



Development and validation of an eco-compatible UV–Vis spectrophotometric method for the determination of Cu²⁺ in aqueous matrices

Prisco Prete¹ · Davide Iannaccone¹ · Antonio Proto¹ · Marek Tobiszewski² · Raffaele Cucciniello^{1,3}

Received: 20 April 2023 / Revised: 24 May 2023 / Accepted: 30 May 2023 / Published online: 14 June 2023
© The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Cu²⁺ are ubiquitous ions in the ecosystem and are responsible of serious environmental pollution. Indeed, the development of sensitive methods for Cu²⁺ detection is an urgent demand. In this work, we proposed a new spectrophotometric method for Cu²⁺ determination in different water matrices (distilled water, drinking water, wastewater, and river water). The method employs a bio-based organic ligand namely tetrasodium iminodisuccinate (IDS) able to form a stable complex with the analyte with a maximum absorption at 710 nm. Within the linear range of 6.3–381 mg L⁻¹, the limit of detection (LOD) was determined to be as 1.43 mg L⁻¹. Moreover, the recovery data of the spiked analysis of drinking/river/wastewater water samples were also satisfactory and verified the feasibility of the method for the analysis of Cu²⁺ in natural conditions. Finally, the AGREE assessment tool was used for a quantitative evaluation of the proposed method and reference method, in agreement with the green analytical chemistry principles. The results showed the lower environmental impact of the proposed method and the suitability of this novel approach for Cu²⁺ in water matrices.

Keywords Copper · AGREE · UV–vis spectrophotometry · Green analytical chemistry · Iminodisuccinic acid

Introduction

Copper is a naturally occurring element present in all environmental media, including soil, sediment, and water. As essential metal, copper in traces is a micronutrient for cell function and plays a crucial role in different metabolic and enzymatic processes, as those involved in the antioxidant defense of the body and production of hemoglobin [1]. Metallic copper is widely used in electrical devices and widespread in the manufacturing of electrical cables and wires thanks to its high electrical conductivity and

low price. Copper salts, including CuSO₄, Cu(OH)₂, and (Cu₃Cl₂(OH)₄), are commonly used as fungicides and herbicides in viticulture [2]. As matter of facts, as an essential heavy metal, it is a micronutrient for cell function, whereas, depending on its concentration, Cu can damage environment and ecosystems, causing oxidative stress in plant cells [3], and it can be harmful for human bodies, causing severe diseases [4, 5]. To prevent environmental issues, several legislators worldwide imposed a concentration limit for Cu in drinking water, ground water, and wastewater. The World Health Organization (WHO) and the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) recommend the concentration of copper in drinking water not to exceed 31 μM and 20 μM, respectively [6, 7]. Italian legislation imposed in 2006 a concentration limit for the wastewater discharge in groundwater bodies to 1 mg L⁻¹ [8]. The Italian Water Research Institute (IRSA) has proposed both an ICP-OES and a spectrophotometric analysis (based on the formation of a copper complex with oxalyldihydrazide) as reference methods for the determination of copper in aqueous matrices [9]. Notwithstanding spectrophotometric methods show higher values for LOD and LOQ in comparison with ICP-OES determination, they are a useful choice for the

✉ Raffaele Cucciniello
rccucciniello@unisa.it

¹ Environmental Chemistry Group (ECG), Department of Chemistry and Biology, University of Salerno, Via Giovanni Paolo II 132, 84084 Fisciano, SA, Italy

² Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry and EcoTech Center, Gdańsk University of Technology (GUT), 11/12 G. Narutowicza St., 80-233 Gdańsk, Poland

