

# The Influence of dynamic load changes on temporary impedance in hydrogen fuel cells, selection and validation of the electrical equivalent circuit

K. Darowicki<sup>(1)</sup>, E. Janicka<sup>(1)\*</sup>, M. Mielniczek<sup>(1)</sup>, A. Zielinski<sup>(1)</sup>, L. Gawel<sup>(1)</sup>, J. Mitzel<sup>(2)</sup>, J. Hunger<sup>(3)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Department of Electrochemistry, Corrosion and Materials Engineering  
Chemical Faculty, Gdansk University of Technology  
11/12 Narutowicza, 80-233 Gdansk, POLAND

<sup>(2)</sup> Institute of Engineering, Thermodynamics, Electrochemical Energy Technology  
Pfaffenwaldring 38-40, 70569 Stuttgart, GERMANY

<sup>(3)</sup> Zentrum für Sonnenenergie- und Wasserstoff-Forschung Baden-Württemberg (ZSW)  
Helmholtzstraße 8, 89081 Ulm, GERMANY

## Abstract

To achieve optimal performance of a fuel cell, a reliable monitoring and diagnostic method is required. The currently utilized methods give limited information or they are impossible to use under dynamic working conditions. To obtain comprehensive information about the fuel cell operation we utilized novel dynamic electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. Impedance measurements in dynamic mode were performed on a hydrogen fuel cell, working under various conditions. By utilizing this new methodology, optimum parameters for cell operation were determined. An electrical equivalent circuit for cathodic processes was determined. Presence of an interlayer, between the membrane and the catalytic layer, was postulated. The instantaneous impedance spectra were analysed under the function of current load. The complete character of the impedance spectra was revealed, and the electrical equivalent circuit was validated. The presence of the interlayer was established by impedance analysis and by a profile of platinum content changes in the membrane electrode assembly. The proposed investigation methodology provides monitoring and diagnostics of fuel cell components, which gives the possibility of streamlined management of the fuel cell operation.

## Keywords:

Impedance monitoring; Fuel cell diagnostics; Fuel cell; PEMFC; Equivalent circuit

## 1. Introduction

A rapid increase in electrical energy demand and a simultaneous emphasis on the reduction of negative environmental impact of these electrical sources means there is a demand for alternative, low-emission energy supplies [1]. A proportion of these electrical needs can be fulfilled by fuel cells [2], with proton-exchange membrane (PEM) fuel cells being the most promising candidate. The characteristic features of PEM cells are the high efficiency of electric energy production and relatively

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
low heat generation. Their undisputable advantages are their short reaction time in the systems which are subjected to varying load and they have a short start-up time [3]. These features result from the low temperature of the hydrogenation reaction occurring across the membrane, which is typically from 60 to 100 °C. Thanks to these unique properties, fuel cells have been successfully used in micro combined heat and power systems [4] and fuel-cell hybrid vehicles [5].

The main structural element of the hydrogen fuel cell is a membrane–electrode assembly (MEA). This forms the central part is a proton-exchange membrane, most frequently made of Nafion. Catalytic layers (CL) adhere to both sides of the membrane, which are in contact with the gas-diffusion layers (GDL). However, the presence of sharp boundaries between these layers is purely conventional.

The catalytic layer is a region where the electrochemical reactions proceed. This consists of a network of platinum catalyst nanoparticles, deposited on a carbon support and connected with ionomer. Such a structure ensures a developed active surface of platinum, and also allows for fast transport of the reaction gases, products and the continuous flow of electrons. The amount of ionomer on the cathodic-side of the catalytic layer has significant influence on the kinetics of the oxygen reduction reaction via minimization of the impact of H<sup>+</sup> ion transport to the catalyst [6]. The authors of this paper postulate the presence of an interlayer, between the membrane and the catalytic layer, which exhibits the features of both layers.

The role of the GDL is for the uniform distribution of the reagents into the reaction regions and for efficient water drainage [7]. The GDL is a porous material, which plays a key role in the process of the initiation of hydrogen oxidation and oxygen reduction reactions within the catalyst layers. The GDL also improves water management in MEA and it facilitates the permeation of liquid water produced at the cathodic side, which prevents the catalyst layer from flooding and blocking [8]. Niu et al. proposed the most optimal amount PTFE in GDL considering its wettability [9]. The GDL works as a supporting structure and it consists of an electric conductor between the CL and reagent feed region [10], [11].

