



Aptamer based tools for environmental and therapeutic monitoring: A review of developments, applications, future perspectives

Q4 Błażej Kudlak and Monika Wieczerek

Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Gdańsk University of Technology,
Gdańsk, Poland

ABSTRACT

Nucleic acids in the form of aptamers play a growing and significant role in the targeted and rapid analysis of environmental sample composition and medical analyses. In this paper, the review of both aptamers synthesis methods as well as application of these short chain oligonucleotides (with critical comments on their strong and weak features) are given. The first ones include: systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment (SELEX), high throughput aptamer identification screen (HAPIScreen), and a non-equilibrium capillary electrophoresis of equilibrium mixture (NECEEM). Afterwards, manuscript describes variety of sensors and biotests utilizing aptamers as active part of its action starting from electrochemical aptasensors, through optical to piezo-electric ones. Described biotests present basic developments in enzyme-linked apta-sorbent assays (ELASA) that can be performed with different variations (enzyme-linked aptamer assay (ELAA), enzyme-linked oligonucleotide assay (ELONA) and aptamer-linked immobilized sorbent assay (ALISA)). Next, the review presents advantages and drawbacks of recent aptameric developments in versatile laboratory applications, namely medical ones, as well as analytical and bioassays. Utilitarian development of aptasensors and aptamers would strongly benefit from an assembly of interdisciplinary teams containing chemists, physicists, biologists, medical doctors, and material and electronic scientists, to determine the most effective application methodologies.

KEYWORDS

Aptamer; aptasensors;
SELEX; HAPIScreen; NECEEM;
ELONA; ELASA

1. Introduction – current challenges in analysis of environmental and biological samples

While over the past decades, significant advances have been made in the field of chemical and biological analysis, yet, low-cost, reliable, rapid, highly precise, sensitive, specific and targetoriented (to compounds bacteria,

CONTACT Błażej Kudlak blakudla@pg.edu.pl Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Gdańsk University of Technology, 11/12 Narutowicza Str, Gdańsk, 80-233, Poland.

Color versions of one or more of the figures in the article can be found online at www.tandfonline.com/best.

© 2019 Taylor & Francis Group, LLC

44 viruses, proteins, antibodies and others, at low concentration levels) analy-
45 ses remain a challenge for researchers. Correct analysis usually begins with
46 proper sampling and transportation, these stages are crucial and even the
47 best-performed analysis will be of no value, if these steps are poorly carried
48 out. Badly collected samples will not be representative and poorly preserved
49 or examined after a significant period will not reflect the average composi-
50 tion of a given studied object (Csuros 2018).

51 Determination of different types of analytes (simple organic and inor-
52 ganic chemicals as well as macromolecular structures such as proteins or
53 even cells) requires subjecting the sample to additional preparation stages
54 such as purification, extraction, isolation and enrichment. At each of these
55 stages, contamination or alteration of analyte concentration may occur (e.g.
56 by loss of sample volume, absorption of the analytes on the walls of labora-
57 tory vessels, aggregation of the determined proteins etc.), these phenomena
58 can be a significant obstacle in obtaining the correct result in the
59 trace analysis.

60 All these stages significantly extend time of the analysis, increase costs
61 and consumption of reagents (often very toxic to the environment). Some
62 samples require special treatment, their composition can be variable over
63 time as in the case of body fluids such as blood, the longer the path of the
64 samples from the point of collection to the laboratory, the greater is the
65 risk of changes in their properties and therefore the risk of diagnostic error
66 (Singh, Graber, & Hofer, 2016). To face this challenges there is a great
67 dement for creating innovative analytical devices characterized by high pre-
68 cision, sensitivity, specificity, speed, and usability (e.g. portable devices) for
69 monitoring a growing number of environmental pollutants as well as for
70 medical applications (Long, Zhu, & Shi, 2013).

71 In this paper authors focused on a critical evaluation on how to the use
72 aptamers as semi-universal tools for recognizing different types of analytes
73 and their usefulness in defeating challenges related to sample analysis.

74 75 76 77 **2. Aptamers – their properties, mode of actions and methods** 78 **of production**

79 Aptamers are short-chain oligonucleotides of RNA or singlestranded DNA
80 (usually in the range of 40 to 100 nucleotides) and were simultaneously
81 described for the first time by three groups of scientists: Tuerk and Gold
82 (1990), Robertson and Joyce (1990), and Ellington and Szostak (1990). The
83 uniqueness of aptamers lies in their high affinity, and is a result of their
84 flexibility and the ability to fold upon binding with a target; hence their
85 name, derived from the Latin *aptus* – fit, attached or joined and the Greek
86

Table 1. Differences between antibodies and aptamers (Nezlin, 2014; Toh et al., 2015).

