</sub>$ , since the val- ues of magnetization of both compounds are comparable. To explore this effect further, we have conducted  $\rho_{x}(\hat{H})$  measurements for PrNiC2. As shown in Figure 10b, the non-linearity of the Hall resistivity plotted versus  $\mu_0H$  can be observed in this compound as well. The devia- tion from linearity, initially barely observable for  $T = 50$  K becomes stronger at lower temperatures. Here, however, we cannot follow the same analysis as for the case of NdNiC<sub>2</sub>, due to the fact that for temperatures lower than 60 K the magnetization does not follow a linear relation- $\epsilon_{581}$  ship with  $\mu_0H$ . Therefore, the two normal and anoma- lous ingredients of the Hall resistivity in  $PrNiC<sub>2</sub>$  cannot be unambiguously separated. Nevertheless, the down-<sup>584</sup> turn of  $\rho_{xy}$  at  $T^*$  strongly suggests the enhancement of the CDW state, although the magnetoresistance above  $586 T^*$  shows some signatures of the partial suppression of the Peierls instability. This can be explained in terms of the lattice transformation accompanying the magnetic anomaly modifying the Fermi surface, which triggers the nesting of another FS part when the CDW vector ad- justs to band structure evolution. One cannot however exclude an alternative scenario, in which the enhance- ment of the Fermi surface nesting can be seen as a driving force for the magnetic anomaly. Since the magnetic prop- erties are related to the free electron density via RKKY interactions, it is not unreasonable to expect the conden- sation of the electronic carriers at  $T^*$  to modify of the magnetic character of PrNiC2. The high resolution X- ray and neutron diffraction experiment performed with a single crystal of  $PrNiC<sub>2</sub>$  will be required to clarify this <sup>601</sup> point.

 $\epsilon_{002}$  The thermal dependence of Hall resistivity in CeNiC<sub>2</sub>, shown in Figure 11a shows no signatures of electronic condensation. This is in agreement with transport prop- erties in which no anomalies similar to those found in NdNiC<sub>2</sub> and PrNiC<sub>2</sub> are observed and confirms the ab- sence of the Peierls instability in CeNiC<sub>2</sub>. From the clear  $\omega$  correlation between the thermal dependence of  $\rho_{xy}$  and magnetization (see Figure 11b), one can conclude, that the anomalous component is the dominant ingredient of the Hall effect in this compound, while the normal Hall coefficient is expected to remain temperature indepen-613 dent. The observation of the increase of  $\rho_{xy}$  as  $T \to T_N$  in CeNiC<sub>2</sub>, where the absence of the CDW has been em- phasized, implies that the anomalous Hall component is 616 essential to describe the  $\rho_{xy}$  in NdNiC<sub>2</sub> and PrNiC<sub>2</sub>.

To explore the observed transitions further, we have



FIG. 11. Hall resistivity in  $CeNiC<sub>2</sub>$  as a function of temperature (a) compared with magnetization (b) of the same compound



FIG. 12. Specific heat of  $NdNiC<sub>2</sub>$  as a function of magnetic field measured at a)  $T = 12$  K, b)  $T = 10$  K, and c)  $T = 8$ K. Arrows and numbers show the direction of the magnetic field sweeps. At each temperature step the sample was first heated to 40 K, well above the magnetic transition temperature  $T_N = 17$  K, held for a few minutes and then cooled to the target temperature with no applied magnetic field. After stabilizing the temperature, the magnetic field was first increased to 9 T, then decreased to -9 T and swept to 0 T. At 8 K an irreversible behavior is clearly seen - during the first field sweep the specific heat below 4.5 T is higher than for the second sweep from  $+9$  to  $-9$  T, indicating the formation of a field-induced metastable phase, which is also observed in transport measurements.

 $625$  The largest one is seen at about 19 K and is almost un- $678$  nificant change in magnetic order.

 affected by the applied magnetic fields up to 9 T. The second anomaly is less pronounced and the temperature of its occurrence varies with the applied magnetic field from 11 K in 0 T to 9.5 K in 9 T. The existence of the fea- tures anomalies are in agreement with magnetization and transport results. Another anomaly, previously reported by Motoya et al.<sup>46</sup>, seen at 2 K is magnetic field depen- dent. A minor jump around 30 K is likely connected with  $\epsilon$ <sup>34</sup> the CeC<sub>2</sub> impurity phase<sup>44</sup>, as suggested from magnetic susceptibility data.

