

Article

Preparation and Characterization of Defective TiO₂. The Effect of the Reaction Environment on Titanium Vacancies Formation

Zuzanna Bielan ^{1,*}, Szymon Dudziak ¹, Agnieszka Sulowska ¹, Daniel Pelczarski ², Jacek Ryl ³
and Anna Zielińska-Jurek ^{1,*}

¹ Department of Process Engineering and Chemical Technology, Faculty of Chemistry, Gdansk University of Technology (GUT), G. Narutowicza 11/12, 80-233 Gdansk, Poland; dudziakszy@gmail.com (S.D.); sulowska.as@gmail.com (A.S.)

² Department of Physics of Electronic Phenomena, Faculty of Applied Physics and Mathematics, Gdansk University of Technology (GUT), G. Narutowicza 11/12, 80-233 Gdansk, Poland; daniel.pelczarski@pg.edu.pl

³ Department of Electrochemistry, Corrosion and Materials Engineering, Faculty of Chemistry, Gdansk University of Technology (GUT), G. Narutowicza 11/12, 80-233 Gdansk, Poland; jacryl@pg.edu.pl

* Correspondence: zuzanna.bielan@gmail.com (Z.B.); annjurek@pg.edu.pl (A.Z.-J.)

Received: 13 May 2020; Accepted: 16 June 2020; Published: 18 June 2020

Abstract: Among various methods of improving visible light activity of titanium(IV) oxide, the formation of defects and vacancies (both oxygen and titanium) in the crystal structure of TiO₂ is an easy and relatively cheap alternative to improve the photocatalytic activity. In the presented work, visible light active defective TiO₂ was obtained by the hydrothermal reaction in the presence of three different oxidizing agents: HIO₃, H₂O₂, and HNO₃. Further study on the effect of used oxidant and calcination temperature on the physicochemical and photocatalytic properties of defective TiO₂ was performed. Obtained nanostructures were characterized by X-ray diffractometry (XRD), specific surface area (BET) measurements, UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DR-UV/Vis), photoluminescence spectroscopy (PL), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy. Degradation of phenol as a model pollutant was measured in the range of UV-Vis and Vis irradiation, demonstrating a significant increase of photocatalytic activity of defective TiO₂ samples above 420 nm, comparing to non-defected TiO₂. Correlation of EPR, UV-Vis, PL, and photodegradation results revealed that the optimum concentration of HIO₃ to achieve high photocatalytic activity was in the range of 20–50 mol%. Above that dosage, titanium vacancies amount is too high, and the obtained materials' photoactivity was significantly decreased. Studies on the photocatalytic mechanism using defective TiO₂ have also shown that •O₂ radical is mainly responsible for pollutant degradation.

Keywords: titanium vacancies; HIO₃; phenol degradation; scavengers; photocatalysis

1. Introduction

One of the main challenges of the 21st century is the pollution of the water environment. Compounds such as pharmaceuticals, hormones, or personal care products are detected in surface waters, which negatively affect human health and entire ecosystems [1]. In this regard, advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) allow for the effective removal of impurities from water. Heterogeneous photocatalysis, as one of the AOPs, has gained considerable attention due to effective removal in the presence of light and semiconductor of xenobiotics not susceptible to biological degradation.

In the photocatalytic process, semiconductor absorbs electromagnetic radiation with an energy greater or equal to energy bandgap. The generated charge carriers take part at the surface in redox reactions with the water, oxygen, and hydroxyl ion molecules leading to the formation of reactive oxygen species, capable of non-selective and sufficient oxidation of pollutants. Titanium(IV) oxide is one of the most frequently used semiconductors for photocatalysis due to its good photocatalytic activity, cost-effectiveness, non-toxicity, and high stability [2]. However, the use of TiO₂ in heterogeneous photocatalysis is limited due to charge carriers' recombination and almost no activity in the visible light.

Several different strategies have been proposed to obtain TiO₂ active in the range of visible light. Advanced modification of semiconductor materials with metals (Ag [3], Au [4], Mo [5], Fe [6], Pt [7], and Pd [8]), as well as doping with non-metals (N [9], C [10], F [11], Cl [12], and S [13]) and dyes sensitization [14–16] enable to obtain heterogeneous photocatalysts active in the visible light. Nonetheless, all the presented methods have several drawbacks. Non-metal-doped semiconductors usually are unstable in long-term processes because of dopant liberation from surface layers [17,18]. Introducing non-metals into the TiO₂ lattice could also result in the formation of oxygen vacancies, which could act as additional electron-hole pairs recombination centers [19,20]. In turn, doping with metal ions, as well as a surface modification with its nanoparticles, is more expensive and is not cost-effective in photocatalysis scaling-up [21]. Moreover, such nanomaterials often suffer from thermal and optical instability [22]. As for the matter of dye-sensitized semiconductors, widely used sensitizers such as alizarin red S [23], bipyridine complexes [24,25], phthalocyanine [16,26] absorbed on the surface of TiO₂ could be desorbed during the photocatalytic process and greatly depress the photoactivity [27,28].

Another possibility of increasing the photoactivity of TiO₂ is an introduction to its crystal structure intrinsic defects. To the category of this self-structural modification belong titanium/oxygen vacancy self-doping and a surface disorder as well as the formation of Ti-OH bonds on the surface layer [29,30]. Great attention to blue (Ti³⁺ defected) and black TiO₂ is related mostly to extending the light absorption to the visible region [31,32]. As presented by Lettieri et al. [33], blue TiO₂ could be obtained from commercially available P25 and anatase powders in simple solvent reflux thermal treatment. It allowed to surface and sub-surface oxygen vacancies formation. Consequently, TiO₂ bandgap has been narrowed to about 2.3 eV and visible light activity was significantly increased. Among all studied titania defects, the most rarely investigated are titanium vacancies and titanium interstitials despite their excellent quadrupole donor and acceptor properties. Revolutionary work in the field of TiO₂ vacancies was reported by Wu et al. [34]. The yellow, ultra-small defective TiO₂ was obtained by a simple sol-gel method within 8 h of UV irradiation, without introducing any external dopants. The formed titanium vacancies and titanium interstitials played a crucial role in visible-light-driven H₂ production from formaldehyde solution, not only initiating but also promoting photocatalytic activity in visible light. Furthermore, cycling tests indicated the stability of yellow defective TiO₂, compared with normal TiO₂ assisted with co-catalysts [34].

Phenol is one of the commonly used model organic compounds in photocatalytic wastewater treatment. Its degradation pathway is intensively studied for a thorough understanding of the photocatalytic reaction with the application of various photocatalysts [35–37]. Kang et al. studied degradation under visible light using F-doped TiO₂ hollow nanocubes with oxygen vacancies [38]. After 60 min of irradiation, about 60% of organic contamination was degraded. A different approach was presented by Colón et al. [39]. The titanium(IV) oxide photocatalysts obtained from titanium isopropoxide precursor were treated with different inorganic acids and then calcined in temperature range from 400 to 800 °C. It was reported that such treatment was responsible for the generation of oxygen vacancies on the surface of the photocatalysts. The highest photocatalytic activity was noticed for pre-sulfated TiO₂ calcined in 600 °C [39]. Nevertheless, in the literature there is lack of information concerning the photocatalytic activity of defective TiO₂ with titanium vacancies under visible or UV-visible light in reaction of phenol degradation.

Therefore, in this study, we propose a simple method of preparation visible light active TiO₂ with titanium vacancies obtained by a hydrothermal reaction in a suitable oxidizing environment

(HIO_3 , H_2O_2 , and HNO_3). The most appropriate amount of used oxidant, as well as the durability of generated titanium vacancies were investigated. The effect of introduced defects on physicochemical and photocatalytic properties was studied. The obtained samples were characterized by X-ray diffractometry (XRD), specific surface area (BET) measurements, UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DR-UV/Vis), photoluminescence spectroscopy (PL), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy. The photodegradation of phenol as a model organic pollutant using the obtained photocatalysts was subsequently investigated in the range of UV-Vis and Vis irradiation. Furthermore, the mechanism of phenol degradation and the role of four oxidative species (h^+ , e^- , $\cdot\text{OH}$, and $\cdot\text{O}_2^-$) in the studied photocatalytic process were investigated.

2. Materials and Methods

Titania organic precursor: titanium(IV) butoxide (99+%) was provided by Alfa Aesar (Haverhill, MA, USA). Iodic acid (99.5%), nitric acid (68%), and hydrogen peroxide (30%) were purchased from Sigma (Poznan, Poland) and were used for TiO_2 structure modification. Acetonitrile and orthophosphoric acid (85%) for HPLC mobile phase preparation were provided by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) and VWR (Gdansk, Poland), respectively. Phenol, used as a model organic recalcitrant pollutant in photocatalytic activity measurements, was purchased from VWR. All reagents were used without further purification.