The consumption of energy changes the physico-chemical characteristics of the fuel cell and its components. Each component can be represented using their electrical quantities such as resistances and capacitances. Thus, the flow of charge is going to change the electrical characteristics of the cell's individual components. The determination of these changes is of fundamental importance from a practical standpoint. Dynamic Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (DEIS) measures the impedance changes of the fuel cell during its operation [12], [13]. Accordingly, there is a possibility to monitor the changes of individual components characteristics in operando [14], [15]. The utilization of this technique to study PEM systems in operando is novel and has not yet been described in the

1 literature. The determination of the impedance variation vs the change in the current enables the  
2 optimization of the operation conditions.

3  
4 The aforementioned issues are addressed in this paper. It should be emphasized that the topic is  
5 original because changes of impedance of the PEM hydrogen cell's components in dynamic  
6 conditions have not been presented so far. The proposed novel use of DEIS methodology introduces  
7 a whole series of advantages for PEMFC impedance measurements when compared to classic EIS  
8 methodology. A detailed comparison of results obtained by both EIS and DEIS has been previously  
9 discussed by Wysocka *et al.* [16] and Darowicki *et al.* [17]. One of the biggest differences between  
10 these two methods is type of perturbation signal. In EIS, the perturbation signals of changing  
11 frequency are applied sequentially to investigated object. In the DEIS method, the signal is  
12 composed of multiple sine waves with numerous frequencies, which is equivalent to the  
13 simultaneous perturbation of the object at all given frequencies. Due to this modification time of  
14 obtaining one impedance spectrum, the DEIS method is solely limited to the period of the lowest  
15 frequency signal. In EIS the time consists of the sum of periods of all the sampled frequencies. Over  
16 the frequency range utilized in this paper, the DEIS allows one to obtain a spectrum in 1 second and  
17 it gives the opportunity to study PEMFC under dynamic conditions or its implementation as a  
18 characterisation method in operando PEMFC. DEIS can be performed simultaneously with a change  
19 in current, this provides us with an advantage over classic methods. With current available  
20 techniques it is extremely difficult to comprehensively characterize the processes occurring in the  
21 fuel cell under dynamic operation conditions including load changes. Additionally, due to coherency  
22 of AC and DC measurements there is a possibility to determine the completeness of the impedance  
23 spectra analysis. Moreover, all the obtained results from the impedance analysis can be presented  
24 against a current function. Analysing the chi-square results against the current function is a novel  
25 approach for determining the quality of the equivalent circuit fitting. Both, controlling and  
26 monitoring of the PEMFC operation can be highly improved thanks to implementation of the DEIS  
27 method. This is crucial for improving the operation and extending the lifetime of currently available  
28 fuel cells. Moreover, it can be a very useful tool in the process of designing new fuel cells.

## 29 **2. Materials and methods**

30  
31 The fuel cell under investigation was designed and assembled by the Zentrum für Sonnenenergie-  
32 und Wasserstoff-Forschung Baden-Württemberg – ZSW (Ulm, Germany). It contained commercially  
33 available low loaded MEA with a 96cm<sup>2</sup> active surface.

34  
35 The flow channels were located on a monopolar plate, in a cascaded flow field design configuration  
36 with parallel-connected multiple serpentine groups. The reagents were hydrogen with 99.999 %

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
purity, and compressed air from an oil-free compressor. The investigations were carried out under the following conditions:

- stack temperature – 80 °C,
- air and hydrogen backpressure - 150 kPa (abs),
- relative humidity of air and hydrogen – 50 %,
- stoichiometry of reagents – in high excess.

The tests were performed using a measurement station consisting of:

- a test bench from the Fuel Cell Technologies Inc. (Albuquerque, USA) containing an electronic load from the Keysight Co. (Santa Rosa, USA),
- a module from National Instruments (Austin, USA) with two measurement cards, PXIe 6164 and PXIe 4497, for generation of a **multi-sinusoidal** AC signal and data acquisition.

The investigations were completed under galvanodynamic mode. The cell load was changed with a rate of 50 mAs<sup>-1</sup>, over a range from 9 to 115 A. Impedance measurements were performed simultaneously with the change in load. A multi-sinusoidal perturbation signal consisting of 13 elementary sinusoids with frequencies from 5 Hz to 1123 Hz. The amplitudes of sinusoidal components were selected to preserve a linearity condition. For the applied change in load rate and for the frequency range of the AC perturbation, a single impedance spectrum was recorded over a period of one second. A detailed description of the measurement technique has been presented earlier in numerous publications [18,19].

The images of a MEA cross-section were recorded using a Hitachi S3400N scanning electron microscope (SEM) with a tungsten source. A profile of platinum content in MEA was obtained by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX), using an UltraDry Detector from Thermo Fisher Scientific.