³ Centro Interdisciplinare Linceo Giovani, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, Via della Lungara, Roma, 10 - 00165, Italy

determination of copper in water matrices when ICP-OES sensitivity is not required. However, the application of oxalyldihydrazide-based method shows some limitations, due to the significant number of steps and harmful reagents, including mineral acids, acetaldehyde, and oxalyldihydrazide itself, required for the analysis. In this scenario, the development of several methods [10–15] for Cu^{2+} ion determination with satisfying sensitivity and selectivity has been proposed in literature, although characterized by some drawbacks. As a matter of fact, the determination of copper in food and beer matrices has been proposed using UV–Vis [14, 15] or F-AAS [10] spectroscopy coupled with a dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction (DLLME) preconcentration, which allowed to reach LOD values ranging from 10^{-4} to 10^{-7} mol L^{-1} , but the DLLME implies the use of toxic CCl_4 as extractant solvent. In addition, the analytical chemistry community attention to the development of new methods able to respect the green analytical chemistry (GAC) principles has gained in the last years [16–19]. Therefore, the development of an analytical method with high sensitivity for the determination of Cu^{2+} is of high interest as well as its adherence to GAC principles in comparison with the reference one [7]. Considering the development of a new spectrophotometric method, the choice of a bio-based ligand represents a promising option in the light of the 10th principle of GAC [20]. Recently, we investigated the use of tetrasodium iminodisuccinate (IDS) as ligand for Cu^{2+} in water solution. IDS can be easily obtained from bio-based maleic anhydride [21], and it is fully biodegradable [22, 23], in accordance with the green chemistry principles [24] and the benign by design approach [25].

The complex (Cu-IDS) formation was characterized by means of NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis, and flame atomic adsorption spectroscopy (FAAS), and Cu-IDS has been used as active catalyst for the (photo-)Fenton process for water and wastewater treatment with encouraging results [26–29]. Furthermore, considering the high stability constant of Cu-IDS in distilled water ($K_{\text{Cu-IDS}} = 10^{13.1}$) determined by potentiometric titrations by Hyvönen and co-workers [30], IDS is a valuable candidate for Cu^{2+} determination in water matrices by spectrophotometry.

In this work, a new spectrophotometric method was developed with the aim to provide a rapid and simple strategy for the copper determination in different aqueous samples, with short time and low-cost analysis. This method relies on the formation of a stable, colorful complex of copper ion with IDS ligand. The Cu-IDS complex has been fully characterized, and it shows a significant absorbance peak at $\lambda = 710$ nm. Experiments were carried out in distilled water and then extended to real water samples (drinking water, urban wastewater, and river water). The role of interfering species such as other metal cations ubiquitous in an aqueous matrix (Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+}) and Fe^{3+} , due to the high stability

constant with IDS ($K_{\text{Fe-IDS}} = 10^{15.2}$) was investigated. Furthermore, we evaluate and quantify the greenness of the new method according to recently developed AGREE assessment tool [31].

Materials and methods

Chemicals

Iminodisuccinate tetrasodium salt ($\text{Na}_4\text{C}_8\text{H}_7\text{NO}_8$, Na_4IDS) (Baypure CX 100®) (CAS 144538–83-0) 65% wt was purchased from LANXESS (Cologne, Germany) and used without further purification. Copper sulfate pentahydrate ($\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5 \text{H}_2\text{O}$) (CAS 7758–99-8) $\geq 98.0\%$ wt, calcium chloride (CaCl_2) (CAS 10043–52-4) $\geq 93.0\%$ wt, magnesium chloride hexahydrate ($\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6 \text{H}_2\text{O}$) (CAS 7791–18-6) $\geq 93.0\%$ wt, iron (III) chloride hexahydrate ($\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6 \text{H}_2\text{O}$) (CAS 10025–77-1) 97% wt, oxalyldihydrazide ($\text{C}_2\text{H}_6\text{N}_4\text{O}_2$) (CAS 996–98-5) 98% wt, acetaldehyde ($\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}$) (CAS 75–07-0) $\geq 99.5\%$ wt, and citric acid ($\text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_7$) (CAS 77–92-9) $\geq 99.5\%$ wt were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, MO, USA) and used without further purification.