2.1. Preparation of Defective TiO_2 in the Presence of Different Oxidizing Agents

The preparation of defective TiO_2 was performed by a hydrothermal method and annealing process. Titanium(IV) butoxide (TBT) was used as a TiO_2 precursor, and iodic acid (HIO_3), nitric acid (HNO_3), or hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) was used as an oxidizing environment. First, an appropriate amount of HIO_3 , HNO_3 , or H_2O_2 (as mentioned in Table 1) was dissolved in 80 cm^3 of distilled water. After that, 10 cm^3 of TBT was added dropwise, and the obtained suspension was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. In the next step, the suspension was transferred into a Teflon-lined autoclave for thermal treatment at 110 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 24 h. The resultant precipitate was centrifuged, dried at 70 $^\circ\text{C}$, and then calcined at 300 $^\circ\text{C}$. Calcination was carried out in two steps: with a heating rate of 3 $^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$ to the temperature of 180 $^\circ$ for 45 min, then with a heating rate of 2 $^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$ to the temperature of 300 $^\circ$ for 3 h. A series of defective TiO_2 photocatalysts with different content of used oxidants, calculated as amount relative to TiO_2 , are presented in Table 1. For easier recognition of samples, TBT- HIO_3 , TBT- HNO_3 , and TBT- H_2O_2 names are assigned to defective photocatalysts obtained in the assistance of HIO_3 , HNO_3 , and H_2O_2 oxidants, respectively.

Table 1. The oxidant concentration used for preparation of the defective TiO_2 photocatalyst.

Sample	Oxidant Concentration (mol%)	Mass of Added Oxidant (g)
TiO_2 -TBT	0	0
TBT- HIO_3 _0.5	0.5	0.026
TBT- HIO_3 _5	5	0.258
TBT- HIO_3 _20	20	1.032
TBT- HIO_3 _50	50	2.579
TBT- HIO_3 _75	75	3.869
TBT- HIO_3 _100	100	5.159
TBT- HNO_3 _50	50	0.948
TBT- H_2O_2 _50	50	1.65

In order to obtain the defective photocatalysts' series for their thermal stability test, TBT- HIO_3 _20 was synthesized hydrothermally, as was reported in the previous paragraph. Further, the

dry product was calcined in five different temperatures: 300 °C, 400 °C, 450 °C, 650 °C, and 1000 °C. Calcination was carried out in two steps: with a heating rate of 3 °C·min⁻¹ to the temperature of 180° for 45 min, then with a heating rate of 2 °C·min⁻¹ to the set temperature for 3 h.

2.2. Characterization of Obtained Defective Photocatalysts

The XRD analyses were performed using the Rigaku Intelligent X-ray diffraction system SmartLab (Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a sealed tube X-ray generator (a copper target; operated at 40 kV and 30 mA). Data was collected in 2θ range of 5–80° with a scan speed and scan step of 1°·min⁻¹ and 0.01°, respectively. The analyses were based on the International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD) database. The crystallite size of the photocatalysts in the vertical direction to the corresponding lattice plane was determined using the Scherrer's equation with the Scherrer's constant equal to 0.891. Quantitative analysis, including phase composition with standard deviation, was calculated using the reference intensity ratio (RIR) method from the most intensive independent peak of each phase.

Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms (BET method for the specific surface area) were recorded using the Micromeritics Gemini V (model 2365; Norcross, GA, USA) instrument at 77 K (liquid nitrogen temperature).

Light absorption properties were measured using diffuse reflectance (DR) spectroscopy in the range of 200–800 nm. The bandgap energy of obtained samples was calculated from $(F(R) \cdot E)^{0.5}$ against E graph, where E is the photon energy, and F(R) is the Kubelka–Munk function, proportional to the radiation's absorption. The measurements were carried out using ThermoScientific Evolution 220 Spectrophotometer (Waltham, MA, USA) equipped with a PIN-757 integrating sphere. As a reference, BaSO₄ was used.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were conducted using Escalab 250Xi multi-spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) using Mg K X-rays. Photoluminescence (PL) spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer LS 55 fluorescence spectrometer (Waltham, MA, USA) employing Xenon discharge lamp equivalent to 20 kW as the excitation source. The samples were excited at 250 nm in the air at room temperature. A 290 nm cut off filter was used during measurements at range 300–700 nm.

Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy was used for intrinsic defects formation confirmation. Measurements were conducted using RADIOPAN SE/X-2547 spectrometer (Poznań, Poland), operating at room temperature, with frequency in range 8.910984–8.917817 GHz.

Defective TiO₂ photocatalysts morphology was determined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) equipped with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS; HITACHI, S-3400N, Tokyo, Japan).

2.3. Measurements of Photocatalytic Activity

Photocatalytic activity of the obtained samples was evaluated in phenol degradation reaction, both in UV-Vis and Vis light irradiation, using 300 W Xenon lamp (LOT Oriel, Darmstadt, Germany). For the visible light measurements, a cut-off 420 nm filter (Optel, Opole, Poland) was used to obtain a settled irradiation interval. A 0.05 g (2 g·dm⁻³) of a photocatalyst, together with a 20 mg·dm⁻³ phenol solution, was added to a 25 cm³ quartz photoreactor with an exposure layer thickness of 3 cm and obtained suspension was stirred in darkness for 30 min to provide adsorption–desorption equilibrium. After that, photocatalyst suspension was irradiated under continuous stirring and a power flux of 30 mW·cm⁻² for 60 min. The constant temperature of the aqueous phase was kept at 20 °C using a water bath. Every 20 min of irradiation, 1.0 cm³ of suspension was collected and filtered through syringe filters (pore size = 0.2 μm) for the removal of photocatalysts particles. Phenol concentration, as well as a formation of degradation intermediates, were analyzed using reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system 9 (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), equipped with C18 chromatography column with bound residual silane groups (Phenomenex, model 00F-4435-E0) and a UV-Vis detector with a DAD photodiodes array (model SPD-M20A, Shimadzu).

The tests were carried out at 45 °C and under isocratic flow conditions of 0.3 mL·min⁻¹ and volume composition of the mobile phase of 70% acetonitrile, 29.5% water, and 0.5% orthophosphoric acid. Qualitative and quantitative analysis was performed based on previously made measurements of relevant substance standards [40] and using the method of an external calibration curve.

Phenol removal percentage was calculated from the equation:

$$D\% = \frac{C_o - C_n}{C_o} \cdot 100\% \quad (1)$$

where: C_o —phenol initial concentration (mg·dm⁻³) and C_n —phenol concentration during photodegradation (mg·dm⁻³).

Rate constant k was determined from $\ln(C_o/C_n)$ against t plot where C_o and C_n are phenol concentrations (mg·dm⁻³) and t is degradation time (min). Rate constant k is equal to the directional coefficient “ a ” of the plot.

In order to evaluate the stability of obtained photocatalysts, three 3-hours-long subsequent cycles of phenol under UV-Vis light with use of the most active defective TBT-HIO₃_50 sample were performed. After each cycle, photocatalyst was separated from the suspension with use of a syringe filter and use in next cycle without additional treatment.





The effect of charge carrier scavengers was examined by addition into phenol solution 1 cm³ of 500 mg·dm⁻³ of tert-butyl alcohol (t-BuOH), benzoquinone (BQ) ammonium oxalate (AO), and silver nitrate (SN).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. The Influence of Oxidizing Conditions on Defective TiO₂ Properties

As the first step, a series of three defective TiO₂ photocatalysts were obtained by the hydrothermal method. The physicochemical characteristic of the obtained samples, including BET surface area with pore volume, bandgap (E_g) and their images, compared with TiO₂-TBT photocatalyst, are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Physicochemical characteristic of the obtained defective TiO₂ samples.

Sample	BET (m ² ·g ⁻¹)	V Pores (cm ³ ·g ⁻¹)	E _g (eV)	Photo
TiO ₂ -TBT	169	0.0836	3.2	
TBT-HIO ₃ _50	166	0.0818	2.9	
TBT-HNO ₃ _50	198	0.0966	3.05	
TBT-H ₂ O ₂ _50	174	0.0858	3.1	

The XRD patterns for the as-obtained photocatalyst series are presented in Figure 1, while detailed crystalline phases characteristic is given in Table 3. For pure TiO₂-TBT sample, 95.5% of the crystalline phase of anatase, with the most intense peak at 25° 2θ was observed ([101], ICDD's card No. 7206075). After introducing to hydrothermal synthesis the oxidizing agent, the percentage of

anatase decreased in favor of other titania polymorphs: brookite ([211], with the main peak at $31^\circ 2\theta$, ICDD's card No. 9004138), and rutile ([110], with the main peak at $27^\circ 2\theta$, ICDD's card No. 9004141). According to the previous study of Gamboa and Pasquevich [41], the presence of halogen ions (chlorine, iodine, and bromine) affects rutile formation even below the anatase to rutile transition (ART) temperature [42].

