

# Journal Pre-proof

Biocomposites from recycled resources as candidates for laboratory reference material to validate analytical tools used in organic compounds emissions investigation

Mariusz Marć, Małgorzata Rutkowska, Aleksander Hejna, Mateusz Barczewski



PII: S0360-1323(22)00494-2

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109259>

Reference: BAE 109259

To appear in: *Building and Environment*

Received Date: 23 March 2022


Revised Date: 27 May 2022

Accepted Date: 1 June 2022

Please cite this article as: Marć M, Rutkowska Mał, Hejna A, Barczewski M, Biocomposites from recycled resources as candidates for laboratory reference material to validate analytical tools used in organic compounds emissions investigation, *Building and Environment* (2022), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109259>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 Published by Elsevier Ltd.



1 **Biocomposites from recycled resources as candidates for laboratory reference material**  
2 **to validate analytical tools used in organic compounds emissions investigation**

3  
4 Mariusz Marc<sup>1\*</sup>, Małgorzata Rutkowska<sup>1</sup>, Aleksander Hejna<sup>2</sup>, Mateusz Barczewski<sup>3</sup>

5  
6 <sup>1</sup>Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Gdansk University of Technology

7 <sup>2</sup>Department of Polymer Technology, Chemical Faculty, Gdansk University of Technology

8 <sup>3</sup>Institute of Materials Technology, Poznan University of Technology

9  
10 \*Corresponding author: marmarc@pg.edu.pl

11 Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Gdansk University of Technology,

12 Narutowicza 11/12 street, 80-233 Gdansk, Poland

13  
14 **Abstract**

15 A suitably chosen reference material should meet specific criteria like representing one of the  
16 compound classes most commonly occurring in indoor materials as well as having optimal  
17 long-term stability during storage and transport to its destination point and having a compact  
18 size. The described interdisciplinary pilot research was aimed to develop and characterize a  
19 polymer-based candidate for the laboratory reference material (LRM) of selected  
20 representatives of monoaromatic hydrocarbons (toluene and furfural) and terpenes emissions.  
21 Recycled, petroleum-based low-density polyethylene (LDPE) was applied as a matrix and was  
22 filled with plant-based wastes, such as apple pomace (AP), sunflower husks (SH), or yerba mate  
23 (YM) residues. The performance and suitability of the developed candidate for use as laboratory  
24 reference material was analyzed using FT-IR spectroscopy and differential scanning  
25 calorimetry (DSC). The migration potential of the representatives of monoaromatic  
26 hydrocarbons and terpenes emitted from the developed polymer material was assessed using  
27 the stationary emission microchamber system ( $\mu$ -CTE 250). In the case of candidates for LRM  
28 with the addition of YM and AP, a clear relationship was observed between the samples  
29 seasoning time in the chamber and the total amount of VOCs released into the gaseous phase,  
30 including identified and determined representatives of terpenes. Furthermore, the existence of  
31 a clear relationship between the size (intensity) of the emission defined by the calculated  
32 summary parameters (TVOCs and sum of terpenes) and the seasoning/conditioning temperature  
33 of polymeric materials with bioadditives was observed.

34

1 **Keywords:** reference material; indoor materials; product emissions testing; emissions;  
2 bioadditives; terpenes

3

#### 4 **1. Introduction**

5 Following the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC), the primary  
6 alternative for testing the accuracy of an analytical method is to analyze a certified reference  
7 material (CRM) [1]. Nevertheless, it is not easy to obtain a CRM with the same or similar matrix  
8 as the sample analyzed. According to the Committee on Reference Materials of the International  
9 Organization for Standardization, a reference material is one that is “sufficiently homogeneous  
10 and stable with respect to one or more specified properties, which has been established to be fit  
11 for its intended use in a measurement process” [2]. CRMs should be similar to real samples in  
12 terms of the composition of the matrix, levels of analytes, potential interferences, and the  
13 material's physical state [3]. In addition, generally available CRMs have a high price due to  
14 time-consuming and costly certification steps. In the absence of a suitable CRM, an alternative  
15 strategy is to use a reference material (RM), also known as a laboratory reference material  
16 (LRM) or laboratory control material (LCM) that must also meet the same homogeneity and  
17 stability criteria to provide suitability. Such materials can be used at all steps of the  
18 measurement process, from instrument calibration, validation of analytical methods, and the  
19 quality control process [4].

20 Synthetic materials can contain many chemical additives and contaminants that can migrate and  
21 contaminate food, water, soil, and air. Among other things, volatile organic compounds (VOCs)  
22 contained in plastic-based materials can be released into the air at ambient temperatures due to  
23 their high vapor pressure. Specialized laboratory equipment, such as emission test chambers,  
24 allow samples to be characterized under conditions that mimic the indoor environment [5].  
25 However, there is a lack of a proven method or analytical tool to assess the precision of the  
26 results obtained employing the stationary emission chamber (dynamic headspace analysis) or  
27 automatically headspace system (static headspace analysis). Only a few solutions are known in  
28 which the suitability of the designed and used analytical devices measuring emissions of  
29 organic compounds are studied with non-commercial laboratory-made RMs. The published  
30 solutions are mainly based on a predefined quantity of toluene – research associated with the  
31 new type of diffusion-controlled RM for VOCs emission studies. The thin film of synthetic  
32 homogeneous material made of polymethyl pentene (PMP) was used as a carrier medium. Then  
33 selected organic compound – toluene was loaded to the PMP carrier medium structure through  
34 a diffusion process. A described analytical tool might be considered a representative or

1 substitute for a “dry” building or constructing material in the emission studies. Besides, it  
2 should be noted that diffusion-controlled RM using PMP as a carrier medium was the main  
3 subject of the pilot inter-laboratory research project, which contains four participating  
4 laboratories [6, 7]. A different solution was associated with the preparation and characterization  
5 of a tool defined as a liquid-inner tube diffusion-film-emission (LIFE). The LIFE was prepared  
6 based on the following elements: (i) a cylindrical container made of Teflon; (ii) a thin diffusion  
7 film (membrane) made of aluminum oxide melamine-impregnated paper as a cover and (iii)  
8 liquid – a solution of a single purified organic compound representing VOCs. The device was  
9 designed to assess the working parameters (the performance) of stationary emission chambers  
10 (for both large-scale and small-scale chambers) applied to estimate organic compounds'  
11 emission rate from furniture materials. The proposed solution was an easily-used analytical tool  
12 with a constant emission rate of toluene under defined temperature and relative humidity  
13 conditions [8, 9]. An example of another approach is thermoplastic material (polyurethane) as  
14 a carrier for selected VOCs. Thermoplastic polyurethane is a specific carrier coated with VOCs.  
15 The coating is conducted under increased pressure to ensure optimal penetration of VOCs to  
16 deeper layers of the carrier material [10].

17 A comparison of data achieved employing two different analytical devices or methods for  
18 determining the type and quantity of chemicals released from indoor materials is not an easy  
19 process. Very often, there is no explicit statistically significant agreement between the  
20 emissions of contaminants released from the same studied material. Differences between the  
21 results are primarily attributed to the fact that these devices operate in different analytes  
22 sampling modes. Dissimilarities between results may also be directly caused by the investigated  
23 indoor material's characteristics, composition, superficial structure, and precise  
24 storage/conditioning time at the retailer's premises. Because most indoor materials are  
25 characterized by varying degrees of homogeneity, it is expected that by using two different  
26 analytical devices or methods, statistically significant differences will be found for the obtained  
27 data [11, 12]. One solution that would allow for comparing research results acquired using the  
28 different methodological approaches and showing the potential differences is to introduce a  
29 suitable prepared LRM characterized by a constant predefined emission profile of selected  
30 chemical compounds. This option would allow a detailed comparison and indicate the analytical  
31 device offering better precision and accuracy [13, 14]. An adequately chosen LRM should meet  
32 specific standards like being neutral to elements of the sampling device, and the specific  
33 compound or defined group of chemicals ought to be released from the developed LRM at a  
34 predefined rate in a predefined time interval. Because the complicated testing procedure



1 employed various types of devices classified as the emission chambers, LRMs for the quality  
2 assurance of the organic compounds emissions research are required [8, 9, 13, 14].  
3 Consequently, the challenge for interdisciplinary research centers is to develop and characterize  
4 the new types of LRMs containing a valuable tool in the field of estimating the chemical  
5 compounds emissions from representatives of various types of indoor and building materials.  
6 In the indoor environment, VOCs might be emitted directly to the gaseous phase by building  
7 materials (e.g., bricks, breezeblocks, paints, and impregnates) as well as from household  
8 equipment (furniture, floor coverings, wide spectrum of electronic equipment, wallpapers,  
9 textiles). Many of mentioned materials or equipment's contain or consist entirely of a polymer  
10 matrix [11, 13]. Consequently, the challenge for interdisciplinary research centers is to develop  
11 and characterize the new types of LRMs containing a valuable tool in the field of estimating  
12 the chemical compounds emissions from representatives of indoor materials containing  
13 polymeric matrix. Prospective application of LRM might contain an alternative solution for  
14 both long-term emission chamber investigations (under dynamic conditions in constant flow  
15 rate) as well as mathematical calculations to perform model predictions of characteristics of  
16 emission rate of volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) [8]. Li [15]  
17 demonstrate and describe the mass-diffusion mathematically–physics model suitable for three  
18 different VOCs emission stages - predicting organic emissions in early, midterm, as well as late  
19 stages. Mathematical calculations were performed based on the results obtained with the use of  
20 two reported small environmental-chamber investigations [15].

21 The main objective of this study was to develop and fully characterize the candidate for LRM  
22 with its morphological characteristics and preliminary emissions profile (specific emission rate)  
23 of representatives of monoaromatic hydrocarbons (toluene and furfural - a compound derived  
24 from the added fruit wastes [16]) and terpenes. Investigations were performed depending on  
25 the temperature conditions and seasoning time (defined as a storage time of a material sample  
26 inside the emission chamber under given conditions of humidity, temperature and gas flow  
27 rate). The novelty of performed interdisciplinary research was that proposed candidates for  
28 LRMs were prepared based on commonly used low-density polyethylene (LDPE), and plant-  
29 based wastes (originated from the food industry – apple pomace, sunflower husks, and yerba  
30 mate residues) introduced in a defined amount of 10 wt.%. The LPDE was selected as a  
31 potential matrix representing conventional petroleum-based polyolefins characterized by very  
32 low content and emission level of monoaromatic hydrocarbons and terpenes. In addition, an  
33 essential aspect of a novelty of preformed research is checking whether the defined addition of

1 biocomposites to the polymer mass allows for a stable and repeatable emission profile of  
2 compounds that might be released from the introduced additives.

