

Sylwia Sobieszczyk, Magdalena Melaniuk, Andrzej Zieliński

*Gdańsk University of Technology, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering,
80-233 Gdańsk, Poland, ssobiesz@pg.gda.pl*

BIOACTIVE CORE MATERIAL FOR POROUS LOAD-BEARING IMPLANTS

ABSTRACT

So far state of knowledge on biodegradable materials is reviewed. Among a variety of investigated materials, those composed of polymers and ceramics may be considered as only candidates for a core material in porous titanium alloy. The collagen and chitosan among natural polymers, polyhydroxy acids among synthetic polymers, and hydroxyapatite and tricalcium phosphate among ceramics are proposed for further research. Three essential conditions for a core material are defined as: biodegradation rate “in vitro” and “in vivo” close to bone tissue in-growth rate, high compression strength and ability to form nanoporous open structure inside the material for vascularisation. Possible deposition techniques of a core material within the macropores of metallic scaffold include infiltration of titanium porous structure with polymer scaffold followed by precipitation of phosphate nanoparticles, and mixing of phosphate and polymers before deposition followed by controlled precipitation inside the pores.

Key words: biodegradation, polymers, ceramics

BACKGROUND

The load-bearing implants are almost exclusively produced in a solid form, obtained by casting and/or mechanical cutting. On the other hand, a variety of materials for tissue engineering is developed as scaffolds, which make it possible to fill in with growing tissue. The last solution for load-bearing implants has been for many years rejected as not allowing carry on substantial mechanical stresses. A single medically applied exception was Trabecular metal made of Ta [1], even if for titanium alloys the fabrication techniques of porous matrixes have been already developed [2]. On the other hand, fabrication of honey-comb metallic structures and filling them in with some polymers has been applied for many years in shipbuilding industry for decks and light weight boats with a great success.

Since many years the Bio-Nano-Med Research Group at Gdańsk University of Technology has developed an idea to create the bioactive implants based on porous metallic matrixes filled in with biodegradable core material slowly degrading in biological environment and being substituted with bone tissue [3]. Such implant has a principal advantage as compared to others: it would be highly biocompatible and better anchored to a body decreasing the risk of its loosening. and supporting long term mechanical stability.

At first, the metallic matrix must be made of non-toxic highly biocompatible material, in this case Ti13Zr13Nb alloy, demonstrating open porous structure with pores, wide enough, to allow osteoblasts to migrate, adhere and proliferate, i.e. to allow nutrients penetrate through

whole metallic structure. Such structure should possess pores up to 500 μm in size and porosity at least 60% (in Trabecular, to compare, it is 80%). The porous structure may appear in total volume or be limited only to a surface layer for two reasons: (i) the cells may not be able to migrate for long distances and (ii) metallic structure may not reach the necessary compression strength. The so far attempts have resulted in fabrication of porous structures made of Ti13Zr13Nb highly biocompatible and non-toxic alloy by two techniques: (i) powder metallurgy with a space holder and (ii) rapid prototyping by selective laser melting, which will be described in detail elsewhere. The porous structures have demonstrated very good connection of adjacent grains and high strength.

The core material must have biodegradation rate corresponding to the bone tissue in-growth rate, and it must adhere to implant surface and form, together with it, a structural composite material. The development of such material is extremely difficult.

This paper reviews current state on degradable materials of potential importance for implants: biodegradable metals, ceramics, polymers and composite materials. This following, the idea of a core material for developed titanium implant, its fabrication and infiltration techniques are proposed.

BIODEGRADABLE METALS

Recently, there have been a number of researches made on Mg as a potential biodegradable metal [5, 6]. Biodegradation is relatively slow and for that reason Mg implants are proposed. Even if they may be totally substituted by grown bone tissue, they have serious disadvantage: corrosion of Mg is associated with evolution of hydrogen and increasing local value of pH, which may results in an irritation or even degradation of surrounding bone tissue.

BIODEGRADABLE POLYMERS

The polymers are biodegradable by either hydrolysis or by enzymatic degradation into shorter chains. in a progressive manner. The biodegradation is influenced by many factors, such as chemical structure of the polymer, length of polymer chain, its molecular weight, hydrophilicity and crystalline state [7].

Both natural and synthetic polymers may be prone to degradation in biological environment [8]. Among natural materials the collagen, chitosan and chitin, glucosamine and demineralized bone were proposed [9, 10]. Among synthetic biodegradable polymers, PGA (polyglycolide, poly (glycolic acid)), PLA (polylactide, poly (lactic acid)), PLGA (polylactic acid polymer and glycolic acid), PLLA (poly-L -lactic acid), polyanhydrides, polifumarates, polyorthoesters, polycarbonates, polycaprolactones and some others have been investigated and applied [9, 10, 11]. Natural polymers promote better adhesion and cell functions, but may show genotoxicity and contain pathogens. It is also difficult to control their mechanical properties, biodegradability and manufacturability [9, 10].

Collagen is a natural component of an extracellular matrix (ECM) and the most often proposed biodegradable natural polymer. Its advantage is due to its positive impact on the formation of vascularised tissue [11]. Moreover, it can appear in a form of hydrogel, makes it

possible to introduce growth factors promoting angiogenesis, such as VEGF - vascular endothelial growth factor. Collagen hydrogel consistency facilitates infiltration into the pores of metal scaffolding.