Standard solutions and instrumentation

Starting from commercial raw materials (Na_4IDS 65% wt and $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ 98% wt), an aqueous solution of Cu-IDS complex was prepared by dissolving stoichiometric amount of ligand and copper salt in distilled water [30]. As reported before, aqueous Cu-IDS complex was purified by column chromatography using silica gel as stationary phase and distilled water as mobile phase, to remove the impurities coming from the ligand production (35% wt due to maleate, fumarate, and aspartate sodium salts). The fractions containing the complex were collected and concentrated by using a rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The as-obtained solid was dried at 120 °C overnight [26] and then characterized by means of ^{13}C -NMR spectroscopy using a Bruker AVANCE 400 MHz NMR, elemental analysis with Thermo EA 1112 (CHNS/O) instrument and atomic absorption for the quantification of Cu and Na with a Perkin Elmer AAnalyst 100 Adsorption spectrophotometer. The high purity (> 99%) of the obtained Cu-IDS was confirmed.

A stock solution of Cu-IDS 4.6 mg mL^{-1} (15.0 mmol L^{-1}) was prepared dissolving a proper amount of the purified complex in deionized water. Then, nine working standard solutions ranging from 0.10 to 6.0 mmol L^{-1} of Cu-IDS, corresponding to a range of copper concentrations from 6.3 to 381 mg L^{-1} , were prepared by diluting the stock solution. The UV–visible absorbance spectra of these standard solutions were recorded by using a Cary Varian-50 spectrophotometer, and a calibration curve was

built plotting the concentrations of copper in each standard solution versus the absorbance values at $\lambda = 710$ nm, in correspondence of the characteristic peak of Cu-IDS complex. In all cases, apart from the standard solutions, spectrophotometric analyses were carried out 5 min after adding the Na₄IDS solution to Cu²⁺ containing samples.

Method validation

The spectrophotometric method for quantification of copper in aqueous matrix through the formation of Cu-IDS complex was validated according to the International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines Q2 (R1) [32] in terms of linearity range, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ), and selectivity.

The linearity range was determined by analyzing nine standard solutions with Cu-IDS concentrations in the range 0.10 mmol L⁻¹–6.0 mmol L⁻¹ (Cu²⁺ from 6.3 to 381 mg L⁻¹). The lowest concentration at which an analyte could be detected (LOD) and quantified (LOQ) were calculated as follow (Eq. (1) and Eq. (2)):

$$LOD = \frac{3.3\sigma}{S} \quad (1)$$

$$LOQ = \frac{10\sigma}{S} \quad (2)$$

where S is the slope and σ is the standard deviation of intercept of the calibration curve. Three replicates were analyzed for each sample, and the repeatability was evaluated to be 0.2% in all cases. Furthermore, selectivity tests have been performed to verify the interferences of other metal cations which may compete for the chelation sites of IDS with Cu²⁺. Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, and Fe³⁺ have been chosen for the selectivity tests since they are ubiquitous metal cations, usually widespread within water matrices ($K_{Ca-IDS} = 10^{5.2}$, $K_{Mg-IDS} = 10^{6.1}$). Particularly, Fe³⁺ has been considered due to the high stability constant of Fe(III)-IDS ($K_{Fe-IDS} = 10^{15.2}$) [33].

For this purpose, samples containing different concentrations of Cu²⁺, IDS, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺ or alternatively Fe³⁺ have been prepared. In detail, stock solutions of Cu²⁺ (1.0 g L⁻¹, 15 mmol L⁻¹), Na₄IDS (3.37 g L⁻¹, 10 mmol L⁻¹), Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ (1.00 g L⁻¹, 25.0 mmol L⁻¹ Ca²⁺ and 41.6 mmol L⁻¹ Mg²⁺), and Fe³⁺ (2.23 g L⁻¹, 40 mmol L⁻¹) have been prepared dissolving proper amounts of CuSO₄, Baypure CX 100®, CaCl₂, MgCl₂ • 6 H₂O and FeCl₃ • 6 H₂O, respectively, in distilled water.