3

## 4 **2. Materials and methods**

### 5 **2.1. Materials for candidates of LRM**

6 Recycled low-density polyethylene (LDPE), obtained from the local recycling company  
7 (Katowice, Poland), was applied as a matrix to prepare investigated composites. It was  
8 characterized by a density of  $0.9142 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$  and melt flow rate (MFR) of  $1.35 \text{ g}\cdot 10 \text{ min}^{-1}$  (190  
9 °C, 2.16 kg). Apple pomace (AP) was generated during the production of homemade apple  
10 juice from White Transparent apples using SilverCrest® SSJ 300 B2 Slow Juicer from Lidl  
11 (Germany). Sunflower husks (SH) were obtained during the pressing of sunflower oil using PS-  
12 101 screw oil press from P.U-H OLA (Poland). Yerba mate residues (YM) were generated  
13 during the brewing of Compuesta Hierbas from Amanda (Argentina) acquired from the online  
14 store *coffeedesk.pl* (Poland). This type contains 95% yerba mate produced with stems, anti-acid  
15 and digestive herbs – peppermint, pennyroyal, incayuyo, linden, boldo, mint, and lemon  
16 vervain. Mentioned type of wastes have been chosen as exemplary materials from different  
17 branches of food industry – juice production, oil production and beverages. They are  
18 characterized by different composition and it was supposed that their emission profile  
19 considering monoaromatic hydrocarbons and terpenes would differ, so they could be applied  
20 for detecting and assessing the emissions of different chemicals.

21

### 22 **2.2. Preparation of polymer-based candidates for laboratory reference material**

23 The samples were prepared by mixing in a molten state using a two-roll mill from Shaw  
24 Robinson (London, UK) at a temperature of 95°C. Samples were prepared in the air atmosphere.  
25 Its composition was not analyzed, but according to literature data it contains 78.084% of  
26 nitrogen, 20.946% of oxygen, 0.934% of argon, 0.041% of carbon dioxide, and 0.00268% of  
27 other gases including neon, helium, methane, hydrogen and krypton. Time of processing  
28 equaled 15 min, including the 3 min phase of polyethylene plastification and 12 min of melt  
29 blending of polymer matrix with 10 wt.% of selected filler. The resulting composites were then  
30 compression molded at 150°C and 4.9 MPa for 2 min and then kept under pressure at room  
31 temperature for another 5 min to solidify the material. Then, samples were vacuum-packed  
32 using SilverCrest® Kitchen Tools SV 125 C3 Vacuum Packer from Lidl (Germany) to reduce  
33 VOCs emissions during storage before analyses. The general view of investigated candidates  
34 for laboratory reference material (LRM) prepared based on low-density polyethylene (LDPE),

1 and plant-based wastes are shown in Figure 1. The outer diameter of a single pellet/disc was  
 2 24.6 mm and the thickness was 1.1 mm (9.86 cm<sup>2</sup>).

### 4 **2.3.Characteristic of candidates for laboratory reference material**

5 The chemical structures of prepared polyethylene-based materials were determined using  
 6 Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) analysis performed by a Nicolet Spectrometer  
 7 IR200 from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). The device had an ATR  
 8 attachment with a diamond crystal. Measurements were performed with 1 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution in  
 9 the range from 4000 to 400 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 64 scans. Provided FTIR spectra were averaged from at  
 10 least 10 different spectra.

11 To measure the crystallization and melting temperatures, and determine the temperature  
 12 window for the use of prepared materials, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), was applied.  
 13 The 5.0 ± 0.1 mg samples were placed in aluminum crucibles with pierced lids. They were  
 14 heated from 20 to 200°C with a heating rate of 10°C·min<sup>-1</sup> and then cooled back to the initial  
 15 temperature at a cooling rate of 10°C·min<sup>-1</sup>. The heating/cooling cycle was performed twice to  
 16 erase the polymers' thermal history during the first heating. The measurements were conducted  
 17 using a Netzsch 204F1 Phoenix apparatus (Netzsch, Selb, Germany) in an inert nitrogen  
 18 atmosphere. From the DSC results, the crystallinity degree (X<sub>CR</sub>) of the samples was calculated  
 19 using formula (1) [17, 18]:

$$21 \quad X_{CR} = \frac{\Delta H_m}{(1-\theta) \cdot \Delta H_{m100\%}} \cdot 100\% \quad (1)$$

22  
 23 where: ΔH<sub>m</sub> – melting enthalpy of a sample, ΔH<sub>m100%</sub> – melting enthalpy of 100 % crystalline  
 24 polyethylene, ΔH<sub>m100%</sub> = 293.6 J/g, θ – filler weight fraction.

### 26 **2.4. Reagents and analytical equipment**

27 The following solvents and reference solutions were used during the whole analytical  
 28 procedure: (i) methanol for GC (MS SupraSolv<sup>®</sup>, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) - solvent  
 29 applied to prepare the appropriate calibration solutions; (ii) reference standard solution  
 30 containing 20 terpenes dissolved in MeOH at content level 2000 μg·mL<sup>-1</sup> of each (Cannabis  
 31 Terpene Mix A certified reference material, TraceCERT<sup>®</sup>, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany)  
 32 - external standard (ESTD) for calibration of the thermal desorption–gas chromatography–  
 33 flame ionization detector (TD-GC-FID) system; (iii) reference standard solution containing



1 deuterated toluene in MeOH at content level of  $2000 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$  (Toluene- $\text{d}_8$  solution certified  
2 reference material, TraceCERT<sup>®</sup>, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) - internal standard  
3 (ISTD) for the assessment of the emission of total volatile organic compounds as well as an  
4 injection and organic compounds recovery standard.

5 To collect the organic compounds (including terpenes) emitted to the gaseous phase from  
6 investigated materials, the stainless steel tubes filled with Tenax TA sorption medium (60/80  
7 mesh, stainless steel TD tube, O.D.  $\times$  L 1/4 in.  $\times$  3 1/2 in., preconditioned, Merck KGaA,  
8 Darmstadt, Germany) were applied. Before employment, each Tenax TA tube was conditioned  
9 at elevated temperature ( $300^\circ\text{C}$  for 30 min) under a stream of nitrogen (flow rate approx. 50  
10  $\text{mL}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ; RH = 0%).

11 To perform the organic compounds emission studies from investigated materials, the stationary  
12 emission chambers system was used (Micro-Chamber/Thermal Extractor<sup>™</sup> ( $\mu$ -CTE<sup>™</sup> 250,  
13 Markes International, Inc.). The mentioned device contains four equivalent ( $114 \text{ cm}^3$  capacity)  
14 cylindrical chambers made of high-quality polished steel. The studies using  $\mu$ -CTE<sup>™</sup> 250 might  
15 be performed in a dynamic (constant flow rate) or static mode, with a temperature range of  
16  $25^\circ\text{C}$  to  $250^\circ\text{C}$  and an inert gas flow rate (dynamic mode) of 10 to  $500 \text{ mL}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ . Detailed  
17 description, operating parameters, and potential application range of the Micro-  
18 Chamber/Thermal Extractor<sup>™</sup> might be found elsewhere [14, 19-21].

19 To extract the organic compounds collected on a sorption medium (Tenax TA) the two-stage  
20 thermal desorption technique was used. To perform the effective extraction process, the  
21 stationary thermal desorption (TD) units were employed: (i) Markes Series 2 Thermal  
22 Desorption Systems; UNITY/TD-100 (Markes International, Inc.) combined with gas  
23 chromatography-flame ionization detector (GC-FID) system; (ii) Markes Unity v.2, (Markes  
24 International, Inc.) connected with GC combined with mass spectrometer (GC-MS) system.  
25 Both TD systems were equipped with multibed glass microtrap, cooled down to  $0^\circ\text{C}$  dedicated  
26 for determining organic compounds, including terpenes and the transfer line connecting TD  
27 units with appropriate GC systems was constantly heated up to  $160^\circ\text{C}$ . The separation, initial  
28 identification and quantitative determination of emitted organic compounds from investigated  
29 synthetic materials were carried out applying GC-FID system (Agilent Technologies 7820A  
30 GC System, FID working temperature –  $280^\circ\text{C}$ ) equipped with GC capillary column ( $30 \text{ m} \times$   
31  $320 \mu\text{m} \times 5 \mu\text{m}$ , J&W DB-1, USA). The helium (He, 5.0) constant flow rate was  $2.0 \text{ mL}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ .  
32 Moreover, to obtain a better identification of the emitted chemical compounds from the  
33 investigated materials the GC-MS system (GC Agilent Technologies 6890; 5873 Network Mass  
34 Selective Detector, Agilent Technologies) with the GC capillary column ( $30 \text{ m} \times 250 \mu\text{m} \times 1$

1  $\mu\text{m}$ , J&W HP-1MS, USA) was employed. The helium flow rate (He. 5.0) was  $1.0 \text{ mL}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ .  
2 The MS ion source, the quadrupole mass analyser and GC-MS transfer line temperatures were  
3  $250^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $150^\circ\text{C}$  and  $280^\circ\text{C}$ , respectively. The identification of emitted chemical compounds was  
4 carried out employing the mass spectra database (NIST 2.0 Mass Spectral Library) attached to  
5 the MS system software (The NIST Mass Spectral Search Program for the NIST/EPA/NIH  
6 Mass Spectral Library Version 2.0d, USA).

## 7 8 **2.5. General description of the applied analytical procedure**

9 Before performing the analysis of prepared synthetic materials, the  $\mu\text{-CTE}^{\text{TM}}$  emission  
10 chambers were bake-out (conditioned) at elevated temperature ( $150^\circ\text{C}$ ) for 30 min under the  
11 continuous nitrogen gas flow rate ( $35 \text{ mL}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ; RH = 0%). Next background signal by  
12 investigation the blank samples – the chemical compounds emitted from empty emission  
13 chambers. After this, the general analytical procedure was introduced to assess the emissions  
14 of chemical compounds from prepared candidates for laboratory reference materials. Detailed  
15 information about the conditions and parameters of the applied analytical protocol was  
16 presented in the Figure 2. Regarding samples analyzed by the GC-MS system, one disc was  
17 selected and placed inside an emission chamber to identify emitted organic compounds from  
18 each pack of prepared synthetic materials. In this case the seasoning/conditioning parameters  
19 were as follows: seasoning temperature –  $45^\circ\text{C}$ ; seasoning time - 30 min, seasoning mode –  
20 static mode; chemical compounds flushing/sampling time – 10 min; inert gas (RH = 0%) flow  
21 rate during sampling stage –  $35 \text{ mL}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ .

22 To calculate the numerical values of linear retention index (LRI) of determined organic  
23 compounds, the mixture in acetone containing  $1 \mu\text{L}$  of each chemical compound from C8 to  
24 C17 was prepared. The mentioned mixture was analyzed under the same thermal desorption  
25 and chromatographic conditions as real samples. Following obtained retention times, resulting  
26 from the chemical compounds present in investigated samples and the mixture of n-alkanes (C8  
27 – C17), the numerical values of LRI were calculated according to the equation (2):

$$29 \quad RI = 100n + \left( 100 \times \frac{[TR_{(x)} - TR_{(n)}]}{[TR_{(n+1)} - TR_{(n)}]} \right) \quad (2)$$

30  
31 In the above equation (2) TR is the determined retention time and  $(n + 1)$  and  $n$  are defined as  
32 the numbers of carbon atoms in the alkanes containing the prepared mixture which reached the  
33 detector after and before the unknown component of investigated sample  $x$ , respectively [22].