 $\epsilon_{36}$  The broad hump seen in PrNiC<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 13 c and d) is a Schottky anomaly originating from multiple energy lev- $\epsilon$ <sub>638</sub> els of the Pr<sup>3+</sup> ion subject to the CEF splitting. Due to the complicated energy level structure the specific heat data could not be reliably fitted in order to extract the level splitting energies. The anomaly is slightly shifted towards higher temperature by applied magnetic field as seen in Figure 13 c and d, which is caused by the Zeeman  $_{644}$  effect, as seen in many f-electron systems (see eg.<sup>78–80</sup>). No clear anomaly is seen around  $T^*$  corresponding both to the drop in the Hall resistivity and the upturn of sus- ceptibility. This may suggest that the alleged transition involves predominantly the change of electronic structure with little effect on crystal and spin order, which should result in the appearance of an anomaly in specific heat. Note that in the  $Pb_2Sr_2PrCu_3O_8$  compound mentioned before the specific heat anomaly at the transition tem- perature is weak<sup>81</sup>. If such weak anomaly would arise in  $F_N$ FrNiC<sub>2</sub> at the  $T^*$  it could be hard to observe on top of the large Schottky hump.

 The results of the specific heat measurements for  $657 \text{ NdNiC}_2$  are shown in Fig. 13 e and f. For this com- pound the specific heat shows a lambda-like anomaly at  $T_N$ , which is weakly affected by the applied magnetic field up to about 3.0-3.5 T above which a metamagnetic transition occurs. Above 7 T we can observe the third anomaly which is probably related to the occurrence of the transitional phase between AFM and FM.

 successfully used to construct the phase diagram for <sup>673</sup> seen in the inset of Figure 2. This could be explained  $\epsilon_{21}$  GdNiC<sub>2</sub><sup>49</sup>. Figure 13 shows a specific heat map (a) and  $\epsilon_{74}$  by the insufficient resolution of magnetization measure- $\epsilon_{22}$  the heat capacity of the polycrystalline CeNiC<sub>2</sub> (b) plot- $\epsilon_{55}$  ments performed with the ACMS option. However it is ted as a function of temperature, under various magnetic  $\sigma_6$  also possible that the field-induced transition involves a fields. In the results we can observe a few anomalies.  $\epsilon_{77}$  change of electronic and crystal structures without a sig- The magnetic field dependence of the specific heat of NdNiC<sub>2</sub> measured at 12 K, 10 K and 8 K is presented 666 in Fig. 12. At 8 K the  $C_p$  vs. H shows an irreversible behavior as seen in Figure 12c. The observation of the irreversibility in both specific heat and electrical resis- tivity measurements confirms the presence of a magnetic field-induced metastable state, not reported in previous studies. Interestingly, the same transition does not re-sult in the appearance of hysteresis in magnetization, as



FIG. 13. Panels a) and b) present the specific heat of CeNiC<sub>2</sub> as a function of temperature and magnetic field. The anomaly seen at  $T_N = 19$  K does not significantly shift with applied magnetic fields up to 9 T, while the anomalies around 10 and 2 K are suppressed by increasing  $\mu_0H$ . Panels c) and d) show the specific heat of PrNiC<sub>2</sub>, revealing that the broad hump, attributed to the Schottky anomaly resulting from splitting of the f orbital energy levels is gradually shifted towards higher temperatures by application of a magnetic field due to the Zeeman effect. Panels e) and f) present the specific heat of NdNiC2. The anomaly at 17 K remains almost unaffected by magnetic fields up to approx. 3 T above which a field-induced magnetic transition takes place, as evidenced by magnetization and transport measurements. At higher fields the specific heat curves develop a complicated structure indicating that the magnetic phase diagram is complex, as previously reported for GdNiC<sub>2</sub><sup>49</sup>.