Proper aliquots of these solutions have been diluted to prepare samples at different concentrations of Cu²⁺ (0.10–0.40 mmol L⁻¹), IDS (0.10–1.2 mmol L⁻¹) and the couple Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ (2.5 mmol L⁻¹ and 4.16 mmol L⁻¹,

respectively) or Fe³⁺ (0.4–0.8 mmol L⁻¹) before spectrophotometric analyses.

Method applicability to real water matrices

To assess the applicability of this method to real aqueous samples, three different matrices have been investigated: a commercial drinking water, a sample collected from Irno river (40.673382 14.773848, Salerno, Southern Italy), and one collected from a wastewater treatment plant (Nocera Inferiore, Southern Italy). The concentration of copper in these samples has been previously determined by means of ICP-OES. Since the concentration of the analyte was found to be neglectable (<0.04 mg L⁻¹ for each sample), the standard spiking method was used to obtain samples with known concentration of copper (0.4 mmol L⁻¹). Subsequently, copper concentrations were determined using the new spectrophotometric method after the addition of equimolar amount of IDS and by means of ICP-OES as reference. Data were compared to evaluate the applicability of the newly developed method to different water matrixes.

Greenness evaluation using AGREE

The agreement of the investigated methods with the 12 principles of green analytical chemistry (GAC) was discussed using the software AGREE [31]. In detail, the spectrophotometric method developed in this work was compared to the spectrophotometric method for the determination of copper which involves the use of oxalyldihydrazide.

For each principle, AGREE evaluates the performance of the proposed analytical method by assigning a score. The scores are pre-defined by a well-defined model ranging from 0 to 1 depending on the accordance of the method with the principle. The score depends on the estimation of the input parameters to insert in AGREE software.

Equal weights have been set for all 12 principles, thus assuming that each principle of GAC is equally important for the AGREE analysis. The input parameters and the respective output scores for both methods have been listed in Table S2 (see [Supporting Information](#)).


Results and discussion

Method validation

Calibration, LOD, and LOQ


The calibration curve was obtained using data of the spectrophotometric analysis of nine standard solutions

Fig. 1 Absorbance spectra of Cu-IDS at different Cu^{2+} concentrations. Inset: Structure of Cu-IDS complex




containing Cu-IDS ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 710 \text{ nm}$) in the concentrations range $0.1\text{--}6.0 \text{ mmol L}^{-1}$, corresponding to $[\text{Cu}^{2+}]$ in the range $6.3\text{--}381 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$.

The reaction between Cu^{2+} and Na_4IDS is described in Eq. (3), and the recorded spectra have been reported in Fig. 1. Also, inset of Fig. 1 shows the structure of Cu-IDS complex.



Coefficients of the calibration curve were calculated by the least squares method and reported below in Fig. 2. The obtained value of $R^2 = 0.99992$ clearly states the linearity of the developed method over the range under investigation.



Slope	0.000921 ± 0.000003
Intercept	0.0006 ± 0.0004
R^2	0.99992

Fig. 2 Calibration curve and regression data for spectrophotometric determination of Cu^{2+} via spectrophotometric quantification of Cu-IDS complex (number of repetitions = 3)

LOD and LOQ values were calculated using Eqs. (1) and (2), respectively, from the linear regression data of the calibration curve reported in Fig. 2, and the results were, respectively, of $\text{LOD} = 1.43 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ and $\text{LOQ} = 4.34 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$. The Cu-IDS complex shows high stability in an extended pH range (2–8) allowing the application of the proposed method for the determination of Cu^{2+} in different water matrices.



