Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/0026265X)

Microchemical Journal

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/microc

Hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents in microextraction techniques–A review

Faculty of Chemistry, Department of Process Engineering and Chemical Technology, Gdansk University of Technology, 80 – 233 Gdansk, G. Narutowicza St. 11/12, Poland

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Aqueous samples Green solvents Hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents Microextraction techniques Sample preparation Water analysis

ABSTRACT

Over the past decade, deep eutectic solvents (DES) have been widely studied and applied in sample preparation techniques. Until recently, most of the synthesized DES were hydrophilic, which prevented their use in the extraction of aqueous samples. However, after 2015 studies on the synthesis and application of hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents (HDES) has rapidly expanded. Due to unique properties of HDES i.e. density, viscosity, acidity or basicity, polarity and volatility, good extractabilities for various target analytes, which could be altered by careful selection of the hydrogen bond donor (HBD) and hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA) components, HDES are promising alternatives to the traditional organic solvents employed in sample preparation. Moreover, the possibility HDES synthesis of non-toxic ingredients, makes HDES meet all the standards of green analytical chemistry. Practical applications of HDES in sample preparation include conventional liquid-liquid extraction, and several types of liquid-phase microextraction, as well as solid phase extraction. The present review covers a comprehensive summarizing of available literature data on the most important physicochemical properties of HDES playing a key role in aqueous sample preparation methods, their limitations as well as challenges in this area, and a perspective of their future are described.

1. Introduction

Sample preparation is considered to be the most important step of the analytical procedure, due to the fact that the precision and accuracy of the analytical method is largely based upon this step. The main aims of the sample preparation step are to purify the sample, extraction and enrichment of the analytes, and possibly modify the sample to adapt it

Patrycja Makoś*, Edyta Słupek, Jacek Gębic<mark>ki</mark>

to the requirements of the analytical apparatus. In many cases, a step of isolation and enrichment of sample is necessary because only a limited number of analytical techniques are sensitive enough for direct determination of trace pollutants in aqueous samples [[1](#page-12-0)[,2\]](#page-12-1). In recent years, more and more attention has been devoted to replacing conventional extraction techniques, so-called "green" extraction techniques [[3](#page-12-2),[4](#page-12-3)]. The main trend of green analytical sample preparation methods

⁎ Corresponding author.

E-mail address: patrycja.makos@pg.edu.pl (P. Makoś).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2019.104384> Received 17 October 2019; Received in revised form 28 October 2019; Accepted 28 October 2019 Available online 31 October 2019 0026-265X/ © 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY-NC-ND/4.0/).

Abbreviations: AceA, Acetic acid; AcrA, Acrylic acid; AA-DLLME, Air-assisted dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction; C6H6[N1112]Cl, Benzyl tri-methyl ammonium chloride; BTPPB, Benzyltriphenylphosphonium bromide; BuOH), Butanol; BuA, Butyric acid; ChCl, Choline chloride; DecA, Decanoic acid; DES, Density ρ, Deep Eutectic solvents; DI-SDME, Direct immersion single-drop microextraction; DLLME, Dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction; DSPE, Dispersive solid phase extraction; DoDecNa, Dodecanoate sodium salt; DoDecA, Dodecanoic acid; DoDecOH, Dodecanol; DHS, Dynamic headspace; FAAS, Flame atomic absorption spectrometry; ForA, Formic acid; VADLLME−FDES, Freezing of deep eutectic solvent; ΔTf, Freezing point; EG, Glycol; HS-SPME, Headspace solid phase microextraction; HS-SDME, Headspeace single-drop microextraction; HexDecA, Hexadecanoic acid; HFIP, Hexafluoroisopropanol; HexA, Hexanoic acid; HF-LPME, Hollow fiber-based liquid phase microextraction; HBA, Hydrogen bond acceptor; HBD, Hydrogen bond donor; HDES, Hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents; IBP, Ibuprofen; ILs, Ionic liquids; LacA, Lactic acid; LPME, Liquid – phase microextraction; LLE, Liquid-liquid extraction; LLME, Liquid-liquid microextraction; DES–MBG, Magnetic multiwall carbon nanotube nanocomposite; MSPE, Magnetic solid-phase extraction; M-GO, Magnetic graphene oxide; mp, Melting point; [N8881]Cl, Methyl trioctyl ammonium chloride; [N8881]Cl, Methyltrioctylammonium chloride; MePh)3PI, Methyltriphenylphosphonium iodide; OctDecA, Octadecanoic acids; OcDecOH, Octadecenol; OctA, Octanoic acid; OctOH, Octanol; OleA, Oleic acid; OLA, Oleyl alcohol; OxaA, Oxalic acid; Ph-EtOH, Phenethyl alcohol; Salol, Phenyl salicylate; PT-SPE, Pipettetip solid phase extraction; PDMS, Polydimethylsiloxane); ProA, Propionic acid; PTSA, p-toluenesulfonic acid; PyrA, Pyruvic acid; Se, Selenium; SDME, Single drop microextraction; SPE, Solid phase extraction; SPME, Solid phase microextraction; SFD-DLLME, Solidification of floating drop dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction; SFD, Solidification of floating organic drops; SME, Solvent microextraction; SHS, Static headspace; THS-DES, Ternary hydrosulphonyl-based deep eutectic solvent; N4444, Tetrabutylammonium; tDecA, Tetradecanoic; tDecA, Tetradecanoic Acid; N7777, Tetraheptylammonium; THF, Tetrahydrofuran; [N4444]Br, Tetra-n-butylammonium bromide; N8888, Tetraoctylammonium; TNO, 5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethylnaphthalen-2-ol; UA-DSPE, Ultrasonic energy dispersive solid phase extraction; USA-DLLME, Ultrasound-assisted dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction; ValA, Valeric Acid; η, Viscosity; 1,2-DecOH, 1,2-decanediol; 10-UnDecA, 10-Undecylenic Acid; [C10MIM][Cl], 1-decyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride; 1-tDecOH, 1-tetradecanol; 1-UnDecOH, 1-undecanol; 4-CPh., 4-chlorophenol

focuses on the elimination of toxic and volatile organic solvents [\[5\]](#page-12-4). Some of the available extraction techniques, i.e. static headspace (SHS), dynamic headspace (DHS), solid phase microextraction (SPME) etc. do not require the use of solvents. However, their use in many cases is very limited due to insufficient sensitivity for compounds with higher boiling points when gas chromatography is used, time-consuming and the need to use expensive equipment [\[6\]](#page-12-5). Therefore, in the research, the methods based on liquid-liquid extraction (LLE) are commonly used, which are characterized by a more universal character, and the search of new, alternative and non-toxic solvents is now a major object of interest [[7](#page-12-6),[8](#page-12-7)].

Recently, the research has been focused on ionic liquids (ILs) as an extraction solvents due to their unique properties, such as viscosity, density, hydrophobicity or hydrophilicity, which could be adjusted by the selection of the appropriate cation and anion $[9-14]$. However, the problems with their biodegradability, toxicity, stability and an oftenexpensive synthesis make them less than perfect solvents [\[15\].](#page-12-9) An alternative to extraction solvents based on ILs are deep eutectic solvents (DES). According to the definition, DES are liquids which are formed upon mixing mostly two solids which are involved in hydrogen bond interactions with each other to obtain a eutectic mixture with a melting point much lower than that of each component species [\[16–19\]](#page-12-10). The DES have similar favorable properties to ILs, but their synthesis is much cheaper, simpler and they are more biodegradable and often less toxic than ILs. Due to the possibility of improvement of many processes by using deep eutectic solvents, the concept of synthesis of DES is currently the object of growing interest, which is illustrated by the number of relevant papers published between 2005 and 2019 [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-1-0). However, the majority of DES are hydrophilic which precludes their use for separation processes of aqueous samples. Until now, hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents (HDES) has been successfully applied in the purification of water [\[20\],](#page-12-11) preparation of new materials i.e. magnetic gels, nanoparticles based on carbon nanotubes and graphene for the removal of organic micropollutants as well as metal ions from water [\[21–23\]](#page-12-12), capture of $CO₂$ [\[24\]](#page-12-13), electrolyte medium for solar cells [\[25\]](#page-12-14) and extraction of bioactive compounds [[26,](#page-12-15)[27\]](#page-12-16).

The paper presents an overview of the available HDES, their properties, synthesis methods, as well as the use in various types of miniaturized liquid-liquid extraction and solid-phase extraction techniques. The advantages and challenges of application of HDES as extraction materials for aqueous sample preparation were discussed.

2. Hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents – formation and structures

2.1. Synthesis of HDES

The most common approach for the synthesis of HDES involves mixing and heating two or three components until a homogenous liquid is formed. This method was proposed by Abbott in 2003 [\[19\].](#page-12-17) According to these studies, DES can be divided into four main types: type (I) - a combination of a quaternary salt with a metal chloride, type (II) a combination of a quaternary salt with a hydrated metal chloride, type (III) - a combination of a quaternary salt (HBA) with a donor hydrogen compound (HBD), type (IV) - a combination of metal chloride with HBD ([Table 1](#page-2-0)).

Via using DES synthesis based on I and II, hydrophilic DES is obtained, which has some disadvantages. One of them is the interaction and significant solubility of DES in water. This plays a very important role because water modifies the structural properties of DES. The interactions between the DES components systematically weakened as the water solubility in HDES increases [\[28](#page-12-18)[,29](#page-12-19)]. That is why scientists are increasingly focusing their attention on the synthesis of hydrophobic DES (types III and IV), which are stable in the aquatic environment [\[30\]](#page-12-20).

2.2. Structures of hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents

HDES synthesis is carried out using poorly water-soluble components. HBA can be divided into two categories based on ionic compounds (e.g. tetraalkyl quaternary ammonium/phosphorous salts) and based on non-ionic compounds (e.g. monoterpenes). The most popular HBD include phenols, carboxylic acids, alcohols and glycols. However, some of them can be both a donor and a hydrogen bond acceptor. The most common structures of HBD and HBA are presented in [Fig. 2](#page-2-1).

2.2.1. Ionic hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents

In 2015, van Osch et al. described for the first time the ionic HDES synthesis. In the studies, HDES were created by combining long-chain quaternary ammonium salts i.e. tetrabutylammonium (N4444), tetraheptylammonium (N7777), and tetraoctylammonium (N8888) (HBA) and decanoic acid (DecA) as HBD [\[31\]](#page-12-21). For HDES synthesis, HBA based on long-chain ammonium salts are preferred because imparting greater hydrophobicity compared to that of short-chain ammonium salts such as choline chloride (ChCl). Quaternary ammonium salts are typically combined with long-chain alcohols [\[30\]](#page-12-20), amino acids [\[13\]](#page-12-22), sugars [\[27\]](#page-12-16), as well as fatty alcohols (i.e. butanol (BuOH), octanol (OctOH), dodecanol (DoDecOH), octadecenol (OcDecOH), oleyl alcohol (OLA)) [\[32\]](#page-12-23), saturated acids (i.e. hexanoic (HexA), octanoic (OctA) and DecA) [[32](#page-12-23)[,33](#page-12-24)] and unsaturated acids (e.g. oleic acid (OleA)) [\[10\].](#page-12-25) However, sometimes the combination of two compounds makes it impossible to obtain HDES, which was observed, among others for saturated and unsaturated short chain acids (acetic (AceA), propionic (ProA), butyric (BuA) or acrylic (AcrA) acid in combination with tetra-n-butylammonium bromide ([N4444]Br). However, to overcome this problem, three compounds can be used for synthesis (e.g. methyltrioctylammonium chloride ([N8881]Cl) and two HBD OctOH and OctA) in a 1:2:3 molar ratio [\[34\].](#page-12-26)

2.2.2. Non-ionic hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents

The number of non-ionic HDES is quite limited due to the relative small number of cheap and readily available organic compounds from which HDES can be synthesized. In non-ionic HDES, it is difficult to differentiate between HBA and HBD. However, for simplicity, in several report, it was assumed that DL-menthol (a natural monoterpene having very low solubility in water) has HBA properties while long chain organic acids (OctA, DecA, dodecanoic acid (DoDecA), tetradecanoic (tDecA), HexDecA and octadecanoic acids (OctDecA) [\[35\]](#page-12-27) [\[15–17\]](#page-12-9) and short chain organic acids ((AceA), pyruvic (PyrA) and

Table 1 Types of DES [\[18\]](#page-12-28).

lactic acid (LacA)) [\[35\]](#page-12-27), phenolic compounds such as salol (phenyl salicylate (Salol)) [\[39\]](#page-13-0) were defined as HBD. In addition to DL-menthol, and other terpenoid-based compounds such as L-menthol, thymol which were combined with carboxylic acids, can be used for synthesis of HDES [\[40\]](#page-13-1). However, the molar ratios between thymol:HBA or menthol:HBA were usually different. In subsequent studies in which HDES was synthesized, compounds from the same family (long chain organic acids e.g. DecA and acetic acid (AceA)) were used as HBA and HBD [\[41\]](#page-13-2). Other HDES were synthesized using painkillers (e.g. Lidocaine) in combination with organic acids (e.g. DecA) [\[42\],](#page-13-3) or painkillers were used in combination with terpenes (e.g. menthol and testosterone [\[43\]](#page-13-4), ibuprofen (IBP) [\[44\]](#page-13-5), lidocaine [\[45\],](#page-13-6) ubiquinone [\[46\]\)](#page-13-7).