Chitosan (CTS) is a polymer with chitin representing a group of biopolymers based on glucosamine. The degree of acetylation (DA) of chitosan is a structural parameter influencing the solubility, degree of crystallinity, density, electrical charge, susceptibility to enzymatic degradation, and thus the rate of degradation; the higher the DA, the faster biodegradation [10]. It is not osteoconductive so that it needs an addition of bioceramics.

Synthetic biodegradable polymers are designed mainly for soft tissue and as carriers of drugs [12]. Among their main features are: three-dimensional crosslinking resulting in a structure similar to natural elastin, high flexibility and elasticity similar to that exhibited by the tissue-like mechanical properties, and variable biodegradability. The mostly applied polymers include: PLA, PGA, PLGA and PLLA.

PLA is a thermoplastic, biocompatible and completely biodegradable aliphatic polyester, which is derived from lactic acid. PLA degrades by hydrolysis and is broken down into natural metabolites. Also PGA, one of the simplest aliphatic polyesters, is biodegradable by hydrolysis. PLGA is a copolymer obtained by a combination of units of PGA and PLA. PLLA, a biodegradable polymer, derived from semicrystalline lactic acid degrades into water and carbon dioxide. Thus, all these three synthetic polymers decompose to simple and totally removed compounds. The rate of degradation of copolymers can be adjusted by changing the chemical composition, such as ratio of LA/GA in the copolymer PLGA, by changes in degree of crystallinity, and molecular weight [9]. The hydrophilic PGA degrades intensively than the hydrophobic PLA [13].

Among another polymers or copolymers there are biodegradable compounds composed of: succinimide (SI) and lactic acid, (LA)-PSI-co-LA [14], copolymer of methyl methacrylate and N-vinylpyrrolidone [15], Polyactive® based on polyethylene oxide (PEO) and polybutylene terephthalate (PBT) [16] able to bind to the bone and highly osteoconductive, porous PLLA composite scaffold containing rhBMP2, which promotes formation of a new bone within 2 weeks [17].

Important form of biodegradable species is hydrophilic cross-linked polymers [18]. The cross-linking may be initiated by physical mechanisms, such as changes in temperature, pH or ionic environment, and chemical mechanisms, including the special chemical compounds or light. The polymers can be directly injected to repair connective tissue. For this purpose, some natural polymer as a fibrin and an alginate, a polysaccharide containing mannuronic and guluronic acids are applied. Among the synthetic polymers used as hydrogels, the copolymers based on polyethylene and polypropylene oxide (PEO-PPO-PEO), and easy to photopolymerisation, the polyethylene oxide diacrylate (PEODA) are used. These hydrogels as isolated chemical species demonstrate weak mechanical properties.

Another synthetic biodegradable hydrogels are proposed as a tool for injectable delivery of cells and porous materials for bone regeneration. In [9] the porous polymer based on PEG is proposed after its modification with some peptides, able to facilitate the adhesion and spreading of cells. In [19] the biodegradable hydrogel was based on polyethylene glycol and ethylene polyester. Biodegradable, cross-linked multifunctional macromer has been developed as aminohexylo-propylene polyphosphate acrylate [20]. The sebacic acid polyglycerol PGS is a biodegradable polymer used in many medical applications, such as replacement of a soft tissue, especially heart muscle, blood, nerves and connective tissue [21]. An interesting proposal is the use of injectable polymer based on biodegradable polyurethane [22].



BIODEGRADABLE CERAMICS

There are no many ceramics that may be biodegradable. The bone substituting materials are mainly hydroxyapatite HA and β -tricalcium phosphate TCP ceramics, bioactive glass, or allografts such as demineralized human bone tissue without collagen or collagen-containing obtained from the bone morphogenetic proteins, growth factors like VEGF, TGF-1, TGF- 2 and BMP-3 [10]. The β - TCP $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ has a high propensity for bone resorption and biodegradation in the environment of a living organism [23], whereas the HA is non-biodegradable ceramics.

BIODEGRADABLE COMPOSITE MATERIALS

Development of composites refers to the fact that human bone is composed of collagen and hydroxyapatite, i.e. biopolymer and bioceramics. Therefore all composite materials are based on combination of these two groups. Biodegradable polymer matrices are usually natural polymers, and synthetic polymers such as polyhydroxy acids (PLA, PGA, PCL), saturated aliphatic polyesters like a polypropylene fumarate (PPF) and polihydroksyalkanates (PHB, PHBV, P4HB, PHBHHx, PHO), and others. Bioactive ceramic phases include bioglasses and bioceramics, mainly calcium phosphates [24].

The most common solution is a material composed of collagen and phosphate, often used as nanogranules or nanofibers [25-27]. The effect of three-dimensional pore structure of HA and collagen on biological and mechanical properties is important [28]. A presence and content of HA has a significant impact on mechanical properties as well as cell proliferation [29].

In many cases, a typical composition is enriched with another polymer. In [86] the mixture of collagen and chitosan was applied resulting in bone mineralization with relatively high strength. In [30] the self-organizing nanocomposites were prepared by adding glutaraldehyde as a cross-linking agent. In [31] the composite biomaterial was obtained from HA, collagen and hyaluronic acid HIA, revealing high cohesion and a very good biocompatibility. The mineralization of type I collagen was enhanced by using a polymer-induced liquid precursor, acid polypeptide poliaspartamide [32], resulting in a composite HA and nanostructured bone collagen.