1 As for the estimation of the values of TVOCs parameter (total volatile organic compounds), in  
2 line with literature information, the TVOC parameter is defined as the sum of all organic  
3 compounds, eluting between n-hexane and n-hexadecane (defined as analytical window) on  
4 non-polar/slightly polar stationary phases of the GC capillary column using flame ionization  
5 detector and quantifying as toluene equivalents [23-25].  
6

## 7 **2.6. Quality assurance and quality control**

8 The mass (in nanograms) of determined organic compounds emitted from the investigated  
9 candidates for laboratory reference material and adsorbed on the applied sorption medium was  
10 calculated based on the external standard calibration method (ESTD). To perform the  
11 calibration of the TD-GC-FID system, a reference standard solution containing  $2000 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$   
12 of each 20 terpenes (beta-Pinene; Camphene; alpha-Pinene; 3-Carene; alpha-Terpinene; (R)-  
13 (+)-Limonene; gamma-Terpinene L-(-)-Fenchone; Fenchol; (1R)-(+)-Camphor; Isoborneol;  
14 Menthol; Citronellol; (+)-Pulegone; Geranyl acetate; alpha-Cedrene; alpha-Humulene;  
15 Nerolidol; (+)-Cedrol; (-)-alpha Bisabolol) in MeOH and certificate standard solution of  
16 deuterated toluene in MeOH ( $2000 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$ ) were used. Determination of the correlation  
17 between the mass of the analyte retained on the sorption bed on the detector signal of the applied  
18 TD-GC-FID system was performed based on previously published calibration procedure [14,  
19 26-28].

20 Due to the fact, that the emitted organic compounds were at a low concentration level, only one  
21 range of calibration curves was needed. The mass ranges of prepared calibration curves were  
22 from 2 ng to 200 ng per sorption bed. Five calibration reference solutions (for five-point  
23 calibration curve) in 1 mL of MeOH were prepared. Each point on the calibration curve was  
24 repeated three times. The reference solution samples were analyzed under the same TD-GC-  
25 FID system conditions as the investigated synthetic materials. The correlation coefficients ( $R^2$ )  
26 of the calibration curves ranged from 0.992 to 0.998. To ensure the quality of the analytical  
27 procedure and results (QA/QC protocol), a randomly selected tube was again desorbed after  
28 each analysis. Before each analysis of prepared candidates for laboratory reference material,  
29 the value of a blank sample was investigated. Based on these studies it was possible to correct  
30 the obtained research results considering the purity of the applied gases, the wall-memory  
31 effects of applied seasoning and sampling devices, and the potential impurities that might occur  
32 in the chromatographic system. The analysis of blank samples was carried out applying  
33 analogous conditions as those used to analyze the real samples and the amount of the emitted  
34 organic compounds was corrected for the blank sample value [14, 26-28].



1 Furthermore, to study the recovery of the thermal desorption process, a 1000 ng of deuterated  
2 toluene was introduced on a clean sorption medium (representative of a chemical compound  
3 that might be adsorbed most tangibly). Based on obtained results it was noticed that the recovery  
4 of determined organic compounds was in an acceptable level of approx.  $\pm 5\%$ . The instrumental  
5 (detector) limit of detection (ILD) value was calculated based on the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N)  
6 for samples with the lowest amount of deuterated toluene as well as selected organic compounds  
7 and reached an average value of 0.30 ng. The instrumental limit of quantitation (ILQ) was  
8 estimated as three times the ILD values.

9

### 10 **3. Results and Discussion**

11

#### 12 **3.1. FT-IR analysis**

13 Figure 3 presents the FT-IR spectra of applied plant-based wastes. It can be seen that all of the  
14 materials show spectra typical for lignocellulose materials [29]. The broad peak at 3280-3350  
15  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  points to the stretching vibrations of hydroxyl groups, widely present in the structure of  
16 plant-based materials, including celluloses, lignin, and various polysaccharides [30]. Signals in  
17 the range of 2850-2930  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  can be associated with the symmetric and asymmetric stretching  
18 vibrations of C-H bonds, which are present in the macromolecules of celluloses and lignin,  
19 main components of plant-based wastes, as well as the backbone of other components like lipids  
20 or proteins [31]. In the case of SH material, the enhanced intensity of these signals, and the  
21 presence of a minor signal at 3010  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  indicate the presence of oils containing unsaturated  
22 fatty acids [32]. Around 1730  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  were noted peaks attributed to stretching vibrations of  
23 carbonyl C=O bonds, while around 1640  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  peaks of characteristic for the stretching  
24 vibrations of unconjugated C=O and C=C bonds in polysaccharides and lipids, which were most  
25 pronounced for SH filler, confirming the presence of oils [33]. Potent signals in the range of  
26 990-1150  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  were associated with the vibrations of  $\delta$  bonds between carbon and oxygen  
27 atoms (in ester and ether groups), related to the chemical structure of analyzed materials [34].

28 Figure 4 shows FT-IR spectra obtained during spectroscopic analysis of LDPE-based  
29 candidates for laboratory reference material, typical for polyethylene materials [35]. Sharp  
30 peaks related to the stretching, bending and rocking C-H vibrations around 2914, 2840, 1464,  
31 and 730  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  dominate in spectra due to the chemical structure of the LDPE backbone [36].  
32 Except for them, spectra of composites containing apple pomace and yerba mate residues  
33 include only minor peaks in the range of 1090-1370  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  characteristic for lignocellulose  
34 materials [37]. On the other hand, material filled with sunflower husk showed higher intensity



1 of these peaks and the presence of an additional peak at  $1744\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , which points to the migration  
2 of oils from the filler onto the composite surface during its processing [38]. Presence of this  
3 peak is in line with the structure of SH filler reported in Figure 3. Nevertheless, presented FT-  
4 IR spectra point to the efficient encapsulation of filler particles by LDPE macromolecules,  
5 which may be crucial for the long-term stability of organic compounds emissions from  
6 composites. Moreover, lack of peaks characteristic for carbonyl bonds in the FTIR spectra of  
7 prepared LDPE-based composites indicate that matrix was not degraded during processing [39,  
8 40].

9 Figure 5 shows the thermograms obtained during DSC analysis of prepared composite materials  
10 and mean values of selected thermal parameters (melting  $T_m$ , crystallization  $T_c$  temperatures  
11 and crystallinity  $X_c$ ). Peaks observed on the heating curves at  $114.4\text{--}116.6^\circ\text{C}$  are typical for  
12 low-density polyethylene grade [41]. It can be seen that there are no other peaks were noted,  
13 which points to the relatively high purity of the recycled matrix. Differences in melting  
14 temperatures between samples are associated with the changes in crystallite size. Lower values  
15 indicate the reduced size of crystallites, which may be related to the restrictions in spherulite  
16 growth caused by the presence of solid particles [42].

17 Despite the low susceptibility of polyethylene to the phenomena of heterogeneous nucleation,  
18 in the case under consideration, a different fillers' efficiency on the crystallinity level was  
19 observed, in a range that cannot be considered negligible. Moreover, the crystallization  
20 temperature, determined as the temperature position of the peak on the cooling curve, is the  
21 highest for material containing yerba mate residues, followed by apple pomace composite. Such  
22 an effect points to the presence of solid impurities, which may act as nucleating agents,  
23 increasing the crystallization rate [43]. Except for the main crystallization peak, a small  
24 exothermic peak was observed around  $60^\circ\text{C}$ . This effect is related to separate second  
25 crystallization of crystallites with various thicknesses, which is characteristic of polyethylene  
26 grades with long-chain branches in their structure, as previously noted for LDPE [44].  
27 Nevertheless, it does not affect the possibility of using applied material as the matrix for  
28 laboratory reference material. Concluding, the results of DSC measurements indicate that the  
29 prepared materials could be efficiently applied as laboratory reference materials even at  
30 elevated temperatures around  $100^\circ\text{C}$ . However, as heating curves indicate, the melting of LDPE  
31 occurs over a wide range of temperatures. Therefore, the changes in LDPE crystalline structure  
32 could affect the emission profile and emission rate at various temperatures of organic  
33 compounds.

34



### 1 **3.2. Linear retention index of determined representatives of organic compounds**

2 The results of calculated values of LRI for chemical compounds emitted and identified from  
3 the investigated samples of candidates for LRM are listed in Table 1. From 20 chemical  
4 compounds enclosed in the reference standard solution (Cannabis Terpene Mix A), 15 were  
5 identified in the studied materials. The highest number of compounds classified as terpenes was  
6 identified in the samples of materials with the addition of yerba mate residues (15 compounds),  
7 and the smallest - with the addition of sunflower husks (two terpenes and one representative of  
8 monoaromatic hydrocarbons). The ranges of calculated values of LRI for determined chemical  
9 compounds correspond to the ranges of LRI published in scientific literature determined using  
10 similar chromatographic conditions. Several deviations might be caused by the combination of  
11 random as well as systematic errors. At this point it should be highlighted that during described  
12 studies, the sample injection process was performed using thermal desorption techniques  
13 connected directly to the GC column. In the literature data, the injection was performed mainly  
14 in a traditional way using a GC injector. Moreover, the presence of variations in calculated LRI  
15 values might be the consequence of the use of GC columns characterized by different  
16 parameters, such as internal diameter, film thickness, as well as service life. Mentioned factors  
17 might slightly affect the analytes retention times, peak shape and the chromatogram resolution.  
18 Nevertheless, the presence of listed in Table 1 chemical compounds was confirmed (above 85%  
19 compatibility) by analyzing the investigated samples using the MS detector.

### 21 **3.3. The emission rate of determined chemical compounds from investigated candidates** 22 **for LRM**

#### 24 **3.3.1. Dependence between the emission rate of the determined organic compounds and** 25 **LRM seasoning/conditioning time**

26 Considering the obtained results, the relationship between the emission rate of identified and  
27 determined organic compounds and seasoning/conditioning time was investigated. In Figure 6  
28 and Figure 7, the relationship between the emission rate of TVOCs and the sum of terpenes  
29 (calculated based on the identified and determined organic compounds released to the gaseous  
30 phase from investigated candidates for LRM) and different seasoning/conditioning periods was  
31 shown. Detailed information about the correlation between terpenes' emissions and  
32 seasoning/conditioning time for studied polymeric materials was shown in Supplementary  
33 Figures from 1 to 3. The error bars shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7 represent the standard  
34 deviation values for the performed measurements ( $n = 3$ ).