2.3. Physico-chemical properties of hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents affecting on extraction processes

Commonly approach used for the aqueous sample preparation methods are based on liquid-liquid or solid-liquid extraction with organic solvents. However, many compounds are unstable in organic solvents. Instability makes it difficult not only to extract analyte but also to analyze and store. In addition, pure organic compounds (e.g., toluene, dichloromethane) are volatile solvents with high toxicity [\[47\]](#page-13-8). Therefore, it is necessary to develop new "green solvents" with similar physicochemical properties and extraction efficiency to conventional solvents.

The physicochemical properties of HDES are usually attributed to various combinations of HBA and HBD that result in unique interactions (such as hydrogen bonding, π-π bonding or anion exchange) during the extraction process [\[48\].](#page-13-9) Physicochemical properties such as density and

viscosity, melting/freezing point, conductivity depend on the HDES structure. In addition, some properties, such as thermal stability, toxicity, and biodegradability, affect HDES application options. Therefore, in the following subsections, the basic physicochemical properties of both ionic and non-ionic HDES will be discussed and compared.

2.3.1. Hydrophobicity

Due to hydrogen bonding formation ability between HBD and HBA, most of DES are generally hydrophilic and thus dissolve rather easily in water. Hydrophobicity of DES is strongly affected by structure of individual components HBD and HBA. In general, the longer alkyl chain in both HBA and HBD provide to the lower solubility of individual components as well as DES in aqueous phase. For example, the water solubility in HDES decreases according to [N4444]Cl:DecA $(1:2)$ < [N7777]Cl:DecA (1:2) < [N8888]Cl:DecA (1:2) [\[31\]](#page-12-21) as well as [N4111]Cl:ButA (1:2) < [N4111]Cl:Valeric Acid (ValA) (1:2) < [N4111]Cl:HexA (1:2) < [N4111]Cl:OctA (1:2) [\[49\].](#page-13-10)

The type of ion in HBA (Cl[−] or Br[−]) have only minor effect on hydrophobicity of DES. The difference between solubility water in [N8888]Cl:DecA (1:2) and [N8888]Br: DecA (1:2) is only 2.2 g/L [\[31\]](#page-12-21). In addition, DES composed of hydrophobic components show greater stability in water than HDES composed of both hydrophobic and hydrophilic compounds. The hydrophilic compounds in HDES can dissolve in the aqueous phase according to their solubility, which reduces HDES stability. It has been confirmed that terpenes and long chain ammonium and phosphonium salts in combination with long chain organic acids indicate the high stability in aqueous samples. Among the available HDES, ionic solvents i.e. [N4444]Cl:DecA (1:2 molar ratio) and [N8881]Cl:DecA (1:2 molar ratio) had the highest water solubility,

Fig. 2. Structures of typical HBAs and HBDs.

Table 2

Physicochemical properties of some selected ionic and non-ionic HDES.

(*continued on next page*)

Table 2 (*continued*)

which was 7 and 6.22 (% w/w) respectively. The high solubility was explained by the greater availability of a nitrogen atom that results from at least one short alkyl chain. This theory was also confirmed by HDES composed of NaCl₂:DecA (1:4), which occurred in the form of a hydrogel [\[50\]](#page-13-23). The affinity of HDES to water was explained by the presence of a charged sodium atom [\[51\].](#page-13-24)

The comprehensive studies on the chemical and aqueous stability of ionic and non-ionic DES can be performed using NMR analysis, where the affinity of the aqueous phase for HDES is analyzed [\[36\].](#page-13-18) In addition, Karl Fisher titration can be used to determine trace amounts of water in a HDES.

Water solubility in ionic and non-ionic HDES is summarized in [Table 2](#page-3-0)-[3](#page-5-0) and Fig. S1-S2.

2.3.2. Melting point / freezing point

According to the definition, melting point (m_p) or freezing point (ΔT_f) of deep eutectic solvents is much lower than those of their individual components. The decrease in melting/freezing point is related to the magnitude of the specific interactions i.e. hydrogen bonds, or Van der Waals between HBA and HBD. The increase in interactions between the HDES components results in a lower melting / freezing point. [Fig. 3](#page-6-0) shows the theoretical phase diagram for binary mixtures of HBD and HBA [[18,](#page-12-28)[31\]](#page-12-21).

The HDES with melting point below 20 °C favorably affect the use of HDES in the sample preparation process, due to the fact that no additional heat source is needed, which increases the cost of the process, and in addition some of the analytes are volatile enough to evaporate during HDES heating. The melting/freezing point of ionic HDES increases with increasing tetraalkyl ammonium/phosphonium quaternary chain as well as increasing alkyl chain in HBD. The lowest melting points (−39.4 and −35.3 °C) were observed for [\[52\]](#page-13-14) Betane:Hexa-fluoroisopropanol (HFID) (1:2) and [N4111]Cl:ValA (1:2) [\[49\]](#page-13-10) respectively. For comparison, the melting point of HDES composed of the same HBA and OctA in 1:2 molar ratio, was 14.4 °C [\[49\].](#page-13-10) For non-ionic HDES, the lowest melting point (−44 °C) was obtained for DL-Camphor:Thymol (1:1). In addition, HDES only composed of organic acids shows the same tendency to increase the melting point as HDES consisting of quaternary ammonium tetraalkyl salts and monoterpenes. In addition, the replacement of DoDecA with its sodium salt leads to an increase in the melting point of HDES, this relationship can be attributed to the presence of coulombic interactions [\[40](#page-13-1)[,41](#page-13-2)].

The values of melting point of ionic and non-ionic HDES are listed in the [Table 2.](#page-3-0) For graphical interpretation, the melting points of ionic HDES and non-ionic HDES were shown in Fig. S3 and Fig. S4, respectively.

2.3.3. Density

Density (ρ) is important parameter in extraction processes. HDES should have higher or lower density than water. The disadvantage of HDES, which have a similar density to water is the extended separation time into two phases during extraction. Depending on the type of sample preparation method, HDES densities smaller or higher than water are preferred [\[37\]](#page-13-17).

The density strongly depends on structures of HBD and HBA. The density values of ionic HDES varies in the range of 850 to 980 kg/ $m³$ (Fig. S5), while non-ionic HDES in the range of 870 to 1091.8 kg/m³ at 25 °C (Fig. S6). For all ionic HDES, it has been observed that as the tetraalkyl quaternary ammonium (HBA) and organic acids (HBD) chain increases, the density decreases. The changes in chain length in carboxylic acids (HBD) combined with Thymol as HBA slightly affected the changes in density. The highest density values (1091.8 kg/m^3) were observed for non-ionic HDES composed of Cumarin and Thymol in 1:2 molar ratio. In addition, density changes slightly due to the solubility of HDES in the aqueous phase and its depends on the ingredients from which HDES was synthesized. It is well known that density strongly depends on temperature, therefore the density of HDES are listed in [Table 2](#page-3-0) and Fig. S5-S6 at 25 °C.

2.3.4. Viscosity

Viscosity (η) is another very important parameter strongly affected on extraction processes. Most of the HDES exhibit relatively high viscosities (>100 mPas) at room temperature. However, owing their application as extractants, the uses of HDES with low viscosity is preferred. The relatively high viscosity values of HDES is attributed to the presence of an extensive hydrogen bond network between HBA and HBD, which provide to lower mobility of free species within the HDES [\[16\]](#page-12-10). Other specific interactions (i.e. electrostatic or van der Waals) have less effect on increasing viscosity of HDES. Viscosity is correlated with the solubility of there in the aqueous phase. The addition of small amount of water to HDES usually leads to decrease in viscosity. This is explained by the fact that the higher solubility of HDES in the aqueous phase, the lower HDES viscosity due to the lower water viscosity. This observation applies to most HDES, the exception is HDES – L-Menthol:DecA (1:1), where an increase in viscosity was observed [\[37\]](#page-13-17). However, an increase in water content in the range of 1.64–5.14% m/m does not provide significant changes in viscosity. In addition, the highly hydrophobic nature of some of the HDES prevents the addition of large amounts of water. Theoretically, HDES could be dissolved in organic solvents, i.e. methanol, acetone, dichloromethane to reduce viscosity. Nevertheless, depending on the type of solvent, this can lead to an increase in HDES toxicity.

In addition, viscosity strongly depend on the chemical structure of the components (HBD and HBA). Viscosity increases as the tetraalkyl quaternary ammonium chain increases. However, a decrease in viscosity is visible when long branched chains are used. In HBA, which has an extensive structure, the effect of shielding the charge on the nitrogen atom appears (Fig. S7). The shielding effect results from the large volume of side chains that reduce viscosity. In ionic and non-ionic HDES, the viscosity increases as the HBD chain (organic acids) increases, in contrast to the density value. The lowest viscosity values are observed in non-ionic HDES, which were created using DL-menthol:PyrA (1:2) (Fig. S8). The high values of viscosities are obtained when organic acid salts are used as HBA (Fig. S8). However, higher values viscosity is obtained for DES created from natural compounds e.g. Lidocaine:DecA (1:2) and [N7777]Cl:IBP (7:3) which are in the range 325–895 mPas.

Viscosity of HDES changes significantly as a function of the temperature. As the temperature increases, the viscosity decreases, which could be demonstrated as Arrhenius-like behaviour. Due to this, in several studies the extraction process were carried out at higher temperatures.

2.3.5. Acidity

Acidity is an important parameter characterizing new solvents. The Brønsted acidity of DES could be determined by measuring the pH or

Table 3 complication of HDES as extraction solvents in various types of LLE procedures. Compilation of application of HDES as extraction solvents in various types of LLE procedures.

Fig. 3. Eutectic point formation in a two components (HBA and HBD) phase diagram.

the Hammett acidity function while the Lewis acidity can be examined by FT-IR analysis [\[53–55\]](#page-13-27).The acidity of HDES can be modified by selecting the appropriate HBD. This was confirmed in the studies on the HDES composed of benzyl tri-methyl ammonium chloride $(C_6H_6[N1112]C)$ and various carboxylic acids. The pKa of the studied carboxylic acids was −2.8, 1.25 and 4.14 for p-toluenesulfonic acid (PTSA), oxalic acid (OxaA) and citric acid (CitA) respectively, which was in accordance with the acidity order of the new HDES $(C_6H_6[N1112]Cl:CitA$ > $C_6H_6[N1112]Cl:OxaA$ > $C_6H_6[N1112]$ Cl:PTSA)). In addition, studies showed that temperature has only a slight effect on pH values of HDES. The pH of most of HDES was stable at various temperatures, except for $C_6H_6[N1112]Cl:PTSA$ for which the pH values have decreased with increasing temperatures towing to the higher dissociation of the acid at high temperature [\[56\]](#page-13-28).

The reports on the acidity properties of HDES are quite limited to date, due to the fact that the pH of aqueous sample is more important, than pH of extraction solvent in sample preparation methods. The appropriate pH value of the aqueous sample is selected for the studies group of analytes to obtain a partially or completely non-ionic form of the chemical compounds. However under acidic or basic conditions, HBD dissociation or HBA leaching may occur, which consequently changes the HDES molar ratio and adversely affects the efficiency of the extraction process. Therefore, when choosing the appropriate extraction solvent, it is also important to study the solubility of HDES in aqueous samples [\[24](#page-12-13)[,57](#page-13-29)].

2.3.6. Volatility

Most of HDES are ionic, which makes them non-volatile. This is particularly unfavorable for final determinations using gas chromatography because non-volatile ionic components can cause sorption inside the liner and capillary column. However, the use of split/splitless liner packed with deactivated silanized glass wool minimizes the risk of the contamination of chromatographic column [\[58\]](#page-13-30). That is why in separation techniques more and more attention is paid to the use of nonionic HDES, which are characterized by higher volatility [\[59\]](#page-13-31). On the other hand, according to the principles of green chemistry, solvents used should have vapor pressure as low as possible [[60,](#page-13-32)[61\]](#page-13-26). The vapor pressure of HDES strongly depends on the temperature. As the temperature increases, the HDES vapor pressure increases. The volatility of HDES can be determined by means of thermogravimetric analysis [[62](#page-13-33)[,63](#page-13-34)], Knudsen method [\[64\]](#page-13-35) or using headspace gas chromatography–mass spectrometry [\[65](#page-13-36)[,66](#page-13-16)]. However, the vapor pressure of HDES is not widely reported in the literature.

2.3.7. Surface tensions

Surface tension determines the suitability of DES in interfacial processes in which mass transfer occurs. HDES surface tension values are higher than most popular organic solvents but are comparable to the ILs. The interaction between HBA and HBD has a great effect on the surface tension value. The strong interactions provide the higher surface tension. Which is very beneficial because higher surface tension values ensure higher extraction efficiency. However, only a few papers describe the effect of surface tension on extraction processes. Francisco et al. examined surface tension of HDES composed of ChCl and lactic acid (LacA). In the studies, the reduction in surface tension was achieved by increasing the temperature. The linear decrease in surface tension is most likely caused by the disruption and break of hydrogen bonds in HDES. However, higher temperatures during the extraction process may increase the solubility of the target compounds in HDES [[67](#page-13-37)[,68](#page-13-38)]. In other studies, the influence of HBA chain length on the value of surface tension was examined. Four HDES were prepared, which differed in the length of the HBA chain and HBD ([N8881]Cl:DecA 1:2, [N8881]Cl:OctA 1:2, [N4444]Cl:DecA 1:2, [N4444]Cl:OctA 1:2). The studies showed the highest extraction efficiency using HDES consisting of the shortest alkyl chain of both HBA and HBD ([N4444]Cl:OctA). HDES consisting of [N4444]Cl:OctA also obtained the lowest viscosity and surface tension. Extraction efficiency was found to increase with reduced alkyl chain length - miscibility similarity [\[69\]](#page-13-39).