Selectivity tests

The interferences of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} on the determination of Cu^{2+} has been evaluated by spectrophotometry of samples containing increasing concentrations of Cu^{2+} and IDS (1:1 molar ratio) in the presence of an excess of calcium and magnesium ions (100 mg L^{-1}). The concentration of Cu^{2+} determined by spectrophotometry was compared to the concentration of copper added to each sample (Table 2). The latter allows to verify the interferences of other cations.

As shown in Table 1, the significant accordance between the experimental and theoretical copper concentration allowed to assess that calcium and magnesium does not interfere in the determination of copper with this method, even when the analyte concentration was close to the LOQ (0.07 mmol L^{-1}).

Considering the higher stability constants for $\text{Cu}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$ -IDS, ad hoc experiments were carried out in the presence of both stoichiometric and excess molar concentrations of Fe^{3+} compared to Cu^{2+} . In this case, the Cu-IDS concentration has been determined in solutions containing Cu^{2+} 0.4 mmol L^{-1} , Fe^{3+} and IDS at different molar ratios (Table 2).

In this case, as shown in entry 1 of Table 2, ferric ion does interfere with the Cu measurement due to the high stability constant K_1 for the formation of the complex $[\text{Fe-IDS}]^-$, which is higher than the stability constant K_2 of the complex with copper.



In fact, the copper concentration determined by spectrophotometry reaches 70% compared to the theoretical one. However, this interference can be removed by adding a

Table 1 Interference test of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} cations in spectrophotometric determination of Cu^{2+} as Cu-IDS in presence of Ca^{2+} 2.5 mmol L^{-1} and Mg^{2+} 4.16 mmol L^{-1} (100 mg L^{-1} each) (number of repetitions = 3)

[Cu ²⁺] added (mmol L ⁻¹)	[Cu ²⁺] measured (mmol L ⁻¹)
0.10	(0.104 ± 0.008)
0.20	(0.189 ± 0.008)
0.30	(0.312 ± 0.009)
0.40	(0.411 ± 0.009)

Table 2 Interference tests of Fe^{3+} in the spectrophotometric determination of Cu^{2+} (number of repetitions = 3)

	[Cu ²⁺]/[Fe ³⁺]/[IDS] (mmol L ⁻¹)	Molar ratios Cu/Fe/IDS	[Cu ²⁺] measured (mmol L ⁻¹)
1	0.40/0.40/0.40	1/1/1	(0.275 ± 0.009)
2	0.40/0.40/0.80	1/1/2	(0.404 ± 0.009)
3	0.40/0.80/1.2	1/2/3	(0.389 ± 0.009)

proper amount of ligand in order to chelate both iron and copper ions in solution. In fact, as highlighted in entries 2–3 in Table 2, the use of a proper amount of ligand inhibits the interference of Fe^{3+} also in the case of large excess of iron with respect to copper.

Matrix effect evaluation


Three different water samples (bottled water, river water, and urban wastewater) have been collected and analyzed using a Perkin Elmer Optima 7000 DV ICP-OES to measure the initial concentration of copper. Since it was neglectable ($< 0.04 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ for each sample), copper sulfate was added to reach a Cu^{2+} concentration of 0.4 mmol L^{-1} . Then, to assess whether the matrix effect could affect the quantitative determination of copper with the spectrophotometric method, equimolar amount of IDS was added to the samples prior to the spectrophotometric analyses (see data in Table 3).

Data reported in Table 3 clearly highlight that the developed method is applicable to different real matrices. In fact, different matrices under investigation do not affect the determination of Cu^{2+} , meaning that none of their components may interfere with the chelation reaction, mask the analyte, or give (positive or negative) interference during the spectrophotometric measurement. The obtained recovery of 97–103% highlights the applicability of the IDS-based method. Data are in line with those reported for the oxalyldihydrazide-based method, ranging from 86 to 105% ($\text{LOD} = 0.053 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ and $\text{LOQ} = 0.16 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$). In conclusion, the proposed protocol for real water samples includes the use of 9 mL of sample which will be treated with 1 mL of a 0.30 mol L^{-1} solution of Na_4IDS in the presence of samples with $[\text{Cu}^{2+}] = 6.0 \text{ mmol L}^{-1}$ and $[\text{Fe}^{3+}] = 12.0 \text{ mmol L}^{-1}$