1 In the case of candidates for LRM with the addition of YM and AP (Figure 6), a clear  
2 relationship was observed between the samples seasoning/conditioning time in the chamber and  
3 the total amount of VOCs released into the gaseous phase, including identified and determined  
4 representatives of terpenes. Moreover, improving the interpretation of these data with the  
5 analysis of the information presented in Supplementary Figure 1 (SF1) and Supplementary  
6 Figure 2 (SF2), it can be observed that in the case of material with the addition of YM, almost  
7 all of the identified and determined organic compounds were characterized by a strong  
8 correlation between the emission rate and the seasoning/conditioning time. In the case of  
9 materials with the addition of AP, the organic compounds whose emission rate was dependent  
10 on the seasoning/conditioning time constituted the majority but not as numerous as in the case  
11 of the materials with the addition of YM. On the other hand, the candidates for LRM with the  
12 addition of SH (Figure 7) essentially did not show any correlation between the emission rate of  
13 identified and determined organic compounds and the seasoning/conditioning time. This proves  
14 the lack of factors/additives that might be considered as the emission source of terpenes (dried  
15 fruit or citrus pomaces and residues) or aromatic hydrocarbons (residues of solvents or dyes).  
16 Calculating the numerical values of the PCC (Pearson's correlation coefficient) in the case of  
17 the results obtained for the samples of materials with the addition of YM for the determined  
18 total parameters – TVOCs and sum of terpenes, it was found that these values were respectively  
19 -0.666 and -0.676. This confirms the existence of a strong inverse relationship (ranging from -  
20 0.60 to -0.80) [48, 49] between the investigated total parameters and seasoning/conditioning  
21 time. In the case of materials with the addition of AP, the numerical values of the PCC for  
22 determined total parameters were -0.769 and -0.712, respectively. It also proves the existence  
23 of a strong inverse relationship between the emission rates of identified and determined organic  
24 compounds and the seasoning/conditioning time of the candidates for LRM inside an emission  
25 chamber. On the other hand, when referring to the research conducted on material with SH  
26 addition, a very weak relationship between the seasoning/conditioning time and the determined  
27 total parameters, as well as in a case of identified and determined individual organic compounds  
28 was observed. Moreover, as can be observed in Figure 7, the amount of emission rate of the  
29 determined terpenes representatives, and the total amount of VOCs were much lower than in  
30 the case of the other investigated candidates for LRM. Estimated values of PCC confirm the  
31 presence of a weak correlation (0.330 and -0.122) between seasoning/conditioning time and the  
32 emission rate of assessed total parameters. This proves that in the case of materials with the  
33 addition of SH, both the emission rate of TVOCs and determined terpenes are not directly  
34 dependent on the seasoning/conditioning time of the sample. Additionally, it can be concluded



1 that the material filled with 10% of SH is a low-emission material in terms of compounds from  
2 the group of aromatic hydrocarbons and terpenes, and the TVOC parameter might be mainly  
3 related to the emission rate of compounds from the group of aliphatic hydrocarbons resulting  
4 from the linear structure of the applied polymer matrix.

5 Regarding the results for the synthetic material with the addition of YM, there is a clear  
6 relationship between the emission profiles of the TVOCs and the total amount of identified and  
7 determined terpenes. This may be a consequence of the fact that the YM waste is a mixture of  
8 various organic ingredients (fruit and citrus waste), which may be the source of the emission of  
9 aromatic compounds including terpenes. Considering the percentage of identified and  
10 determined terpenes, it was found that, depending on the seasoning/conditioning time, it  
11 fluctuated in the range from 24.72% to 36.51% (the standard deviation for these results was low  
12 - 3.29%). However, in the case of a candidate for LRM with the addition of AP, similar  
13 characteristics of the emission profile for TVOC and the sum of determined terpenes were  
14 observed. Nevertheless, it is not as uniform as for the polymer materials with the addition of  
15 YM wastes. It may be mainly due to the use of only one type of additive (not a mixture of  
16 bioadditives), which are pomace from one type of fruit - apples. The percentage emission rate  
17 of terpenes in the total emission rate of VOCs ranged from 5.90 to 20.10% with a standard  
18 deviation of 4.20%. Furthermore, considering the information enclosed in Supplementary  
19 Materials (Supplementary Figures 1-3) regarding the emission profile of individual compounds  
20 from the terpenes group, as well as toluene and furfural, it can be noticed that in most cases  
21 there is a similar relationship in the aspect of seasoning/conditioning time as for the previously  
22 mentioned total parameters.

23 In order to better illustrate these relationships, Table 2 presents information on the calculated  
24 curves parameters ( $y = ax + b$ ) determined as a  $\log_{10}$  of the emission rate in relation to the  
25 seasoning/conditioning time, as well as the calculated numerical values of the PCC parameter.  
26 The use of the logarithmic scale allowed for better visualization of a potential linear relationship  
27 between the emission rate of a given compound and the seasoning/conditioning time of the  
28 tested material inside the chamber. In Table 2, compounds were highlighted for which both the  
29 numerical value of the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) and the PCC confirmed a strong or  
30 very strong relationship between the emission rate and the seasoning/conditioning time.

31 Referring to the data summarized in Table 2, in the case of samples with the addition of YM,  
32 the vast majority of emitted, identified and determined compounds were characterized by a  
33 strong or very strong relationship with the seasoning/conditioning time. A similar relationship  
34 was noted for investigated polymeric materials with AP addition. However, because it is one





1 type of additive (YM was a mixture of stems, anti-acid and digestive herbs – peppermint,  
2 pennyroyal, incayuyo, linden, boldo, mint, and lemon vervain), a slightly smaller number of  
3 compounds was characterized by a strong or very strong dependence of emissions on the  
4 seasoning/conditioning time. However, for samples with the addition of SH, there were no clear  
5 relationships between the identified and determined compounds and the seasoning/conditioning  
6 time – as in the case of the calculated summary parameters (TVOCs and sum of terpenes). The  
7 lack of even medium relationship between the identified compounds and the time of samples  
8 seasoning/conditioning may indicate that the investigated polymeric material with the addition  
9 of SH emits mainly organic compounds other than terpenes or aromatic hydrocarbons. This is  
10 associated with the different chemical composition of SH filler compared to AP and YM  
11 (sunflower husks may not contain the determined compounds, or they might be present at a  
12 very low content level which is difficult to quantify by the applied techniques). According to  
13 information published by Lattuati-Derieux et al. [50], Pajaro-Castro et al. [51], Mitchell et al.  
14 [52], mainly aliphatic hydrocarbons such as n-dodecane, n-undecane, n-tridecane, n-  
15 tetradecane, n-hexadecane, n-pentadecane, n-heptadecane, as well as nonanal might be emitted  
16 to the gaseous phase from raw LDPE matrix. These compounds were identified during the GC-  
17 FID analysis in the case of determination of retention indexes (analysis of retention times of  
18 obtained signals for organic compounds present in the mixture of aliphatic hydrocarbons from  
19 C8 to C17) and GC-MS analysis (analysis of obtained spectra for individual compounds and  
20 degree of compliance with the NIST mass spectra library agreement above 90%), however they  
21 were not subject to quantitative analysis. In addition, such compounds are released into the  
22 gaseous phase only under the elevated temperature (above 65°C), or after a sufficiently long  
23 time of seasoning/conditioning the polymeric material in the emission chamber.

24 Negative numerical values of the slope factor of the curve and the PCC parameter indicates an  
25 inverse relationship – the amount of emissions decreases with the passage of the  
26 seasoning/conditioning time. This is a common phenomenon noted in the literature for materials  
27 analyzed in the process of estimating long-term exposure in environmental test chambers [53,  
28 54]. With the passage of the seasoning/conditioning time, the intensity of emissions of organic  
29 compounds to the gaseous phase is reduced, especially the more volatile ones, such as aromatic  
30 hydrocarbons or terpenes. However, after a certain time, this process slows down and the level  
31 of emissions is set at a relatively constant level, with slight fluctuations. In the case of discussed  
32 investigations, it was noted that after 4 hours from placing the testing material in the chamber,  
33 the emission level of the determined compounds reached a constant level. The occurrence of  
34 this phenomenon may be the basis for the conclusion that the developed materials with the



1 addition of YM or AP can be considered as reference materials for emissions of determined  
2 compounds for long-term studies - calibration of small emission chambers, portable emission  
3 cells (field and laboratory emission cells – FLECs) [11, 55] or for performing comparative  
4 analyses between scientific centers. Furthermore the application of developed LRM might be  
5 considered as an alternative solution for modelling large-scale, time and labor consuming  
6 reference rooms – specially designed indoor areas in which several exposure scenarios are  
7 considered and wide spectrum of simulations are performed [56]. Due to the addition of AP to  
8 the polymer matrix, it can be considered the LRM for the furfural emission. Confirmation that  
9 this compound is emitted mainly from products containing the addition of apples are literature  
10 reports [54, 55] and confirmation of the presence of this compound during the GC-MS analysis  
11 (degree of compliance with the NIST mass spectra library database above 95%). The additional  
12 confirmation of this fact is the observation of emissions of significant amounts of its derivative  
13 with a degree of compliance above 91% - 5-Hydroxymethyl-2-furaldehyde (CAS. No. 67-47-  
14 0). Following the information listed in the literature, mentioned compounds (furfural and its  
15 derivative) are generated mainly during the long-term storage, drying or cooking of fruits such  
16 as apples [57, 58].  
17

### 18 **3.3.2. Dependence between the emission rate of the determined organic compounds and** 19 **LRM seasoning/conditioning temperature**

20 Another critical aspect in the field of emission rate studies considering synthetic materials is  
21 assessing the relationship between the seasoning/conditioning temperature and the amount of  
22 the analytes emitted into the gaseous phase. Several investigations performed in the scientific  
23 centers and published in the literature research results confirms that VOCs emissions from  
24 indoor materials is affected significantly by temperature [59-61]. The studies were conducted  
25 at temperatures ranging from room temperature to 60°C at defined constant time (30 min).  
26 Performing the investigations at a higher temperature is "unreasonable" because, in real  
27 conditions (in an indoor environment), indoor materials are not heated above this value (even  
28 in the case of the application of a floor heating system). In Figure 8 and Figure 9, the  
29 relationship between the emission rate of summary parameters (TVOCs and sum of identified  
30 and determined terpenes) calculated for investigated candidates for LRM and different  
31 seasoning/conditioning temperature conditions was illustrated. Detailed information about the  
32 relationship between the emission rate of representatives' aromatic hydrocarbons and terpenes  
33 and seasoning/conditioning temperature was shown as values of determination coefficients and



1 PPCs in Table 3. The error bars enclosed in Figure 8 and Figure 9 represent the standard  
2 deviation values for the performed measurements ( $n = 3$ ).

3 Analyzing the data in Figure 8, it might be observed that the existence of a clear relationship  
4 between the size (intensity) of the emission defined by the calculated summary parameters  
5 (TVOCs and sum of terpenes) and the seasoning/conditioning temperature of polymeric  
6 materials with bioadditives. Considering the values of the  $R^2$  for materials with the addition of  
7 AP and YM (Figure 8) it might be noticed that there is a very strong relationship between  
8 temperature (from 21 to 60°C) and the total amount of organic compounds and terpenes emitted  
9 into the gaseous phase. Confirmation of the presence of a very strong relationship between the  
10 calculated summary parameters and the temperature are estimated values of the PCC - for  
11 materials with the addition of AP they were 0.987 (TVOCs) and 0.954 (sum of terpenes), while  
12 for materials with the addition of YM they were 0.936 and 0.934, respectively. This indicates  
13 that the increase in the temperature of seasoning LRM samples with bioadditives (derived from  
14 citrus and fruit wastes) causes a significant increase in the total emission of the total amount of  
15 compounds classified as terpenes and aromatic hydrocarbons (on the example of toluene and  
16 furfural). For LDPE material with SH additives (Figure 9), they were 0.900 and 0.836,  
17 respectively. However, in this case, the total parameters for 21°C were not determined, because  
18 at that time the room temperature was nearly 25°C. In cases where the level of emissions of  
19 compounds was below ILD, in order to estimate the PCC parameter, a numerical value of ILD  
20 was used for further calculation.