### 3. Results and discussion

During operation of the fuel cell, an increase in the current consumption is accompanied by a decrease in voltage between the plates, this is a typical current - voltage characteristic of PEMFCs. The dynamics of the processes occurring inside the cell changes, depending on current load. This fact is confirmed by the impedance diagram presented in Fig. 1a.

Fig. 1a.

The impedance diagram is a collection of the individual impedance spectra obtained under dynamic mode during the load change. Simple analysis reveals a range of current magnitude, for which the

1 measured impedance is at its lowest. It should be associated with the optimum range of the current  
2 load. The recorded impedance spectra have a complicated structure, with at least two observable  
3 time constants. The isofrequency lines in the high-frequency range do not depend on current and are  
4 attributed to structural parameters. The isofrequency lines in a medium- and low-frequency range  
5 relate to the amount of generated energy, which pertains to the electrochemical phenomena. The  
6 impedance diagram can be converted into a projection showing the changes of complex capacitance  
7 versus direct current, as illustrated in Fig. 1b.  
8  
9  
10  
11

12 Fig. 1b.

13  
14  
15 The instantaneous complex capacitance spectra reveal the existence of two capacitances. In the low  
16 limit range of frequencies, the complex capacitance spectra tend to infinity. The direct current load  
17 has a strong influence on the shape of complex capacitance spectra. The spectra take relatively high  
18 values for the optimum range of direct current.  
19  
20  
21

22  
23 Deeper impedance analysis requires the selection of a suitable electrical equivalent circuit. This  
24 problem is discussed in the following sections.  
25  
26

### 27 **3.1. Electrical equivalent circuit**

28  
29 For each instantaneous value of the direct current, the total cell impedance is determined by:

$$30 Z_{FC}(j\omega, i) = Z_A(j\omega, i) + Z_M(j\omega, i) + Z_C(j\omega, i) \quad (1)$$

31  
32 where:  $Z_{FC}(j\omega, i)$  is the instantaneous impedance of the entire cell,  $Z_A(j\omega, i)$  is the instantaneous  
33 impedance of the anode,  $Z_C(j\omega, i)$  is the instantaneous impedance of the cathode,  $Z_M(j\omega, i)$  is the  
34 instantaneous impedance of the membrane,  $i$  is the instantaneous magnitude of direct current,  $\omega$  –  
35 angular frequency and  $j^2 = -1$  – imaginary unit.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 Cahan *et al.* [20], with the use of EIS technique, proved that Nafion impedance in the frequency  
44 range up to 100 kHz is characterized only by a pure resistor. To fully study impedance of membrane  
45 measurements should be carried out for much higher frequencies [21]. Over the applied  
46 measurement frequencies range, the impedance of the membrane is represented by resistance given  
47 as:  
48  
49  
50  
51

$$52 Z_M(j\omega, i) \cong R_M(i) \quad (2)$$

53 where:  $R_M(i)$  is the instantaneous resistance of the membrane.

54 Makharia *et al.* [22] and Reshetenko *et al.* [23] adopted the same assumption in classic impedance  
55 measurements.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

An exchange current density is three orders of magnitude lower for the oxygen reduction reaction than for the corresponding hydrogen oxidation reaction [24]. Oxygen reduction is a slow reaction when compared to hydrogen oxidation. These relationships were confirmed by studies using a rotating disk electrode made by Singh *et al.* [25] and Paulus *et al.* [26]. Thus, the impedance of the anode is negligible when compared to the impedance of the cathode [27]:

$$Z_A(j\omega, i) \ll Z_C(j\omega, i) \quad (3)$$

It should be noted that this is a simplified assumption as the impedance of the anodic process, which is negligibly low, is present in the  $R_M(i)$  value.

To select a proper equivalent circuit, an SEM image of the MEA cross-section was collected (Fig. 2a). From the image, one can distinguish the membrane and the catalyst layers. Moreover, an analysis of the platinum content along the entire thickness of the MEA was conducted, based on the number of counts (Fig. 2b). It was revealed that the Pt content does not change rapidly between the membrane and the catalyst layer regions. Accordingly, the presence of the membrane-catalyst interlayers (MCIs) at the cathodic and anodic sides was postulated. In the MCIs, the Pt content increases with decreasing distance to the catalyst layer. Based on this concept, a scheme of the MEA cathodic part cross-section is proposed (Fig. 2c). This equivalent circuit was previously compared with other commonly used circuits using statistical terms [28].

Fig.2a.

Fig.2b.

The accepted assumptions and presented structure of the MEA leads to the electrical equivalent circuit, considering the main processes occurring at the cathode (Fig. 2c).