Table 3 Effect of real matrices on the spectrophotometric determination of copper as Cu-IDS (number of repetitions = 3)

Sample	[Cu ²⁺] added (mmol L ⁻¹)	[Cu ²⁺] measured (mmol L ⁻¹)
Drinking water	0.40	(0.372 ± 0.009)
River water	0.40	(0.413 ± 0.009)
Urban wastewater	0.40	(0.389 ± 0.009)

Fig. 3 Comparison of output graphical results of AGREE analysis for **a** IDS-based method and **b** oxalyl hydrazide-based method



(dilution of the water sample will be needed at higher Cu^{2+} and Fe^{3+} concentrations). Then, spectrophotometric analyses are carried out 5 min after adding the Na_4IDS solution. At the best of our knowledge, the proposed methodology is also economically accessible due to the commercial price of IDS (10 euro/kg).

AGREE

The developed method was compared to the oxalyl hydrazide-based spectrophotometric method for the determination of copper on the basis of their accordance with the 12 principles of green analytical chemistry using the AGREE software. Results are summarized in Fig. 3.

The final score of the AGREE evaluation highlights the higher greenness obtained by the proposed spectrophotometric method. This is mainly a result of a faster and simple derivatization of the sample before the analysis, through the formation of the Cu-IDS complex, compared to the oxalyldihydrazide method. This advantage results in an appreciable improvement of the score associated to the 8th principle. The substitution of the oxalyldihydrazide with the eco-friendly and biomass-derived iminodisuccinate ligand used for the determination of copper also affects other principles (10th, 11th, and 12th). The worse scores associated to the 3rd, 5th, and 7th principles, rely on the spectrophotometric determination of the analyte.

Conclusions

Iminodisuccinate tetrasodium salt (Na_4IDS) is a biomass-derived and biodegradable ligand which has been exploited in this work to develop a new spectrophotometric method for the determination of copper in aqueous matrices. The method shows linearity in the concentrations range 6.3 mg L^{-1} – 381 mg L^{-1} with LOD and LOQ values of 1.43 mg

L^{-1} and 4.34 mg L^{-1} , respectively. This work demonstrated the selectivity of the proposed method toward Cu^{2+} also in presence of high concentrations of Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , and Fe^{3+} . The positive interference of Fe^{3+} can be easily suppressed by adding an excess of ligand.

Moreover, also the matrix effect has been proved to be neglectable on the spectrophotometric determination of the analyte, since the recovery of copper added to three different aqueous matrices (drinking water, river water, and urban wastewater) was found to be quantitative. Finally, the proposed method and the reference spectrophotometric method with oxalyldihydrazide have been compared according to the 12 principles of GAC using the software AGREE. Results show higher greenness obtained by the proposed spectrophotometric method compared to the reference one.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-023-04785-6>.

Funding Open access funding provided by Università degli Studi di Salerno within the CRUI-CARE Agreement. This work was financially supported by research fund “FARB”, University of Salerno (ORSA211701).

Declarations

Ethics approval This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects.