Nonetheless, the anatase crystallite size was about 5–6 nm. Changes in the crystalline phases did not affect the BET surface area, which remained in the range of 166–198 $\text{m}^2\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ for TBT-HIO₃_50 and TBT-HNO₃_50, respectively.




Figure 1. XRD patterns for defective TiO₂ photocatalysts (A—anatase, B—brookite, and R—rutile).

Table 3. Crystalline phases characteristic for obtained defective TiO₂.

Sample	Crystalline Size and Phase Content					
	Anatase		Rutile		Brookite	
	Size (nm)	Phase Content (wt %)	Size (nm)	Phase Content (wt %)	Size (nm)	Phase Content (wt %)
TBT	5.97 ± 0.04	95.5 ± 1	-	-	6.1 ± 0.5	4.5 ± 0.9
TBT-HIO ₃ _50	5.70 ± 0.04	68 ± 3	9.08 ± 0.17	32 ± 17	-	-
TBT-HNO ₃ _50	5.07 ± 0.03	83 ± 10	-	-	4.6 ± 0.3	17 ± 2
TBT-H ₂ O ₂ _50	5.69 ± 0.04	75.5 ± 5	-	-	5.7 ± 0.3	24.5 ± 1.5

For optical absorption properties studies of the obtained defective TiO₂, DR/UV-Vis spectroscopy analyses were performed, and the results are presented in Figure 2. Comparing to pure TBT-TiO₂, the samples obtained in the oxidative environment had absorption spectra shifted towards the visible light due to the creation of crystalline defects. After recalculation of spectra into the Kubelka–Munk function, the Tauc transformation was used for bandgap energy determination, and its values are presented in Table 2. For TBT-HNO₃_50 and TBT-H₂O₂_50, the bandgap energies were comparable to pure TBT-TiO₂ bandgap energy and equaled 3.05 and 3.1 eV, respectively. The slightly smaller value of 2.9 eV was reported for TBT-HIO₃_50 photocatalyst. It could also be caused by a 30% rutile content in the crystal structure of the photocatalyst [43].




Figure 2. UV-Vis diffuse spectra for pure and defective TiO₂ obtained using different oxidants.

For the direct confirmation of intrinsic defects formation in obtained titanium(IV) oxide photocatalysts, EPR analyses were performed, and the results are presented as the signal intensity against the g value graph (Figure 3). The Lande factor (g) was calculated from the equation:

$$g = \frac{h \cdot f}{m_B \cdot B} \quad (2)$$

where: g –Lande factor (a.u.); h –Planck’s constant ($6.62 \cdot 10^{-34}$; J·s); f –frequency (Hz); m_B –Bohr magneton ($9.2740154 \cdot 10^{-24}$; J·T⁻¹); and B –magnetic field induction (T).




Figure 3. The EPR spectra recorded in the room temperature for defective TiO₂ photocatalysts obtained in different oxidative environments, compared with pure TBT-TiO₂ sample (blue line).

For defective TiO₂ samples, an intense signal was noticed in the range of g from 1.998 to 2.003 for TBT-H₂O₂_50 and TBT-HIO₃_50 samples, respectively. According to the literature, it could be

attributed to titanium vacancies (V_{Ti}) in titanium(IV) oxide structure [34,44,45]. This signal was not observed for TBT-TiO₂ sample. Moreover, there were no signals in the range of $g = 1.960$ – 1.990 and above 2.020 , suggesting the absence of Ti^{3+} defects as well as oxygen vacancies [45,46].

The photocatalytic activity, together with physicochemical properties, are the most important parameters for assessing the semiconductor utility in organic recalcitrant chemicals' degradation. In this regard, the series of UV-Vis and Vis light degradation reactions of phenol as a model pollutant were performed in the presence of the obtained defective TiO₂ photocatalysts. The obtained results, presented as the percentage of phenol degradation and degradation rate constant k , are shown in Figure 4a,b.




Figure 4. Efficiency of phenol degradation in UV-Vis and Vis light for TBT-TiO₂ and defective TiO₂ photocatalysts, presented as % of degradation (a) and rate constant k (b).

Comparing to pure TiO₂ (TBT sample), two defective TiO₂ photocatalysts, TBT-H₂O₂_50 and TBT-HNO₃_50, showed higher photocatalytic activity in UV-Vis light (52% after 1 hour of irradiation). In turn, defective TBT-HIO₃_50 obtained in the presence of iodic acid revealed in UV-Vis light decrease of photoactivity, compared with reference TBT-TiO₂ (42% of phenol degradation). Nonetheless, a different trend was observed under visible light range. TBT-HIO₃_50, for which photoactivity in UV-Vis light was the lowest when irradiated with the wavelength >420 nm, revealed the highest phenol degradation efficiency, equaled to 19%. It results from the synergic effect of anatase and rutile [47], and it is in agreement with the previously described shifting of the absorbance spectrum maximum towards higher wavelengths (see in Figure 2). The TBT-TiO₂ sample (anatase with a minority of brookite) showed negligible photocatalytic activity in the visible light range [48–50].

For determining the mechanism of photocatalytic degradation with the use of defective TiO₂, series of UV-Vis light photoactivity analyses, in the presence of scavengers, were performed. Benzoquinone (BQ), silver nitrate (SN), ammonium oxalate (AO), and tert-butanol (t-BuOH) were used as superoxide radical anions ($\cdot O_2^-$), electrons (e^-), holes (h^+), and hydroxyl radicals ($\cdot OH$) scavengers, respectively. Obtained results, presented as phenol degradation rate constant k , in comparison to the photodegradation process without scavengers, are presented in Figure 5.




Figure 5. UV-Vis photocatalytic degradation of phenol for defective TiO₂ photocatalysts in the presence of e⁻, h⁺, ·O₂⁻, and ·OH scavengers.

The most significant impact on phenol degradation reaction, with the use of defected TiO₂, revealed superoxide radicals. After introducing to the photocatalyst suspension of BQ, the phenol degradation efficiency decreased significantly. A slight decrease was also observed when SN as an electron trapping agent was used. On the other hand, the addition of AO and t-BuOH did not cause diminishing of phenol degradation rate. Furthermore, for the TBT-TiO₂ sample, a slight increase in photoactivity was noted after adding to the system scavenger of holes or hydroxyl radicals. It could result from the additional in-situ formation of the reactive species on the photocatalysts' surface [36]. Based on the study, a schematic mechanism of phenol degradation in the presence of defective TiO₂ (sample TBT-HIO₃) was proposed and illustrated in Figure 6.

For pure TiO₂ the valence band (VB) and conduction band (CB) are located at +2.5 eV and -0.7 eV, respectively (in respect to normal hydrogen electrode NHE) [51]. After hydrothermal treatment in oxidative conditions, titanium defects were created, which led to the narrowing of the bandgap to the value of 2.9 eV. Irradiation of the TBT-HIO₃ surface with UV-Vis or Vis light caused exciting the electron and, as a result, creating superoxide radicals. Subsequently, their reaction with phenol promotes creating intermediate products, such as hydroquinone (HQ) and benzoquinone (BQ), whose presence was confirmed using HPLC analysis. Benzoquinone and hydroquinone concentration in irradiated solution reached equilibrium due to electron and proton transfer and reversible oxidation/reduction process between these two intermediates. Hydroquinone could also be accumulated during the process due to sequential charge transfer [52].











Figure 6. Schematic illustration of phenol degradation mechanism in the presence of defective TBT-HIO₃ photocatalyst.

However, after approximately 40 min of continuous irradiation, intermediates concentration started to decrease to more simple and more-quickly oxidizable compounds, consequently leading to complete mineralization. The presented mechanism is in good agreement with the literature [40,53].

3.2. The Effect of HIO₃ Content on Defective TiO₂ Physicochemical and Photocatalytic Properties

The selected in the previous step HIO₃ as an oxidant for preparation of defective TiO₂ was further used in six different quantities (from 0.5 to 100 mol % to TiO₂) for study the effect of oxidant amount on titanium vacancies formation. General physicochemical characteristics of the obtained defective TiO₂-HIO₃ samples, i.e., BET surface area, pore volume, calculated bandgap (E_g), and their images are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Physicochemical characteristic of the obtained defective TiO₂-HIO₃ samples.

Sample	BET (m ² ·g ⁻¹)	V Pores (cm ³ ·g ⁻¹)	E _g (ev)	Photo
TBT	169	0.0836	3.2	
TBT-HIO ₃ _0.5	155	0.0764	3.0	
TBT-HIO ₃ _5	153	0.0754	2.8	
TBT-HIO ₃ _20	172	0.0847	2.7	
TBT-HIO ₃ _50	166	0.0818	2.9	
TBT-HIO ₃ _75	167	0.0826	2.9	
TBT-HIO ₃ _100	146	0.0726	3.0	

Based on the obtained results, it was found that changing of the HIO₃ concentration does not significantly affect the BET surface area of defective TiO₂-HIO₃ photocatalysts. Among the obtained samples, TBT-HIO₃_20 showed the highest specific surface area of 172 m²·g⁻¹ and the highest total pore volume of 0.0847 cm³·g⁻¹.