Fig. 2c & d

The measurements had an instantaneous character, they were carried out under the dynamic conditions of a load change. To emphasize this observation, an evolution in the magnitude of elements in the equivalent circuit was expressed against the current. The values of  $R_{MCI}(i)$  and  $C_{MCI}(i)$  are related to the morphology of the interlayer, between the membrane and the catalyst layer. The capacitance of the electrical double layer on the cathode catalyst is described by  $C_{DL}(i)$ . The charge transfer resistance of the oxygen reduction reaction is represented by  $R_{CT}(i)$ . Transport of mass to the reaction region is associated with the element  $Z_D(j\omega, i)$ , which describes the diffusion over a finite thickness layer. Depending on the magnitude of obtained current, the value of mass transport resistance can be determined by different processes. In a low current regime, the

1 resistance of oxygen transport to the catalyst layer can be regarded as constant and negligibly low;  
2 the voltage loss is attributed to the transport of protons from the ionomer to platinum [29]. The  
3 authors assume that the transport of air to the catalyst was negligibly small in activation area.  
4 However, in the high current density regime, when there is a shortage of reagents or when water  
5 blocks the pores in the diffusion layer, it can have a noticeable effect on oxygen transport to the  
6 catalyst layer [8].  
7  
8  
9

### 10 3.2 Analysis of impedance spectra

11 The dependences between the instantaneous values of the electrical elements of the equivalent  
12 circuit and the current density are presented in Figs 3a-f.  
13  
14  
15

16 Fig.3a.

17 Fig. 3a illustrates the evolution of the instantaneous values,  $R_{MCI}(i)$  and  $R_M(i)$ , versus the  
18 generated electric energy. The interlayer between the membrane and the catalyst layer contains a  
19 significant amount of ionomer and that is why both parameters exhibit the same variations in  
20 change. In the low current regime, there is linear decrease in both resistances, which is most  
21 probably connected with the amount of water generated in the cell. The values of  $R_M(i)$  are twice as  
22 high as the  $R_{MCI}(i)$  over the entire current range investigated. That is why, the authors suggest that  
23 these two quantities are connected and interrelated, so their influence on the total resistance of the  
24 cell should not be discussed separately.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 Fig.3b.

35 Fig. 3b presents the changes of the instantaneous values of  $C_{DL}(i)$  and  $C_{MCI}(i)$  versus the generated  
36 electric energy. The interlayer contains platinum nanoparticles; however their content is significantly  
37 reduced when compared to the catalyst layer. Hence, both characteristics shown in Fig. 3b have  
38 practically the same shape and the  $C_{MCI}(i)$  value constitutes ca. 30 % of the  $C_{DL}(i)$  value. On the  
39 active surface of the catalyst layer, at the cathodic side, the oxygen electro-reduction reaction  
40 occurs, which is described by charge transfer resistance and differential double layer capacitance.  
41 The oxygen reduction reaction takes place in the low current density range, on the catalyst's surface,  
42 which is partially covered with an oxide layer [24]. At low current load of the cell, when the cathode  
43 operates at a high potential, platinum oxide is formed, this then disappears with a decrease in cell  
44 voltage [30]. These dependences are marked with the dashed lines given as 1' and 1". The  
45 differential capacitance of the metallic electrode without an oxide surface is much higher than the  
46 capacitance of the surficial oxidized electrode. In the high current density range, the oxygen  
47 reduction reaction takes place at the catalyst's surface, which is pure Pt surface [22]. The changes in  
48  
49  
50  
51

the instantaneous capacitances  $C_{MCI}(i)$  and  $C_{DL}(i)$  on pure platinum are marked with the dashed lines labelled 2' and 2". Their values increase with an increase in the generated energy.

Fig.3c.

The changes of the instantaneous values of  $R_{CT}(i)$  against the current are depicted in Fig. 3c. Charge transfer resistance decreases rapidly with an increase in load until a time constant when operation with ohmic losses occur. The minimum for the charge transfer resistance is clearly visible in this region and this indicates the optimum current load range.

Fig. 3d shows the changes of instantaneous values of the diffusion resistance, determined based on instantaneous changes in the impedance of the  $Z_D(j\omega, i)$  element, which describes diffusion through a finite thickness layer. The diffusion resistance was calculated using the formulae given as (4) – (5).

$$Z_D(j\omega, i) = \frac{B(i)}{Y_0(i)B(i)\sqrt{j\omega\coth(B(i)\sqrt{j\omega})}} \quad (4)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{B(i)}{Y_0(i)} \frac{1}{x\coth(x)} = \frac{B(i)}{Y_0(i)} = R_D(i) \quad (5)$$

Fig.3d.