### <sup>679</sup> IV. CONCLUSIONS

 In order to explore the interaction between charge den- sity waves and magnetism in the  $RNiC<sub>2</sub>$  family, we have compared the physical properties of three isostructural  $\epsilon_{83}$  compounds: NdNiC<sub>2</sub>, showing both the Peierls instabil- ity,  $PrNiC<sub>2</sub>$  with the CDW and a magnetic anomaly, and CeNiC<sub>2</sub>, showing antiferromagnetic ordering, and the ab- sence of the CDW transition. The weak magnetoresis- $\epsilon_{687}$  tance in CeNiC<sub>2</sub> is found to originate by the spin fluc-

 tuations accompanying the magnetic transition. Neither transport or Hall effect measurements reveal any signa- tures of the Peierls instability. Study of the magnetore- sistance and the galvanomagnetic properties of  $NdNiC<sub>2</sub>$  confirms the partial suppression of charge density waves by magnetic ordering and a further destruction of the Peierls instability at the crossover from the antiferro- magnetic to ferromagnetic order. We have also found that this magnetic transformation drives a metastable lattice transformation that can be observed via the mag-

 netoresistance and the specific heat measurements. The <sup>713</sup> magnetic anomaly, and by the modification of the mag-<sup>699</sup> interplay between magnetism and charge density waves  $\pi$ <sup>4</sup> netic ordering via the RKKY interactions influenced by in PrNiC<sub>2</sub> shows more complex character. Although  $715$  change of the electronic concentration. Further analysis  $\tau_{\text{10}}$  the magnetoresistance data suggest that, the application  $\tau_{\text{16}}$  of this effect can be realized by high resolution diffraction  $\tau_{102}$  of magnetic field partially suppresses CDW by Zeeman  $\tau_{17}$  experiments on a single crystal. splitting of the electronic bands, the expansion of the <sup>704</sup> nested region of the Fermi surface at  $T^* \approx 8$  K can be observed by a significant downturn of the Hall resistivity, strong enough to overcome the positive Hall signal origi- nating from the anomalous component. This effect seems <sup>708</sup> to be related to the magnetic anomaly<sup>43</sup> observed at the same temperature, however the underlying mechanism <sup>720</sup> from National Science Centre (Poland), grant number:  $_{710}$  remains unclear. Tentatively, the interaction between the  $_{721}$  UMO-2015/19/B/ST3/03127. We also thank to E. Car- CDW and magnetic properties of this compound can be <sup>722</sup> nicom, K. Rogacki, Z. Sobczak, K. G´ornicka and H. described either by the lattice transformation due to the <sup>723</sup> Marciniak for useful advice and fruitful discussions.

- ∗ kkolincio@mif.pg.gda.pl
- † tomasz.klimczuk@pg.edu.pl
- $_{726}$ <sup>1</sup> J. Chang, E. Blackburn, A. T. Holmes, N. B. Christensen,
- J. Larsen, J. Mesot, R. Liang, D. A. Bonn, W. N. Hardy, A. Watenphul, M. v. Zimmermann, E. M. Forgan, and
- S. M. Hayden, Nature Physics 8, 871 (2012).
- E. H. da Silva Neto, P. Aynajian, A. Frano, R. Comin,
- E. Schierle, E. Weschke, A. Gyenis, J. Wen, J. Schneeloch, Z. Xu, S. Ono, G. Gu, M. Le Tacon, and A. Yazdani, Science 343, 393 (2014).
- J. Chang, E. Blackburn, O. Ivashko, A. T. Holmes, N. B. Christensen, M. H¨ucker, R. Liang, D. A. Bonn, W. N. 736 Hardy, U. Rütt, M. v. Zimmermann, E. M. Forgan, and S. M. Hayden, Nature Communications 7, 11494 (2016).
- E. Fawcett, Rev. Mod. Phys. 60, 209 (1988).
- $_{739}$  <sup>5</sup> V. L. R. Jacques, C. Laulhé, N. Moisan, S. Ravy, and D. Le Bolloc'h, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 156401 (2016).
- $_{741}$  <sup>b</sup> C. Y. Young and J. B. Sokoloff, Journal of Physics F: Metal Physics 4, 1304 (1974).
- C. A. Balseiro, P. Schlottmann, and F. Yndurain, Phys. Rev. B 21, 5267 (1980).
- T. Tiedje, J. F. Carolan, A. J. Berlinsky, and L. Weiler, Canadian Journal of Physics 53, 1593 (1975).
- J. Brooks, D. Graf, E. Choi, M. Almeida, J. Dias, R. Hen- riques, and M. Matos, Current Applied Physics 6, 913 (2006).
- J.-F. Wang, M. Yang, L. Li, M. Sasaki, A. Ohnishi, M. Ki- taura, K.-S. Kim, and H.-J. Kim, Phys. Rev. B 89, 035137 (2014).
- D. Andres, M. V. Kartsovnik, W. Biberacher, K. Neumaier, I. Sheikin, H. M¨uller, and N. D. Kushch, Low Temperature Physics 37, 762 (2011), http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3670031.
- 757<sup>12</sup> D. Zanchi, A. Bjeliš, and G. Montambaux, Phys. Rev. B 53, 1240 (1996).
- $_{759}$  <sup>13</sup> D. Graf, E. S. Choi, J. S. Brooks, M. Matos, R. T. Hen- riques, and M. Almeida, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 076406 (2004).
- <sup>14</sup> L. E. Winter, J. S. Brooks, P. Schlottmann, M. Almeida, S. Benjamin, and C. Bourbonnais, EPL (Europhysics Let-ters) 103, 37008 (2013).
- K. Murata, Y. Fukumoto, K. Yokogawa, W. Kang, R. Takaoka, R. Tada, H. Hirayama, J. S. Brooks, D. Graf,