The XRD patterns of TBT-TiO₂ and defective TiO₂-HIO₃ obtained with a different dosage of iodic acid are presented in Figure 7. The percentage of phases and the size of crystallites are given in Table 5. All photocatalysts contain anatase in their structure, with the most intense peak at 25° 2θ ([101], ICDD's card No. 7206075). Among the defective TiO₂-HIO₃ photocatalyst series, TBT-HIO₃_20 exhibited the smallest size of anatase crystallites (5.1 nm based on the Scherrer's formula) and was characterized by the highest anatase phase content of 96.4%. The most stable titanium(IV) oxide polymorphic phase, rutile, occurs when the mol.% of iodic acid taken as an oxidant reached 50 mol%.

Simultaneously, the intensity of the primary rutile signal at $27^\circ 2\theta$ ([110], ICDD's card No. 9004141), increased significantly with the increase of iodic acid dosage for samples TBT-HIO₃_50, TBT-HIO₃_75, and TBT-HIO₃_100. It is known that for an unmodified sample, anatase to rutile transition takes place at temperatures above 600 °C [54]. Obtained TBT-HIO₃ samples calcination was carried out at 300 °C. On this basis, it could be assumed that the high content of HIO₃ may disturb the TiO₂ anatase crystalline structure, therefore promoting the low-temperature formation of rutile. It is in agreement with the study of Hanaor and Sorrell [55], which reported that impurities, dopants, and defects influence anatase to rutile transition (ART) kinetics.




Figure 7. XRD patterns for defective TiO₂-HIO₃ photocatalysts (A–anatase, B–brookite, and R–rutile).

Table 5. Crystalline phases characteristic for the obtained defective TiO₂-HIO₃ samples.

Sample	Crystalline Size and Phase Content					
	Anatase		Rutile		Brookite	
	Size (nm)	Phase Content (wt%)	Size (nm)	Phase Content (wt%)	Size (nm)	Phase Content (wt%)
TBT	5.97 ± 0.04	95.5 ± 1	-	-	6.1 ± 0.3	4.5 ± 0.9
TBT-HIO ₃ _0.5	6.09 ± 0.03	86 ± 1	-	-	5.50 ± 0.19	14 ± 1.5
TBT-HIO ₃ _5	5.43 ± 0.03	89 ± 0.5	-	-	5.2 ± 0.2	11 ± 1
TBT-HIO ₃ _20	5.14 ± 0.03	96 ± 1	-	-	4.0 ± 0.6	3.5 ± 0.5
TBT-HIO ₃ _50	5.70 ± 0.04	68 ± 3.5	9.08 ± 0.17	32 ± 17	-	-
TBT-HIO ₃ _75	5.67 ± 0.05	20.5 ± 3.5	6.57 ± 0.09	7 ± 1.8	-	-
TBT-HIO ₃ _100	6.3 ± 0.2	15 ± 3.5	7.45 ± 0.06	85 ± 1	-	-

The UV/Vis diffusion reflectance spectra of pure TiO₂ and defective TiO₂-HIO₃ obtained with a different dosage of iodic acid are presented in Figure 8a. The pure TiO₂ absorbs radiation up to 400 nm. For titanium(IV) oxide samples obtained in the presence of HIO₃ as an oxidant, the absorption edge shifted to the visible region. It corresponds to the yellow colour of these samples and indicates the bandgap narrowing due to changes in electronic structure in TiO₂. The most significant shift of absorbance maximum was noticed for TBT-HIO₃_50, TBT-HIO₃_75, and TBT-HIO₃_100 photocatalysts. It corresponds well with previously analysed XRD spectra. For iodic acid content of



50 mol% and higher, rutile phase is starting to dominate as a titanium(IV) oxide most stable polymorph, which could also affect absorbance spectra shifting [56]. The energy bandgaps of all samples were calculated from the plot of $(\text{Kubelka-Munk} \cdot E)^{0.5}$ versus E , where E is energy equal to $h\nu$, as shown in Figure 8b and summarized in Table 4. The sample TBT-HIO₃_20 exhibited the narrowest bandgap of 2.70 eV among the defective TiO₂-HIO₃ photocatalysts.




Figure 8. The diffuse reflectance (DR)/UV-Vis spectra for pure TiO₂ and defective TiO₂-HIO₃ photocatalysts (a) together with exemplary Tauc transformation (b).

For further confirmation of creating titanium vacancies, EPR analyses for the selected samples (TBT-HIO₃_20, TBT-HIO₃_50, and TBT-HIO₃_75) were performed. The obtained results, compared with spectra for TBT-TiO₂ are presented in Figure 9.




Figure 9. The EPR spectra recorded in the room temperature for selected defective TiO₂-HIO₃ photocatalysts, compared with the pure TiO₂-TBT sample (blue line).

As it was reported in the previous subsection, for defective TiO₂ photocatalysts obtained in a different oxidative environment, the intense signal attributed to titanium vacancies (V_{Ti}) appeared in the range of g from 1.998 to 2.001 for TBT-HIO₃_20 and TBT-HIO₃_50 samples, respectively. No additional signals were detected. It is also worth noting that the V_{Ti} signal increased with the increase of the iodic acid mol% used for the synthesis of defective TiO₂. It could suggest that more intrinsic defects are formed after oxidant concentration increase. Moreover, the presented trend was inversely proportional to the observed light absorbance spectra in the range of 400–500 nm (see in Figure 8). For the TBT-HIO₃_20 photocatalyst, visible light absorption was the highest, while for TBT-HIO₃_75, the lowest, which indicated that too high concentration of defects could also have a negative impact on TiO₂ photocatalytic activity. Titanium vacancies formation was also analyzed by Li et al. [57] and Ma et al. [58]. Obtained hydroxyfluorinated and lithium intercalated defected TiO₂-based photocatalysts were characterized by cationic vacancies, which successfully could work in the electrochemical applications.

The photoluminescence spectra of irradiated semiconductor materials give information on electron-hole recombination properties. Figure 10 shows normalized PL spectra of the pure TBT-TiO₂ as well as defective TBT-HIO₃_5, TBT-HIO₃_20, TBT-HIO₃_50, TBT-HIO₃_75, and TBT-HIO₃_100 photocatalyst samples. The excitation was carried out at 250 nm at a room temperature. The PL emission of maximum intensity in the high-energy region was observed at 400 nm for all samples, which was equal to 3.10 eV. It corresponds to indirect band-to-band recombination across the bandgap [59]. Other emission peaks in the visible light region were detected at 485 nm (2.56 eV) and 530 nm (2.24 eV). The emission in the 380–700 nm range could be assigned to the transition of electrons from the defect states to the valence band of titanium(IV) oxide [60] as well as trapped holes [59]. The emission in the blue region at 480 nm is related to indirect recombination via defects [60].




Figure 10. Photoluminescence (PL) spectra for defective TiO₂-HIO₃ samples.

In order to evaluate the surface properties and the state of elements, the XPS analyses were performed. The obtained results for the selected samples are presented in Figure 11a–d and in Table 6.

Table 6. Fraction of oxidation states of Ti as well as surface composition of the selected defected TBT-HIO₃ photocatalysts determined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy analysis.

Photocatalyst	Ti 2p _{3/2} (%)		O 1s (%)
	Ti ⁴⁺	Ti ³⁺	

TBT-HIO ₃ _20	27.56	0.9	71.54
TBT-HIO ₃ _100	27.47	0	72.54




Figure 11. Deconvolution of X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra for Ti 2p_{3/2} and 2p_{1/2} for TBT-HIO₃_20 (a,b) and TBT-HIO₃_100 (c,d).

The Ti 2p spectrum could be deconvoluted into two components at 459 eV and 465 eV binding energies that refer to Ti 2p_{3/2} and 2p_{1/2}, respectively. Ti 2p_{3/2} after deconvolution could be divided into 459.0 eV and 459.5 eV peaks and identified as Ti⁴⁺, resulting from the presence of anatase and rutile, respectively. For sample TBT-HIO₃_20 a trace quantity (0.9 at.%) of Ti³⁺ was observed, which could be assigned to oxygen vacancies [61]. However, apart from this sample, there was no Ti³⁺ signal observed, suggesting the lack of reduced form of titanium as well as oxygen vacancies. The presented XPS titanium peaks corresponding to both anatase and rutile correlate with XRD analysis. Together with the increase of HIO₃ oxidant amount used for the synthesis, the rutile content increase, which is also clearly visible in the presented spectra. The Ti/O ratio for all analyzed photocatalysts was equaled to 0.38. It suggests no surface and state of elements change between TBT-HIO₃ samples.