Execution of measurements over the entire current range provides an opportunity to determine instantaneous impedance values of the element connected with hydrogen cations or oxygen molecules diffusion to the catalyst. The dependence between  $R_D(i)$  and current is similar to the characteristics shown by  $R_{CT}(i)$ . For the low current values, the diffusion resistance is associated with the transport of protons from the membrane to the catalyst's surface. Its value decreases as the amount of generated energy increases. There is the local minimum, which corresponds to the undisturbed transport of reactants to the catalyst's surface. Together with an increasing the amount of water generated in the cell, the value of the diffusion resistance begins to increase, this is attributed to the more difficult transport of oxygen to the catalytic layer. Moreover, according to the equation 4, the instantaneous impedance  $Z_D(j\omega, i)$  can be used to determine changes in the structural coefficient  $B(i)$ , which describes the thickness of a diffusion layer. Fig. 3e illustrates the changes of the instantaneous values of the  $B(i)$  parameter versus the current.

Fig.3e.

The value of the diffusion layer thickness is directly proportional to the changes in the  $B(i)$  parameter. Understanding the values of parameter  $B$  and diffusion coefficient from equation (6), the diffusion layer thickness can be calculated. This is a novel approach to describe the changes of the



1 diffusion layer thickness during varying conditions of the fuel cell operation. After this short  
2 discussion, the changes of  $R_D(i)$  versus current load, presented in Fig. 3d, are easier to understand.  
3 Increasing diffusion resistance with an increase of current is closely related to the diffusion layer  
4 thickness.  
5

$$\delta = B * \sqrt{D} \quad (6)$$

6  
7  
8  
9  
10 Considering the membrane resistance together with the membrane-catalyst interlayer resistance, it  
11 is noted that a sum of these parameters determines the cell resistance in the region of optimum  
12 current load (Fig. 3f). The regime of low and very high currents,  $R_D(i)$  and  $R_{CT}(i)$ , have the biggest  
13 influence on the value of the resistance. Both parameters exhibit similar characteristics versus the  
14 cell load, their minima occur for practically identical values of the current load of the cell.  
15  
16  
17  
18

19 Fig. 3f.  
20

### 21 **3.3. Quality of fitting of electrical equivalent circuit to experimental results**

22  
23  
24 In the classic EIS method,  $\chi^2$  is a coefficient describing the quality of fitting of the equivalent circuit to  
25 the experimental data [31].  $\chi^2$  is a numerical measure of the reliability of the proposed electrical  
26 model. Firstly, the chi-square parameter was used by Pearson [32]. However, in the case of static  
27 measurements,  $\chi^2$  cannot be regarded as an absolute, unequivocal measure of the data fitting,  
28 because it is not possible to determine whether a global or local minimum was reached. Moreover,  
29 the value of the correlation parameter  $\chi^2$  also depend on the quality of experimental measurements.  
30 In many cases, in particular in the case of potentiostatic measurements, it is difficult to keep a  
31 stationarity condition of the investigated object. The situation is different for dynamic  
32 measurements, where it is possible to describe the course of this quantity versus the current. The  
33 quality of the fitting of the proposed equivalent circuit is shown in Fig. 3g, as a dependence between  
34  $\chi^2$  statistics and the fuel cell load. The values of  $\chi^2$  are within a single order of magnitude ( $10^{-5}$ ) over  
35 the entire current range. There is no clear extremum of  $\chi^2$  for any current density value and no  
36 deterministic trend of the curve can be observed. The mean value of  $\chi^2$  equals  $(6.11 \pm 1.30) \times 10^{-5}$ .  
37 This is a confirmation of the proper selection of the equivalent circuit from a statistical standpoint in  
38 the entire current range.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 Fig.3g.

### **3.4. Coherence between the impedance spectra and the DC measurements**

In case of the classic impedance measurements, where the utilized frequencies do not provide a full  
impedance spectrum, which one terminating at the imaginary impedance value approaching zero, we

are not able to determine the accuracy of the performed impedance analysis of obtained spectrum. This is due to the restricted frequency band of the traditional EIS measurement, the low-frequency limit of the spectrum is estimated with a degree of uncertainty. During DEIS measurements, the current and potential courses are analysed by means of their Fourier transforms including at a frequency of 0 Hz, which corresponds to the DC measurement [18], [19]. The simultaneous application of DC measurements and the DEIS method offers the possibility to find instantaneous values of the impedance in the entire current range, which allows verification of the finite character of the spectra and verification of the coherence between the AC and DC measurements. Apart from the frequencies contained in the multi-sinusoidal perturbation signal, one obtains an additional frequency equal to 0 Hz, determined from the DC measurements via calculation of the polarization resistance  $R_p(i) = \left(\frac{dU(i)}{di}\right)_{i_p}$  from the current-voltage characteristics shown in Fig. 4a.