3. Application of hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents in sample preparation

The application of HDES in green sample preparation methods is rapidly expanding. HDES are currently widely used both as liquid extractants in many types of miniaturized version of LLE techniques and as new sorbents in various kind of solid phase extraction technique.

3.1. Conventional liquid-liquid extraction

Conventional liquid-liquid extraction is a popular sample preparation technique that relies on the partitioning of analytes between two immiscible phases, an organic solvent and aqueous sample. Upon mixing, analyte-rich phase is subsequently isolated. Commonly used organic solvents in LLE may be limited in their selectivity for certain analytes. HDES demonstrated great potential as extraction solvents because of the possibility to structurally tune for facilitate the extraction of vide range of metals and ions i.e. 111 In (III) [\[71\]](#page-13-12), 99m TcO₄⁻ [\[77\]](#page-13-40), Cr (VI) [\[78\]](#page-13-41), Cu (II) [\[40\]](#page-13-1) and UO_2^{2+} [\[72\]](#page-13-13) as well as organic compounds i.e. organic acids [\[79\]](#page-13-42), flavonoids [\[80\],](#page-13-43) pesticides [\[81\],](#page-13-25) alcohols [\[75\]](#page-13-20) from aqueous samples. Despite the universal application of LLE technique, it has a several disadvantages such as time-consuming, laborintensive, insufficient sensitivity for trace analysis, using large amounts of real samples, and consuming of large amounts of solvents. Miniaturization in extraction techniques is a key to overcome this disadvantages.

3.2. Liquid-liquid microextraction techniques

Liquid – phase microextraction (LPME), which is also called solvent microextraction (SME) or liquid-liquid microextraction (LLME) is a miniaturized form of conventional LLE in which the volume of extraction solvent is limited to a few microliters. The LPME offering numerous advantages such as a high enrichment factor, and low consumption of organic solvents and aqueous samples [\[82\].](#page-13-44) HDES have been successfully applied to the various LPME mode as a less toxic alternative to conventional organic solvents.

3.2.1. In-situ liquid-phase microextraction

In-situ LPME mode, consists of adding HDES ingredients into the

aqueous sample, then heating the solution and stirring until obtaining complete formation of the HDES and dispersion of the HDES into the aqueous phase. *In-situ* LPME was used to extract parabens from environmental water samples. Application of HDES synthesized from DLmenthol and DecA (1:1 molar ratio) ensured short extraction time, high extraction efficiency and absence of emulsifier [\[83\].](#page-14-0)

Shishov et al. proposed a method in which HDES is formed directly in an aqueous sample between hydrogen bond donor (DL-menthol) and analytes (ketoprofen and diclofenac). In this method, solid DL-menthol was added into the aqueous sample (urine) and the mixture was heat and mixed and as a results the HDES formation was observed. Then the mixture was cooled and the liquid phase was removed while the solid menthol phase was dissolved in methanol [\[84\].](#page-14-1)

A novel approach for effective *In-situ* LPME based on HDES decomposition was studied for the determination of 17-β-estradiol in transdermal gel samples. In this propose, HDES composed of [N4444]Br and 1-heptanol in 1:2 molar ratio was decomposed in aqueous phase resulting in-situ dispersed organic phase formation. In the process 1 heptanol acted as an extraction solvent and while [N4444]Br acted as a dispersive agent and promoted mass transfer between aqueous and organic phases as a salting out agent [\[85\]](#page-14-2).

3.2.2. Ferrofluid-based liquid-phase microextraction

Another interesting modification of LPME technique is the use of ferrofluid as an extraction solvent. In one of the studies, ferrofluid from magnetic nanoparticles, OleA in combination with a hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent composed of AceA and DL-menthol (1:1 molar ratio) $Fe₃O₄$ -OA-DES (DL-menthol:AceA 1:1) was used for extraction of mefenamic acid from urine samples. In this procedure, the magnet was used to separate the organic phase from the aqueous phase, which reduced time of extraction due to the lack of the sample centrifugation [\[86\]](#page-14-3).

3.3. Dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction

Dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction (DLLME) is an attractive alternative to conventional LLE tool for the miniaturized sample pretreatment of wide range matrix samples including aqueous samples. In conventional DLLME, an aqueous sample is placed in a conical centrifuge tube and a mixture of disperser and extraction solvent is added. The disperser solvent disperse the extractant into small organic droplets and the volume of the extractant droplet decreases, the extraction process reaches equilibrium quickly, and the surface area of the extractant increases, which can be completely extracted in a relatively short time. Then the mixture is agitated, centrifuged and the organic phase is collected. The most important parameters of DLLME is the choice of appropriate extractant as well as a dispersive solvent for the extraction of analytes [\[87](#page-14-21)[,88](#page-14-22)]. Extraction solvents should meet several requirements, including a high affinity for analytes, density significantly different from that of the sample, low solubility, high stability in the sample, good solubility in disperser solvents and ease of dispersion in a sample and it should be a liquid under standard conditions and should have a low vapor pressure. The most popular extraction solvents are hazardous chlorinated organic solvents (e.g. dichloroethane, chlorobenzene, trichloromethane, tetrachloroethylene) with a density higher than water [\[89–94\].](#page-14-23) Extractant having a density lower than water (e.g. toluene, n-hexane, DoDecOH, ILs) can also be used but a collection of the extract is more difficult as it requires an additional step of solidification of an organic drop or the use of special vials which are not yet available commercially [[95,](#page-14-24)[96\]](#page-14-25).

For the first time, ionic HDES were used as an extraction medium in the DLLME technique in 2016, for the extraction and preconcentration of selected pesticides from fruit juices and vegetables prior to gas chromatography-flame ionization detection [\[97\].](#page-14-4) For this propose HDES based on ChCl and 4-chlorophenol (4-CPh) in 1:2 molar ratio was synthesis. The obtained results showed low LOD and LOQ values

(0.46–3.1 ng/mL and 1.5–11 ng/mL) as well as satisfying RSD values (> 8%). However, due to the ionic nature, and thus the non-volatile character, these type of HDES are not preferred for gas chromatography applications. Ionic HDES can be successfully used in combination with liquid chromatography technique. For the extraction of residues of pyrethroids in tea beverages and fruit juices, HDES based on L-carnitine:HFTP, and betaine:HFTP in 1:2, 1:2.5, and 1:3 molar ratio were tested. DLLME with L-carnitine:HFTP (1:2) as extractant provided the highest extraction efficiency than betaine-based HDES. This phenomenon may result from the more -OH group of L-carnitine than betaine, which could provide stronger hydrogen-bonding force between HDES and analytes. Furthermore, the higher viscosity of L-carnitine:HFTP may need longer emulsification time, which increases the time of interaction between HDES aggregates and analytes before phase separation [\[52\]](#page-13-14). DLLME technique was also used for extraction of five β-agonists, including terbutaline, clorprenaline, tulobuterol, clenbuterol and salbutamol from aqueous samples. In this research, HDES mixtures composed of [N8881]Cl, [N4444]Cl and dodecyl trimethyl ammonium chloride ([N111(12)]Cl) as a HBA and OctA, DecA and DoDecA were tested. Low viscosity of HDES based on [N4444]Cl:DecA (1:3 molar ratio) which contributed to high diffusivity, resulted in high extraction efficiency of β-agonists from aqueous samples [\[98\].](#page-14-5) Hydrophobic DES based on quaternary ammonium salts as well as imidazolium ionic liquids in combination with conventional DLLME have also been used to extract chlorophenols from wastewater $[99]$, and thorium (Th⁴⁺) from water samples [\[100\]](#page-14-7), respectively.

3.3.1. Automated - dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction

DLLME technique is difficult to automate which constitutes its main drawback. However, literature search revealed several attempts at fully-automation of DLLME [\[101–103\]](#page-14-26). Automated-DLLME procedure with DES as extractant was proposed for the extraction of caffeine from beverages. In research, procedure assumes mixing aqueous sample and HDES composed of ChCl and pH (1:4 molar ratio) into a mixing chamber of a flow system resulting formation of homogeneous solution, injection of tetrahydrofuran as aprotic solvent followed by the fine HDES droplets formation and analyte extraction into HDES phase separated. The presented procedure has advantages such as quickness, low cost, simplicity, as well as low LOD value (0.03 mg/L) and good recovery (94%) [\[104\].](#page-14-8) Shishov et al. proposed fully automated in-syringe DLLME for the extraction of chromium (VI) from beverages. In this approach, DES composed of [N4444]Br: formic acid (ForA) in molar ratio of 1:2 was used as a disperser solvent. The results demonstrated, that [N4444]Br:ForA (1:2) promoted extraction solvent dispersion resulting fast extraction kinetics, and high extraction yield [\[105\]](#page-14-9).

3.3.2. Air-assisted dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction

The degree of HDES dispersion is one of the most significant parameters for the extraction of analytes using DLLME technique. Conventional DLLME based on HDES requires the use of a dispersing solvent, e.g. methanol, ethanol, acetone, acetonitrile or tetrahydrofuran (THF). Utilization of the disperser could leads to decreasing the partition coefficients for extraction of the analytes into the extracting solvent and increasing the cost and environmental pollution. For making DLLME greener, the dispersion procedure could be performed by using air assisted procedure (AA-DLLME). In this mode, fine droplets of HDES is formed by pulling in and pushing out the HDES and sample mixture repeatedly using syringe. AA-LPME technique was successfully applied for extraction of six benzophenone-type UV filters from aqueous samples, using HDES based on DL-menthol:DecA (1:1 molar ratio) [\[106\]](#page-14-11). AA-LPME extraction procedure was also used for trace analyses of amphetamine based drugs of abuse in human plasma and wastewater via a homemade extraction cell with a narrow neck was used to transfer the organic phase to the narrow portion. In the research, HDES based on ChCl and phenethyl alcohol (Ph-EtOH) (compound usually applied in perfumery, cosmetics, food, and pharmaceutical industries) in 1:4

molar ratio was used. The procedure enjoys a relatively low LOD (2–5 ng/mL) value and good repeatability. The method is characterized by a relatively low extraction recovery of analytes (63 – 66%) [\[107\]](#page-14-12) in comparison to method based on toxic butylchloroformate as extraction solvent [\[108\].](#page-14-27)

However, in order to increase the dispersion efficiency, several works describe the of combination of air-assisted with a dispersing solvent. For this propose, the new HDES composed of ChCl and 5,6,7,8 tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethylnaphthalen-2-ol (TNO) in 1:2 molar ratio was used for extraction of methadone from water and biological samples. In this method, the aggregated ChCl:TNO (1:2) droplets were dispersed into sample solution by the repeated pulling in and pushing out of the mixture of HDES and sample in a conical test tube by a syringe. The HDES was separated from aqueous sample by adding THF as an aprotic solvent. The AA-DLLME method, in comparison with method based on USA-DLLME, ensures greater enrichment of the sample in a much shorter time $(< 4 \text{ min})$ [\[109\].](#page-14-13) The similar studies in which THF was used as an emulsifier agent was also provided by Moghadam et al. In this work, low density HDES composed of ChCl and Ph (1:4) was used as extraction solvents in AA-DLLME [\[110\].](#page-14-14) AA-DLLME was also successfully used for the determination of Pb (II) in food and water samples [\[111\]](#page-14-15). For this propose, DES as hydrophilic extraction solvent was added into aqueous samples. After that THF was added into homogeneous solution and a turbid solution was achieved. THF was used as demulsifying solvent to facilitate the extraction, because it interacts with water and cause ease in separation.

3.3.3. Automated - dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction

DLLME technique is difficult to automate which constitutes its main drawback. However, literature search revealed several attempts at fully-automation of DLLME [\[101–103\]](#page-14-26). Automated-DLLME procedure with DES as extractant was proposed for the extraction of caffeine from beverages. In research, procedure assumes mixing aqueous sample and HDES composed of ChCl and Ph (1:4 molar ratio) into a mixing chamber of a flow system resulting formation of homogeneous solution, injection of tetrahydrofuran as aprotic solvent followed by the fine HDES droplets formation and analyte extraction into HDES phase separated. The presented procedure has advantages such as quickness, low cost, simplicity, as well as low LOD value (0.03 mg/L) and good recovery (94%) [\[104\].](#page-14-8) Shishov et al. proposed fully automated in-syringe DLLME for the extraction of chromium (VI) from beverages. In this approach, DES composed of [N4444]Br: formic acid (ForA) in molar ratio of 1:2 was used as a disperser solvent. The results demonstrated, that [N4444]Br:ForA (1:2) promoted extraction solvent dispersion resulting fast extraction kinetics, and high extraction yield [\[105\]](#page-14-9).