For final evaluation, since the synthesized photocatalysts do not have admixtures but their color as well as physicochemical and photocatalytic properties arise from created intrinsic defects the region I 3d was analyzed to check the presence of iodine species in the obtained samples. The results are presented in Figure 12.




Figure 12. XPS analyses spectra for TBT-HIO₃_20 sample (a) with the I 3d states binding energy enlargement (b).

As mentioned in the literature [62–64] I 3d states are in the range of 620–635 eV. However, as it could be seen in Figure 12b, there is no peak, which could be assigned to I 3d states. In this regard, for TBT-HIO₃ samples changes in physicochemical and, what is the most important, photochemical properties are caused by intrinsic defects, not titania doping with impurities.

In order to evaluate morphological differences among the obtained defective TiO₂ photocatalysts, the SEM analysis for selected samples was conducted, and the results are presented in Figure S1 in the Supplementary Materials. It was found that both samples are formed from aggregated particles. However, it is noticeable that aggregates of TBT-HIO₃_50 had a much smaller size, comparing to the TiO₂-TBT photocatalyst, although no differences were determined in crystalline sizes or the BET specific surface area.

The photocatalytic activity of defective TiO₂-HIO₃ samples was evaluated in the phenol degradation reaction, both in UV-Vis and Vis ($\lambda > 420$ nm) light. Simultaneously, the effect of e^- , h^+ , $\cdot O_2^-$, and $\cdot OH$ scavengers' presence on photoactivity was studied. The results, presented as the efficiency of phenol removal (%) as well as phenol degradation rate constant k are presented in Figure 13a,b and Figure 14.




Figure 13. Efficiency of phenol degradation in UV-Vis and Vis light for defective TiO₂-HIO₃ photocatalysts, presented as % of degradation (a) and rate constant k (b).

The best photocatalytic activity was obtained for the defective TBT-HIO₃_50 sample. After 60 min of irradiation, about 42% of phenol was degraded in UV-Vis and 19% in Vis light. It may also be noticed a characteristic normal distribution of the obtained results, where maximum falls on 50 mol%

of iodic acid. Both smaller and higher concentrations of oxidant used in hydrothermal synthesis process caused a decrease in obtained TBT-HIO₃ photoactivity.

The obtained photodegradation efficiency results correlate well with photoluminescence (PL) spectra, UV-Vis spectra, and EPR spectra analyses. From the three analyzed samples (TBT-HIO₃_20, TBT-HIO₃_50, and TBT-HIO₃_75), the most intense EPR signal was assigned to defective TBT-HIO₃_75, where the highest concentration of oxidant (75 mol%) was used. From the PL spectra analysis, the TBT-HIO₃_75 sample showed the highest intensity among analyzed photocatalysts, which indicated the highest electron-hole recombination as well as the lowest phenol degradation efficiency. It could suggest that too high of a concentration of defects in the TiO₂ structure could significantly decrease the photocatalytic activity of the defective material. The presented results also correlate with the crystalline structure of the obtained materials. With the increase of the HIO₃ concentration, the rutile content was increased (up to 80% for the TBT-HIO₃_75 photocatalyst). According to the literature, too high rutile concentration could also be responsible for decreasing of the TiO₂ photocatalytic activity [65].

The addition of BQ as an $\cdot\text{O}_2^-$ scavenger caused a significant reduction of photoactivity of all obtained TBT-HIO₃ photocatalysts, regardless of the used HIO₃ concentration for their synthesis. It indicated that superoxide radical anions are the most crucial reactive oxygen species in the photocatalytic reaction with the use of defective TBT-HIO₃ samples. After introducing to the photoreactive SN, AO, and t-BuOH, the changes of the phenol degradation rate constant k , comparing to the process without scavenger, were negligible.




Figure 14. UV-Vis photocatalytic degradation of phenol for TiO₂-HIO₃ photocatalyst in the presence of e⁻, h⁺, $\cdot\text{O}_2^-$, and $\cdot\text{OH}$ scavengers.

The physicochemical and surface properties of the most active defective TiO₂ photocatalyst (TBT-HIO₃_50) was analyzed before and after 1 hour of phenol degradation process in the presence of UV-Vis irradiation to confirm the photocatalyst stability. The obtained results are presented in Figures S2–S4 and in Table S1 in the Supplementary Materials. The additional XPS as well as XRD analysis showed, that after 1 hour of degradation process the physicochemical properties, e.g., crystalline size and surface composition did not change. Moreover, both TBT-HiO₃_50 samples showed also a similar FTiR spectra (see in Figure S3) with a broad band at 3450–3050 cm⁻¹ attributed to the stretching mode of the hydroxyl group on the TiO₂ surface. The Ti-O bending mode and deformative vibration of the Ti-OH stretching mode may be observed at 498–463 cm⁻¹ and 1629 cm⁻¹ respectively. The band at 1629 cm⁻¹ may be attributed to water adsorbed on the TiO₂ surface.

Final stability and reusability test of defective TBT-HIO₃_50 photocatalyst was performed in three 3-hours-long subsequent cycles of phenol degradation under UV-Vis light. The obtained results are presented in Figure 15.








Figure 15. Efficiency of UV-Vis phenol degradation in the presence of a defective TBT-HIO₃_50 photocatalyst measured in the three subsequent cycles.

After 9 h of irradiation, the percentage of degraded phenol was 80%, which is almost equal to photodegradation efficiency after 3 h (82%). A slight drop in the rate constant k could be seen (from $k = 0.8 \times 10^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1}$ after first cycle to $k = 0.75 \times 10^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1}$ after the second and the third cycle). However, the analysed photocatalyst still revealed good stability and reusability.

3.3. The Effect of Thermal Treatment on Defective TiO₂-HIO₃ Physicochemical and Photocatalytic Properties

Further, the investigation on defective photocatalysts concerned with the thermal stability of TiO₂-HIO₃ samples was undertaken. A series of five TiO₂-HIO₃ photocatalysts, calcined in different temperatures from 300 to 1000 °C was obtained. As a reference, as characterized earlier, the TBT-HIO₃_20 photocatalyst was used, named as TBT-HIO₃_20_300, as it was calcined at 300 °C. General physicochemical characteristics of the obtained defective TiO₂-HIO₃_T samples, i.e., BET surface area, pore volume, calculated bandgap (E_g), and their images are shown in Table 7.

Table 7. Physicochemical characteristic of the obtained defective TiO₂-HIO₃_T samples.

Sample	BET ($\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$)	V Pores ($\text{cm}^3 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$)	E_g (eV)	Photo
TBT	169	0.0836	3.2	
TBT-HIO ₃ _20_300	172	0.0847	2.7	
TBT-HIO ₃ _20_400	88	0.0432	2.85	
TBT-HIO ₃ _20_450	48	0.0236	2.9	

TBT-HIO ₃ _20_650	0.7	0.0003	2.9
TBT-HIO ₃ _20_1000	0.4	0.0002	2.8



The addition of HIO₃ to the reaction environment had a negligible effect on changing the BET surface area as well as particles and crystallites sizes. Nonetheless, increasing the calcination temperature by 100 °C led to a 50% surface area decreasing (from 172 to 88 m²·g⁻¹ for TBT-HIO₃_20_300 and TBT-HIO₃_20_400, respectively). Further increasing of the thermal treatment up to 1000 °C caused the decrease of the BET surface area to 0.4 m²·g⁻¹.

Changes in the BET surface area correlate well with differences noted on the XRD patterns for TBT-HIO₃_T samples, presented in Figure 16. The higher the calcination temperature, the more intense the XRD diffraction peaks, which resulted from the increase in photocatalysts crystallinity [66]. Other changes concern crystallites growth (for anatase: from 5 to 12 nm TBT-HIO₃_20_300 and TBT-HIO₃_20_450, respectively and for rutile: from 17 to 53.5 nm for TBT-HIO₃_20_400 and TBT-HIO₃_20_1000, respectively) as well as the anatase to rutile phase transition. No rutile phase was present in TBT-HIO₃_20_300 photocatalyst, while its content increased rapidly as the calcination temperature increased until it reached 100% at T = 650 °C. As mentioned before, the anatase to rutile transition takes place in about 600 °C. However, the introduction to crystal structure various types of defects promotes this transformation at lower temperatures [67].

The detailed information about crystallite sizes and phase contents presented with standard deviation are given in Table 8.





Figure 16. XRD patterns for defective TiO₂-HIO₃_T photocatalysts (A–anatase, B–brookite, and R–rutile).

Table 8. Crystalline phases characteristic for the obtained defective TiO₂-HIO₃_T samples.