21 Taking into account the information summarized in Table 3, it can be noted that over half of  
22 the determined terpenes emitted from LDPE materials with the addition of AP and YM showed  
23 strong or very strong ( $R^2$  and PCC values above 0.60) dependence on temperature. This type of  
24 dependence proves that the objects being the subject of the research are the sources of the  
25 emission of the determined chemical compounds. In addition, as shown in Table 3, a sample of  
26 LDPE material with AP addition was a clear source of furfural emission, very strongly  
27 dependent (PCC and  $R^2$  above 0.80) on temperature. This is mainly because the addition of  
28 only one type of material - apple pomace - was used as the filler.

29 In the case of LDPE material with the addition of YM, which consists of a mixture of stems,  
30 peppermint, pennyroyal, incayuyo, linden, boldo, mint, and lemon vervain, there was no strong  
31 or very strong relationship between the seasoning/conditioning temperature and the intensity of  
32 emission of furfural or toluene. On the other hand, a very strong relationship (above 0.80) was  
33 noted for identified and determined terpenes from  $\alpha$ -Pinene to (1R)-(+)-Camphor. As in the  
34 previously described case (in 3.3.1 sub-chapter) of the dependence of chemical compound



1 emissions on the seasoning/conditioning time, the LDPE material with the addition of SH did  
2 not show a statistically significant correlation between the emission rate and the  
3 seasoning/conditioning temperature. The polymer matrix, which was LDPE, is mainly a source  
4 of emissions of aliphatic hydrocarbons, which are released into the gaseous phase from such  
5 materials at higher temperatures than the maximum temperature of the performed studies  
6 (above 65°C). One of the potential causes of this phenomenon that can be taken into account is  
7 the fact that presence of SH in the polymer matrix structure might limit the emission of  
8 determined chemical compounds to the gaseous phase, due to its potential sorption abilities.  
9 According to the research performed by Saleh et al. [62] the specific surface area of sorption  
10 materials prepared based on the sunflower husks oscillates from 1.782 to 3.850 m<sup>2</sup>·g<sup>-1</sup> (based  
11 on the research performed using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller specific surface area analysis).  
12 For this reason, this kind of biological material was used, e.g. as a biosorbent for removing  
13 cationic dyes and various heavy metals, as well as for wastewater decontamination [62].  
14 Nevertheless, confirmation of the occurrence of this type of phenomenon (reduction of the  
15 emission of organic compounds as a result of the sorption abilities of SH) in the aspect of  
16 described candidates on the LRM requires further, more advanced research.

17

#### 18 **4. Conclusions and future directions**

19 In a described pilot interdisciplinary research the non-commercial laboratory-made candidates  
20 for laboratory reference materials were prepared based on the synthetic polymeric matrix into  
21 which biocomposites constituting waste from industrial plant processing were introduced.  
22 Taking into account obtained results, it might be concluded that designed and developed  
23 materials consisting of LDPE matrix and 10% wt. of bioadditives – yerba mate residues and  
24 apple pomace might be successfully considered as a candidate for LRM in the case of selected  
25 terpenes (especially compounds from 136.23 up to 154.25 of molecular weight) emissions  
26 investigation. Additionally, prepared polymeric materials with the apple pomace addition might  
27 be introduced in the preliminary studies as an emission laboratory material for furfural.  
28 Analyzing the preliminary results, it might be stated that developed materials will allow for an  
29 optimal comparison of self-designed and home-made emission chambers and commercially  
30 available analytical devices, such as small-scale stationary emission test chambers, field and  
31 laboratory emission cells, or in the future perspective home-made passive flux samplers.  
32 For samples of materials made of LDPE and with the addition of SH, no clear and statistically  
33 significant relationships were found between the emission of the determined compounds and  
34 the seasoning/conditioning temperature or time. It was mainly caused by the possibility of



1 sorption of chemical compounds classified as VOCs by SHs (relatively well-developed specific  
2 surface of this material), as well as presence of oils containing unsaturated fatty acids.  
3 Nevertheless, the lack of a desired positive result in this aspect opened the door to another  
4 research trend related to the ability to reduce the emission of pollutants to the gaseous phase  
5 (indoor environment) by plastic materials by adding an appropriate amount of filler in the form  
6 of SHs. Due to the possibility of losses in the content of the determined chemical compounds,  
7 prepared polymeric material was preserved by vacuum wrapping it in a PE hermetic package.  
8 It is recommended that the material should be stored at reduced temperature (e.g in the  
9 refrigerator, temperature range 2 – 8) and in airtight or original packaging, to further reduce  
10 potential VOCs emissions before analyses. Emissions of aromatic hydrocarbons and terpenes  
11 will be investigated every 6 months to verify the long-term stability of the developed LRM and  
12 to confirm the choice of packaging and storage conditions used for the selected polymeric  
13 material.

14 Performed pilot research and obtained preliminary results create promising database containing  
15 information about the structure, material characteristics, and emission parameters of designed  
16 candidates for LRM. Additionally, the emission of individual compounds effects from their  
17 natural occurrence in the bioadditives introduced to the polymer material. It is a "greener" and  
18 environmentally friendly solution in relation to the described in the literature solutions in which  
19 appropriate chemical reagents are used. This aspect might be considered a beneficial small-  
20 scale side effect - the possibility of managing and using residues from industrial plant  
21 processing. Another valuable aspect is that prepared candidates for LRM might be applied  
22 directly into almost every small-scale analytical device used as the emission chamber (dynamic  
23 or static gaseous phase analysis) to evaluate its performance.

24 It is necessary to ensure the quality control of inter-laboratory studies related to the  
25 determination of VOCs emitted from samples that very often have a complex matrix  
26 composition. The ability to adequately compare laboratories that analyze VOCs requires the  
27 availability of standards and reference materials with low uncertainty levels. Primary and  
28 secondary standards are necessary for accurate data correlation, which is a prerequisite for  
29 adequate regulation of toxic organic pollutants [63]. On the other hand, reference materials play  
30 an essential role in all elements of the quality assurance system for measurement results. Quality  
31 control is based on the analysis of reference materials using the analytical method under test  
32 and comparing the results obtained with the reference values. Therefore, it is important to  
33 continuously enrich the range of available reference materials, so that they are as "identical" as



1 possible in chemical matrix composition and physical form to the samples tested, and that the  
2 substance determined is as close as possible to its content in the samples tested.

3 In Europe, the Construction Products Regulation (CPR, 2011/305/EU) is in force, setting out  
4 basic requirements for the design and construction of construction works where emissions of  
5 toxic gases, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), particles are emissions, etc. from building  
6 materials are concerned. At the same time, an increasing number of professional commercial  
7 and non-commercial laboratories are being established to perform emission tests to evaluate  
8 products intended for indoor use. It is, therefore, necessary to ensure the comparability of test  
9 results so that the proficiency of a laboratory can be proven. Participation in inter-laboratory  
10 tests is a means of demonstrating a laboratory's proficiency. At present, the main problem for  
11 such comparisons is the lack of reference materials with known emission factors for the target  
12 substances. Due to the wide variety of VOCs typically emitted from building materials,  
13 furniture and other products used indoors, there is still a lack of suitable reference materials  
14 covering a broader spectrum of compounds. Therefore, there is a strong need to develop new  
15 types of suitable reference materials as tools for obtaining reliable analytical information.

16 Considering positive aspect of obtained pilot research it might be conclude that prospective  
17 LRM for terpenes and furfural emissions with low-emitting LDPE matrix was developed.  
18 Continuing this field of research, the future studies will be expand to implement to low-emitting  
19 polymer matrix (LDPE or HDPE) another bioadditives such as citrus residues comes from  
20 mandarin, or cocoa husk as well as dried coffee grounds. These types of materials allow for the  
21 management (even if the recycling scale of the project is not too broad) of waste from the  
22 processing or thermal treatment of natural products. In addition, the implementation of specific  
23 types of bioadditives into the polymer matrix in the appropriate weight ratio can create a  
24 precedent for the development of new type of reference material candidates focused on the  
25 controlled and known emission rate of specific chemical compounds of natural origin.

## 27 **5. Acknowledgements**

28 Authors would like to express their gratitude to Krzysztof Klewicz for his contribution in  
29 laboratory studies performing part of a research and for gathering and elaborating an  
30 appropriate database.

## 32 **6. Declaration of Competing Interest**

33 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal  
34 relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.



1

2 **7. References**

- 3 [1] Thompson, M., Ellison, S.L.R., Wood, R., 2002. Harmonized Guidelines For Single-  
4 Laboratory Validation Of Methods Of Analysis (IUPAC Technical Report). *Pure Appl. Chem.*  
5 74, 835–855.
- 6 [3] Rutkowska, M., Namieśnik, J., Konieczka, P., 2020. Production of certified reference  
7 materials - homogeneity and stability study based on the determination of total mercury and  
8 methylmercury. *Microchem. J.* 153, 104338. doi:10.1016/j.microc.2019.104338.
- 9 [4] Santos, A.S., Dos Santos, L.O., Dos Santos, I.F., Dos Santos, K.R., Ferreira, S.L.C., 2020.  
10 Application of chemometric tools for homogeneity and stability evaluation during the  
11 preparation of a powdered milk laboratory reference material for inorganic analysis. *Anal.*  
12 *Methods.* 12, 1055–1063. doi:10.1039/c9ay02184a.
- 13 [5] Even, M., Roloff, A., Lüttgert, N., Beauchamp, J., Stalter, D., Schulte, A., Hutzler, C., Luch,  
14 A., 2021. Exposure Assessment of Toxicologically Relevant Volatile Organic Compounds  
15 Emitted from Polymer-Based Costume Masks. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 34, 132–143.  
16 doi:10.1021/acs.chemrestox.0c00414.
- 17 [6] Cox, S.S., Liu, Z., Little, J.C., Howard-Reed, C., Nabinger, S.J., Persily, A., 2010.  
18 Diffusion-controlled reference material for VOC emissions testing: proof of concept. *Indoor*  
19 *Air.* 20, 424-433. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0668.2010.00666.x>
- 20 [7] Howard-Reed, C., Liu, Z., Benning, J., Cox, S.S., Samarov, D., Leber, D., Hodgson, A.T.,  
21 Mason, S., Won, D., Little, J.C., 2011. Diffusion-controlled reference material for volatile  
22 organic compound emissions testing: Pilot inter-laboratory study. *Build. Environ.* 46 (2011)  
23 1504-1511
- 24 [8] Liang, Y., Xu, Y., 2015. The influence of surface sorption and air flow rate on phthalate  
25 emissions from vinyl flooring: Measurement and modeling. *Atmos. Environ.* 103, 147-155.  
26 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.12.029>.
- 27 [9] Nohr, M., Horn, W., Jann, O., Richter, M., Lorenz, W., 2015. Development of a multi-VOC  
28 reference material for quality assurance in materials emission testing. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*,  
29 407, 3231–3237. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-014-8387-2>
- 30 [2] Emons, H., Fajgelj, A., van der Veen, A.M.H., Watters, R., 2006. New definitions on  
31 reference materials. *Accred. Qual. Assur.*, 10, 576-578. DOI 10.1007/s00769-006-0089-9
- 32 [10] Richter, M., Mull, B., Horn, W., Breodner, D., Meolders, N., Renner, M., 2017.  
33 Reproducibly emitting reference material on thermoplastic polyurethane basis for quality