Another research direction was a use of HA with chitosan or chitin, often containing other compounds. In [33] the mixture of 25-75% of HA and chitin was proposed as noncitotoxic and degradable "in vivo". For biodegradable cross-linked chitosan with HA and gelatin, the scaffolds with porosity up to 90% biomineralised in 3 weeks [34].

Popular polymers for biodegradable composite materials are polyhydroxy acids. In [35] the synthesis of nanocomposite HA and chitosan, in the presence of polylactic acid, was proposed. The HA particles had an elongated shape with a diameter of 50 nm and length of 300 nm, distributed in the matrix chitosan – PLA. The addition of PLA caused higher compressive strength and greater modulus of elasticity.

The composite nanohydroxyapatite with chitosan enriched with pectin produced a scaffold yielding a compressive strength of 14 MPa, as well as supporting the adhesion and cell proliferation [36]. In [36] the bioresorbable scaffolds made of nanoHA on surface composed of chitosan and gelatin were obtained in a solution of $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot \text{Na}_3\text{PO}_4$.



An important research direction is the use of phosphates, like HA, TCP or carbonated hydroxyapatite (CHA) in combination with various degradable biopolymers. The composite materials investigated so far include: HA and PLLA [38-49], HA + PLGA and PLGA + CF [49], PLGA + β -TCP [50]. The latest study confirmed biocompatibility “in vitro” and “in vivo” of PLGA and its composites. The degradation time corresponded to the process of bone regeneration. In [51] the PLGA microspheres coated with apatite similar to bone mineral were proposed. Moreover, biodegradable or biostable ceramics were used. In [52] the mechanical and biological properties in vitro were analysed for a material, in which the polymer matrix based on both components with different biological behavior: biostable polysulfone (PSU) and bioabsorbable PGLA. The specimens of polymer modified bioactive hydroxyapatite particles were derived from animal bones. The addition of HA particles into PSU and PGLA caused a reduction in durability of composites in creep conditions in relation to the starting materials. The tested composites showed the favorable biological behavior in simulated biological environment. The bioactive particles on the surface can act as an anchor for bone tissue in contact with the material, which ensures a good adhesion. .

Influence of the production technique on properties of tested composites was observed. In [53] the chemically synthesized HA of high degree of crystallinity and PLLA obtained from L-lactide and nontoxic initiator were investigated. The composite material was prepared by mixing completely dissolved PLLA and HA granules. The composite was compacted by cold pressing and hot sintering at pressures of 49-490 MPa and temperature varied from 20-184°C. The material revealed relatively high value of a compressive strength - 93 MPa. In [54] the synthesis of microspheres of CHA, osteoconductive and biodegradable bioceramics, was followed by incorporation of electrospun fibers of hydroxybutylene-hydroxyvalerianate copolymer (PHBV). Another approach to produce scaffolds PLGA / HA by a gas foaming and particulate leaching, GF/PL, was made without the use of organic solvents. This method allowed obtaining the scaffold composed of biodegradable polymer - ceramics with a better ability to regenerate bone from conventionally produced.

Increasingly, the application of nanohydroxyapatite is postulated [55, 56]. In [57] HA and CHA nanoparticles were incorporated on polycaprolactone in the form of a hydrogel. HA molecules had the shape of needles with an average length of 50 nm. The addition of HA and CHA increased the bioactivity and biocompatibility of polymer matrix. Another composite material for bone tissue engineering [58] consisted of nanoparticles of fluorohydroxyapatite (nFHA) and polyurethane with porous structure. The first material was synthesized by sol-gel technique. Scaffolds were 50-250 μm in pore size and open structure. Porosity and average pore size decreased, and the compression module increased with HA content. It is also possible [59] to produce nanocomposite consisting of HA and poly-L-aspartamic acid (HA-PASP). HA particles may be successfully [60] dispersed in polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) resulting in bioactive and biodegradable composite for bone replacement and regeneration. The stiffness and strength of the composite is determined by the content of ceramics, as demonstrated e.g. for HA and TCP in the incorporated copolymer (PHB-PHV) [61]. Another attempt [62, 63] was taken using as a base polymer - semicrystalline caprolactone, which is resorbable aliphatic polyester, with, however, weak mechanical properties. To improve properties and promote osteoconductivity, HA particles were added to the PCL matrix. The content of 20 or 32% of HA resulted in a significant increase of mechanical strength, especially the elasticity modulus. The improvement also underwent osteoconductivity. Biodegradable composite hydrogels are materials still used in soft tissue engineering. Some biodegradable composites [64] can be obtained by photochemical crosslinking based on polyphotoesters and PEG. Macromers were biocompatible with the osteoblasts and they did not disclose toxicity up to 0.5 mg/dm^3 of content. Another biodegradable multifunctional



macromer initiated photochemically, is poly-6-phosphate aminoxylopropylene synthesized together with acrylic groups (PPE-HA)-ACRL [65]. This group is composed of flexible hydrogels, which, at increasing acrylate content exhibit, high mechanical strength. No cytotoxicity at concentrations up to 10 mg/dm^3 were observed. In [66] a hybrid material based on PLLA sponge coated by collagen fibers and infiltrated with PLLA hydrogel was investigated. The use of a hydrogel, however, resulted in complete disappearance of the porous structure. The improved technique of producing the material by placing microspoon collagen particles into the pores of a synthetic polymer was proposed.