### V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support

- H. Yoshino, T. Sasaki, and R. Kato, Physica B: Condensed 768 Matter 460, 241 (2015), special Issue on Electronic Crys-tals (ECRYS-2014).
- P. C. Lalngilneia, A. Thamizhavel, S. Ramakrishnan, and D. Pal, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 592, 012094  $772 \t(2015)$ .
- <sup>17</sup> S. van Smaalen, M. Shaz, L. Palatinus, P. Daniels, F. Galli, G. J. Nieuwenhuys, and J. A. Mydosh, Phys. Rev. B 69, 014103 (2004).
- F. Galli, S. Ramakrishnan, T. Taniguchi, G. J. Nieuwen-777 huys, J. A. Mydosh, S. Geupel, J. Lüdecke, and S. van Smaalen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 158 (2000).
- F. Galli, R. Feyerherm, R. W. A. Hendrikx, E. Dudzik, G. J. Nieuwenhuys, S. Ramakrishnan, S. D. Brown, S. van Smaalen, and J. A. Mydosh, Journal of Physics: Con-densed Matter 14, 5067 (2002).
- $^{20}$  Z. Hossain, M. Schmidt, W. Schnelle, H. S. Jeevan, C. Geibel, S. Ramakrishnan, J. A. Mydosh, and Y. Grin,  $\frac{785}{22}$  Phys. Rev. B 71, 060406 (2005)
- M. Leroux, P. Rodière, and C. Opagiste, Journal of Su-perconductivity and Novel Magnetism 26, 1669 (2013).
- <sup>22</sup> Y. Singh, D. Pal, and S. Ramakrishnan, Phys. Rev. B 70, 064403 (2004).
- N. S. Sangeetha, A. Thamizhavel, C. V. Tomy, S. Basu, A. M. Awasthi, S. Ramakrishnan, and D. Pal, Phys. Rev. B 86, 024524 (2012).
- $_{793}$  <sup>24</sup> Y. K. Kuo, K. M. Sivakumar, T. H. Su, and C. S. Lue, Phys. Rev. B 74, 045115 (2006).
- J. N. Kim, C. Lee, and J.-H. Shim, New Journal of Physics 15, 123018 (2013).
- G. Prathiba, I. Kim, S. Shin, J. Strychalska, T. Klimczuk, <sup>798</sup> and T. Park, Scientific Reports **6**, 26530 (2016).
- M. Murase, A. Tobo, H. Onodera, Y. Hirano, T. Hosaka, S. Shimomura, and N. Wakabayashi, Journal of the Phys-ical Society of Japan 73, 2790 (2004).
- $802^{28}$  N. Yamamoto, R. Kondo, H. Maeda, and Y. Nogami, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 82, 123701 (2013).
- <sup>29</sup> J. Laverock, T. D. Haynes, C. Utfeld, and S. B. Dugdale, 805 Phys. Rev. B **80**, 125111 (2009).
- D. Ahmad, B. H. Min, G. I. Min, S.-I. Kimura, J. Seo, and 807 Y. S. Kwon, Physica Status Solidi (B) 252, 2662 (2015).
- S. Shimomura, C. Hayashi, N. Hanasaki, K. Ohnuma,
- Y. Kobayashi, H. Nakao, M. Mizumaki, and H. Onodera,