- 1 assurance/quality control of emission test chamber measurements. *Build. Environ.* 122, 230-  
2 236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2017.06.005>
- 3 [11] Marć, M., Zabiegała, B., Namieśnik, J., 2012. Testing and sampling devices for monitoring  
4 volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds in indoor air. *Trends Anal. Chem.* 32, 76-86.  
5 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2011.09.006>
- 6 [12] Liu, C., Zhang, Y., 2016. Characterizing the equilibrium relationship between DEHP in  
7 PVC flooring and air using a closed-chamber SPME method. *Build. Environ.* 95, 283-290.  
8 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2015.09.028>
- 9 [13] Marć, M. 2017. Problems and challenges associated with estimating the emissions of  
10 organic compounds from indoor materials. *Trends Anal. Chem.* 97, 297-308.  
11 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2017.09.022>
- 12 [14] Marć, M., Namieśnik, J., Zabiegała, B., 2017. The miniaturised emission chamber system  
13 and home-made passive flux sampler studies of monoaromatic hydrocarbons emissions from  
14 selected commercially-available floor coverings. *Build. Environ.* 123, 1-13.  
15 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2017.06.035>
- 16 [15] Li, M., 2013. Diffusion-controlled emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs):  
17 Short-, mid-, and long-term emission profiles, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 62, 295–302.  
18 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2013.02.079>
- 19 [16] Ertekin, F.B., Seydim, A.C., 2018. Kinetic changes of antioxidant parameters, ascorbic  
20 acid loss, and hydroxymethyl furfural formation during apple chips production. *J. Food*  
21 *Biochem.*, 42, e12676. [doi.org/10.1111/jfbc.12676](https://doi.org/10.1111/jfbc.12676)
- 22 [17] Schawe, J.E.K., 2015. The Gibbs free energy difference between a supercooled melt and  
23 the crystalline phase of polymers. *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.*, 120, 1417-25. [doi:10.1007/s10973-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-015-4453-z)  
24 [015-4453-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-015-4453-z).
- 25 [18] Wunderlich, B., Czornyj, G., 1977. A study of equilibrium melting of polyethylene.  
26 *Macromolecules.* 10, 906-913, [doi:10.1021/ma60059a006](https://doi.org/10.1021/ma60059a006).
- 27 [19] Schripp, T., Nachtwey, B., Toelke, J., Salthammer, T., Uhde, E., Wensing, M., Bahadi,  
28 M., 2007. A microscale device for measuring emissions from materials for indoor use. *Anal.*  
29 *Bioanal. Chem.* 387, 1907–1919. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-006-1057-2>.
- 30 [20] Marć, M., Namieśnik, J., Zabiegała, B., 2015. The home-made in situ passive flux sampler  
31 for the measurement of monoterpene emission flux: preliminary studies. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*  
32 407, 6879–6884. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-015-8859-z>





- 1 [21] Marć, M., Zabiegała, B., 2017. An investigation of selected monoaromatic hydrocarbons  
2 released from the surface of polystyrene lids used in coffee-to-go cups. *Microchem. J.* 133,  
3 496–505. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2017.04.015>
- 4 [22] Lin, S., Zhang, X., 2016. A rapid and novel method for predicting nicotine alkaloids in  
5 tobacco through electronic nose and partial least-squares regression analysis. *Anal. Methods.*  
6 8, 1609–1617. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5AY02257F>
- 7 [23] European Collaborative Action Indoor Air Quality & Its Impact on Man (ECA-IAQ),  
8 Environment and Quality of Life, Report No 19, Total Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) in  
9 Indoor Air Quality Investigations, Luxembourg, 1997
- 10 [24] Massold, E., Bahr, C., Salthammer, T., Brown, S.K., 2005. Determination of VOC and  
11 TVOC in air using thermal desorption GC–MS—practical implications for test chamber  
12 experiments. *Chromatographia.* 62, 75–85. [doi.org/10.1365/s10337-005-0582-z](https://doi.org/10.1365/s10337-005-0582-z)
- 13 [25] Formela, K., Marć, M., Namieśnik, J., Zabiegała, B., 2016. The estimation of total volatile  
14 organic compounds emissions generated from peroxide-cured natural rubber/polycaprolactone  
15 blends. *Microchem. J.* 127, 30–35. [doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2016.02.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2016.02.001)
- 16 [26] Marć, M., Zabiegała, B., Namieśnik, J., 2014. Application of passive sampling technique  
17 in monitoring research on quality of atmospheric air in the area of Tczew, Poland. *Int. J.*  
18 *Environ. Anal. Chem.* 94, 151-167. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03067319.2013.791979>
- 19 [27] Pennequin-Cardinal, A., Plaisance, H., Locoge, N., Ramalho, O., Kirchner, S., Galloo, J-  
20 C., 2005. Performances of the Radiello® diffusive sampler for BTEX measurements: Influence  
21 of environmental conditions and determination of modelled sampling rates. *Atmos. Environ.*  
22 39, 2535-2544. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.12.035>.
- 23 [28] Zabiegała, B., Urbanowicz, M., Szymańska, K., Namieśnik, J., 2010. Application of  
24 passive sampling technique for monitoring of BTEX concentration in urban air: field  
25 comparison of different types of passive samplers. *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* 48, 167–175.  
26 <https://doi.org/10.1093/chromsci/48.3.167>.
- 27 [29] Hejna, A., Sulyman, M., Przybysz, M., Saeb, M. R., Klein, M., Formela, K., 2020. On the  
28 Correlation of Lignocellulosic Filler Composition with the Performance Properties of Poly(ε-  
29 Caprolactone) Based Biocomposites. *Waste Biomass Valor.* 11, 1467–1479.  
30 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-018-0485-5>.
- 31 [30] Gosz, K., Kosmela, P., Hejna, A., Gajowiec, G., Piszczyk, Ł., 2018. Biopolyols obtained  
32 via microwave-assisted liquefaction of lignin: structure, rheological, physical and thermal  
33 properties. *Wood Sci. Technol.* 52, 599–617. [doi:10.1007/s00226-018-0991-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00226-018-0991-4).



- 1 [31] Hejna, A., Maré, M., Skórczewska, K., Szulc, J., Korol, J., Formela, K., 2020. Insights into  
2 modification of lignocellulosic fillers with isophorone diisocyanate: structure, thermal stability  
3 and volatile organic compounds emission assessment. *Eur. J. Wood Prod.* 79, 75–90.  
4 doi:10.1007/s00107-020-01604-y
- 5 [32] Ashrafi, J., Semnani, A., S. Langeroodi, H., Shirani, M., 2017. Direct acetylation of  
6 sunflower oil in the presence of boron trioxide catalyst and the adduct usage as the base stock  
7 and lubricant additive. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Ethiop.* 31, 39-49.  
8 <http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/bcse.v31i1.4>.
- 9 [33] Rohman, A., Che Man, Y.B., 2012. Quantification and Classification of Corn and  
10 Sunflower Oils as Adulterants in Olive Oil Using Chemometrics and FTIR Spectra. *Sci. World*  
11 *J.* 2012, 1–6. doi:10.1100/2012/250795.
- 12 [34] Kosmela, P., Hejna, A., Formela, K., Haponiuk, J., Piszczyk, Ł., 2017. The Study on  
13 Application of Biopolyols Obtained by Cellulose Biomass Liquefaction Performed with Crude  
14 Glycerol for the Synthesis of Rigid Polyurethane Foams. *J. Polym. Environ.* 26, 2546–2554.  
15 doi:10.1007/s10924-017-1145-8.
- 16 [35] Korol, J., Hejna, A., Wypiór, K., Mijalski, K., Chmielnicka, E., 2021. Wastes from  
17 Agricultural Silage Film Recycling Line as a Potential Polymer Materials. *Polymers.* 13, 1383.  
18 <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13091383>
- 19 [36] Gulmine, J., Janissek, P., Heise, H., Akcelrud, L., 2002. Polyethylene characterization by  
20 FTIR. *Polym. Test.* 21, 557–563. doi:10.1016/s0142-9418(01)00124-6
- 21 [37] Hejna, A., Barczewski, M., Andrzejewski, J., Kosmela, P., Piasecki, A., Szostak, M.,  
22 Kuang, T., 2020. Rotational Molding of Linear Low-Density Polyethylene Composites Filled  
23 with Wheat Bran. *Polymers.* 12, 1004. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12051004>.
- 24 [38] Mittal, V., Luckachan, G. E., Chernev, B., Matsko, N. B., 2014. Bio-polyester-date seed  
25 powder composites: Morphology and component migration. *Polym. Eng. Sci.* 55, 877–888.  
26 <https://doi.org/10.1002/pen.23955>
- 27 [39] Benítez, A., Sánchez, J.J., Arnal, M.L., Müller, A.J., Rodríguez, O., Morales, G., 2013.  
28 Abiotic degradation of LDPE and LLDPE formulated with a pro-oxidant additive. *Polym.*  
29 *Degrad. Stabil.* 98, 490–501. doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2012.12.011
- 30 [40] Elanmugilan, M., Sreekumar, P.A., Singha, N., De, S.K., Al-Harathi, M., 2014. Natural  
31 weather aging of low density polyethylene: Effect of prodegradant additive. *Plast. Rubber*  
32 *Compos.* 43, 347–353. doi.org/10.1179/1743289814Y.0000000104