There are some diacrylates (DA) as components [67] of scaffolds: polypropylene-fumarate and fumarate diacrylate (PPF/PF-DA), macrocomposite PPF/PF-DA with mechanically reinforced microparticles or nanoparticles of aluminum gels, low molecular weight PPF/PF-DA. In all cases, no adverse effects were observed after implantation into the body. Polifumarates form the basis for many osteoconductive scaffolds [68]. Polihydroxyalkanates are biodegradable polyesters produced by microorganisms in the unsustainable growth conditions. In composite they are used as: a poly-3-hydroxybutyrate PHB, a copolymer of PHB and PHBV hydroxywalerianate 3, poly-4-hydroxybutyrate (p4HB), a copolymer of PHB and 3-hydroksyheksylate (PHBBHHx). polihydroksybutyrate (PHB) and its copolymers with hydroxyheksanate and hydroxywalerianate [69-72]. The biodegradable composites HA – polyphosphasene were developed and described in [73, 74].

Carbon nanotubes as filler were the subject to several studies. Technique for producing nanocomposites [75] included dispersing multi-wall carbon nanotubes in water, functionalizing them by the addition of a surfactant (sodium dodecyl sulfate), followed by their biomimetic mineralization in a solution containing Ca/P. A key problem seems to improve the interfacial adhesion between polymer and nanoparticles [76].

For an increase in mechanical properties even such reinforcements as regenerated cellulose (viscose) and banana fibers (abaca) were used for biocomposites based on polylactide (PLA) [77]. Both fibers increased stiffness and strength.

Hybrid organic-inorganic compounds are an interesting alternative in tissue engineering. One example is a derivative of chitosan (N, N-dikarboxymetyl chitosan DCMC) [78]. This compound forms stable gels when mixed with calcium acetate as a result of calcium chelation, while the addition of hydrogenated calcium phosphate produces a clear solution which, after dialysis and cold treatment, brought out an amorphous inorganic component suitable for bone reconstruction. Another example was an incorporation of calcium ions and Si-OH groups on the organic substances (hydroxymetacrylate HEMA) to yield bioactive hybrids [79]. The hybrid material containing calcium salts derived from siloxanes initiated the formation of apatite in Kokubo solution. Finally in [80] a relatively simple nanocomposite composed of HA and chitosan phosphate (CSP) containing 10-60% wt. HA was proposed, with proven interaction between HA and CSP, which allows the material to be qualified as a hybrid composite. The mechanical properties of the material increases with increasing HA content. The material was citocompatible and osteogenic in vivo.

The bioglass scaffold are not very developed. In [81] the macroporous bioactive scaffold was produced with a use of crosslinked gelatin nanocomposite and bioactive glass nanoparticles. The resulting nanocomposite had pores ranged between 0 and 250 nm and a porosity of 72-86%, with chemical bonds between the nanoparticles of bioglass and gelatin.

In [82] were obtained semisynthetic hydrogels based on diacrylates deposited on a collagen porous membrane. The pore size was larger than that in typical hydrogels, allowing consider a hybrid hydrogel based on poly (NIPAM-co-DEGDA) as a superporous scaffold.

INFILTRATION TECHNIQUES

It is useless to design a biodegradable core material as a single compound: magnesium among metals can have negative effect on tissues, ceramics are unable to carry high mechanical loads without cracking, and polymers have poor mechanical properties. From that viewpoint, the core material should compose of biodegradable polymer and fully or partially biodegradable ceramic.

As the possible polymers, both natural and synthetic polymers can be taken into account. Among natural polymers, both collagen and chitosan may be used, and among synthetic polymers, copolymers PPLA, PGLA, and simple polyhydroxy acids PLA and PGA are to be investigated. Among ceramics, HA or its mixture with non-biodegradable β -TCP are the best candidates. There are several conditions discussed below which should be taken into account when looking for an optimal composition of the core material.

The degradation rate should be similar to the rate of bone tissue in-growth. This condition should be reached by a use of varying fractions of different compounds. Both, enzymatic (for polymers) and hydrolytic (for ceramics) degradation can be considered in future research.

The ability to carry mechanical loads depends on many factors: atomic bonds, intrinsic structure (particularly, porosity degree and morphology of pores), adhesion of core material to the titanium interface, rigidity and compression strength. For that reason the contribution from insoluble HA should be investigated as well as a physical form of a core material, i.e. an use of hydrogel instead of crystalline or amorphous material. To reach good adhesion, the ceramic nanoparticles can be applied. The limitation of porous structure to only surface layer as a means for better mechanical properties should be checked.

The essential issue is to produce porous three dimensional material nanonetwork. There are many techniques developed especially for polymers, like [9]: foaming gas dissolution and crystallization, electrospinning. To obtain a composition of HA and collagen the chemical co-precipitation was used [83]. In [84] the denaturation at 120°C was applied to prevent degradation of collagen. Another approach to fabricate a mixture of polymer and nanohydroxyapatite was to prepare dispersion of HA in polymer matrix [85]. In order to obtain nanohydroxyapatite, HA was deposited on bioorganic substances such as collagen or chondroitin sulfate [86]. This issue seems to be one of the most difficult problems as an appearance of nanoporous structure inside the core material could spoil its mechanical strength.