Fig. 4a.

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} Z_{FC}(j\omega, i) = R_M(i) + R_{MCI}(i) + R_{CT}(i) + R_D(i) = R_p(i) \quad (7)$$

This dependence gives an opportunity, using a simple comparison, to determine the coherence between the AC and DC measurements as well as the complete character of the spectra and the precision of the performed analysis.

Fig. 4b shows a comparison of the sum of resistance and  $R_p(i)$  values for an entire range of investigated load. As the analysis of the impedance spectra is always burdened with a certain error, the presented curve includes the error bars. It can be observed that the value of  $R_p(i)$  practically overlaps the resistance sum over the entire investigated range. Despite limiting the measurement frequencies to 5Hz, the impedance spectra analysis provides the full characteristics of the tested object. The coherence between the DC and impedance results is further evidence for the selected equivalent circuit.

Fig. 4b.

### Summary and Conclusions

A combination of direct and alternating current measurements not only provide verification of the completeness and accuracy of the impedance results, but more importantly it allows one to obtain instantaneous impedance spectra against the function of current. This provided a description of the influence of processes occurring in the fuel cell on its operation and upon varying current load. The presented dependencies of the equivalent circuit's parameters, against the current, gave a comprehensive impedance characteristic of the proton exchange membrane fuel cell operation. The current range is optimal when the charge transfer and diffusion resistance values reach a minimum.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
This is owed to the fact that these electrochemical processes occur with the least impediments. For the same range of current, the local maximum of the capacitance values is also observed. Moreover, the authors present a possibility for the evaluation of the diffusion layer thickness changes depending on the magnitude of generated energy. It is not possible to quickly determine the optimal current conditions using classic impedance measurements. The Dynamic Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy methodology presented in this paper allows us to obtain reliable results under dynamic working conditions. This allows the use of this method as an online tool for monitoring and diagnostics of the fuel cell during operation. Dynamic impedance measurement can also be successfully used as a helpful tool in the process of designing fuel cells.

### 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 **Acknowledgments**

The research leading to the presented results has received funding from The National Centre for Research and Development (NCBR, Poland) under the Grant No. STAIR/6/2016 and the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF, Germany), the Grant No.: 01LX1601. The work was realized in the COALA project (control algorithm and controller for increasing the efficiency of hybrid PEMFC systems in different applications) in the framework of the Polish-German Sustainability Research Call (STAIR II).