- 1 [41] Li, D., Zhou, L., Wang, X., He, L., Yang, X., 2019. Effect of Crystallinity of Polyethylene  
2 with Different Densities on Breakdown Strength and Conductance Property. *Materials*. 12,  
3 1746. doi:10.3390/ma12111746
- 4 [42] Zhou, W., 2011. Thermal and Dielectric Properties of the Aluminum Particle Reinforced  
5 Linear Low-Density Polyethylene Composites. *Polym. Eng. Sci.* 51, 917–924.  
6 doi:10.1002/pen.21913.
- 7 [43] Kargin, V.A., Sogolova, T.I., Shaposhnikova, T.K., 1965. The mechanism of the  
8 nucleation effect of solid particles in crystallizing polymers. *Polym. Sci. USSR*. 7, 423–428.
- 9 [44] Mohammadi, M., Yousefi, A.A., Ehsani, M., 2012. Study of the thermal and mechanical  
10 properties of blown films of high- and low-density polyethylene blends. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*  
11 125, 755-767. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/app.36246>.
- 12 [45] Babushok, V.I., Linstrom, P.J., Zenkevich, I.G., 2011. Retention Indices for Frequently  
13 Reported Compounds of Plant Essential Oils. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 40, 043101.  
14 <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3653552>
- 15 [46] Jordan, M.J., Margaria, C.A., Shaw, P.E., Goodner, K.L., 2002. Aroma active components  
16 in aqueous Kiwi fruit essence and Kiwi fruit puree by GC-MS and multidimensional GC/GC-  
17 O. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 50, 5386-5390. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf020297f>.
- 18 [47] Machiels, D., Van Ruth, S.M., Posthumus, M.A., Istasse, L., 2003. Gas chromatography-  
19 olfactometry analysis of the volatile compounds of two commercial Irish beef meats. *Talanta*.  
20 60, 755-764. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0039-9140\(03\)00133-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0039-9140(03)00133-4)
- 21 [48] Liang, Y., Abbott, D., Howard, N., Lim, K., Ward, R., Elgendi, M., 2019. How Effective  
22 Is Pulse Arrival Time for Evaluating Blood Pressure? Challenges and Recommendations from  
23 a Study Using the MIMIC Database. *J. Clin. Med.* 8, 337; doi:10.3390/jcm8030337
- 24 [49] Yee, M.H., Yunos, J.M., Othman, W., Hassan, R., Tee, T.K., Mohamad, M.M., 2015.  
25 Disparity of Learning Styles and Higher Order Thinking Skills among Technical Students,  
26 *Procedia Soc. Behav. Sci.* 204, 143 – 152. doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.08.127
- 27 [50] Lattuati-Derieux, A., Egasse, C., Thao-Heu, S., Balcar, N., Barabant, G., Lavédrine, B.,  
28 2013. What do plastics emit? HS-SPME-GC/MS analyses of new standard plastics and plastic  
29 objects in museum collections. *J. Cult. Herit.* 14, 238–247.  
30 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.culher.2012.06.005>.
- 31 [51] Pajaro-Castro, N., Caballero-Gallardo, K., Olivero-Verbel, J., 2014. Identification of  
32 volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in plastic products using gas chromatography and mass  
33 spectrometry (GC/MS). *Rev. Ambient. Água*. 9, 611-619. [https://doi.org/10.4136/ambi-  
agua.1435](https://doi.org/10.4136/ambi-<br/>34 agua.1435).



- 1 [52] Mitchell, G., Higgitt, C., Gibson, L.T., 2014. Emissions from polymeric materials:  
2 Characterised by thermal desorption-gas chromatography. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* 107, 328-340.  
3 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2013.12.003>.
- 4 [53] Marlet, C., Lognay, G., 2010. Development and validation by accuracy profile of a method  
5 for the analysis of monoterpenes in indoor air by active sampling and thermal desorption-gas  
6 chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Talanta*. 82, 1230-1239.  
7 [doi:10.1016/j.talanta.2010.06.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2010.06.044).
- 8 [54] Batterman, S.A., Zhang, G.Z., Baumann, M., 1998. Analysis and stability of aldehydes  
9 and terpenes in electropolished canisters. *Atmos. Environ.* 32, 1647-1655.  
10 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310\(97\)00417-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00417-2).
- 11 [55] Salthammer, T., Mentese, S., Marutzky, R., 2010. Formaldehyde in the Indoor  
12 Environment. *Chem. Rev.* 110, 2536-2572. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr800399g>.
- 13 [56] Salthammer, T., 2019. Formaldehyde sources, formaldehyde concentrations and air  
14 exchange rates in European housings. *Build. Environ.* 150, 219-232.  
15 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2018.12.042>.
- 16 [57] Kuşçu, A., Bulantekin, Ö., 2016. The effects of production methods and storage on the  
17 chemical constituents of apple pekmez. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 53, 3083-3092. [doi:](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-016-2281-1)  
18 [10.1007/s13197-016-2281-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-016-2281-1).
- 19 [58] Kermasha, S., Goetghebeur, M., Dumont, J., Couture, R., 1995. Analyses of phenolic and  
20 furfural compounds in concentrated and non-concentrated apple juices. *Food Res. Int.* 28, 245-  
21 252. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0963-9969\(94\)00046-B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0963-9969(94)00046-B).
- 22 [59] Lee, Y-K., Kim, H-J., 2012. The effect of temperature on VOCs and carbonyl compounds  
23 emission from wooden flooring by thermal extractor test method. *Build. Environ.* 53, 95-99.  
24 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2011.10.016>.
- 25 [60] Staudt, M., Bourgeois, I., Al Halabi, R., Song, W., Williams, J., 2017. New insights into  
26 the parametrization of temperature and light responses of mono - and sesquiterpene emissions  
27 from Aleppo pine and rosemary. *Atmos. Environ.* 152, 212-221.  
28 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.12.033>.
- 29 [61] Xiong, J., Yang, T., Tan, J., Li, L., Ge, Y., 2015. Characterization of VOC Emission from  
30 Materials in Vehicular Environment at Varied Temperatures: Correlation Development and  
31 Validation. *PLoS ONE*. 10, e0140081. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0140081>.
- 32 [62] Saleh, M.E., El-Refaey, A.A., Mahmoud, A.H., 2016. Effectiveness of sunflower seed  
33 husk biochar for removing copper ions from wastewater: a comparative study. *Soil & Water*  
34 *Res.* 11, 53-63. <https://doi.org/10.17221/274/2014-SWR>.



1 [63] Rhoderick, G.C., Yen, J.H., 2006. Development of a NIST Standard Reference Material  
2 containing thirty volatile organic compounds at 5 nmol/mol in nitrogen. *Anal. Chem.* 78, 3125-  
3 3132. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac052136r>.

4

## 5 **8. Figure captions**

6 **Figure 1.** General view of an investigated candidates for laboratory reference material (LRM)  
7 prepared based on low-density polyethylene (LDPE) and plant-based wastes: A – LDPE with  
8 sunflower husks; B – LDPE with yerba mate residues; C – LDPE with apple pomace.

9 **Figure 2.** A general information about the analytical protocol employed for the determination  
10 of investigated representatives of monoaromatic hydrocarbons and terpenes emitted from  
11 candidates for laboratory reference material.

12 **Figure 3.** The results of FT-IR analysis of introduced plant-based wastes

13 **Figure 4.** The results of FT-IR analysis of LDPE-based candidates for laboratory reference  
14 material.

15 **Figure 5.** The general view of thermograms obtained during DSC analysis of prepared  
16 composite materials.

17 **Figure 6.** Relationship between seasoning/conditioning time of investigated candidates for  
18 LRM with yerba mate residues (A) and apple pomace (B) addition and the estimated specific  
19 emission rate of total VOCs as well as the sum of identified and determined terpenes.

20 **Figure 7.** Relationship between seasoning/conditioning time of investigated candidate for LRM  
21 with sunflower husks (SH) addition and the estimated specific emission rate of total VOCs as  
22 well as the sum of identified and determined terpenes.

23 **Figure 8.** The relationship between the seasoning/conditioning temperature of investigated  
24 candidates for LRM with yerba mate residues (A) and apple pomace (B) addition and the  
25 emission rate of total VOCs as well as the sum of identified and determined terpenes.

26 **Figure 9.** The relationship between the seasoning/conditioning temperature of investigated  
27 candidates for LRM with sunflower husks (SH) addition and the emission rate of total VOCs  
28 as well as the sum of identified and determined terpenes.

29

## 30 **9. List of Supplementary Materials**

31 **Supplementary Figure 1.** Relationship between seasoning/conditioning time of investigated  
32 candidate for LRM with YM addition and the estimated specific emission rate of toluene,  
33 furfural and identified and determined terpenes.

- 1 **Supplementary Figure 2.** Relationship between seasoning/conditioning time of investigated
- 2 candidate for LRM with AP addition and the estimated specific emission rate of toluene,
- 3 furfural and identified and determined terpenes
- 4 **Supplementary Figure 3.** Relationship between seasoning/conditioning time of investigated
- 5 candidate for LRM with SH addition and the estimated specific emission rate of toluene,
- 6 furfural and identified and determined terpenes

Journal Pre-proof

**Table 1.** Calculated retention indices of representatives of monoaromatic hydrocarbons and terpenes emitted to gaseous phase from investigated candidates for LRM.

Chemical compound	CAS No.	Molecular weight	Range of LRI on DB-1 for investigated samples based on GC-FID analysis	Type of a sample in which the compound was identified	Range of LRI based on literature data on similar GC column <sup>(a)</sup>
<b>Toluene</b>	108-88-3	92.14	765-769	AP, SH, YM	762-770 [46]
<b>Furfural</b>	98-01-1	96.08	805-810	AP, YM	828-832 [47]
<b><math>\alpha</math>-Pinene</b>	80-56-8	136.23	933-936	AP, YM	930-938
<b>Camphene</b>	79-92-5	136.23	953-956	YM	941-953
<b><math>\beta</math>-Pinene</b>	127-91-3	136.23	978-982	AP, YM	968-978
<b>3-Carene</b>	13466-78-9	136.23	1011-1015	YM	1001-1010
<b>(R)-(+)-Limonene</b>	5989-27-5	136.23	1030-1035	AP, YM	1020-1027
<b>L-(-)-Fenchone</b>	7787-20-4	152.23	1098-1105	AP, YM	1059-1087
<b>Fenchol</b>	2217-02-9	154.25	1113-1118	AP, YM	1088-1122
<b>(1R)-(+)-Camphor</b>	464-49-3	152.23	1120-1127	YM	1118-1130
<b>(+/-)-B-Citronellol</b>	106-22-9	156.27	1212-1218	YM	1208-1215
<b>(R)-(+)-Pulegone</b>	89-82-7	152.23	1223-1230	AP, YM	1215-1230
<b>(-)-<math>\alpha</math>-Cedrene</b>	469-61-4	204.35	1410-1417	AP, SH, YM	1399-1416
<b><math>\alpha</math>-Humulene</b>	6753-98-6	204.35	1449-1454	AP, YM	1443-1455
<b>Nerolidol</b>	3790-78-1	222.37	1524-1530	AP, YM	1516-1533
<b>(+)-Cedrol</b>	77-53-2	222.37	1597-1602	AP, YM	1584-1609
<b>(-)-<math>\alpha</math>-Bisabolol</b>	23089-26-1	222.37	1658-1668	AP, SH, YM	1663-1674

(a) Based on data published by Babushok et al. [45] – RI values of essential oil components for GC dimethylsilicone stationary phase



**Table 2.** Calculated numerical values of curve parameters and Person's Correlation Coefficient (PCC) to estimate the relationship between the specific emission rate (ng per gram of sample per hour) of detected organic compounds and the seasoning/conditioning time (in hours) of investigated materials.