There are possible infiltration techniques that should be studied at the beginning. The first one the infiltration of titanium porous structure with polymer (by e.g. sol-gel technique) which can result in scaffold structure followed by precipitation of phosphate nanoparticles inside. The second could involve mixing of phosphate and polymers and co-precipitation inside the pores of metallic porous implant.

CONCLUSIONS

Basing on the literature reviewing the promising core material, only composites may be considered, with collagen and chitosan as natural polymers, polyhydroxy acids and their copolymers as synthetic polymers as well as HA and β -TCP.



From the point of view of material properties for the core material in porous titanium alloy, three has to be considered: proper biodegradation rate in vitro and in vivo; high compression strength; ability to form nanoporous open structure inside.

As the most plausible, two fabrication techniques for a composite material inside the macropores are postulated: (i) infiltration of titanium porous structure with polymer followed by precipitation of phosphate nanoparticles or (ii) mixing of phosphate and polymers and co-precipitation inside the pores of metallic porous implant.

REFERENCES

1. <http://www.zimmer.com/ctl?template=CP&op=global&action=1&id=33>
2. Ryan G., Pandit A., Apatsidis D.P.: Fabrication methods of porous metals for use in orthopaedic applications. *Biomaterials* 27 (2006), 2651–2670.
3. Zieliński A., Sobieszczyk S., Serbiński W., Seramak T., Ossowska A.: Materials design for the titanium scaffold based implant. *Solid State Phenomena* 183 (2012), 225-232.
4. Li Z., Gu X., Lou S., Zheng Y.: The development of binary Mg-Ca alloys for use as biodegradable materials within bone. *Biomaterials* 29 (2008), 1329-1344.
5. Virtanen S.: Biodegradable Mg and Mg alloys: Corrosion and biocompatibility. *Materials Science and Engineering B* 176 (2011), 1600-1608.
6. Kaźnica A., Joachimiak R., Drewa T., Rawo T., Deszczyński J.: New trends in tissue engineering. *Arthroscopy and Joint Surgery* 3(3) (2007), 11-16.
7. Tian H., Tang Z., Zhuang X., Chen X., Jing X.: Biodegradable synthetic polymers: Preparation, functionalization and biomedical application. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 37 (2012), 237-280.
8. Liu X., Ma X. P.: Polymeric Scaffolds for Bone Tissue Engineering. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 32 (2004), 477-486.
9. Nassif L., Sabban M.: Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Combination with Scaffolds for Bone Tissue Engineering. *Materials* 4 (2011), 1793-1804.
10. Liu C., Xia Z., Czrnuszka J. T.: Design and development of three-dimensional scaffolds for tissue engineering. *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.* 85 (2007), 1051-1064.
11. Liu Q., Jiang L., Shi R., Zhang L.: Synthesis, preparation, in vitro degradation, and application of novel degradable bioelastomers—A review. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 37 (2012), 715-765.
12. Tran N., Webster T. J.: Nanotechnology for bone materials. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Nanomed. Nanobiotechnol.* 1 (2009), 336-351.
13. Tudorachi N., Chiriac A. P., Lipsa R.: Biodegradable copolymers with succinimide and lactic acid units. Part I. Synthesis Possibilities. *Polimery* 56 (2011), 204-210.
14. Jones C., Rogers S.: Combined use of titanium mesh and biocompatible osteoconductive polymer in the treatment of full thickness calvarial defects. *Br. J. Oral and Maxillofacial Surg.* 36 (1998), 143-145.
15. Du C., Meijer G. J., van de Valk C., Haan R. E., Bezemer J. M., Hesselting S. C., Cui F. Z., Groot K., Layrolle P.: Bone growth in biomimetic apatite coated porous Polyactives 1000PEGT70PBT30 implants. *Biomaterials* 23 (2002), 4649-4656.
16. Chang P. C., Liu B. Y., Liu C. M., Chou H. H., Ho M. H., Liu H. C., Wang D. M., Hou L. T.: Bone tissue engineering with novel rhBMP2-PLLA composite scaffolds. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A* (2007), 771-780.