Sample	Crystalline Size and Phase Content					
	Anatase		Rutile		Brookite	
	Size (nm)	Phase Content (wt%)	Size (nm)	Phase Content (wt%)	Size (nm)	Phase Content (wt%)
TBT	5.97 ± 0.04	95.5 ± 1	-	-	6.1 ± 0.3	4.5 ± 1
TBT-HIO ₃ _20_300	5.14 ± 0.03	96 ± 1	-	-	4.0 ± 0.6	4 ± 0.5
TBT-HIO ₃ _20_400	8.34 ± 0.05	70.5 ± 0.5	17.4 ± 0.2	29.5 ± 0.5	-	-
TBT-HIO ₃ _20_450	12.13 ± 0.09	40 ± 0.5	22.51 ± 0.19	60.5 ± 0.5	-	-
TBT-HIO ₃ _20_650	-	-	40.3 ± 0.3	100 ± 0.5	-	-
TBT-HIO ₃ _20_1000	-	-	53.5 ± 0.3	100 ± 0.5	-	-

Shifting of the absorption maximum on DR/UV-Vis spectra for defective TiO₂-HIO₃_T samples (Figure 17) was mostly related to the anatase to rutile phase transition. It was mentioned by Valencia et al. [68] that anatase bandgap is equal to 3.23 eV, while rutile from 3.06 to 3.10 eV. From Tauc transformation, bandgap values for TiO₂-HIO₃_T photocatalysts were calculated and are in the range from 2.7 to 2.9 eV. Despite the changes in photocatalysts phase contents a slight decrease in bandgap value, in response to TiO₂, could be caused by defects formation in the crystal structure [69].

**Figure 17.** UV-Vis diffuse spectra for pure TiO₂ and defective TiO₂-HIO₃_20_T photocatalysts calcined in different temperatures.


Similarly to TBT-HIO₃ photocatalysts, TBT-HIO₃_20_T samples' surface properties, as well as the state of elements, were analyzed using XPS analysis. The obtained results are presented in Figure 18a–f and Table 9.



Table 9. Fraction of oxidation states of Ti as well as surface composition of selected defected TBT-HIO₃_20_T photocatalysts determined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS).

Photocatalyst	Ti 2p _{3/2} (%)		O 1s (%)
	Ti ⁴⁺	Ti ³⁺	
TBT-HIO ₃ _20_300	27.56	0.9	71.54
TBT-HIO ₃ _20_400	27.99	0	72.01
TBT-HIO ₃ _20_450	28.43	0	71.58

States of elements for thermally treated TBT-HIO₃_20_T are identical as for previously described TBT-HIO₃ samples. Oxygen vacancies are not detected, except TBT-HIO₃_20_300 photocatalyst with 0.9 at.% of Ti³⁺ form. Observed deconvoluted spectra for Ti 2p_{3/2} and 2p_{1/2} corresponded well with XRD analysis and showed the anatase–rutile transition.

**Figure 18.** Deconvolution of X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra for Ti 2p_{3/2} and 2p_{1/2} for TBT-HIO₃_20_300 (a,b), TBT-HIO₃_20_400 (c,d) and TBT-HIO₃_20_450 (e,f).

For the final evaluation, photocatalytic activity tests for TiO₂-HIO₃_20_T samples in the phenol degradation reaction, both in UV-Vis and Vis ($\lambda > 420$ nm) light, were performed. Simultaneously, the effect of e⁻, h⁺, •O₂⁻, and •OH scavengers' presence on photoactivity was studied. Results,

presented as phenol removal in % as well as phenol degradation rate constant k , are presented in Figure 19a,b and Figure 20.

According to the literature, the optimum calcination temperature for iodine-doped photocatalysts is between 300 and 400 °C, with the temperature of 300 °C preferred when potassium iodide is used as an iodine precursor, while 400 °C when iodic acid is applied as a precursor [70,71]. Above these temperatures, the photoactivity of prepared materials decreased significantly. Nonetheless, for TBT-HIO₃ defective photocatalysts, where iodic acid was used as an oxidative environment for titanium vacancies generation, the highest efficiency in phenol degradation reaction was noticed for sample calcined in 450 °C (57% of phenol removal after 1 h of UV-Vis light irradiation). Moreover, the yellow color of the sample was maintained even after calcination at 1000 °C (see in Table 7). It suggests that the obtained intrinsic defects in the crystal structure of TiO₂ were stable even in higher calcination temperatures. A slightly different situation was observed when photoactivity tests were carried out in the visible light. Apart from TBT-HIO₃_20_300 photocatalyst, all samples showed negligible efficiency in phenol degradation reaction. However, this could be caused by increasing rutile phase content in the photocatalyst structure [72].




Figure 19. Efficiency of phenol degradation in UV-Vis and Vis light for defective TiO₂-HIO₃_20_T photocatalysts, presented as % of degradation (a) and rate constant k (b).




Figure 20. UV-Vis photocatalytic degradation of phenol for TiO₂-HIO₃_20_T photocatalysts in the presence of e^- , h^+ , O_2^- , and OH scavengers.

For TiO₂-HIO₃_20_T photocatalysts, there was no difference in mechanistic studies as compared to the previously discussed defected TiO₂ series. The superoxide radical anions remained the most crucial for the phenol degradation reaction, while the addition of ammonium oxalate, tert-butyl alcohol, and silver nitrate as scavengers did not affect the photoactivity.

4. Conclusions

Hydrothermal treatment in the presence of an oxidative environment led to titanium vacancies generation in the structure of TiO₂. Created intrinsic defects caused yellow coloration of titania, while at the same time, absorption of semiconductor was shifted to visible light as well as bandgap was reduced to 2.9 eV. Among studied oxidants, defective TiO₂ samples obtained in the presence of iodic acid were characterized by the highest phenol degradation efficiency in visible light. In-depth analysis, including EPR and XPS measurements, confirmed that increasing in photoactivity, compared to pure material, is directly caused by defects, not by doping. Further analysis regarding the optimum amount of HIO₃ as well as the thermal stability of synthesized defected TiO₂-HIO₃ photocatalysts, showed that from 20 to 50 mol% of oxidant added to hydrothermal reaction is capable of creating material with a great photoactivity and no loss in photoactivity up to 450 °C.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/13/12/2763/s1, Figure S1: SEM analysis of pure TiO₂-TBT (a,b) and defective TBT-HIO₃_50 (c,d) photocatalysts, Figure S2: Deconvolution of X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) for Ti 2p_{3/2} and O 1s for TBT-HIO₃_50 before (a,b) and after phenol photodegradation (c,d). Figure S3: XRD patterns for defective TBT-HIO₃_50 photocatalyst before and after a photocatalytic phenol degradation (A–anatase, R–rutile). Figure S4: Fast-Fourier transformation spectroscopy (FTIR) spectra of defective TBT-HIO₃_50 before and after phenol photodegradation. Table S1: Fraction of oxidation states of Ti as well as surface composition of defective TBT-HIO₃_50 photocatalyst before and after phenol degradation.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.Z.-J.; methodology, A.Z.-J. and S.D.; formal analysis, Z.B., A.S. and S.D.; investigation, Z.B., A.S., D.P. and J.R.; resources, A.Z.-J.; writing—original draft preparation, Z.B.; writing—review and editing, A.Z.-J.; supervision, A.Z.-J.; funding acquisition, A.Z.-J. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Polish National Science Centre (Grant No. NCN 2018/30/E/ST5/00845).

Acknowledgments: This research was supported by Polish National Science Centre, grant no. NCN 2018/30/E/ST5/00845) and Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education grant no. 0525/E-359/STYP/13/2018-Scholarships for outstanding young scientists.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Awfa, D.; Ateia, M.; Fujii, M.; Johnson, M.S.; Yoshimura, C. Photodegradation of pharmaceuticals and personal care products in water treatment using carbonaceous-TiO₂ composites: A critical review of recent literature. *Water Res.* **2018**, *142*, 26–45.
2. Naeem, K.; Ouyang, F. Preparation of Fe³⁺-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles and its photocatalytic activity under UV light. *Phys. B Phys. Condens. Matter* **2010**, *405*, 221–226.
3. Ashkarran, A.A.; Aghigh, S.M.; Kavianipour, M.; Farahani, N.J. Visible light photo- and bioactivity of Ag/TiO₂ nanocomposite with various silver contents. *Curr. Appl. Phys.* **2011**, *11*, 1048–1055.
4. Zielińska-Jurek, A.; Kowalska, E.; Sobczak, J.; Lisowski, W.; Ohtani, B.; Zaleska-Medynska, A. Preparation and characterization of monometallic (Au) and bimetallic (Ag/Au) modified-titania photocatalysts activated by visible light. *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **2010**, *101*, 504–514.
5. Huang, J.G.; Guo, X.T.; Wang, B.; Li, L.Y.; Zhao, M.X.; Dong, L.L.; Liu, X.J.; Huang, Y.T. Synthesis and photocatalytic activity of Mo-doped TiO₂ nanoparticles. *J. Spectrosc.* **2015**, 681850.
6. Yamashita, H.; Harada, M.; Misaka, J.; Takeuchi, M.; Neppolian, B.; Anpo, M. Photocatalytic degradation of organic compounds diluted in water using visible light-responsive metal ion-implanted TiO₂ catalysts: Fe ion-implanted TiO₂. *Catal. Today* **2003**, *84*, 191–196.