- [1] Mai T, Cole W, Reimers A. Setting cost targets for zero-emission electricity generation technologies. *Applied Energy* 2019;250:582–92. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.05.001.
- [2] Conte M. ENERGY | Hydrogen Economy. *Encyclopedia of Electrochemical Power Sources*, Elsevier; 2009, p. 232–54. doi:10.1016/B978-044452745-5.00084-8.
- [3] Zhang T, Wang P, Chen H, Pei P. A review of automotive proton exchange membrane fuel cell degradation under start-stop operating condition. *Applied Energy* 2018;223:249–62. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.04.049.
- [4] Löbberding L, Madlener R. Techno-economic analysis of micro fuel cell cogeneration and storage in Germany. *Applied Energy* 2019;235:1603–13. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.11.023.
- [5] Sulaiman N, Hannan MA, Mohamed A, Ker PJ, Majlan EH, Wan Daud WR. Optimization of energy management system for fuel-cell hybrid electric vehicles: Issues and recommendations. *Applied Energy* 2018;228:2061–79. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.07.087.
- [6] Antolini E, Giorgi L, Pozio A, Passalacqua E. Influence of Nafion loading in the catalyst layer of gas-diffusion electrodes for PEFC. *Journal of Power Sources* 1999;77:136–42. doi:10.1016/S0378-7753(98)00186-4.
- [7] Shi Z, Wang X, Draper O. Effect of Porosity Distribution of Gas Diffusion Layer on Performance of Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells. vol. 11, *ECS*; 2007, p. 637–46. doi:10.1149/1.2780977.
- [8] Kumbur EC, Mench MM. FUEL CELLS – PROTON-EXCHANGE MEMBRANE FUEL CELLS | *Water Management. Encyclopedia of Electrochemical Power Sources*, Elsevier; 2009, p. 828–47. doi:10.1016/B978-044452745-5.00862-5.
- [9] Niu Z, Bao Z, Wu J, Wang Y, Jiao K. Two-phase flow in the mixed-wettability gas diffusion layer of proton exchange membrane fuel cells. *Applied Energy* 2018;232:443–50. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.09.209.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51
- [10] Li Y, Yang J, Song J. Structure models and nano energy system design for proton exchange membrane fuel cells in electric energy vehicles. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2017;67:160–72. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2016.09.030.
- [11] El-kharouf A, Mason TJ, Brett DJL, Pollet BG. Ex-situ characterisation of gas diffusion layers for proton exchange membrane fuel cells. *Journal of Power Sources* 2012;218:393–404. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2012.06.099.
- [12] Slepski P, Janicka E, Darowicki K, Pierozynski B. Impedance monitoring of fuel cell stacks. *Journal of Solid State Electrochemistry* 2015;19:929–33. doi:10.1007/s10008-014-2676-8.
- [13] Darowicki K, Janicka E, Slepski P. Study of Direct Methanol Fuel Cell Process Dynamics Using Dynamic Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ELECTROCHEMICAL SCIENCE* 2012;7:12090–7.
- [14] Darowicki K, Gawel L. Impedance Measurement and Selection of Electrochemical Equivalent Circuit of a Working PEM Fuel Cell Cathode. *Electrocatalysis* 2017;8:235–44. doi:10.1007/s12678-017-0363-0.
- [15] Slepski P, Darowicki K, Janicka E, Lentka G. A complete impedance analysis of electrochemical cells used as energy sources. *Journal of Solid State Electrochemistry* 2012;16:3539–49. doi:10.1007/s10008-012-1825-1.
- [16] Wysocka J, Krakowiak S, Ryl J, Darowicki K. Investigation of the electrochemical behaviour of AA1050 aluminium alloy in aqueous alkaline solutions using Dynamic Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry* 2016;778:126–36. doi:10.1016/j.jelechem.2016.08.028.
- [17] Darowicki K, Slepski P, Szociński M. Application of the dynamic EIS to investigation of transport within organic coatings. *Progress in Organic Coatings* 2005;52:306–10. doi:10.1016/j.porgcoat.2004.06.007.
- [18] Darowicki K. Theoretical description of the measuring method of instantaneous impedance spectra. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry* 2000;486:101–5. doi:10.1016/S0022-0728(00)00110-8.
- [19] Darowicki K, Orlikowski J, Lentka G. Instantaneous impedance spectra of a non-stationary model electrical system. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry* 2000;486:106–10. doi:10.1016/S0022-0728(00)00111-X.
- [20] Cahan BD. AC Impedance Investigations of Proton Conduction in Nafion™. *Journal of The Electrochemical Society* 1993;140:L185. doi:10.1149/1.2221160.
- [21] Wintersgill MC, Fontanella JJ. Complex impedance measurements on Nafion. *Electrochimica Acta* 1998;43:1533–8. doi:10.1016/S0013-4686(97)10049-4.
- [22] Makharia R, Mathias MF, Baker DR. Measurement of Catalyst Layer Electrolyte Resistance in PEFCs Using Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy. *Journal of The Electrochemical Society* 2005;152:A970. doi:10.1149/1.1888367.
- [23] Reshetyenko T, Kulikovskiy A. Impedance Spectroscopy Study of the PEM Fuel Cell Cathode with Nonuniform Nafion Loading. *Journal of The Electrochemical Society* 2017;164:E3016–21. doi:10.1149/2.0041711jes.
- [24] Song C, Tang Y, Zhang JL, Zhang J, Wang H, Shen J, et al. PEM fuel cell reaction kinetics in the temperature range of 23–120°C. *Electrochimica Acta* 2007;52:2552–61. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2006.09.008.
- [25] Singh RK, Devivaraprasad R, Kar T, Chakraborty A, Neergat M. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy of Oxygen Reduction Reaction (ORR) in a Rotating Disk Electrode Configuration: Effect of Ionomer Content and Carbon-Support. *Journal of the Electrochemical Society* 2015;162:F489–98. doi:10.1149/2.0141506jes.
- [26] Paulus UA, Schmidt TJ, Gasteiger HA, Behm RJ. Oxygen reduction on a high-surface area Pt/Vulcan carbon catalyst: a thin-film rotating ring-disk electrode study. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry* 2001;495:134–45. doi:10.1016/S0022-0728(00)00407-1.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51
- [27] Wagner N, Friedrich KA. FUEL CELLS – PROTON-EXCHANGE MEMBRANE FUEL CELLS | Dynamic Operational Conditions. Encyclopedia of Electrochemical Power Sources, Elsevier; 2009, p. 912–30. doi:10.1016/B978-044452745-5.00239-2.
- [28] Darowicki K, Janicka E, Mielniczek M, Zielinski A, Gawel L, Mitzel J, et al. Implementation of DEIS for reliable fault monitoring and detection in PEMFC single cells and stacks. Electrochimica Acta 2018. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2018.09.105.
- [29] Kulikovskiy AA, Eikerling M. Analytical solutions for impedance of the cathode catalyst layer in PEM fuel cell: Layer parameters from impedance spectrum without fitting. Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry 2013;691:13–7. doi:10.1016/j.jelechem.2012.12.002.
- [30] Paik CH, Jarvi TD, O’Grady WE. Extent of PEMFC Cathode Surface Oxidation by Oxygen and Water Measured by CV. Electrochemical and Solid-State Letters 2004;7:A82. doi:10.1149/1.1649698.
- [31] Boukamp B. A Nonlinear Least Squares Fit procedure for analysis of immittance data of electrochemical systems. Solid State Ionics 1986;20:31–44. doi:10.1016/0167-2738(86)90031-7.
- [32] Pearson K. X. *On the criterion that a given system of deviations from the probable in the case of a correlated system of variables is such that it can be reasonably supposed to have arisen from random sampling.* The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science 1900;50:157–75. doi:10.1080/14786440009463897.