17. Sharma B., Elisseeff J. H.: Engineering Structurally Organized Cartilage and Bone Tissues. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 32 (2004), 148-159.
18. Du J. Z., Sun T. M., Weng S. Q., Chen X. S., Wang J.: Synthesis and Characterization of Photo-Cross-Linked Hydrogels Based on Biodegradable Polyphosphoesters and Poly(ethylene glycol) Copolymers. *Biomacromolecules* 8 (2007), 3375-3381.
19. Li Q., Wang J., Shahani S., Sun D. D. N., Sharma B., Elisseeff J. H., Leong K. W.: Biodegradable and photocrosslinkable polyphosphoester hydrogel. *Biomaterials* 27 (2006), 1027-1034.
20. Rai R., Tallawi M., Grigore A., Boccaccini A. R.: Synthesis, properties and biomedical applications of poly(glycerol sebacate) (PGS): A review. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 37 (2012), 1051-1078.
21. Bonzani I. C., Adhikari R., Houshyar S., Mayadunne R., Gunatillake P., Stevens M. M.: Synthesis of two-component injectable polyurethanes for bone tissue engineering. *Biomaterials* 28 (2007), 423-433.
22. Puchała P., Kucharski G., Jaremczuk B., Monkos-Jaremczuk E.: Przegląd biomateriałów na podstawie piśmiennictwa. *Chirurgia stomatologiczna, Twój Przegląd Stomatologiczny* 10 (2008), 28-36.
23. Rezwani K., Chen Q. Z., Blaker J. J., Boccaccini A. R.: Biodegradable and bioactive porous polymer/inorganic composite scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. *Biomaterials* 27 (2006), 3413-3431.
24. Kikuchi M., Itoh S., Ichinose S., Shinomiya K., Tanaka J.: Self-organization mechanism in a bone-like hydroxyapatite/collagen nanocomposite synthesized in vitro and its biological reaction in vivo. *Biomaterials* 22 (2001), 1705-1711.
25. Zhai Y., Cui F. Z.: Recombinant human-like collagen directed growth of hydroxyapatite nanocrystals. *J. Cryst. Growth* 291 (2006), 202-206.
26. Sun F., Zhou H., Lee J.: Various preparation methods of highly porous hydroxyapatite/polymer nanoscale biocomposites for bone regeneration. *Acta Biomater.* 7 (2011), 3813-3828.
27. Yunoki S., Ikoma T., Tsuchiya a., Monkawa A., Ohta K., Sotome S., Shinomiya K., Tanaka J.: Fabrication and Mechanical and Tissue Ingrowth Properties of Unidirectionally Porous Hydroxyapatite/Collagen Composite. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part B* (2006), 166-173.
28. Lin P. L., Fang H. W., Tseng T., Lee W. H.: Effects of hydroxyapatite dosage on mechanical and biological behaviors of polylactic acid composite materials. *Mater. Lett.* 61C (2007), 3009-3013.
29. Kikuchi M., Matsumoto H. N., Yamada T., Koyama Y., Takakuda K., Tanaka J.: Glutaraldehyde cross-linked hydroxyapatite/collagen self-organized nanocomposites. *Biomaterials* 25 (2004), 63-69.
30. Bakos D., Soldán M., Hernández-Fuentes I.: Hydroxyapatite – collagen - hyaluronic acid composite. *Biomaterials* 20 (1999), 191-195.
31. Jee S.S.: , Taili T. Thula, Laurie B. Gower: Development of bone-like composites via the polymer-induced liquid-precursor (PILP) process. Part 1: Influence of polymer molecular weight. *Acta Biomaterialia* 6 (2010), 3676-3686.
32. Ge Z., Baguenard S., Lim L. Y., Wee A., Khor E.: Hydroxyapatite-chitin materials as potential tissue engineered bone substitutes. *Biomaterials* 25 (2004), 1049-1058.
33. Zhao F., Yin Y., Lu W. W., Leong C., Zhang W., Zhang J., Zhang M., Yao K.: Preparation and histological evaluation of biomimetic three-dimensional hydroxyapatite/chitosan-gelatin network composite scaffolds. *Biomaterials* 23 (2002), 3227-3234.
34. Cai X., Tong H., Shen X., Chen W., Yan J., Hu J.: Preparation and characterization of homogeneous chitosan–polylactic acid/hydroxyapatite nanocomposite for bone tissue

- engineering and evaluation of its mechanical properties. *Acta Biomaterialia* 5 (2009), 2693-2703.
35. Li J., Sun H., Sun D., Yao Y., Yao F., Yao K.: Biomimetic multicomponent polysaccharide/nano-hydroxyapatite composites for bone tissue engineering. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 85 (2011), 885-894.
 36. Li J., Chen Y. P., Yin Y., Yao F., Yao K.: Modulation of nano-hydroxyapatite size via formation on chitosan-gelatin network film in situ. *Biomaterials* 28 (2007), 781-790.
 37. Shikinami Y., Okuno M.: Bioresorbable devices made of forged composites of hydroxyapatite (HA) particles and poly L-lactide (PLLA). Part II: practical properties of miniscrews and miniplates. *Biomaterials* 22 (2001), 3197-3211.
 38. Ignjatović N., Savić V., Najman S., Plavšić M., Uskoković D.: A study of HAp/PLLA composite as a substitute for bone powder, using FT-IR spectroscopy. *Biomaterials* 22 (2001), 571-575.
 39. Shikinami Y., Okuno M.: Bioresorbable devices made of forged composites of hydroxyapatite (HA) particles and poly L-lactide (PLLA). Part I. Basic characteristics. *Biomaterials* 20 (1999), 859-877.
 40. Shikinami Y., Matsusue Y., Nakamura T.: The complete proces of bioresorption and bone replacement using devices made of forged composites of raw hydroxyapatite particles/ poly L-lactide (F-u-HA/PLLA). *Biomaterials* 26 (2005), 5542-5551.
 41. Mathieu L. M., Mueller T. L., Bourban P. E., Pioletti D. P., Muller R., Manson J. A. E.: Architecture and properties of anisotropic polymer composite scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. *Biomaterials* 27 (2006), 905-916.
 42. Russias J., Saiz E., Nalla R. K., Tomsia A. P.: Microspheres as building blocks for hydroxyapatite/poly(lactide) biodegradable composites. *J. Mater. Sci.* 41 (2006), 5127-5133.
 43. Xu X., Chen X., Liu A., Hong Z., Jing X.: Electrospun poly(L-lactide)-grafted hydroxyapatite/poly(L-lactide) nanocomposites fibres. *Eur. Polym. J.* 43 (2007), 3187-3196.
 44. Petricca S. E., Marra K. G., Kumta P. N.: Chemical synthesis of poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) /hydroxyapatite composites for orthopaedic applications. *Acta Biomater.* 2 (2006), 277-286.
 45. Kim S. S., Ahn K. M., Park M. S., Lee J. H., Choi C. Y., Kim B. S.: A poly(lactid-co-glycolide) /hydroxyapatite composite scaffolds with anhanced osteoconductivity. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A* (2006), 206-215.
 46. Ignjatović N., Suljovrujić E., Stojanović Z., Uskoković D.: Structure and Characteristics of the Hot Pressed Hydroxyapatite/poly-L-lactide Composite. *Sci. Sintering* 34 (2002), 79-93.
 47. Aleksendrić D., Balać I., Tang C. Y., Tsui C. P., Uskoković P. S., Uskoković D. P.: Surface characterisation of PLLA polymer in HAp/PLLA biocomposite material by means of nanoindentation and artificial neural networks. *Adv. Appl. Ceramics* 109 (2010), 65-70.
 48. Cieslik M., Mertas A., Morawska-Chochół A., Sabat D., Orlicki R., Owczarek A., Król W., Cieslik T.: The evaluation of the possibilities of using PLGA co-polymer and its composites with carbon fibres or hydroxyapatite in the bone tissue regeneration proces – *in vitro* and *in vivo* examinations. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 10 (2009), 3224-3234.
 49. Yang Y., Zhao Y., Tang G., Li H., Yuan X., Fan Y.: In vitro degradation of porous poly(L-lactide-co-glycolide) / β -tricalcium phosphate (PLGA/ β -TCP) scaffolds under dynamic and static conditions. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* 93 (2008), 1838-1845.
 50. Kang S. W., Yang H. S., Seo S. W., Han D. K., Kim B. S.: Apatite-coated poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) microspheres as an injectable scaffold for bone tissue engineering. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A* (2007), 747-756.
 51. Rosół P., Chłopek J., Schweder C.: Kompozyty z polimerów biostabilnych i bioresorbowlanych modyfikowane bioaktywną ceramiką. *Kompozyty* 5 (2005), 25-30.