<i>Numerical values of curve parameters <math>y = AX + B</math></i>				
<b>Samples of candidate for LRM with YM addition</b>				
<b>Emitted compound</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>R<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>PCC*</b>
Toluene	-0.162	1.315	<b>0.765</b>	<b>-0.647</b>
Furfural	-0.201	1.172	<b>0.799</b>	<b>-0.673</b>
$\alpha$ -Pinene	-0.207	1.557	<b>0.849</b>	<b>-0.702</b>
Camphene	-0.144	0.994	<b>0.885</b>	<b>-0.724</b>
$\beta$ -Pinene	-0.167	1.594	<b>0.807</b>	<b>-0.669</b>
3-Carene	-0.181	0.904	0.712	-0.529
(R)-(+)-Limonene	-0.144	1.360	<b>0.814</b>	<b>-0.691</b>
L-(-)-Fenchone	-0.169	1.575	<b>0.782</b>	<b>-0.667</b>
Fenchol	-0.176	1.802	<b>0.743</b>	<b>-0.653</b>
(1R)-(+)-Camphor	-0.167	1.157	<b>0.712</b>	<b>-0.609</b>
(R)-(+)-Pulegone	-0.186	1.435	<b>0.867</b>	<b>-0.700</b>
(-)- $\alpha$ -Cedrene	-0.186	1.112	<b>0.913</b>	<b>-0.719</b>
$\alpha$ -Humulene	-0.165	1.110	0.737	-0.585
Nerolidol	-0.187	1.386	<b>0.824</b>	<b>-0.708</b>
(+)-Cedrol	-0.185	1.203	<b>0.793</b>	<b>-0.735</b>
(-)- $\alpha$ -Bisabolol	-0.207	1.103	<b>0.835</b>	<b>-0.693</b>
<b>Samples of candidate for LRM with AP addition</b>				
<b>Emitted compound</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>R<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>PCC</b>
Furfural	-0.154	2.968	<b>0.886</b>	<b>-0.775</b>
$\alpha$ -Pinene	-0.133	1.574	<b>0.869</b>	<b>-0.773</b>
$\beta$ -Pinene	-0.160	1.316	<b>0.768</b>	<b>-0.742</b>
(R)-(+)-Limonene	-0.063	1.040	0.159	-0.598
$\gamma$ -Terpinene	-0.036	0.400	0.032	0.067
L-(-)-Fenchone	-0.167	1.251	<b>0.778</b>	<b>-0.775</b>
Fenchol	-0.159	1.580	<b>0.803</b>	<b>-0.749</b>
(1R)-(+)-Camphor	-0.149	1.061	<b>0.823</b>	<b>-0.811</b>
(+/-)-B-Citronellol	-0.110	0.652	<b>0.690</b>	<b>-0.814</b>
(R)-(+)-Pulegone	-0.090	0.969	0.203	-0.510
Alpha-Cedrene	-0.167	1.141	<b>0.726</b>	<b>-0.685</b>
Alpha-Humulene	-0.175	0.935	<b>0.856</b>	<b>-0.695</b>
Nerolidol	-0.170	1.391	<b>0.795</b>	<b>-0.641</b>
(+)-Cedrol	-0.086	0.759	0.180	0.001
(-)- $\alpha$ -Bisabolol	-0.123	0.969	0.428	-0.610
<b>Samples of candidate for LRM with SH addition</b>				
<b>Emitted compound</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>R<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>PCC</b>
(-)- $\alpha$ -Cedrene	-0.0066	0.084	0.0044	-0.498
$\alpha$ -Humulene	0.0065	0.065	0.0014	0.063
Nerolidol	-0.0170	0.067	0.207	0.813
(+)-Cedrol	-0.0104	0.353	0.0032	0.205
(-)- $\alpha$ -Bisabolol	-0.0027	0.428	0.0002	-0.0047

*y* - logarithm (LOG) of emission rate of defined compound; *X* - seasoning/conditioning time of investigated material; PCC - Pearson's correlation coefficients at a significance level of  $p < 0.05$

\*Relationship criteria (based on Liang et al [48] and Yee et al [49]): 0.0 ÷ 0.2 – very weak (no or negligible); 0.2 ÷ 0.4 – weak; 0.4 ÷ 0.6 moderate; 0.6 ÷ 0.8 strong; above 0.8 - very strong (1.0 perfect relationship).






**Table 3.** Calculated numerical values of curve parameters and Person's Correlation Coefficient (PCC) to estimate the relationship between the emission rate (ng per gram of sample) of detected organic compounds and the seasoning/conditioning temperature (in °C) of investigated materials.

Numerical values of curve parameters $y = AX + B$				
Samples of candidate for LRM with YM addition				
Emitted compound	A	B	R <sup>2</sup>	PCC**
Toluene	0.247	6.121	0.305	0.553
Furfural	0.075	6.192	0.247	0.497
$\alpha$ -Pinene	0.237	8.950	<b>0.639</b>	<b>0.799</b>
Camphene	0.432	-9.003	<b>0.930</b>	<b>0.964</b>
$\beta$ -Pinene	1.311	-22.749	<b>0.951</b>	<b>0.975</b>
3-Carene	0.167	-0.452	<b>0.559</b>	<b>0.748</b>
(R)-(+)-Limonene	2.676	-58.596	<b>0.802</b>	<b>0.896</b>
L-(-)-Fenchone	1.689	-38.016	<b>0.964</b>	<b>0.982</b>
Fenchol	2.148	-33.425	<b>0.911</b>	<b>0.955</b>
(1R)-(+)-Camphor	0.150	1.942	<b>0.830</b>	<b>0.911</b>
(R)-(+)-Pulegone	0.079	8.049	0.286	0.534
(-)- $\alpha$ -Cedrene	-0.445	36.484	0.315	-0.561
$\alpha$ -Humulene	-0.308	25.237	0.163	-0.403
Nerolidol	-0.030	12.603	0.030	-0.173
(+)-Cedrol	-0.038	7.443	0.112	-0.335
(-)- $\alpha$ -Bisabolol	-0.140	10.198	0.297	-0.545
Samples of candidate for LRM with AP addition				
Emitted compound	A	B	R <sup>2</sup>	PCC
Furfural	29.825	-468,715	<b>0,985</b>	<b>0,992</b>
$\alpha$ -Pinene	0.653	2,786	<b>0,738</b>	<b>0,859</b>
$\beta$ -Pinene	0.943	-14,885	<b>0,936</b>	<b>0,968</b>
(R)-(+)-Limonene	2.672	-66,945	<b>0,823</b>	<b>0,907</b>
$\gamma$ -Terpinene	0.036	1,423	0.492	0.701
L-(-)-Fenchone	1.196	-26,400	<b>0,959</b>	<b>0,979</b>
Fenchol	2.583	-72,945	<b>0,921</b>	<b>0,960</b>
(1R)-(+)-Camphor	0.179	1,181	<b>0,723</b>	<b>0,850</b>
(+/-)-B-Citronellol	0.391	-10,516	<b>0,927</b>	<b>0,963</b>
(R)-(+)-Pulegone	-0.106	15,134	<b>0,623</b>	<b>-0,789</b>
Alpha-Cedrene	0.090	6,350	0.116	0.340
Alpha-Humulene	0.331	-1,661	<b>0,685</b>	<b>0,828</b>
Nerolidol	0.160	6,811	0.480	0.693
(+)-Cedrol	0.056	4,661	0.326	0.571
(-)- $\alpha$ -Bisabolol	1.375	32,502	0.059	0.243
Samples of candidate for LRM with SH addition				
Emitted compound	A	B	*R <sup>2</sup>	*PCC
(-)- $\alpha$ -Cedrene	-2.192	41.36	0.329	-0.574
$\alpha$ -Humulene	-6.832	41.59	0.329	-0.574
Nerolidol	-0.498	41.27	0.329	-0.574
(+)-Cedrol	-1.085	41.30	0.329	-0.574
(-)- $\alpha$ -Bisabolol	-2.593	41.38	0.329	-0.574

*y* - emission rate of defined compound; *X* - seasoning/conditioning temperature of investigated material; PCC - Pearson's correlation coefficients at a significance level of  $p < 0.05$

\* identical values of PCC and R<sup>2</sup> parameters are caused by the fact that in significant cases (in temperature conditions below 45°C) measured compounds were below ILD and for the further calculations the calculated value of ILD was applied

\*\* Relationship criteria (based on Liang et al [48] and Yee et al [49]): 0.0 ÷ 0.2 – very weak (no or negligible); 0.2 ÷ 0.4 – weak; 0.4 ÷ 0.6 moderate; 0.6 ÷ 0.8 strong; above 0.8 - very strong (1.0 perfect relationship).



Journal Pre-proof

**PREPARATION OF CANDIDATES FOR LABORATORY REFERENCE MATERIAL TO EMISSIONS STUDIES**

- Weighting and samples description;
- Placing the studied samples into the emission chambers system



**SAMPLING THE CHEMICAL COMPOUNDS EMITTED TO THE GASEOUS PHASE FROM INVESTIGATED SYNTHETIC MATERIALS**

- Seasoning/conditioning of investigated materials under static conditions, without forced flow rate of nitrogen gas through the chambers - the gas outlets from the chambers were sealed with a rubber septum;
- Seasoning/conditioning at predefined time intervals: 15 min; 30 min; 60 min; 90 min; 120 min; 240 min; 360 min; 480 min – starting from the moment when the studied samples were placed inside the chambers;
- Seasoning/conditioning at defined constant time (30 min) in different temperatures: 21°C; 25°C; 35°C; 45°C; 60°C;
- After the defined samples seasoning time, from each of chambers outlets the septum was removed and the stainless steel tube filled with Tenax TA was installed;
- At the end, the nitrogen gas flow rate was turned on and the chemical compounds present in gaseous phase inside a chamber were washed out and collected on the applied sorption medium – nitrogen flow rate 35 mL·min<sup>-1</sup> for 5 min.



**LIBERATION OF CHEMICAL COMPOUNDS RETAINED ON THE SORPTION MEDIUM**

- *The 1<sup>st</sup> stage of thermal desorption process:*
  - Tenax TA tube temp. - 290°C;
  - microtrap temp. - 0°C;
  - desorption time – 15 min;
  - inert gas flow rate (helium) – 50 mL·min<sup>-1</sup>
- *The 2<sup>nd</sup> stage of thermal desorption process:*
  - microtrap desorption temperature - 300°C;
  - microtrap ballistic heating time – 5 min
  - inert gas flow rate (helium) passing through the microtrap directly to the GC column – 2.0 mL·min<sup>-1</sup>



**SEPARATION, IDENTIFICATION AND FINAL DETERMINATION OF ANALYTES**


- *Gas chromatography technique equipped with flame ionization detector (GC-FID);* oven working program: initial temperature – 50°C maintained for 1 min, next increased 15°C·min<sup>-1</sup> up to 120°C, and maintained for 2 min, after this increased with the rate 7°C·min<sup>-1</sup> up to 260°C and held for 5 min.
- *Gas chromatography technique combined with mass spectrometer (GC-MS);* oven working program: initial temperature – 50°C maintained for 1 min, next increased 15°C·min<sup>-1</sup> up to 120°C, and maintained for 2 min, after this increased with the rate 7°C·min<sup>-1</sup> up to 260°C and held for 5 min.






**DATA ANALYSIS**

- Identification and quantitative determination of emitted organic compounds based on reference solutions and obtained calibration curves;
- Screening identification of emitted organic compounds based on calculated linear retention indexes (LRI);
- Additional identification of emitted organic compounds based on GC-MS chemical compounds mass spectral library (NIST Mass Spectral Library)













Journal Pre-proof











Journal Pre-proof









**Highlights**

- Candidates for the emission laboratory reference material (LRM) were proposed;
- Apple pomace, sunflower husks, and yerba mate residues were considered as bioadditives;
- Relationship between emission of determined compounds and LRM tests time was investigated;
- Correlation between emission of determined compounds and LRM tests temperature was assessed;
- Developed LRM might be applied in almost every small-scale devices used for the emission tests

Journal Pre-proof



**Declaration of interests**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Journal Pre-proof