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Żwieręto W, Styburski D, Maruszewska A, Piorun K, Skórka-Majewicz M, Czerwińska M, Maciejewska D, Baranowska-Bosiacka I, Krajewski A, Gutowska I. Bioelements in the treatment of burn injuries – the complex review of metabolism and supplementation (copper, selenium, zinc, iron, manganese, chromium and magnesium). *J Trace Elem Med Biol.* 2020;62:126616. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtemb.2020.126616>.
2. Mackie KA, Müller T, Kandeler E. Remediation of copper in vineyards – a mini review. *Environ Pollut.* 2012;167:16–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2012.03.023>.
3. Thounaojam TC, Panda P, Mazumdar P, Kumar D, Sharma GD, Sahoo L, Sanjib P. Excess copper induced oxidative stress and response of antioxidants in rice. *Plant Physiol Biochem.* 2012;53:33–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2012.01.006>.
4. Wu X, Wang H, Yang S, Tian H, Liu Y, Sun B. A novel coumarin-based fluorescent probe for sensitive detection of copper(II) in wine. *Food Chem.* 2019;284:23–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.01.090>.
5. Ochoa-Herrera V, León G, Banihani Q, Field JA, Sierra-Alvarez R. Toxicity of copper(II) ions to microorganisms in biological wastewater treatment systems. *Sci Total Environ.* 2011;412–413:380–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.09.072>.
6. World Health Organization, Guidelines for drinking-water quality: fourth edition incorporating first addendum, 4th ed + 1st add, World Health Organization, Geneva, 2017. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/254637>. Accessed 15 Apr 2023.
7. Sun P, Song W, Zou Y, Tian M, Zhang F, Chai F. The fabrication of N-doped carbon dots by methionine and their utility in sensing Cu²⁺ in real water. *Anal Methods.* 2023. 10.1039/D3AY00056G. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D3AY00056G>
8. d.lgs. n. 152/2006 (T.U. ambiente). https://www.bosettiegatti.eu/info/norme/statali/2006_0152.htm. Accessed 17 Mar 2023
9. IRSA-CNR, Analytical Methods for Water Matrices, Vol. 1 sez. 3000 (ISBN 88–448–0083–7). https://www.irs.cnr.it/wp/?page_id=5435. Accessed 15 Apr 2023.
10. Silveira JRK, Brudi LC, Waechter SR, Mello PA, Costa AB, Duarte FA. Copper determination in beer by flame atomic absorption spectrometry after extraction and preconcentration by dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction. *Microchem J.* 2023;184:108181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2022.108181>.
11. Hu Q, Yang G, Zhao Y, Yin J. Determination of copper, nickel, cobalt, silver, lead, cadmium, and mercury ions in water by solid-phase extraction and the RP-HPLC with UV-Vis detection. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* 2003;375:831–5. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-003-1828-y>.
12. Zhu H, Liu M, Liu C, Yu M, Wang K, Li X, Sheng W, Zhu B. Portable ratiometric fluorescence analytical device for copper ions based on smartphone in environment and living organisms. *Sci Total Environ.* 2023;857:159488. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159488>.
13. Wu Q, He J, Meng H, Wang Y, Zhang Y, Li H, Feng L. A paper-based microfluidic analytical device combined with home-made SPE column for the colorimetric determination of copper(II) ion. *Talanta.* 2019;204:518–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2019.06.006>.
14. Pourbasheer E, Morsali S, Banaei A, Aghabalazadeh S, Ganjali MR, Norouzi P. Design of a novel optical sensor for determination of trace amounts of copper by UV/vis spectrophotometry in the real samples. *J Ind Eng Chem.* 2015;26:370–4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2014.12.011>.
15. Wen X, Yang Q, Yan Z, Deng Q. Determination of cadmium and copper in water and food samples by dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction combined with UV–vis spectrophotometry. *Microchem J.* 2011;97:249–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2010.09.010>.
16. Kalinowska K, Bystrzanowska M, Tobiszewski M. Chemometrics approaches to green analytical chemistry procedure development. *Curr Opin Green Sustain Chem.* 2021;30:100498. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2021.100498>.