3.3.4. Air-assisted dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction

The degree of HDES dispersion is one of the most significant parameters for the extraction of analytes using DLLME technique. Conventional DLLME based on HDES requires the use of a dispersing solvent, e.g. methanol, ethanol, acetone, acetonitrile or tetrahydrofuran (THF). Utilization of the disperser could leads to decreasing the partition coefficients for extraction of the analytes into the extracting solvent and increasing the cost and environmental pollution. For making DLLME greener, the dispersion procedure could be performed by using air assisted procedure (AA-DLLME). In this mode, fine droplets of HDES is formed by pulling in and pushing out the HDES and sample mixture repeatedly using syringe. AA-LPME technique was successfully applied for extraction of six benzophenone-type UV filters from aqueous samples, using HDES based on DL-menthol:DecA (1:1 molar ratio) [\[106\]](#page-14-11). AA-LPME extraction procedure was also used for trace analyses of amphetamine based drugs of abuse in human plasma and wastewater via a homemade extraction cell with a narrow neck was used to transfer the organic phase to the narrow portion. In the research, HDES based on ChCl and phenethyl alcohol (Ph-EtOH) (compound usually applied in perfumery, cosmetics, food, and pharmaceutical industries) in 1:4

molar ratio was used. The procedure enjoys a relatively low LOD (2–5 ng/mL) value and good repeatability. The method is characterized by a relatively low extraction recovery of analytes (63 – 66%) [\[107\]](#page-14-12) in comparison to method based on toxic butylchloroformate as extraction solvent [\[108\].](#page-14-27)

However, in order to increase the dispersion efficiency, several works describe the of combination of air-assisted with a dispersing solvent. For this propose, the new HDES composed of ChCl and 5,6,7,8 tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethylnaphthalen-2-ol (TNO) in 1:2 molar ratio was used for extraction of methadone from water and biological samples. In this method, the aggregated ChCl:TNO (1:2) droplets were dispersed into sample solution by the repeated pulling in and pushing out of the mixture of HDES and sample in a conical test tube by a syringe. The HDES was separated from aqueous sample by adding THF as an aprotic solvent. The AA-DLLME method, in comparison with method based on USA-DLLME, ensures greater enrichment of the sample in a much shorter time $(< 4 \text{ min})$ [\[109\].](#page-14-13) The similar studies in which THF was used as an emulsifier agent was also provided by Moghadam et al. In this work, low density HDES composed of ChCl and Ph (1:4) was used as extraction solvents in AA-DLLME [\[110\].](#page-14-14) AA-DLLME was also successfully used for the determination of Pb (II) in food and water samples [\[111\].](#page-14-15) For this propose, DES as hydrophilic extraction solvent was added into aqueous samples. After that THF was added into homogeneous solution and a turbid solution was achieved. THF was used as demulsifying solvent to facilitate the extraction, because it interacts with water and cause ease in separation.

3.3.5. Solidification of floating drop dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction

Several lighter than water HDES were also successfully applied in DLLME for extraction of organic and inorganic compounds from aqueous samples. Nevertheless, if less dense extractants are used the recovery step is relatively tedious and an additional step is generally necessary, i.e. solidification of the floating organic drops (SFD), adsorption by nanoparticles or centrifugation and collection of organic phase in special apparatuses [\[112\].](#page-14-28) For these propose HDES consisting of 1-decyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride [C10MIM][Cl] and 1- Do-DecOH (1:2 molar ratio) was used as an extraction solvent in DLLME method based on the solidification (SFD-DLLME) coupled with highperformance liquid chromatography for the determination of five benzoylureas in real water samples. Low limit of detection (LOD) values ranging from 0.09–0.16 μg/L, satisfactory recovery, and precision were obtained for all the pesticide residue analytes using these method [\[113\].](#page-14-10) Similar procedure was used for the extraction of selected PAHs from environmental waters using HDES composed of [N4444]Br and DecA (1:2 molar ratio) [\[70\].](#page-13-11)

For the determination of selenium (Se) in aqueous samples procedure centrifuge less air-assisted LLME based on solidification of dispersed fine droplets of a hydrophobic DES combined with UV–Vis spectrophotometry was proposed. As extraction solvent HDES composed of benzyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (BTPPB) and 1-undecanol (1-UnDecOH) (1:4 molar ratio was used). In this procedure, the centrifugation step was omitted by using salting-out effect and to facilitate the extracting phase collection after the phase separation, the floating extraction solvent was solidified and a bell-shaped collection device was used [\[114\]](#page-14-16).

However, DLLME is based upon a ternary component solvent system, in which utilization of the disperser could leads to decreasing the partition coefficients for extraction of the analytes into the extracting solvent and increasing the cost and environmental pollution. An alternative to this method, which does not require the use of a dispersing solvent is vortex assisted dispersive liquid−liquid microextraction based on the freezing of deep eutectic solvent (VADLLME−FDES).

9

3.4. Single-drop microextraction

3.4.1. Direct immersion single-drop microextraction

Among different liquid – phase microextraction methods, single drop microextraction (SDME) is one of the simplest and most powerful preconcentration technique. Single-drop microextraction is based on the analyte transferring from the aqueous sample to the micro drop (usually less than 3 µL) of extraction solvent which is suspended at the tip of a micro-syringe needle. The droplet is directly immersed in the sample. The main disadvantage of DI-SDME is the problem with stability of droplet which strongly depend on used organic solvent. Organic solvents characterized by low viscosity and high vapor pressure reduce the efficiency of the extraction process [\[115\]](#page-14-29). Therefore, theoretically HDES can be an excellent alternative to traditional solvents used in DI-SDME. However, until now there are no reports on the use of conventional HDES in the DI-SDME technique. The only studies in which HDES was used in DI-SDME technique, concerned the use of ferrofluid of montmorillonite nanocomposite and DES composed of DLmenthol:DecA in 1:2 molar ratio (MMT@Fe₃O₄-DES) without any additional stabilizer was used for the extraction and preconcentration of explosives in water. After extraction process ferroluid was easly isolated from aqueous sample by rod magnet [\[116\]](#page-14-17). The use of ferrofluid based on HDES eliminated an additional problem regarding exact collection of the micro-drop by microsyringe.

3.4.2. Headspace single-drop microextraction

In HS-SDME technique the analytes are extracted from the headspace on top of the aqueous sample. HS-SDME has some benefits over DI-SDME like fastest and cleaner extraction of volatile and semi-volatile compounds from dirty and complex matrixes and higher efficiencies for extraction of organic compounds. However, the problem of instability of the micro-drop, limited drop volume, volatility, and low viscosity of extraction solvent and poor repeatability of extraction are also existence in HS-SDME mode. The application of HDES composed of ChCl and 2-CPh in 1:2 molar ratio as a base fluid and magnetic multiwall carbon nanotube nanocomposite (DES–MBG) overcame these problems. The nanofluid was characterized by high viscosity, high sorbing ability, tunable extractability, magnetic susceptibility which provided to high stability of the micro-droplet that permitted extraction in high temperatures and fast agitation rates. The new solvent was successfully applied for the determination of BTEX (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, o-xylene) in water and urine samples [\[117\]](#page-14-18).

3.5. Hollow fiber-protected liquid phase microextraction

To overcome the problem with instability of the micro-drop in SDME technique, in 1999 the new hollow fiber-based liquid phase microextraction (HF-LPME) technique was introduced [\[118\].](#page-14-30) In HF-LPME, the analytes are extracted from the sample (donor phase) into supported liquid membrane (extraction phase) located inside the pores of a porous hollow fiber and back extracted into acceptor phase located in the lumen of hollow fiber. This makes the extraction solvent is not in direct contact with the aqueous sample. The HF-LPME could be used in two-phase and three phase. In two-phase HF-LPME, the lumen of the fiber is filled by the extraction solvent (acceptor phase). In three-phases HF-LPME, an acceptor phase (usually an aqueous solvent) fills the lumen of the hollow fiber [\[119\].](#page-14-31) However, there are no reports on the use of HDES in a two-phase system. While HDES were successfully applied in three-phase HF-LPME as an acceptor phase. The acceptor phase should be immiscible with each other solvents, have low volatility, and convenient immobilization in the hollow fiber. These features have HDES composed of methyltriphenylphosphonium iodide (Me(Ph) 3PI) as the HBA and ethylene glycol (EG) as HBD in 1:4 molar ratio, and was used for extraction of dydrogesterone and cyproterone acetate from urine and plasma samples. Despite, high affinity of Me(Ph)3PI:EG (1:4) to analytes, the high viscosity of HDES hinders mass transfer of analytes into them. Thus MeOH was used to dilute of HDES [\[120\].](#page-14-19) This problem wasn't observed in other report In which ChCl:Ph-ETOH (1:4) was applied as extraction solvent in carrier-less three phase HF-LPME procedure [\[121\]](#page-14-20).

[Table 3](#page-5-0) lists the key features of HDES-based LLE techniques.

3.6. Solid phase extraction

Solid phase extraction (SPE) is popular technique to prepare aqueous samples and extract semivolatile or nonvolatile analytes, due to the simplicity, low cost and possibility of automation. The SPE uses sorbents to isolate the target compounds from a sample. Currently, a large variety of sorbents are available to cover almost all the potential interaction with the analytes. However, analytes and interferences could coelute from the SPE sorbent due to the limited selectivity of conventional solid sorbents. In addition, due to the consumption of large amounts of sample, sorbents, and organic solvents, the SPE technique is still improved [\[122\]](#page-14-32).

Very recently, Liu et al. investigated the cross-linking porous and planar-structure DES-graphene for the extraction of sulfamerazine in a river water samples using pipette-tip solid phase extraction (PT-SPE). In this study, the PT-SPE method was specially designed for handling small volume of solution consumption. The results demonstrated that the DES-graphene had the higher adsorption ability (18.62 mg/g) than graphene (17.36 mg/g), due to the DES-graphene had a larger winkle than graphene [\[123\].](#page-14-33)

Another modification of conventional SPE, is the technique in which it is used magnetic or magnetizable adsorbents called magnetic solidphase extraction (MSPE). In this method, magnetic adsorbent is added to the sample. In this step analytes and interfereces are bound to the extraction sorbent, then for removal of interfereces adsorbent is washed and target analytes are eluted from the material in organic solvents. The analytes are desorbed from the suspension using an appropriate magnetic separator, which often speeds up recovery of the analyte enriched extraction phase. The most popular magnetic sorbents include inorganic composites i.e. $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂$ and $Fe₃O₄@graphene$, which characterized by poor selectivity for different analyte groups. Therefore, research is still being carried out on the use of new sorbent modifiers that will allow the selective extraction of a wide group of compounds from aqueous samples.

Wang et al. investigated the new magnetic nanomaterial, based on $Fe₃O₄$ coated with polydopamine and DES (Fe₃O₄@PDA-DES) for the extraction of sulfonylurea herbicides (sulfosulfuron, bensulfuron-methyl, pyrazosulfuron-ethyl and halosulfuron-methyl) in aqueous samples. In this studies, HDES was composed of dioctadecyl dimethyl ammonium chloride ([N11(18)18]Cl) and 4-CPh in 1:5 molar ratio. For the desorption of analytes acetonitrile was used. The presented method, characterized by low LOD, good repeatability, high preconcentration factors and extraction recoveries [\[124\].](#page-14-34)

MSPE was also succefully applied for the extraction of mercury from water by means of new ternary hydrosulphonyl-based deep eutectic solvent (THS-DES) comprised of ChCl, itaconic acid and 3-mercaptopropionic acid (molar ratio 2:1:1). In the studies, THS-DES was used for the modification of magnetic graphene oxide (M-GO). The new THS-DES@M-GO sorbent demonstrated higher removal efficiency than adsorbent without DES, as well as $Fe₂O₃$, because of strong interaction of Hg^{2+} with the -SH groups [\[125\]](#page-15-10).

Karimi et al. described application of DES (ChCl-U 1:2.5) - mediated magnetic nanoparticles (DES-MNPs) for the ligandless separation and preconcentration of lead and cadmium from aqueous samples. In this studies, DES and Fe3O4 nanoparticles were simply added to the aqueous sample then DES containing analytes was trapped on the sorbent and separated by the using a strong magnet. The desorption processes was provide by means of nitric acid, and the extracted metal ions were then introduced to flame atomic absorption spectrometry (FAAS) [\[126\]](#page-15-11).

Most of the described DES that have been used to extract various

10

compounds from aqueous samples are liquid and hydrophilic. However, they are loses its liquid state and sorbed on the surface of nanoparticles through strong hydrogen bonds and electrostatic interaction with the functional groups on the surface of particles, and separates from the aqueous sample [\[126\].](#page-15-11)

3.7. Solid phase microextraction

Solid phase microextraction (SPME) is a miniaturized version of SPE, which was developed by Pawliszyn in 1990 [\[127\]](#page-15-12). In this technique, analytes are extracted from a aqueous sample by exposing sorbent to either the sample headspace (HS-SPME) or by direct immersion (DI-SPME) in the aqueous sample. In the next step, analytes are desorbed by means of high temperature or organic solvent. The selectivity of SPME method strongly depends on the chemical composition of the coating on the solid support.

Very recently, Li et al. investigated the HDES mediated sol-gel sorbent as an adsorptive coating of poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) fiber for extraction of BTEX from water. In this studies, HDES was prepared by mixing methyl trioctyl ammonium chloride ([N8881]Cl) and 4-hydroxybenzoate in 1:2 molar ratio. The results indicate that the addition of HDES could generate a lot of neat pores in the PDMS fiber surface, thus significantly improving the performance of PDMS fiber coating. The limit of detection for presented method based on PDMS-DES sorbent was much lower than the commercial 100 µm-PDMS fiber coating [\[128\]](#page-15-13).