- 810 Physical Review B **93**, 165108 (2016).
- 811<sup>32</sup> A. Wölfel, L. Li, S. Shimomura, H. Onodera, and S. van 875 Smaalen, Physical Review B 82, 054120 (2010).
- 
- W. Lee, H. Zeng, Y. Yao, and Y. Chen, Physica C: Su-814 perconductivity **266**, 138 (1996).
- 815<sup>34</sup> V. K. Pecharsky, L. L. Miller, and K. A. Gschneidner, Phys. Rev. B 58, 497 (1998).
- 817<sup>35</sup> B. Wiendlocha, R. Szczęśniak, A. P. Durajski, and
- 818 M. Muras, Physical Review B , 134517 (2016).
- W. Schäfer, W. Kockelmann, G. Will, J. Yakinthos, and P. Kotsanidis, Journal of Alloys and Compounds 250, 565  $821 \t(1997)$ .
- <sup>37</sup> P. Kotsanidis, J. Yakinthos, and E. Gamari-Seale, Journal of the Less Common Metals 152, 287 (1989).
- <sup>38</sup> A. Bhattacharyya, D. T. Adroja, A. M. Strydom, A. D.
- Hillier, J. W. Taylor, A. Thamizhavel, S. K. Dhar, W. A.
- 826 Kockelmann, and B. D. Rainford, Phys. Rev. B 90, 054405  $_{827}$   $(2014).$
- 828<sup>39</sup> J. Yakinthos, P. Kotsanidis, W. Schäfer, and G. Will, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 89, 299 (1990).
- 831<sup>40</sup> N. Hanasaki, K. Mikami, S. Torigoe, Y. Nogami, S. Shi- momura, M. Kosaka, and H. Onodera, Journal of Physics: 833 Conference Series **320**, 012072 (2011).
- N. Uchida, H. Onodera, M. Ohashi, Y. Yamaguchi,
- N. Sato, and S. Funahashi, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 145, L16 (1995).
- 837<sup>42</sup> S. Matsuo, H. Onodera, M. Kosaka, H. Kobayashi, M. Ohashi, H. Yamauchi, and Y. Yamaguchi, Journal of 839 Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 161, 255 (1996).
- H. Onodera, Y. Koshikawa, M. Kosaka, M. Ohashi, H. Ya- mauchi, and Y. Yamaguchi, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 182, 161 (1998).
- T. Sakai, G.-y. Adachi, and J. Shiokawa, Materials Re-search Bulletin 15, 1001 (1980).
- <sup>45</sup> J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, Physica B: Condensed Matter 192, 55 (1993).
- K. Motoya, K. Nakaguchi, N. Kayama, K. Inari, J. Akimitsu, K. Izawa, and T. Fujita, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 66, 1124 (1997), 850 http://journals.jps.jp/doi/pdf/10.1143/JPSJ.66.1124.
- W. Schäfer, G. Will, J. Yakinthos, and P. Kotsanidis, Journal of Alloys and Compounds 180, 251 (1992).
- <sup>48</sup> J. Jensen and A. R. Mackintosh, *Rare earth magnetism:* structures and excitations (Clarendon Press, 1991).
- 855<sup>49</sup> K. K. Kolincio, K. Górnicka, M. J. Winiarski, J. Strychalska-Nowak, and T. Klimczuk, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 195149 (2016).
- W. T. Hsieh, W.-H. Li, K. C. Lee, J. W. Lynn, J. H. Shieh, 859 and H. C. Ku, Journal of Applied Physics 76, 7124 (1994).
- S. Akamaru, Y. Isikawa, J. Sakurai, K. Maezawa, and H. Harima, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 70,  $2049$   $(2001)$ .
- <sup>52</sup> J. L. Snyman and A. M. Strydom, Journal of Applied 864 Physics 113, 17E135 (2013).
- 865 <sup>53</sup> A. Gil, A. Szytuła, Z. Tomkowicz, K. Wojciechowski, and A. Zygmunt, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materi-als 129, 271 (1994).
- 868<sup>54</sup> K. Kolincio, O. Pérez, S. Hébert, P. Fertey, and A. Pau-869 trat, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 235126 (2016).
- 870 <sup>55</sup> H. Lei, K. Wang, and C. Petrovic, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 29, 075602 (2017).
- <sup>56</sup> K. Usami, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 45, 466 (1978), http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.45.466.