52. Ignjatović N., Tomić S., Dakić M., Miljković M., Plavsić M., Uskoković D.: Synthesis and properties of hydroxyapatite/poly-L-lactide composite biomaterials. *Biomaterials* 20 (1999), 809-816.
53. Tong H. W., Wang M., Lu W. W.: In vitro biological evaluation of fibrous PHBV polymer and CHA/PHBV nanocomposites scaffolds developed for tissue engineering applications. *Bioceramics Development and Applications* 1 (2011), 1-3.
54. Sun F., Zhou H., Lee J.: Various preparation methods of highly porous hydroxyapatite/polymer nanoscale biocomposites for bone regeneration. *Acta Biomater.* 7 (2011), 3813-3828.
55. Zhou H., Lee J.: Nanoscale hydroxyapatite particles for bone tissue engineering. *Acta Biomater.* 7 (2011), 2769-2781.
56. Juhasz J. A., Best S. M., Bonfield W.: Preparation of novel bioactive nano-calcium phosphate-hydrogel composites. *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.* 11 (2010), 1-7.
57. Asefnejad A., Behnamghader A., Khorasani M. T., Farsadzadeh B.: Polyurethane/fluor-hydroxyapatite nanocomposite scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. Part I: morphological, physical and mechanical characterization. *Int. J. Nanomedicine* 6 (2011), 93-100.
58. Bigi A., Boanini E., Gazzano M., Rubini K., Torricelli P.: Nanocrystalline hydroxyapatite-polyaspartate composites. *Biomedical Materials and Engineering* 14 (2004), 573-579.
59. Ni J., Wang M.: In vitro evaluation of hydroxyapatite reinforced polyhydroxybutyrate composite. *Mater. Sci. Eng., C* 20 (2002), 101-109.
60. Chen L. J., Wang M.: Production and evaluation of biodegradable composites based on PHB-PHV copolymer. *Biomaterials* 23 (2002), 2631-2639.
61. Causa F., Netti P. A., Ambrosio L., Ciapetti G., Baldini N., Pagani S., Martini D., Giunti A.: Poly- ϵ -caprolactone/hydroxyapatite composites for bone regeneration: in vitro characterization and human osteoblast response. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A* (2005), 151-162.
62. Lee H. J., Kim S. E., Choi H. W., Kim C. W., Kim K. J., Lee S. C.: The effect of surface-modified nano-hydroxyapatite on biocompatibility of poly(ϵ -caprolactone)/hydroxyapatite nanocomposites. *Eur. Polym. J.* 43 (2007), 1602-1608.
63. Du J. Z., Sun T. M., Weng S. Q., Chen X. S., Wang J.: Synthesis and characterization of phot-cross-linked hydrogels based on biodegradable polyphosphoesters and poly(ethylene glycol) copolymers. *Biomacromolecules* 8 (2007), 3375-3381.
64. Li Q., Wang J., Shahani S., Sun D. D. N., Sharma B., Elisseff J. H., Leong K. W.: Biodegradable and photocrosslinkable polyphosphoester hydrogel. *Biomaterials* 27 (2006), 1027-1034.
65. Tateishi T., Chen G., Ushida T.: Biodegradable porous scaffolds for tissue engineering. *J. Artif. Organs* 5 (2002), 77-83.
66. Mistry A. S., Pham Q. P., Schouten C., Yeh T., Christenson E. M., Mikos A. G., Jansen J. A.: In vivo bone biocompatibility and degradation of porous fumarate-based polymer/alumoxane nanocomposites for bone tissue engineering. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A* (2009), 451-462.
67. Hedberg E. L., Kroese-Deutman C., Shih C. K., Crowther R. S., Carney D. H., Mikos A. G., Jansen J. A.: Effect of varied release kinetics of the osteogenic thrombin peptide TP508 from biodegradable, polymeric scaffolds on bone formation in vivo. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A* (2005), 343-353.
68. Jack K. S., Velayudhan S., Luckman P., Trau M., Grondahl L., Cooper-White J.: The fabrication and characterization of biodegradable HA/PHBV nanoparticle-polymer composite scaffolds. *Acta Biomater.* 5 (2009), 2657-2667.
69. Duan B., Wang M., Zhou W. Y., Cheung W. L., Li Z. Y., Lu W. W.: Three-dimensional