3.8. Dispersive solid phase extraction

Dispersive solid phase extraction (DSPE) is an another alternative approach to conventional SPE. DSPE is based on the addition of a sorbent directly into the aqueous sample, then followed by dispersion which favoring the contact between the sorbent particles and the analytes. After dispersion, the sorbent containing analytes on its surface, is separated by means of centrifugation [\[129\]](#page-15-14). Currently, deep eutectic solvents in the DSPE technique have been used as sorbent modifiers or eluents for improve of extraction recovery of DSPE.

Lamei et al. studied application of DES composed of ChCl and TNO in 1:2 molar ratio as novel functionalizing agent on the surface of Fe3O4@GO nanoparticles. The new sorbent was successfully applied for efficient preconcentration of methadone from urine and plasma samples. In this studies, analyte was preconcentrated in the new sorbent Fe3O4@GO-DES, then methadone was dispersed in the aqueous solution by use of ultrasonic energy (UA-DSPE). The ultrasound accelerated the dispersion of the sorbent in the biological samples and enhanced the speed of migration of the methadone into the $Fe₃O₄@GO-DES$ sorbent, which ensured high analyte recovery [\[130\]](#page-15-15).

Zarei et al. synthesized colloidal gel of magnetic carbon nanotube and deep eutectic solvent (ChCl:U 1:2) for enrichment of ultra-trace amounts of nitroaromatic explosives in water samples. In the prepared gel, DES acts simultaneously as both carrier and stabilizer for magnetic nanotubes. Prepared gel was characterized by high stability stable for weeks without visually observable sedimentation. In the procedure the magnetic colloidal gel (MCG) was dispersed thoroughly in aqueous sample and cloudy suspension was created. The proposed method provided increase in mass transfer coefficient and shorted the extraction time without need to agitation by vortex or ultrasounds the sample. The magnetic properties provide to no centrifugation step was required for phase separation [\[131\].](#page-15-16) The similar studies was also provided for the determination of organochlorine pesticides in environmental waters [\[132\].](#page-15-17)

A different approach to the use of DES in DSPE was proposed by Khezeli and Daneshfar. They investigated the new adsorbent $Fe₃O₄(Q)$ MIL-100 (Fe) core-shell nanoparticles grafted with pyrocatechol for the selective extraction of dopamine, epinephrine and norepinephrine from biological samples. The hazardous solvents that many used in

desorption step were substituted with environmental friendliness and safety DES composed of ChCl and U, EG and glycerol in 1:2 molar ratio. The results showed that the new method a simple, is fast, sensitive and selective way for the determination of target analytes. However, the DES used were solvents with hydrophilic properties [\[133\]](#page-15-18). In another work, water–immiscible DES composed of ChCl:4-CPh 1:2 was also successfully used to desorb the analytes (tricyclic antidepressant drugs) from the sorbent (C18) [\[134\].](#page-15-19)

3.9. Stir bar sorptive dispersive microextraction

Stir bar sorptive dispersive microextraction (SBSDME) was initially introduced in 2014 by Benede and co-workers [\[135\]](#page-15-20). SBSDME is based on the combination of DSPE and stir bar sorptive extraction. SBDDME consists of a magnetic stir bar coated with magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) by magnetic interaction which is placed into the sample. During extraction process at high stirring rate, MNPs is detached from the rotating bar and dispersed in the sample solution as in DSPE method. When the stirring stops, MNPs come back to the stir bar surface. Then, the liquid is discarded and the back-extraction is carried out with the solvent. Zarei et al. prepared stable nanofluid composed of ChCl and Resorcinol (1:2) and magnetic MWCNTs for the extraction of nitroaromatic compounds from water samples. In the fluids, HDES acted simultaneously as both carrier and stabilizer for magnetic nanotubes. The prepared nanofluid was characterized by strong magnetic and sorbing properties with provide to high extraction efficiency and low LOD and LOQ values [\[136\]](#page-15-21).

Reports on HDES-based various type of solid phase extraction are summarized in [Table 4](#page-11-0).

Advantages and limitations of HDES application in selected microextraction techniques in comparison to traditional solvents are present in Table S1.

4. Conclusions and future trends

The application of HDES in analytical aqueous sample preparation techniques is rapidly expanding. However, the most commonly DES includes solvents with hydrophilic properties. Despite the increased interest in the synthesis of hydrophobic DES, their number is still limited and further efforts are needed to synthesize and study new HDES as extraction solvents. In addition, HDES are still not commercially available, which significantly limits their use for routine analysis of water samples performed in certified or industrial laboratories. Nevertheless, in the coming years, there will probably be many more HDES combinations, and some of them will be available for purchase.

Part of HDES are compounds composed of substances that have dubious toxicity. On the one hand, the combination of a toxic compound with a non-toxic compound can create HDES with less toxicity compared to a single component. However, it can also lead to the formation of HDES with higher toxicity. For HDES to be more widely adopted in the extraction techniques, their toxicity and environmental effects need to be further investigated.

The relatively low volatility most of HDES, prevents evaporation in most popular GC injectors. This can result in contamination of the liner and chromatography column, unstable of baselines as well as possibly unstable carrier gas-flow rates. However, in the literature there are several solutions to this problem, including introduction of a removable GC interface [\[147\]](#page-15-22) or application of liner packed with deactivated silanized glass wool packet liner [\[58\]](#page-13-30)**.** These solutions are expected to contribute to expand the application field of HDES coupled to gas chromatography in the years ahead.

The high viscosity of most HDESs, provide in some challenges for the extraction processes as well as analysis of HDES extracts due to the problem with collecting and injecting the exact volume of HDES with analytes into HPLC or GC injector using a microliter syringe, which consequently limits the possibility of using an autosampler. Currently,

- Ę J. ر
د بن \mathcal{A} **Table 4**

HDES are mixed with organic solvents to reduce their viscosity. However, this is not in line with the trends of green chemistry, according to which the use of organic solvents should be reduced. There are several ways to overcome this limitation, i.e. working at higher temperatures, or using mechanical force in extraction processes and using heated autosamplers. However, further development of novel technologies for reduce viscosity of HDES probably will be observed.

Among the most important physicochemical parameters of extraction solvents, polarity is important to explain the extraction capacity and miscibility of HDES in water. However, the polarity of HDES has been little investigated, and further studies should be provided.

The high surface tension of HDES is necessary for avoiding the presence of emulsions. However, this parameter has not been systematically studied and further studies is needed.

Due to the fact, that interests within the field of sample preparation are expanding toward the analysis of samples with very complicated matrices, i.e. environmental, wastewater or biological samples, concerns over reduction in sensitivity can arise in LLE as well as solid-phase extraction techniques. Therefore, novel HDES that can both exhibit high analyte selectivity and resist the potentially damaging components of the sample matrix will be likely studied.

In addition, tunable selectivity, negligible vapor pressure, as well as thermal stability of HDES may contribute to the development of new fibers used in SPME. However, currently the application of HDES in SPME technique are still limited.

Given the flexibility offered by HDES in sample preparation, their use will continue to grow, and they will probably soon replace organic solvents in some analytical applications.

Acknowledgements

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.microc.2019.104384.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2019.104384)

Reference

- [1] A. Spietelun, Ł. Marcinkowski, M. de la Guardia, J. Namieśnik, Green aspects, developments and perspectives of liquid phase microextraction techniques, Talanta 119 (2014) 34–45, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2013.10.050.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2013.10.050)
- [2] M. Sajid, Magnetic ionic liquids in analytical sample preparation: a literature review, TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. 113 (2019) 210–223, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRAC.2019.02.007) [TRAC.2019.02.007.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRAC.2019.02.007)
- [3] S. Armenta, S. Garrigues, M. de la Guardia, The role of green extraction techniques in Green Analytical Chemistry, TrAC - Trends Anal. Chem. 71 (2015) 2–8, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2014.12.011) [doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2014.12.011.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2014.12.011)
- [4] F. Chemat, M.A. Vian, G. Cravotto, Green extraction of natural products: concept and principles, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 13 (2012) 8615–8627, [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms13078615) [ijms13078615.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms13078615)
- [5] N. Lorenzo-Parodi, W. Kaziur, N. Stojanović, M.A. Jochmann, T.C. Schmidt, Solventless microextraction techniques for water analysis, TrAC - Trends Anal. Chem. (2019), [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.11.013.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.11.013)
- [6] P. Makoś, A. Przyjazny, G. Boczkaj, Methods of assaying volatile oxygenated organic compounds in effluent samples by gas chromatography—A review, J. Chromatogr. A. 1592 (2019) 143–160, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2019.01.045) [2019.01.045.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2019.01.045)
- [7] J. An, M.J. Trujillo-Rodríguez, V. Pino, J.L. Anderson, Non-conventional solvents in liquid phase microextraction and aqueous biphasic systems, J. Chromatogr. A. 1500 (2017) 1–23, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2017.04.012.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2017.04.012)
- [8] I. Pacheco-Fernández, V. Pino, Green solvents in analytical chemistry, Curr. Opin. Green Sustain. Chem. 18 (2019) 42–50, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COGSC.2018.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COGSC.2018.12.010) [12.010.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COGSC.2018.12.010)
- [9] C.F. Poole, S.K. Poole, Extraction of organic compounds with room temperature ionic liquids, J. Chromatogr. A. 1217 (2010) 2268–2286, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2009.09.011) [1016/J.CHROMA.2009.09.011.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2009.09.011)
- [10] J. Liu, G. Jiang, J. Liu, J.Å. Jönsson, Application of ionic liquids in analytical chemistry, TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. 24 (2005) 20–27, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRAC.2004.09.005) [TRAC.2004.09.005.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRAC.2004.09.005)
- [11] Y. Wang, Y. Sun, B. Xu, X. Li, R. Jin, H. Zhang, D. Song, Magnetic ionic liquid-

based dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction for the determination of triazine herbicides in vegetable oils by liquid chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1373 (2014) 9–16, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2014.11.009.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2014.11.009)