- 874 <sup>57</sup> C. Mazumdar, A. K. Nigam, R. Nagarajan, L. C. Gupta, G. Chandra, B. D. Padalia, C. Godart, and R. Vija- yaraghaven, Journal of Applied Physics 81, 5781 (1997), http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.364666.
- 878<sup>58</sup> H. YAMADA and S. TAKADA, Progress of theoretical physics 48, 1828 (1972).
- S. Shimomura, C. Hayashi, G. Asaka, N. Wakabayashi, M. Mizumaki, and H. Onodera, Physical Review Letters **102**, 076404 (2009).
- N. Hanasaki, Y. Nogami, M. Kakinuma, S. Shimomura, M. Kosaka, and H. Onodera, Physical Review B 85, 885 092402 (2012).
- W. Dieterich and P. Fulde, Zeitschrift für Physik A Hadrons and nuclei 265, 239 (1973).
- <sup>62</sup> X. Xu, A. F. Bangura, J. G. Analytis, J. D. Fletcher, M. M. J. French, N. Shannon, J. He, S. Zhang, D. Man-890 drus, R. Jin, and N. E. Hussey, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 206602 (2009).
- D. Graf, J. S. Brooks, E. S. Choi, S. Uji, J. C. Dias, M. Almeida, and M. Matos, Phys. Rev. B 69, 125113  $\frac{1}{894}$  (2004).<br> $\frac{64}{M}$  M Mat
- M. Matos, G. Bonfait, R. T. Henriques, and M. Almeida, 896 Phys. Rev. B 54, 15307 (1996).
- 897<sup>65</sup> G. Bonfait, M. J. Matos, R. T. Henriques, and M. Almeida, Physica B: Condensed Matter 211, 297 (1995).
- 900 <sup>66</sup> G. Bonfait, E. B. Lopes, M. J. Matos, R. T. Henriques, and M. Almeida, Solid State Communications 80, 391 (1991).
- D. Graf, E. Choi, J. Brooks, J. Dias, R. Henriques, M. Almeida, M. Matos, and D. Rickel, Synthetic Metals 153, 361 (2005).
- K. Monchi, M. Poirier, C. Bourbonnais, M. Matos, and R. Henriques, Synthetic Metals 103, 2228 (1999).
- $_{907}$ <sup>69</sup> E. Wang, M. Greenblatt, I. E.-I. Rachidi, E. Canadell, M.- H. Whangbo, and S. Vadlamannati, Phys. Rev. B 39,  $909 \quad 12969 \quad (1989)$ .
- 910<sup>70</sup> C. Schlenker, J. Dumas, C. Escribe-filippini, H. Guyot, J. Marcus, and G. Fourcaudot, Philosophical Magazine Part B 52, 643 (1985), http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13642818508240627.
- $_{914}$  <sup>71</sup> J. H. Kim, J.-S. Rhyee, and Y. S. Kwon, Phys. Rev. B 86, 235101 (2012).
- <sup>72</sup> L. Berger and G. Bergmann, "The Hall Effect of Ferro-917 magnets," in The Hall Effect and Its Applications, edited by C. L. Chien and C. R. Westgate (Springer US, Boston, 919 MA, 1980) pp.  $55-76$ .
- <sup>73</sup> J. S. Higgins, S. R. Shinde, S. B. Ogale, T. Venkatesan, and R. L. Greene, Phys. Rev. B 69, 073201 (2004).
- <sup>74</sup> Q. Xu, L. Hartmann, H. Schmidt, H. Hochmuth, M. Lorenz, R. Schmidt-Grund, C. Sturm, D. Spemann, and M. Grundmann, Phys. Rev. B 73, 205342 (2006).
- A. Oiwa, A. Endo, S. Katsumoto, Y. Iye, H. Ohno, and
- H. Munekata, Phys. Rev. B 59, 5826 (1999).
- <sup>76</sup> Y. Shiomi, Y. Onose, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 100404 (2009).
- 929 <sup>77</sup> K. Rogacki, Private communication.<br>930 <sup>78</sup> M. J. Winjarski and T. Klimczuk.
- M. J. Winiarski and T. Klimczuk, Journal of Solid State 931 Chemistry 245, 10 (2017).
- M. Tachibana, Y. Kohama, T. Atake, and E. Takayama- Muromachi, Journal of Applied Physics 101, 09D502 (2007), http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2667992.
- 935<sup>80</sup> T. Mori, T. Takimoto, A. Leithe-Jasper, R. Cardoso-Gil, W. Schnelle, G. Auffermann, H. Rosner, and Y. Grin, 937 Physical Review B **79**, 104418 (2009).
- 938 <sup>81</sup> S. Y. Wu, Y. C. Chang, K. C. Lee, and W.-H. Li, Journal
- 939 of Applied Physics 83, 7318 (1998).