7. A. Zielińska-Jurek, Z.; Wei, M.; Janczarek, I.; Wysocka, E.; Kowalska, E. Size-controlled synthesis of Pt particles on TiO₂ surface: Physicochemical characteristic and photocatalytic activity. *Catalysts* **2019**, *9*, 940, doi:10.3390/catal9110940.
8. Zielińska-Jurek, A.; Wei, Z.; Janczarek, M.; Wysocka, I.; Kowalska, E.; Jurek, Z. The effect of calcination temperature on structure and photocatalytic properties of Au/Pd nanoparticles supported on TiO₂. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2014**, *152–153*, 202–211.
9. Suwannaruang, T.; Kamonsuangkasem, K.; Kidkhunthod, P.; Chirawatkul, P.; Saiyasombat, C.; Chanlek, N.; Wantala, K. Influence of nitrogen content levels on structural properties and photocatalytic activities of nanorice-like N-doped TiO₂ with various calcination temperatures. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **2018**, *105*, 265–276.
10. Irie, H.; Watanabe, Y.; Hashimoto, K. Carbon-doped Anatase TiO₂ Powders as a Visible-light Sensitive Photocatalyst. *Chem. Lett.* **2003**, *32*, 772–773.
11. Trapalis, C.; Todorova, N.; Giannakopoulou, T.; Romanos, G.; Vaimakis, T.; Yu, J. Preparation of fluorine-doped TiO₂ photocatalysts with controlled crystalline structure. *Int. J. Photoenergy* **2008**, doi:10.1155/2008/534038.
12. Wang, X.K.; Wang, C.; Jiang, W.Q.; Guo, W.L.; Wang, J.G. Sonochemical synthesis and characterization of Cl-doped TiO₂ and its application in the photodegradation of phthalate ester under visible light irradiation. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2012**, *189–190*, 288–294.
13. Rockafellow, E.M.; Stewart, L.K.; Jenks, W.S. Is sulfur-doped TiO₂ an effective visible light photocatalyst for remediation? *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2009**, *91*, 554–562.
14. Chowdhury, P.; Moreira, J.; Gomaa, H.; Ray, A.K. Visible-solar-light-driven photocatalytic degradation of phenol with dye-sensitized TiO₂: Parametric and kinetic study. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2012**, *51*, 4523–4532.
15. Diaz-Angulo, J.; Gomez-Bonilla, I.; Jimenez-Tohapanta, C.; Mueses, M.; Pinzon, M.; Machuca-Martinez, F. Visible-light activation of TiO₂ by dye-sensitization for degradation of pharmaceutical compounds. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* **2019**, *18*, 897–904.
16. Shang, J.; Zhao, F.; Zhu, T.; Li, J. Photocatalytic degradation of rhodamine B by dye-sensitized TiO₂ under visible-light irradiation. *Sci. China Chem.* **2011**, *54*, 167–172.
17. Nosaka, Y.; Matsushita, M.; Nishino, J.; Nosaka, A. Nitrogen-doped titanium dioxide photocatalysts for visible response prepared by using organic compounds. *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.* **2005**, *6*, 143–148.
18. Kitano, M.; Funatsu, K.; Matsuoka, M.; Ueshima, M.; Anpo, M. Preparation of Nitrogen-Substituted TiO₂ Thin Film Photocatalysts by the Radio Frequency Magnetron Sputtering Deposition Method and Their Photocatalytic Reactivity under Visible Light Irradiation. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, *110*, 25266–25272.
19. Dong, F.; Guo, S.; Wang, H.; Li, X.; Wu, Z. Enhancement of the Visible Light Photocatalytic Activity of C-Doped TiO₂ Nanomaterials Prepared by a Green Synthetic Approach. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115*, 13285–13292.
20. Dong, H.; Zeng, G.; Tang, L.; Fan, C.; Zhang, C.; He, X.; He, Y. An overview on limitations of TiO₂-based particles for photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants and the corresponding countermeasures. *Water Res.* **2015**, *79*, 128–146.
21. Zhang, J.; Wu, Y.; Xing, M.; Leghari, S.A.K.; Sajjad, S. Development of modified N doped TiO₂ photocatalyst with metals, nonmetals and metal oxides. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2010**, *3*, 715–726.
22. Di Paola, A.; Ikeda, S.; Marci, G.; Ohtani, B.; Palmisano, L. Transition metal doped TiO₂: Physical properties and photocatalytic behaviour. *Int. J. Photoenergy* **2001**, *3*, 171–176.
23. Wang, Z.; Lang, X. Visible Light Photocatalysis of Dye-Sensitized TiO₂: The Selective Aerobic Oxidation of Amines to Imines. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2018**, *224*, 404–409.
24. Ferrere, S.; Gregg, B.A. Photosensitization of TiO₂ by [FeII(2,2'-bipyridine-4,4'-dicarboxylic acid)₂(CN)₂]: Band Selective Electron Injection from Ultra-Short-Lived Excited States. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 843–844.
25. Mongal, B.N.; Tiwari, A.; Malapaka, C.; Pal, U. Ruthenium(III)-bis(phenolato)bipyridine/TiO₂ hybrids: Unprecedented photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *Dalt. Trans.* **2019**, *48*, 10070–10077.
26. Priyanka, K.P.; Sankararaman, S.; Balakrishna, K.M.; Varghese, T. Enhanced Visible Light Photocatalysis using TiO₂/Phthalocyanine Nanocomposites for the Degradation of Selected Industrial Dyes. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2017**, *720*, 541–549.
27. Zhao, J.; Wu, T.; Wu, K.; Oikawa, K.; Hidaka, H.; Serpone, N. Photoassisted Degradation of Dye Pollutants. 3. Degradation of the Cationic Dye Rhodamine B in Aqueous Anionic Surfactant/TiO₂ Dispersions under Visible Light Irradiation: Evidence for the Need of Substrate Adsorption on TiO₂ Particles. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1998**, *32*, 2394–2400.