- [12] H. Yu, J. Merib, J.L. Anderson, Faster dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction methods using magnetic ionic liquids as solvents, J. Chromatogr. A. 1463 (2016) 11–19, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2016.08.007.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2016.08.007)
- [13] M.J. Trujillo-Rodríguez, O. Nacham, K.D. Clark, V. Pino, J.L. Anderson, J.H. Ayala, A.M. Afonso, Magnetic ionic liquids as non-conventional extraction solvents for the determination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, Anal. Chim. Acta. 934 (2016) 106–113, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ACA.2016.06.014.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ACA.2016.06.014)
- [14] T. Wasilewski, J. Gębicki, W. Kamysz, Prospects of ionic liquids application in electronic and bioelectronic nose instruments, TrAC - Trends Anal. Chem. 93 (2017) 23–36, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2017.05.010.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2017.05.010)
- [15] A. Romero, A. Santos, J. Tojo, A. Rodríguez, Toxicity and biodegradability of imidazolium ionic liquids, J. Hazard. Mater. 151 (2008) 268–273, [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHAZMAT.2007.10.079) [10.1016/J.JHAZMAT.2007.10.079.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHAZMAT.2007.10.079)
- [16] Q. Zhang, K. De Oliveira Vigier, S. Royer, F. Jérôme, Deep eutectic solvents: syntheses, properties and applications, Chem. Soc. Rev. 41 (2012) 7108–7146, [https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cs35178a.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cs35178a)
- [17] B. Tang, H. Zhang, K.H. Row, Application of deep eutectic solvents in the extraction and separation of target compounds from various samples, J. Sep. Sci. 38 (2015) 1053–1064, [https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201401347.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201401347)
- [18] E.L. Smith, A.P. Abbott, K.S. Ryder, Deep eutectic solvents (DESs) and their applications, Chem. Rev. 114 (2014) 11060–11082, [https://doi.org/10.1021/](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr300162p) [cr300162p.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr300162p)
- [19] V. Abbott, Capper A.P., Davies G., Rasheed D.L., R.K. Tambyrajah, Novel solvent properties of choline chloride /Urea mixtures, Chem. Commun. 0 (2003) 70–71 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja048266j>.
- [20] C. Florindo, L.C. Branco, I.M. Marrucho, Development of hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents for extraction of pesticides from aqueous environments, Fluid Phase Equilib. 448 (2017) 135–142, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FLUID.2017.04.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FLUID.2017.04.002)
- [21] M.K. AlOmar, M.A. Alsaadi, M. Hayyan, S. Akib, R.K. Ibrahim, M.A. Hashim, Lead removal from water by choline chloride based deep eutectic solvents functionalized carbon nanotubes, J. Mol. Liq. 222 (2016) 883–894, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLLIQ.2016.07.074) [1016/J.MOLLIQ.2016.07.074.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLLIQ.2016.07.074)
- [22] M.K. AlOmar, M.A. Alsaadi, M. Hayyan, S. Akib, M.A. Hashim, Functionalization of CNTs surface with phosphonuim based deep eutectic solvents for arsenic removal from water, Appl. Surf. Sci. 389 (2016) 216–226, [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APSUSC.2016.07.079) [J.APSUSC.2016.07.079.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APSUSC.2016.07.079)
- [23] M.K. AlOmar, M.A. Alsaadi, M. Hayyan, S. Akib, M. Ibrahim, M.A. Hashim, Allyl triphenyl phosphonium bromide based DES-functionalized carbon nanotubes for the removal of mercury from water, Chemosphere 167 (2017) 44-52, [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMOSPHERE.2016.09.133) [org/10.1016/J.CHEMOSPHERE.2016.09.133.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMOSPHERE.2016.09.133)
- [24] L.F. Zubeir, D.J.G.P. Van Osch, M.A.A. Rocha, F. Banat, M.C. Kroon, Carbon dioxide solubilities in decanoic acid-based hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents, J. Chem. Eng. Data. 63 (2018) 913–919, [https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jced.7b00534.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jced.7b00534)
- [25] C.L. Boldrini, N. Manfredi, F.M. Perna, V. Capriati, A. Abbotto, Designing ecosustainable dye-sensitized solar cells by the use of a menthol-based hydrophobic eutectic solvent as an effective electrolyte medium, Chem. - A Eur. J. 24 (2018) 17656–17659, [https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201803668.](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201803668)
- [26] J. Cao, M. Yang, F. Cao, J. Wang, E. Su, Tailor-made hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents for cleaner extraction of polyprenyl acetates from Ginkgo biloba leaves, J. Clean. Prod. 152 (2017) 399–405, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2017.03.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2017.03.140) [140.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2017.03.140)
- [27] J. Cao, M. Yang, F. Cao, J. Wang, E. Su, Well-Designed hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents as green and efficient media for the extraction of Artemisinin from Artemisia Annua leaves, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 5 (2017) 3270–3278, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.6b03092) [doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.6b03092.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.6b03092)
- [28] O.S. Hammond, D.T. Bowron, K.J. Edler, The effect of water upon deep eutectic solvent nanostructure: an unusual transition from ionic mixture to aqueous solution, Angew. Chemie - Int. 56 (2017) 9782–9785, [https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201702486) [201702486](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201702486) Ed.
- [29] X. Li, K.H. Row, Development of deep eutectic solvents applied in extraction and separation, J. Sep. Sci. 39 (2016) 3505–3520, [https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201600633) [201600633.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201600633)
- [30] C. Florindo, F.S. Oliveira, L.P.N. Rebelo, A.M. Fernandes, I.M. Marrucho, Insights into the synthesis and properties of deep eutectic solvents based on cholinium chloride and carboxylic acids, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2 (2014) 2416–2425, [https://doi.org/10.1021/sc500439w.](https://doi.org/10.1021/sc500439w)
- [31] D.J.G.P. Van Osch, L.F. Zubeir, A. Van Den Bruinhorst, M.A.A. Rocha, M.C. Kroon, Hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents as water-immiscible extractants, Green Chem 17 (2015) 4518–4521, [https://doi.org/10.1039/c5gc01451d.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c5gc01451d)
- [32] W. Tang, Y. Dai, K.H. Row, Evaluation of fatty acid / alcohol-based hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents as media for extracting antibiotics from environmental water, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 410 (2018) 7325–7336, [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201701282) [cssc.201701282.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201701282)
- [33] S.Y. Dandan Ge, Yi Zhang, Yixiu Dai, Air‐assisted dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction based on a new hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent for the preconcentration of benzophenone‐type UV filters from aqueous samples, J. Sep. Sci. 41 (2018) 1635–1643, [https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201701282.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201701282)
- [34] J. Cao, L. Chen, M. Li, F. Cao, L. Zhao, E. Su, Two-phase systems developed with hydrophilic and hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents for simultaneously extracting various bioactive compounds with different polarities, Green Chem. (2018) 1879–1886, [https://doi.org/10.1039/c7gc03820h.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c7gc03820h)
- [35] B.D. Ribeiro, C. Florindo, L.C. Iff, M.A.Z. Coelho, I.M. Marrucho, Menthol-based eutectic mixtures: hydrophobic low viscosity solvents, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 3

(2015) 2469–2477, [https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.5b00532.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.5b00532)

- [36] C. Florindo, L.C. Branco, I.M. Marrucho, Development of hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents for extraction of pesticides from aqueous environments, Fluid Phase Equilib. 448 (2017) 135–142, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2017.04.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2017.04.002)
- [37] D.J.G.P. Van Osch, C.H.J.T. Dietz, J. Van Spronsen, M.C. Kroon, F. Gallucci, M. Van Sint Annaland, R. Tuinier, A search for natural hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents based on natural components, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 7 (2019) 2933–2942, [https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b03520.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b03520)
- [38] M.A.R. Martins, E.A. Crespo, P.V.A. Pontes, L.P. Silva, M. Bülow, G.J. Maximo, E.A.C. Batista, C. Held, S.P. Pinho, J.A.P. Coutinho, Tunable hydrophobic eutectic solvents based on terpenes and monocarboxylic acids, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 6 (2018) 8836–8846, [https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b01203.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b01203)
- [39] M. Nedaei, A.R. Zarei, S.A. Ghorbanian, Development of a new emulsification microextraction method based on solidification of settled organic drop: application of a novel ultra-hydrophobic tailor-made deep eutectic solvent, New J. Chem. 42 (2018) 12520–12529, [https://doi.org/10.1039/c8nj02219d.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c8nj02219d)
- [40] N. Schaeffer, M.A.R. Martins, C.M.S.S. Neves, S.P. Pinho, J.A.P. Coutinho, Sustainable hydrophobic terpene-based eutectic solvents for the extraction and separation of metals, Chem. Commun. 54 (2018) 8104–8107, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c8cc04152k) [1039/c8cc04152k.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c8cc04152k)
- [41] C. Florindo, L. Romero, I. Rintoul, L.C. Branco, I.M. Marrucho, From phase change materials to green solvents: hydrophobic low viscous fatty acid-based deep eutectic solvents, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 6 (2018) 3888–3895, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.7b04235) [1021/acssuschemeng.7b04235.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.7b04235)
- [42] D.J.G.P. Van Osch, D. Parmentier, C.H.J.T. Dietz, A. Van Den Bruinhorst, R. Tuinier, M.C. Kroon, Removal of alkali and transition metal ions from water with hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents, Chem. Commun. 52 (2016) 11987–11990, [https://doi.org/10.1039/c6cc06105b.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c6cc06105b)
- [43] Y. Kaplun-Frischoff, E. Touitou, Testosterone skin permeation enhancement by menthol through formation of eutectic with drug and interaction with skin lipids, J. Pharm. Sci. 86 (1997) 1394–1399, [https://doi.org/10.1021/js9701465.](https://doi.org/10.1021/js9701465)
- [44] C.S. Yong, S.H. Jung, J.D. Rhee, H.G. Choi, B.J. Lee, D.C. Kim, Y.W. Choi, C.K. Kim, Improved solubility and in vitro dissolution of ibuprofen from poloxamer gel using eutectic mixture with menthol, Drug Deliv. J. Deliv. Target. Ther. Agents. 10 (2003) 179–183, [https://doi.org/10.1080/713840406.](https://doi.org/10.1080/713840406)
- [45] L. Kang, H.W. Jun, J.W. McCall, Physicochemical studies of lidocaine-menthol binary systems for enhanced membrane transport, Int. J. Pharm. 206 (2000) 35–42, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-5173\(00\)00505-6.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-5173(00)00505-6)
- [46] S. Nazzal, I.I. Smalyukh, O.D. Lavrentovich, M.A. Khan, Preparation and in vitro characterization of a eutectic based semisolid self-nanoemulsified drug delivery system (SNEDDS) of ubiquinone: mechanism and progress of emulsion formation, Int. J. Pharm. 235 (2002) 247–265, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-5173\(02\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-5173(02)00003-0) [00003-0.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-5173(02)00003-0)
- [47] M. Ruesgas-Ramón, M.C. Figueroa-Espinoza, E. Durand, Application of Deep Eutectic Solvents (DES) for phenolic compounds extraction: overview, challenges, and opportunities, J. Agric. Food Chem. 65 (2017) 3591–3601, [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b01054) [10.1021/acs.jafc.7b01054.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b01054)
- [48] J. Chen, M. Liu, Q. Wang, H. Du, L. Zhang, Deep eutectic solvent-based microwave-assisted method for extraction of hydrophilic and hydrophobic components from radix salviae miltiorrhizae, Molecules 21 (2016), [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules21101383) [molecules21101383.](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules21101383)
- [49] [A.K. Dwamena, Investigating anions and hydrophobicity of deep eutectic solvents](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0026-265X(19)32935-2/sbref0040a) [by experiment and computational software, Electronic Theses and Dissertations](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0026-265X(19)32935-2/sbref0040a) [\(2019\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0026-265X(19)32935-2/sbref0040a)
- [50] C. Florindo, L.G. Celia-Silva, L.F.G. Martins, L.C. Branco, I.M. Marrucho, Supramolecular hydrogel based on a sodium deep eutectic solvent, Chem. Commun. 54 (2018) 7527–7530, [https://doi.org/10.1039/c8cc03266a.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c8cc03266a)
- [51] S. Basak, J. Nanda, A. Banerjee, Multi-stimuli responsive self-healing metallohydrogels: tuning of the gel recovery property, Chem. Commun. 50 (2014) 2356–2359, [https://doi.org/10.1039/c3cc48896a.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3cc48896a)
- [52] W. Deng, L. Yu, X. Li, J. Chen, X. Wang, Z. Deng, Y. Xiao, Hexafluoroisopropanolbased hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents for dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction of pyrethroids in tea beverages and fruit juices, Food Chem. 274 (2019) 891–899, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.09.048.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.09.048)
- [53] Y.L. Yang, Y. Kou, PDetermination of the Lewis acidity of ionic liquids by means of an IR spectroscopic probe, Chem. Commun. 4 (2004) 226–227, [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1039/b311615h) [10.1039/b311615h.](https://doi.org/10.1039/b311615h)
- [54] [E.P. Parry, An infrared study of pyridi, J. Catal. 2 \(1963\) 371–379 http://](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0026-265X(19)32935-2/sbref0053) [dns2.asia.edu.tw/∼ysho/YSHO-English/1000CE/PDF/J Cat2371.pdf.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0026-265X(19)32935-2/sbref0053)
- W.N. Sanders, J.E. Berger, Measurement and significance of the Hammett acidity function in non-hydroxylic solvents, Anal. Chem. 39 (1967) 1473–1476, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60256a047) [doi.org/10.1021/ac60256a047.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ac60256a047)
- [56] M.B. Taysun, E. Sert, F.S. Atalay, Physical properties of benzyl tri-methyl ammonium chloride based deep eutectic solvents and employment as catalyst, J. Mol. Liq. 223 (2016) 845–852, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2016.07.148.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2016.07.148)
- [57] S. Zhu, J. Zhou, H. Jia, H. Zhang, Liquid–liquid microextraction of synthetic pigments in beverages using a hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent, Food Chem. 243 (2018) 351–356, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.09.141.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.09.141)
- [58] P. Makoś, A. Fernandes, G. Boczkaj, Method for the determination of carboxylic acids in industrial effluents using dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction with injection port derivatization gas chromatography–mass spectrometry, J. Chromatogr. A. 1517 (2017) 26–34, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2017.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2017.08.045) [08.045.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2017.08.045)
- [59] O. Aschenbrenner, S. Supasitmongkol, M. Taylor, P. Styring, Measurement of vapour pressures of ionic liquids and other low vapour pressure solvents, Green Chem. 11 (2009) 1217–1221, [https://doi.org/10.1039/b904407h.](https://doi.org/10.1039/b904407h)
- [60] C.H.J.T. Dietz, M.C. Kroon, M. Di Stefano, M. Van Sint Annaland, F. Gallucci, Selective separation of furfural and hydroxymethylfurfural from an aqueous solution using a supported hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent liquid membrane, Faraday Discuss 206 (2018) 77–92, [https://doi.org/10.1039/c7fd00152e.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c7fd00152e)
- [61] K. Zhang, S. Li, C. Liu, Q. Wang, Y. Wang, J. Fan, A hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent-based vortex-assisted dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction combined with HPLC for the determination of nitrite in water and biological samples, J. Sep. Sci. 42 (2019) 574–581, [https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201800921.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201800921)
- [62] F. Barontini, V. Cozzani, Thermogravimetry as a screening tool for the estimation of the vapor pressures of pure compounds, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 89 (2007) 309–314, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-006-7915-5.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-006-7915-5)
- [63] P. Phang, D. Dollimore, S.J. Evans, A comparative method for developing vapor pressure curves based on evaporation data obtained from a simultaneous TG-DTA unit, Thermochim. Acta. 392 (2002) 119–125, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-6031(02)00092-8) [6031\(02\)00092-8.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-6031(02)00092-8)
- [64] M.A.A. Rocha, J.A.P. Coutinho, L.M.N.B.F. Santos, Cation symmetry effect on the volatility of ionic liquids, J. Phys. Chem. B. 116 (2012) 10922–10927, [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp306937f) [org/10.1021/jp306937f.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp306937f)
- [65] K. Shahbaz, F.S. Mjalli, G. Vakili-Nezhaad, I.M. AlNashef, A. Asadov, M.M. Farid, Thermogravimetric measurement of deep eutectic solvents vapor pressure, J. Mol. Liq. 222 (2016) 61–66, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2016.06.106.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2016.06.106)
- [66] C.H.J.T. Dietz, J.T. Creemers, M.A. Meuleman, C. Held, G. Sadowski, M. Van Sint Annaland, F. Gallucci, M.C. Kroon, Determination of the total vapor pressure of hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents: experiments and perturbed-chain statistical associating fluid theory modeling, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 7 (2019) 4047–4057, [https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b05449.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b05449)
- [67] M. Francisco, A. van den Bruinhorst, L.F. Zubeir, C.J. Peters, M.C. Kroon, A new low transition temperature mixture (LTTM) formed by choline chloride+lactic acid: characterization as solvent for $CO₂$ capture, Fluid Phase Equilib. 340 (2013) 77–84, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2012.12.001.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2012.12.001)
- [68] W. Bi, M. Tian, K.H. Row, Evaluation of alcohol-based deep eutectic solvent in extraction and determination of flavonoids with response surface methodology optimization, J. Chromatogr. A. 1285 (2013) 22–30, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2013.02.041) [chroma.2013.02.041.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2013.02.041)
- [69] S. Zhu, J. Zhou, H. Jia, H. Zhang, Liquid – liquid microextraction of synthetic pigments in beverages using a hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent, Food Chem 243 (2018) 351–356, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.09.141.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.09.141)
- [70] S.M. Yousefi, F. Shemirani, S.A. Ghorbanian, Hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents in developing microextraction methods based on solidification of floating drop: application to the trace HPLC/FLD determination of PAHs, Chromatographia 81 (2018) 1201–1211, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10337-018-3548-7.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10337-018-3548-7)
- [71] E.E. Tereshatov, M.Y. Boltoeva, C.M. Folden, First evidence of metal transfer into hydrophobic deep eutectic and low-transition-temperature mixtures: indium extraction from hydrochloric and oxalic acids, Green Chem. 18 (2016) 4616–4622, [https://doi.org/10.1039/c5gc03080c.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c5gc03080c)
- [72] M. Gilmore, É.N. McCourt, F. Connolly, P. Nockemann, M. Swadźba-Kwaśny, J.D. Holbrey, Hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents incorporating trioctylphosphine oxide: advanced liquid extractants, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 6 (2018) 17323–17332, [https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b04843.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b04843)
- [73] R. Liu, X. Zhou, Selective transformations of cyclopentadienylligands of transitionmetal and rare-earth metal complexes, Chem. Commun. 49 (2013) 3171–3187, [https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cc35637f.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cc35637f)
- [74] S. Mahboobeh, Y. Farzaneh, S. Sohrab, A. Ghorbanian, Hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents in developing microextraction methods based on solidification of floating drop: application to the trace HPLC / FLD determination of PAHs, Chromatographia 81 (2018) 1201–1211, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10337-018-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10337-018-3548-7) [3548-7.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10337-018-3548-7)
- [75] R. Verma, T. Banerjee, Liquid-Liquid extraction of lower alcohols using mentholbased hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent: experiments and COSMO-SAC predictions, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 57 (2018) 3371–3381, [https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.7b05270) [iecr.7b05270.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.7b05270)
- [76] P. Makoś, A. Przyjazny, G. Boczkaj, Hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents as "green" extraction media for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in aqueous samples, J. Chromatogr. A. 1570 (2018) 28–37, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2018.07.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2018.07.070) [070.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2018.07.070)
- [77] T.E. Phelps, N. Bhawawet, S.S. Jurisson, G.A. Baker, Efficient and selective extraction of 99mTcO4- from Aqueous media using hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 6 (2018) 13656–13661, [https://doi.org/10.1021/](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b03950) [acssuschemeng.8b03950.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b03950)
- [78] S. Ruggeri, F. Poletti, C. Zanardi, L. Pigani, B. Zanfrognini, E. Corsi, N. Dossi, M. Salomäki, H. Kivelä, J. Lukkari, F. Terzi, Chemical and electrochemical properties of a hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent, Electrochim. Acta. 295 (2019) 124–129, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2018.10.086.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2018.10.086)
- [79] D.J.G.P. Van Osch, L.F. Zubeir, A. Van Den Bruinhorst, M.A.A. Rocha, M.C. Kroon, Hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents as water-immiscible extractants, Green Chem. 17 (2015) 4518–4521, [https://doi.org/10.1039/c5gc01451d.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c5gc01451d)
- [80] J. Cao, L. Chen, M. Li, F. Cao, L. Zhao, E. Su, Two-phase systems developed with hydrophilic and hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents for simultaneously extracting various bioactive compounds with different polarities, Green. Chem. 20 (2018) 1879–1886, [https://doi.org/10.1039/c7gc03820h.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c7gc03820h)
- [81] D. Yang, Y. Wang, J. Peng, C. Xun, Y. Yang, A green deep eutectic solvents microextraction coupled with acid-base induction for extraction of trace phenolic compounds in large volume water samples, Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 178 (2019) 130–136, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOENV.2019.04.021.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOENV.2019.04.021)
- [82] B. Hashemi, P. Zohrabi, K.H. Kim, M. Shamsipur, A. Deep, J. Hong, Recent advances in liquid-phase microextraction techniques for the analysis of