Figure  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)





Figure  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)



Figure

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)






Figure  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)





Figure  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)





Figure

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Fig. 1a. Impedance diagram for PEM fuel cell obtained in galvanodynamic mode. Geometric surface  $S=96 \text{ cm}^2$ , current load change rate  $di/dt = 50 \text{ mA s}^{-1}$ , measurement frequencies range from 5Hz to 1123 Hz.

Fig. 1b. Complex capacitance diagram for PEM fuel cell obtained in galvanodynamic mode. Geometric surface  $S=96 \text{ cm}^2$ , current load change rate  $di/dt = 50 \text{ mA s}^{-1}$ , measurement frequencies range from 5Hz to 1123 Hz.

Fig.2a. SEM image of MEA cross section (magnification x1500)

Fig.2b. Platinum content profile, based on the number of counts, along the entire MEA thickness, obtained with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX), using UltraDry Detector by the Thermo Fisher Scientific

Fig. 2. c) Scheme of MEA cathodic part cross-section, d) Electrical equivalent circuit employed for analysis of instantaneous impedance spectra.  $R_M(i)$  – instantaneous resistance of the membrane,  $R_{MCI}(i)$  – instantaneous resistance of the interlayer,  $R_{CT}(i)$  – instantaneous charge transfer resistance,  $Z_D(j\omega, i)$  – instantaneous impedance of the element describing diffusion in a finite thickness layer,  $C_{MCI}(i)$  – instantaneous capacitance of the catalyst layer,  $C_{DL}(i)$  – instantaneous capacitance of the electrical double layer.

Fig.3a. Instantaneous value of  $R_{MCI}(i)$  (●) and  $R_M(i)$  (○) versus current. Geometric surface  $S=96 \text{ cm}^2$ , current load change rate  $di/dt = 50 \text{ mA s}^{-1}$

Fig.3b. Instantaneous value of  $C_{DL}(i)$  (●) and  $C_{MCI}(i)$  (○) versus current. Geometric surface  $S=96 \text{ cm}^2$ , current load change rate  $di/dt = 50 \text{ mA s}^{-1}$

Fig.3c. Instantaneous value of charge transfer resistance versus current. Geometric surface  $S=96 \text{ cm}^2$ , current load change rate  $di/dt = 50 \text{ mA s}^{-1}$

Fig.3d. Instantaneous value of diffusion resistance versus current. Geometric surface  $S=96 \text{ cm}^2$ , current load change rate  $di/dt = 50 \text{ mA s}^{-1}$

Fig.3e. Parameter  $B(i)$  versus current. Geometric surface  $S=96 \text{ cm}^2$ , current load change rate  $di/dt = 50 \text{ mA s}^{-1}$

Fig. 3f. Instantaneous resistances  $R_M(i) + R_{MCI}(i)$  (○),  $R_{CT}(i)$  (●),  $R_D(i)$  (□) versus current. Geometric surface  $S=96 \text{ cm}^2$ , current load change rate  $di/dt = 50 \text{ mA s}^{-1}$

Fig.3g. Distribution of  $\chi^2$  parameter versus current density.

Fig. 4a. Current-voltage characteristics obtained simultaneously with impedance characteristics.

Geometric surface  $S=96 \text{ cm}^2$ , current load change rate  $di/dt = 50 \text{ mA s}^{-1}$

Fig. 4b. Comparison of  $R_p(i)$  value (○) and sum of resistances obtained from impedance analysis (●)