28. Zhao, J.; Chen, C.; Ma, W. Photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants under visible light irradiation. *Top. Catal.* **2005**, *35*, 269–278.
29. Kang, X.; Liu, S.; Dai, Z.; He, Y.; Song, X.; Tan, Z. Titanium Dioxide: From Engineering to Applications. *Catalysts* **2019**, *9*, 1–32.
30. Lin, L.; Huang, J.; Li, X.; Abass, M.A.; Zhang, S. Effective Surface Disorder Engineering of Metal Oxide Nanocrystals for Improved Photocatalysis. *App. Catal. B Environ.* **2017**, *203*, 615–624.
31. Zuo, F.; Bozhilov, K.; Dillon, R.J.; Wang, L.; Smith, P.; Zhao, X.; Bardeen, C.; Feng, P. Active Facets on Titanium(III)-Doped TiO₂: An Effective Strategy to Improve the Visible-Light Photocatalytic Activity. *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 6223–6226.
32. Ullattil, S.G.; Narendranath, S.B.; Pillai, S.C.; Periyat, P. Black TiO₂ Nanomaterials: A Review of Recent Advances. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2018**, *343*, 708–736.
33. Lettieri, S.; Gargiulo, V.; Alfe, M.; Amati, M.; Zeller, P.; Maraloiu, V.-A.; Borbone, F.; Muñoz-García, A.B.; Pavone, M.; Maddalena, P. A Simple Ethanol Refluxing Method for Production of Blue Colored Titanium Dioxide with Oxygen Vacancies and Visible Light-Driven Photocatalytic Properties. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2020**, *124*, 3564–3576.
34. Wu, Q.; Huang, F.; Zhao, M.; Xu, J.; Zhou, J.; Wang, Y. Ultra-small yellow defective TiO₂ nanoparticles for co-catalyst free photocatalytic hydrogen production. *Nano Energy* **2016**, *24*, 63–71.
35. Guo, Z.; Ma, R.; Li, G. Degradation of phenol by nanomaterial TiO₂ in wastewater. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2006**, *119*, 55–59.
36. Sulowska, A.; Wysocka, I.; Pelczarski, D.; Karczewski, J.; Zielińska-Jurek, A. Hybrid TiO₂ – Polyaniline Photocatalysts and their Application in Building Gypsum Plasters. *Materials* **2020**, *13*, 1–22.
37. Shet, A.; Vidya, S.K. Solar light mediated photocatalytic degradation of phenol using Ag core-TiO₂shell (Ag@TiO₂) nanoparticles in batch and fluidized bed reactor. *Sol. Energy* **2016**, *127*, 67–78.
38. Kang, X.; Song, X.-Z.; Han, Y.; Cao, J.; Tan, Z. Defect-engineered TiO₂ Hollow Spiny Nanocubes for Phenol Degradation under Visible Light Irradiation. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 5904.
39. Colon, G.; Sanchez-Espana, J.M.; Hidalgo, M.C.; Navio, J.A. Effect of TiO₂ acidic pre-treatment on the photocatalytic properties for phenol degradation. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem.* **2006**, *179*, 20–27.
40. Wysocka, I.; Kowalska, E.; Trzciński, K.; Łapiński, M.; Nowaczyk, G.; Zielińska-Jurek, A. UV-Vis-Induced Degradation of Phenol over Magnetic Photocatalysts Modified with Pt, Pd, Cu and Au Nanoparticles. *Nanomaterials* **2018**, *8*, 1–20.
41. Gamboa, J.A.; Pasquevich, D.M. Effect of Chlorine Atmosphere on the Anatase-Rutile Transformation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *75*, 2934–2938.
42. Byrne, C.; Fagan, R.; Hinder, S.; McCormack, D.E.; Pillai, S.C. New Approach of Modifying the Anatase to Rutile Transition Temperature in TiO₂ Photocatalysts. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 95232–95238.
43. Janczarek, M.; Kowalska, E. On the Origin of Enhanced Photocatalytic Activity of Copper-Modified Titania in the Oxidative Reaction Systems. *Catalysts* **2017**, *7*, 1–26.
44. Fittipaldi, M.; Gatteschi, D.; Fornasiero, P. The power of EPR techniques in revealing active sites in heterogeneous photocatalysis: The case of anion doped TiO₂. *Catal. Today* **2013**, *206*, 2–11.
45. Kumar, C.P.; Gopal, N.O.; Wang, T.C. EPR Investigation of TiO₂ Nanoparticles with Temperature-Dependent Properties. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, *110*, 5223–5229.
46. Wang, S.; Pan, L.; Song, J.-J.; Mi, W.; Zou, J.-J.; Wang, L.; Zhang, X. Titanium-Defected Undoped Anatase TiO₂ with p-Type Conductivity, Room-Temperature Ferromagnetism, and Remarkable Photocatalytic Performance. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 2975–2983.
47. Su, R.; Bechstein, R.; Sø, L.; Vang, R.T.; Sillassen, M.; Esjornsson, B.; Palmqvist, A.; Besenbacher, F. How the Anatase-to-Rutile Ratio Influences the Photoreactivity of TiO₂. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115*, 24287–24292.
48. Kubiak, A.; Siwińska-Ciesielczyk, K.; Jesionowski, T. Titania-Based Hybrid Materials with ZnO, ZrO₂ and MoS₂: A Review. *Materials* **2018**, *11*, 1–56.
49. Zalas, M. Synthesis of N-doped template-free mesoporous titania for visible light photocatalytic applications. *Catal. Today* **2014**, *230*, 91–96.
50. Wang, K.; Janczarek, M.; Wei, Z.; Raja-Mogan, T.; Endo-Kimura, M.; Khedr, T.M.; Ohtani, B.; Kowalska, E. Morphology- and Crystalline Composition-Governed Activity of Titania-Based Photocatalysts: Overview and Perspective. *Catalysts* **2019**, *9*, 1054.
51. Shimura, K.; Yoshida, H. Heterogeneous photocatalytic hydrogen production from water and biomass. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2011**, *4*, 2467–2481.

52. Palmer, A.G.; Gao, R.; Maresh, J.; Erbil, W.K.; Lynn, D.G. Chemical Biology of Multi-Host/Pathogen Interactions: Chemical Perception and Metabolic Complementation. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **2014**, *42*, 439–464.
53. Lv, K.; Guo, X.; Wu, X.; Li, Q.; Ho, W.; Li, M.; Ye, H.; Du, D. Photocatalytic selective oxidation of phenol to produce dihydroxybenzenes in a TiO₂/UV system: Hydroxyl radical versus hole. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2016**, *199*, 405–411.
54. Wetchakun, N.; Incessungvorn, B.; Wetchakun, K.; Phanichphant, S. Influence of calcination temperature on anatase to rutile phase transformation in TiO₂ nanoparticles synthesized by the modified sol-gel method. *Mater. Lett.* **2012**, *82*, 195–198.
55. Hanaor, D.A.H.; Sorrell, C.C. Review of the anatase to rutile phase transformation. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2011**, *46*, 855–874.
56. Powell, M.J.; Quesada-Cabrera, R.; Travis, W.L.; Parkin, I.P. High-throughput synthesis of core-shell and multi-shelled materials by fluidised bed chemical vapour deposition. Case study: Double-shell rutile-anatase particles. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2015**, *3*, 17241–17247.
57. Li, W.; Body, M.; Legein, C.; Borkiewicz, O.J.; Dambournet, D. Atomic Insights into Nanoparticle Formation of Hydroxyfluorinated Anatase Featuring Titanium Vacancies. *Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, *55*, 7182–7187.
58. Ma, J.; Li, W.; Morgan, B.J.; Światowska, J.; Baddour-Hadjean, R.; Body, M.; Legein, C.; Borkiewicz, O.J.; Leclerc, S.; Groult, H.; et al. Lithium Intercalation in Anatase Titanium Vacancies and the Role of Local Anionic Environment. *Chem. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 3078–3089.
59. Saha, A.; Moya, A.; Kahnt, A.; Iglesias, D.; Marchesan, S.; Wannemacher, R.; Prato, M.; Vilatela, J.J.; Guldi, D.M. Interfacial Charge Transfer in Functionalized Multi-walled Carbon Nanotube@TiO₂ nanofibres. *Nanoscale* **2017**, *9*, 7911–7921.
60. Liu, B.; Zhao, X.; Zhao, Q.; He, X.; Feng, J. Effect of heat treatment on the UV-vis-NIR and PL spectra of TiO₂ films. *J. Electron Spectros. Relat. Phenomena* **2005**, *148*, 158–163.
61. Bielan, Z.; Kowalska, E.; Dudziak, S.; Wang, K.; Ohtani, B.; Zielińska-Jurek, A. Mono- and bimetallic (Pt/Cu) titanium(IV) oxide core-shell photocatalysts with UV/Vis light activity and magnetic separability. *Catal. Today* **2020**, doi:10.1016/j.cattod.2020.05.034.
62. Zielińska-Jurek, A.; Klein, M.; Hupka, J. Enhanced visible light photocatalytic activity of Pt/I-TiO₂ in a slurry system and supported on glass packing. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2017**, *189*, 246–252.
63. Tojo, S.; Tachikawa, T.; Fujitsuka, M.; Majima, T. Iodine-Doped TiO₂ Photocatalysts: Correlation between Band Structure and Mechanism. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2008**, *112*, 14948–14954.
64. Jayashree, S.; Ashokkumar, M. Switchable Intrinsic Defect Chemistry of Titania for Catalytic Applications. *Catalysts* **2018**, *8*, 1–26.
65. Gautam, A.; Kshirsagar, A.; Biswas, R.; Banerjee, S.; Khanna, P.K. Photodegradation of Organic Dyes Based on Anatase and Rutile TiO₂ Nano-Particles. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 2746–2759.
66. Mrotek, E.; Dudziak, S.; Malinowska, I.; Pelczarski, D.; Ryżyńska, Z.; Zielińska-Jurek, A. Improved degradation of etodolac in the presence of core-shell ZnFe₂O₄/SiO₂/TiO₂ magnetic photocatalyst. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *724*, 1–12.
67. Ricci, P.C.; Carbonaro, C.M.; Stagi, L.; Salis, M.; Casu, A.; Enzo, S.; Delogu, F. Anatase-To-Rutile Phase Transition In Nanoparticles Irradiated By Visible Light. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2013**, *117*, 785–7857.
68. Valencia, S.; Marín, J.M.; Restrepo, G. Study of the Bandgap of Synthesized Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles Using the Sol-Gel Method and a Hydrothermal Treatment. *Open Mater. Sci. J.* **2010**, *4*, 9–14.
69. Khan, M.E.; Khan, M.M.; Min, B.-K.; Cho, M.H. Microbial fuel cell assisted band gap narrowed TiO₂ for visible light- induced photocatalytic activities and power generation. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 1–12.
70. Wang, W.A.; Shi, Q.; Wang, Y.P.; Cao, J.L.; Liu, G.Q.; Peng, P.Y. Preparation and characterization of iodine-doped mesoporous TiO₂ by hydrothermal method. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2011**, *257*, 3688–3696.
71. Wang, Y.; Ren, J.; Liu, G.; Peng, P. Synthesis and characterization of iodine ion doped mesoporous TiO₂ by sol-gel method. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *130*, 493–499.
72. Luttrell, T.; Halpegamage, S.; Tao, J.; Kramer, A.; Sutter, E.; Batzill, M. Why is anatase a better photocatalyst than rutile?-Model studies on epitaxial TiO₂ films. *Sci. Rep.* **2014**, *4*, 1–8.