environmental pollutants, TrAC - Trends Anal. Chem. 97 (2017) 83–95, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2017.08.014) [doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2017.08.014.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2017.08.014)

- [83] D. Ge, Y. Wang, Q. Jiang, E. Dai, A deep eutectic solvent as an extraction solvent to separate and preconcentrate parabens in water samples using in situ liquid-liquid microextraction, J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 30 (2019) 1203–1210, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.21577/0103-5053.20190014) [21577/0103-5053.20190014.](https://doi.org/10.21577/0103-5053.20190014)
- [84] A.Y. Shishov, M.V. Chislov, D.V. Nechaeva, L.N. Moskvin, A.V. Bulatov, A new approach for microextraction of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs from human urine samples based on in-situ deep eutectic mixture formation, J. Mol. Liq. 272 (2018) 738–745, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2018.10.006.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2018.10.006)
- [85] A. Shishov, R. Chromá, C. Vakh, J. Kuchár, A. Simon, V. Andruch, A. Bulatov, In situ decomposition of deep eutectic solvent as a novel approach in liquid-liquid microextraction, Anal. Chim. Acta. 1065 (2019) 49–55, [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ACA.2019.03.038) [J.ACA.2019.03.038.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ACA.2019.03.038)
- [86] E.A. Dil, M. Ghaedi, A. Asfaram, Application of hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent as the carrier for ferrofluid: a novel strategy for pre-concentration and determination of mefenamic acid in human urine samples by high performance liquid chromatography under experimental design optimization, Talanta 202 (2019) 526–530, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2019.05.027.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2019.05.027)
- [87] M. Rezaee, Y. Assadi, M.-.R. Milani Hosseini, E. Aghaee, F. Ahmadi, S. Berijani, Determination of organic compounds in water using dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction, J. Chromatogr. A. 1116 (2006) 1–9, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2006.03.007) [CHROMA.2006.03.007.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2006.03.007)
- [88] A. Zgoła-Grześkowiak, T. Grześkowiak, Dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction, TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. 30 (2011) 1382–1399, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRAC.2011.04.014) [TRAC.2011.04.014.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRAC.2011.04.014)
- [89] Q. Wang, R. Chen, W. Shatner, Y. Cao, Y. Bai, State-of-the-art on the technique of dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction, Ultrason. Sonochem. 51 (2019) 369–377, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ULTSONCH.2018.08.010.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ULTSONCH.2018.08.010)
- [90] A. Zgoła-Grześkowiak, T. Grześkowiak, Dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction, TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. 30 (2011) 1382–1399, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRAC.2011.04.014) [TRAC.2011.04.014.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRAC.2011.04.014)
- [91] P. Makoś, A. Fernandes, G. Boczkaj, Method for the simultaneous determination of monoaromatic and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in industrial effluents using dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction with gas chromatography–mass spectrometry, J. Sep. Sci. 41 (2018), [https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201701464.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201701464)
- [92] G. Boczkaj, P. Makoś, A. Przyjazny, Application of dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction and gas chromatography with mass spectrometry for the determination of oxygenated volatile organic compounds in effluents from the production of petroleum bitumen, J. Sep. Sci. 39 (2016) 2604–2615, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201501355) [1002/jssc.201501355.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201501355)
- [93] G. Boczkaj, P. Makoś, A. Fernandes, A. Przyjazny, New procedure for the control of the treatment of industrial effluents to remove volatile organosulfur compounds, J. Sep. Sci. 39 (2016) 3946–3956, [https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201600608.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201600608)
- [94] G. Boczkaj, P. Makoś, A. Fernandes, A. Przyjazny, New procedure for the examination of the degradation of volatile organonitrogen compounds during the treatment of industrial effluents, J. Sep. Sci. 40 (2017) 1301–1309, [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201601237) [org/10.1002/jssc.201601237.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201601237)
- [95] L. Kocúrová, I.S. Balogh, J. Šandrejová, V. Andruch, Recent advances in dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction using organic solvents lighter than water. A review, Microchem. J. 102 (2012) 11–17, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICROC.2011.12.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICROC.2011.12.002) [002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICROC.2011.12.002)
- [96] I. Rykowska, J. Ziemblińska, I. Nowak, Modern approaches in dispersive liquidliquid microextraction (DLLME) based on ionic liquids: a review, J. Mol. Liq. 259 (2018) 319–339, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLLIQ.2018.03.043.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLLIQ.2018.03.043)
- [97] M.A. Farajzadeh, M.R. Afshar Mogaddam, M. Aghanassab, Deep eutectic solventbased dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction, Anal. Methods. 8 (2016) 2576–2583, [https://doi.org/10.1039/c5ay03189c.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c5ay03189c)
- [98] Y. Liu, W. Xu, H. Zhang, W. Xu, Hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent-based dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction for the simultaneous enantiomeric analysis of five β-agonists in the environmental samples, Electrophoresis (2019) 1–9, [https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.201900149.](https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.201900149)
- [99] Y. An, W. Ma, K.H. Row, Preconcentration and determination of chlorophenols in wastewater with dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction using hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents, Anal. Lett. 0 (2019) 1–11, [https://doi.org/10.1080/00032719.](https://doi.org/10.1080/00032719.2019.1646754) [2019.1646754.](https://doi.org/10.1080/00032719.2019.1646754)
- [100] S. Sadeghi, A. Davami, A rapid dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction based on hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent for selective and sensitive preconcentration of thorium in water and rock samples: a multivariate study, J. Mol. Liq. 291 (2019) 111242, , [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLLIQ.2019.111242.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLLIQ.2019.111242)
- [101] Y.-.M. Liu, F.-.P. Zhang, B.-.Y. Jiao, J.-.Y. Rao, G. Leng, Automated dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction coupled to high performance liquid chromatography cold vapour atomic fluorescence spectroscopy for the determination of mercury species in natural water samples, J. Chromatogr. A. 1493 (2017) 1–9, [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2017.03.002) [org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2017.03.002.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2017.03.002)
- [102] M. Alexovič, M. Wieczorek, J. Kozak, P. Kościelniak, I.S. Balogh, V. Andruch, An automatic, vigorous-injection assisted dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction technique for stopped-flow spectrophotometric detection of boron, Talanta 133 (2015) 127–133, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2014.04.095.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2014.04.095)
- [103] B. Horstkotte, K. Fikarová, D.J. Cocovi-Solberg, H. Sklenářová, P. Solich, M. Miró, Online coupling of fully automatic in-syringe dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction with oxidative back-extraction to inductively coupled plasma spectrometry for sample clean-up in elemental analysis: a proof of concept, Talanta 173 (2017) 79–87, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2017.05.063.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2017.05.063)
- [104] A. Shishov, N. Volodina, D. Nechaeva, S. Gagarinova, A. Bulatov, An automated homogeneous liquid-liquid microextraction based on deep eutectic solvent for the

HPLC-UV determination of caffeine in beverages, Microchem. J. 144 (2019) 469–473, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICROC.2018.10.014.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICROC.2018.10.014)