17. Nowak PM, Kościelniak P, Tobiszewski M, Ballester-Caudet A, Campíns-Falcó P. Overview of the three multicriteria approaches applied to a global assessment of analytical methods. *TrAC Trends Anal Chem.* 2020;133:116065. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2020.116065>.
18. Sajid M, Płotka-Wasyłka J. Green analytical chemistry metrics: a review. *Talanta.* 2022;238:123046. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2021.123046>.
19. Cucciniello R, Proto A, Rossi F, Marchettini N, Motta O. An improved method for BTEX extraction from charcoal. *Anal Methods.* 2015;7:4811–5. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5AY00828J>.
20. Gałuszka A, Migaszewski Z, Namieśnik J. The 12 principles of green analytical chemistry and the significance mnemonic of green analytical practices. *TrAC Trends Anal Chem.* 2013;50:78–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2013.04.010>.
21. Wojcieszak R, Santarelli F, Paul S, Dumeignil F, Cavani F, Gonçalves RV. Recent developments in maleic acid synthesis from bio-based chemicals. *Sustain Chem Process.* 2015;3:9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40508-015-0034-5>.
22. Cokesa Z, Knackmuss H-J, Rieger P-G. Biodegradation of all stereoisomers of the EDTA Substitute iminodisuccinate by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* BY6 Requires an Epimerase and a Stereoselective C-N Lyase. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2004;70:3941–7. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.7.3941-3947.2004>.
23. Fiorentino A, Prete P, Rizzo L, Cucciniello R, Proto A. Fe³⁺-iminodisuccinate as a new green catalyst for water treatment by photo-Fenton process at neutral pH. *J Environ Chem Eng.* 2021. 106802. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2021.106802>.
24. Anastas PT, Warner JC. Green chemistry: theory and practice. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK; 1998.
25. Cespi D, Esposito I, Cucciniello R, Anastas PT. Beyond the beaker: benign by design society. *Curr Res Green Sustain Chem.* 2020;3:100028. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crgsc.2020.100028>.
26. Fiorentino A, Cucciniello R, Di Cesare A, Fontaneto D, Prete P, Rizzo L, Corno G, Proto A. Disinfection of urban wastewater by a new photo-Fenton like process using Cu-iminodisuccinic acid complex as catalyst at neutral pH. *Water Res.* 2018;146:206–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.08.024>.
27. Di Cesare A, De Carluccio M, Eckert EM, Fontaneto D, Fiorentino A, Corno G, Prete P, Cucciniello R, Proto A, Rizzo L. Combination of flow cytometry and molecular analysis to monitor the effect of UVC/H₂O₂ vs UVC/H₂O₂/Cu-IDS processes on pathogens and antibiotic resistant genes in secondary wastewater effluents. *Water Res.* 2020;184:116194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116194>.
28. Prete P, Fiorentino A, Rizzo L, Proto A, Cucciniello R. Review of aminopolycarboxylic acids–based metal complexes application to water and wastewater treatment by (photo-)Fenton process at neutral pH. *Curr Opin Green Sustain Chem.* 2021;28:100451. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2021.100451>.
29. Cucciniello R, Anastas PT. Design for degradation or recycling for reuse? *Curr Opin Green Sustain Chem.* 2021;31:100528. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2021.100528>.
30. Hyvönen H, Orama M, Saarinen H, Aksela R. Studies on biodegradable chelating ligands: complexation of iminodisuccinic acid (ISA) with Cu(II), Zn(II), Mn(II) and Fe(III) ions in aqueous solution. *Green Chem.* 2003;5:410–4. <https://doi.org/10.1039/B303372B>.

31. Pena-Pereira F, Wojnowski W, Tobiszewski M. AGREE—Analytical GREENness Metric Approach and Software. *Anal Chem.* 2020;92:10076–82. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c01887>.
32. Tietje C, Brouder A. International conference on harmonisation of technical requirements for registration of pharmaceuticals for human use. In: *Handbook of Transnational Economic Governance Regimes*. Brill | Nijhoff, EU; 2010. pp 1041–1053.
33. Kołodyńska D (2011) Chelating agents of a new generation as an alternative to conventional chelators for heavy metal ions removal from different waste waters. *IntechOpen*. <https://www.intechopen.com/chapters/20357>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.