- nanocomposite scaffolds fabricated via selective laser sintering for bone tissue engineering. *Acta Biomater.* 6 (2010), 4495-4505.
70. Kose G. T., Korkusuz F., Korkusuz P., Purali N., Ozkul A., Hasirci V.: Bone generation on PHBV matrices: an in vitro study. *Biomaterials* 24 (2003), 4999-5007.
 71. Chen G. Q., Wu Q.: The application of polyhydroxyalkanoates as tissue engineering materials. *Biomaterials* 26 (2005), 6565-6578.
 72. Greish Y. E., Bender J. D., Lakshmi S., Brown P. W., Allcock H. R., Laurencin C. T.: Low temperature formation of hydroxyapatite-poly(alkyloxybenzoate)phosphazene composites for biomedical applications. *Biomaterials* 26 (2005), 1-9.
 73. Greish Y. E., Bender J. D., Lakshmi S., Brown P. W., Allcock H. R., Laurencin C. T.: Composite formation from hydroxyapatite with sodium and potassium salts of polyphosphazene. *J. Mater. Sci. Materials in Medicine* 16 (2005), 613-620.
 74. Tan Q., Zhang K., Gu S., Ren J.: Mineralization of surfactant functionalized multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWNTs) to prepare hydroxyapatite/MWNTs nanohybrid. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 255 (2009), 7036-7039.
 75. Armentano I., Dottori M., Fortunati E., Mattioli S., Kenny J. M.: Biodegradable polymer matrix nanocomposites for tissue engineering: A review. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* 95 (2010), 2126-2146.
 76. Błędzki A. K., Jaszkievicz A.: Biokompozyty na podstawie polilaktydu wzmacniane włóknami pochodzenia naturalnego. *Polimery* 53 (2008), 564-570.
 77. Muzzarelli R. A. A., Ramos V., Stanic V., Dubini B., Mattioli-Belmonte M., Tosi G., Giardino R.: Osteogenesis promoted by calcium phosphate N,N-dicarboxymethyl chitosan. *Carbohydr. Polym.* 36 (1998), 267-276.
 78. Ohtsuki C., Miyazaki T., Tanihara M.: Development of bioactive organic-inorganic hybrid for bone substitutes. *Mater. Sci. Eng., C* 22 (2002), 27-34.
 79. Pramanik N., Mishra D., Banerjee I., Maiti T. K., Bhargava P., Pramanik P.: Chemical Synthesis, Characterization, and Biocompatibility Study of Hydroxyapatite/Chitosan Phosphate Nanocomposite for Bone Tissue Engineering Applications. *International Journal of Biomaterials* (2009), 1-8.
 80. Mozafari M., Moztarzadeh F., Rabiee M., Azami M., Maleknia S., Tahriri M., Moztarzadeh Z., Nezafati N.: Development of macroporous nanocomposite scaffolds of gelatin/bioactive glass prepared through layer solvent casting combined with lamination technique for bone tissue engineering. *Ceram. Int.* 36 (2010), 2431-2439.
 81. Nistor M. T., Chiriac A. P., Vasile C., Verestiuc L., Nita L. E.: Synthesis of hydrogels based on poly(NIPAM) inserted into collagen sponge. *Colloids Surf., B* 87 (2011), 382-390.
 82. Kikuchi M., Itoh S., Ichinose S., Shinomiya K., Tanaka J.: Self-organization mechanism in a bone-like hydroxyapatite/collagen nanocomposite synthesized in vitro and its biological reaction in vivo. *Biomaterials* 22 (2001), 1705-1711.
 83. Wahl D. A., Sachlos E., Liu C., Czernuszka J. T.: Controlling the processing of collagen-hydroxyapatite scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Med.* 18 (2007), 201-209.
 84. Pielichowska K., Blazewicz S.: Bioactive Polymer/Hydroxyapatite (Nano)composites for Bone Tissue Regeneration. *Adv. Polym. Sci.* 232 (2010), 97-207.
 85. Rhee S. H., Seutsugu Y., Tanaka J.: Biomimetic configurational arrays of hydroxyapatite nanocrystals on bio-organics. *Biomaterials* 22 (2001), 2843-2847.
 86. Zhao H., Ma L., Gao Ch., Shen J.: Fabrication and properties of mineralized collagen-chitosan/hydroxyapatite scaffolds. *Polym. Adv. Technol.* 19 (2008), 1590-1596.