- [105] A. Shishov, P. Terno, L. Moskvin, A. Bulatov, In-syringe dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction using deep eutectic solvent as disperser: determination of chromium (VI) in beverages, Talanta 206 (2020) 120209, , [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2019.120209) [TALANTA.2019.120209.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2019.120209)
- [106] D. Ge, Y. Zhang, Y. Dai, S. Yang, Air-assisted dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction based on a new hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent for the preconcentration of benzophenone-type UV filters from aqueous samples, J. Sep. Sci. 41 (2018) 1635–1643, [https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201701282.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201701282)
- [107] M. Rajabi, N. Ghassab, M. Hemmati, A. Asghari, Emulsification microextraction of amphetamine and methamphetamine in complex matrices using an up-to-date generation of eco-friendly and relatively hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent, J. Chromatogr. A. 1576 (2018) 1–9, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2018.07.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2018.07.040)
- [040.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2018.07.040) [108] D. Djozan, M.A. Farajzadeh, S.M. Sorouraddin, T. Baheri, Molecularly imprintedsolid phase extraction combined with simultaneous derivatization and dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction for selective extraction and preconcentration of methamphetamine and ecstasy from urine samples followed by gas chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1248 (2012) 24–31, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2012.05.085) [CHROMA.2012.05.085.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2012.05.085)
- [109] N. Lamei, M. Ezoddin, K. Abdi, Air assisted emulsification liquid-liquid microextraction based on deep eutectic solvent for preconcentration of methadone in water and biological samples, Talanta 165 (2017) 176–181, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2016.11.036) [1016/J.TALANTA.2016.11.036.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2016.11.036)
- [110] A.G. Moghadam, M. Rajabi, A. Asghari, Efficient and relatively safe emulsification microextraction using a deep eutectic solvent for influential enrichment of trace main anti-depressant drugs from complicated samples, J. Chromatogr. B. 1072 (2018) 50–59, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCHROMB.2017.09.042.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCHROMB.2017.09.042)
- [111] R.A. Zounr, M. Tuzen, M.Y. Khuhawar, A simple and green deep eutectic solvent based air assisted liquid phase microextraction for separation, preconcentration and determination of lead in water and food samples by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry, J. Mol. Liq. 259 (2018) 220–226, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLLIQ.2018.03.034) [1016/J.MOLLIQ.2018.03.034.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLLIQ.2018.03.034)
- [112] H.M. Al-Saidi, A.A.A. Emara, The recent developments in dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction for preconcentration and determination of inorganic analytes, J. Saudi Chem. Soc. 18 (2014) 745–761, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JSCS.2011.11.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JSCS.2011.11.005) [005.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JSCS.2011.11.005)
- [113] H. Zeng, K. Qiao, X. Li, M. Yang, S. Zhang, R. Lu, J. Li, H. Gao, W. Zhou, Dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction based on the solidification of deep eutectic solvent for the determination of benzoylureas in environmental water samples, J. Sep. Sci. 40 (2017) 4563–4570, [https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201700890.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201700890)
- [114] B. Mostafavi, A. Feizbakhsh, E. Konoz, H. Faraji, Hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent based on centrifugation-free dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction for speciation of selenium in aqueous samples: one step closer to green analytical chemistry, Microchem. J. 148 (2019) 582–590, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2019.05.021) [2019.05.021.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2019.05.021)
- [115] S. Tang, T. Qi, P.D. Ansah, J.C. Nalouzebi Fouemina, W. Shen, C. Basheer, H.K. Lee, Single-drop microextraction, TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. 108 (2018) 306–313, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRAC.2018.09.016.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRAC.2018.09.016)
- [116] A.R. Zarei, M. Nedaei, S.A. Ghorbanian, Ferrofluid of magnetic clay and menthol based deep eutectic solvent: application in directly suspended droplet microextraction for enrichment of some emerging contaminant explosives in water and soil samples, J. Chromatogr. A. 1553 (2018) 32–42, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2018.04.023) [CHROMA.2018.04.023.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2018.04.023)
- [117] S.M. Yousefi, F. Shemirani, S.A. Ghorbanian, Enhanced headspace single drop microextraction method using deep eutectic solvent based magnetic bucky gels: application to the determination of volatile aromatic hydrocarbons in water and urine samples, J. Sep. Sci. 41 (2018) 966–974, [https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201700807) [201700807.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201700807)
- [118] S. Pedersen-Bjergaard, K.E. Rasmussen, Liquid-liquid-liquid microextraction for sample preparation of biological fluids prior to capillary electrophoresis, Anal. Chem. 71 (1999) 2650–2656, [https://doi.org/10.1021/ac990055n.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ac990055n)
- [119] A. Esrafili, M. Baharfar, M. Tajik, Y. Yamini, M. Ghambarian, Two-phase hollow fiber liquid-phase microextraction, TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. 108 (2018) 314–322, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRAC.2018.09.015.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRAC.2018.09.015)
- [120] M.M. Khataei, Y. Yamini, A. Nazaripour, M. Karimi, Novel generation of deep eutectic solvent as an acceptor phase in three-phase hollow fiber liquid phase microextraction for extraction and preconcentration of steroidal hormones from biological fluids, Talanta 178 (2018) 473–480, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2017.09.068) [2017.09.068.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2017.09.068)
- [121] M. Rajabi, N. Ghassab, M. Hemmati, A. Asghari, Highly effective and safe intermediate based on deep eutectic medium for carrier less-three phase hollow fiber microextraction of antiarrhythmic agents in complex matrices, J. Chromatogr. B. 1104 (2019) 196–204, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCHROMB.2018.11.008.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCHROMB.2018.11.008)
- [122] L.M. Madikizela, S. Ncube, L. Chimuka, Recent developments in selective materials for solid phase extraction, Chromatographia 82 (2018) 1171–1189, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10337-018-3644-8) [doi.org/10.1007/s10337-018-3644-8.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10337-018-3644-8)
- [123] L. Liu, W. Tang, B. Tang, D. Han, K.H. Row, T. Zhu, Pipette-tip solid-phase extraction based on deep eutectic solvent modified graphene for the determination of sulfamerazine in river water, J. Sep. Sci. 40 (2017) 1887–1895, [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201601436) [10.1002/jssc.201601436.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201601436)
- [124] D.-.D. Wang, Y. Zhao, M.-.N. Ou yang, H.-.M. Guo, Z.-.H. Yang, Magnetic polydopamine modified with deep eutectic solvent for the magnetic solid-phase extraction of sulfonylurea herbicides in water samples, J. Chromatogr. A. 1601 (2019) 53–59, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2019.05.011.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2019.05.011)
- [125] J. Chen, Y. Wang, X. Wei, P. Xu, W. Xu, R. Ni, J. Meng, Magnetic solid-phase extraction for the removal of mercury from water with ternary hydrosulphonylbased deep eutectic solvent modified magnetic graphene oxide, Talanta 188 (2018) 454–462, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2018.06.016.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2018.06.016)
- [126] M. Karimi, A.M.H. Shabani, S. Dadfarnia, Deep eutectic solvent-mediated extraction for ligand-less preconcentration of lead and cadmium from environmental samples using magnetic nanoparticles, Microchim. Acta. 183 (2016) 563–571, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00604-015-1671-9.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00604-015-1671-9)
- [127] C.L. Arthur, J. Pawliszyn, Solid phase microextraction with thermal desorption using fused silica optical fibers, Anal. Chem. 62 (1990) 2145–2148, [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ac00218a019) [org/10.1021/ac00218a019.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ac00218a019)
- [128] T. Li, Y. Song, J. Xu, J. Fan, A hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent mediated sol-gel coating of solid phase microextraction fiber for determination of toluene, ethylbenzene and o-xylene in water coupled with GC-FID, Talanta 195 (2019) 298–305, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2018.11.085.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2018.11.085)
- [129] B. Socas-Rodríguez, A.V. Herrera-Herrera, M. Asensio-Ramos, J. Hernández-Borges, Dispersive solid-phase extraction, Anal. Sep. Sci. (2015) 1525–1570, [https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527678129.assep056.](https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527678129.assep056)
- [130] N. Lamei, M. Ezoddin, M.S. Ardestani, K. Abdi, Dispersion of magnetic graphene oxide nanoparticles coated with a deep eutectic solvent using ultrasound assistance for preconcentration of methadone in biological and water samples followed by GC–FID and GC–MS, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 409 (2017) 6113–6121, [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-017-0547-8) [org/10.1007/s00216-017-0547-8.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-017-0547-8)
- [131] A.R. Zarei, M. Nedaei, S.A. Ghorbanian, Application of deep eutectic solvent based magnetic colloidal gel for dispersive solid phase extraction of ultra-trace amounts of some nitroaromatic compounds in water samples, J. Mol. Liq. 246 (2017) 58–65, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLLIQ.2017.09.039.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MOLLIQ.2017.09.039)
- [132] S.M. Yousefi, F. Shemirani, S.A. Ghorbanian, Deep eutectic solvent magnetic bucky gels in developing dispersive solid phase extraction: application for ultra trace analysis of organochlorine pesticides by GC-micro ECD using a large-volume injection technique, Talanta 168 (2017) 73–81, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2017.03.020) [TALANTA.2017.03.020.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2017.03.020)
- [133] T. Khezeli, A. Daneshfar, Dispersive micro-solid-phase extraction of dopamine, epinephrine and norepinephrine from biological samples based on green deep eutectic solvents and $Fe₃O₄@MIL-100$ (Fe) core-shell nanoparticles grafted with pyrocatechol, RSC Adv. 5 (2015) 65264–65273, [https://doi.org/10.1039/](https://doi.org/10.1039/c5ra08058d) 5ra08058d
- [134] A. Mohebbi, S. Yaripour, M.A. Farajzadeh, M.R. Afshar Mogaddam, Combination of dispersive solid phase extraction and deep eutectic solvent–based air–assisted liquid–liquid microextraction followed by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry as an efficient analytical method for the quantification of some tricyclic antidepressant drugs in biological fluids, J. Chromatogr. A. 1571 (2018) 84–93, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2018.08.022.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2018.08.022)
- [135] J.L. Benedé, A. Chisvert, D.L. Giokas, A. Salvador, Development of stir bar sorptive-dispersive microextraction mediated by magnetic nanoparticles and its analytical application to the determination of hydrophobic organic compounds in aqueous media, J. Chromatogr. A. 1362 (2014) 25–33, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2014.08.024) [CHROMA.2014.08.024.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2014.08.024)
- [136] A.R. Zarei, M. Nedaei, S.A. Ghorbanian, Deep eutectic solvent based magnetic nanofluid in the development of stir bar sorptive dispersive microextraction: an efficient hyphenated sample preparation for ultra-trace nitroaromatic explosives extraction in wastewater, J. Sep. Sci. 40 (2017) 4757–4764, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201700915)

[1002/jssc.201700915.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201700915)

- [137] X. Liu, C. Liu, H. Qian, Y. Qu, S. Zhang, R. Lu, H. Gao, W. Zhou, Ultrasoundassisted dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction based on a hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent for the preconcentration of pyrethroid insecticides prior to determination by high-performance liquid chromatography, Microchem. J. 146 (2019) 614–621, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2019.01.048.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2019.01.048)
- [138] Y. Ji, Z. Meng, J. Zhao, H. Zhao, L. Zhao, Eco-friendly ultrasonic assisted liquid–liquid microextraction method based on hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent for the determination of sulfonamides in fruit juices, J. Chromatogr. A. (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2019.460520> 460520.
- [139] H. Wang, L. Hu, X. Liu, S. Yin, R. Lu, S. Zhang, W. Zhou, H. Gao, Deep eutectic solvent-based ultrasound-assisted dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction coupled with high-performance liquid chromatography for the determination of ultraviolet filters in water samples, J. Chromatogr. A. 1516 (2017) 1–8, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2017.07.073) [1016/J.CHROMA.2017.07.073.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2017.07.073)
- [140] N. Altunay, A. Elik, R. Gürkan, A. novel, green and safe ultrasound-assisted emulsification liquid phase microextraction based on alcohol-based deep eutectic solvent for determination of patulin in fruit juices by spectrophotometry, J. Food Compos. Anal. 82 (2019) 103256, , [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JFCA.2019.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JFCA.2019.103256) [103256.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JFCA.2019.103256)
- [141] G.S. Kanberoglu, E. Yilmaz, M. Soylak, Developing a new and simple ultrasoundassisted emulsification liquid phase microextraction method built upon deep eutectic solvents for Patent Blue V in syrup and water samples, Microchem. J. 145 (2019) 813–818, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICROC.2018.11.053.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICROC.2018.11.053)
- [142] A. Thongsaw, Y. Udnan, G.M. Ross, W.C. Chaiyasith, Speciation of mercury in water and biological samples by eco-friendly ultrasound-assisted deep eutectic solvent based on liquid phase microextraction with electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry, Talanta 197 (2019) 310–318, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2019.01.018) [TALANTA.2019.01.018.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2019.01.018)
- [143] E. Yilmaz, M. Soylak, Ultrasound assisted-deep eutectic solvent based on emulsification liquid phase microextraction combined with microsample injection flame atomic absorption spectrometry for valence speciation of chromium(III/VI) in environmental samples, Talanta 160 (2016) 680–685, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2016.08.001) [TALANTA.2016.08.001.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TALANTA.2016.08.001)
- [144] P. Makoś, A. Fernandes, A. Przyjazny, G. Boczkaj, Sample preparation procedure using extraction and derivatization of carboxylic acids from aqueous samples by means of deep eutectic solvents for gas chromatographic-mass spectrometric analysis, J. Chromatogr. A. 1555 (2018) 10–19, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2018.04.054) [CHROMA.2018.04.054.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2018.04.054)
- [145] A. Safavi, R. Ahmadi, A.M. Ramezani, Vortex-assisted liquid-liquid microextraction based on hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent for determination of malondialdehyde and formaldehyde by HPLC-UV approach, Microchem. J. 143 (2018) 166–174, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICROC.2018.07.036.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICROC.2018.07.036)
- [146] M. Faraji, Novel hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent for vortex assisted dispersive liquid-liquid micro-extraction of two auxins in water and fruit juice samples and determination by high performance liquid chromatography, Microchem. J. 150 (2019) 104130, , [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICROC.2019.104130.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICROC.2019.104130)
- [147] A. Chisvert, I.P. Román, L. Vidal, A. Canals, Simple and commercial readilyavailable approach for the direct use of ionic liquid-based single-drop microextraction prior to gas chromatography: determination of chlorobenzenes in real water samples as model analytical application, J. Chromatogr. A. 1216 (2009) 1290–1295, [https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2008.12.078.](https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHROMA.2008.12.078)