Features	Aptamers	Antibodies
Molecule size	Minimal size is 10 kDa	Minimal size is ca. 180 kDa
Production/development	Via chemical synthesis and selection resulting in less batch variation and shorter time of production	Laboratory animals are used, what affects the batch to batch variation and longer time of production
Immobilization	Through adsorption or chemical coupling	Immobilization by biotin/streptavidin or chemical coupling
Production conditions	Necessity to use highly purified immunoantigen for selection	Immunoantigens administered to animals do not need to be purified
Costs	Less expensive than production of antibodies, however, it is still expensive	Large costs result from the use of laboratory animals, the difference in batches and their storage conditions
Possibility of storage	More stable in higher temperatures and posse a longer shelf life compared to antibodies.	Can be irreversibly denatured at room temperature
Chemical modification of aptamer/antibody	Can be manipulated chemically and labeled without losing specificity	Labeling may reduce the affinity of antigens towards targets
Toxicity/immunotoxicity	There is no immunological response of the organisms to aptamers	Possibility of inducing an immune response in the patients
Activity in different environments	Active in different reaction environments	Active only in a physiological environment

meros – part. (Tuerk & Gold, 1990; Robertson & Joyce, 1990; Ellington & Szostak, 1990; Ng et al., 2006; Nezlin 2014).

Aptamers act as ligands, binding strongly with the target molecule as evidenced by the nano- or pico-molar dissociation constant ($K_d = \frac{[free\ aptamer] \cdot [free\ target]}{[aptamer-target\ complex]}$) of the resulting target-aptamer complex, which can exhibit a greater affinity for the target than the antibodies, that were so far considered highly selective. Unlike antibodies, aptamers are obtained during chemical synthesis (completely different than in the case of antibodies that are obtained using laboratory animals); in addition, they retain their properties both during storage and in different reactionary environments. Additional information on the differences between aptamers and antibodies are summarized in Table 1 (Nezlin 2014; Toh, Citartan, Gopinath, & Tang, 2015).

Molecular recognition of target molecules by aptamers may occur between different secondary and tertiary structures. For example, small molecule compounds, such as amino acids, combine with aptamers using pseudoknots, internal loops, and one-sided bulges for interaction (Figure 1) (Antunes, Jorge, Caffarena, & Passetti, 2018). In the case of large molecules, different types of reactions and physical factors are involved in the formation of aptamer-target complexes, including hydrogen bonds, polar groups, shapes, and van der Waals forces as indicated by nuclear magnetic resonance studies (Figure 1) (Song, Wang, Li, Fan, & Zhao, 2008). All of these interactions result in a permanent ‘fitting’ of the aptamer-ligand to a given biomolecule, or *vice versa*, if the oligonucleotide is larger than its target




Figure 1. Three-dimensional structure of aptamer with bonded analyte e.g. a) small molecule, b) large molecule and schematic secondary structures of aptamers: c) pseudoknot, d) G-quartet, e) hairpin, f) stem-loop/bugle, g) G-quadruplex, adapted from (Nezlin, 2014; McGown, Joseph, Pitner, Vonk, & Linn, 1995; Radom et al., 2013; Deng et al., 2014; Jo & Ban, 2016). G, guanine; C, cytosine; A, adenine; T, thymine; U, uracile.

Aptamers can bind to a wide range of enzymes, regulatory proteins, growth factors, mono- and polyclonal antibodies (Hayat & Marty, 2014), lectins as well as low molecular weight vitamins (Selvakumar & Thakur, 2012), antibiotics, organic pigments, amino acids, peptides, nucleotides (Tombelli, Minunni, & Mascini, 2005), tissues and organisms (Pereira et al., 2018), cells (Chen et al., 2016) and even some metal ions (Zhang, Liu, Guo, & Lin, 2018) (Figure 1; Tereshko, Skripkin, & Patel, 2003; Radom, Jurek, Mazurek, Otlewski, & Jeleń, 2013; Long, Long, White, & Sullenger, 2008).

Large quantities of aptamers can be obtained by biochemical synthesis most frequently by Systematic Evolution of Ligands by EXponential enrichment (SELEX). Additional methods include a high throughput aptamer identification screen (HAPIScreen), and a non-equilibrium capillary electrophoresis of equilibrium mixture (NECEEM) (Dausse et al., 2011; Toh et al., 2015; Berezovski et al., 2005). Aptamers, despite their advantages and versatility, also have disadvantages that may limit their usefulness; these limitations are enumerated in the Table 2.

It is possible to integrate aptamers with various platforms and transducers that will convert the signal from aptamers bounded with analytes to fluorescent, colorimetric, or electrochemical signals that are measurable and dependent upon the sample analyte concentration. Although most aptamer development is focused on medical applications (blood or human serum measurements), there are new achievements in environmental field. Commercially produced aptamers are available from multiple suppliers (Aptagen LLC, BasePair Biotechnologies Inc., AptaMatrix Inc.) which provide services for adjusting the sensitivity and structure of produced aptamers, but for now, there are no aptamer based commercial tests or biosensors dedicated to environmental pollution (Aptagen LLC, 2019; BasePair Biotechnologies Inc., 2019; AptaMatrix Inc., 2019).

Table 2. Advantages and disadvantages of aptamers application (Keefe et al., 2010; Rimmele, 2003; Cheng, Chen, Lennox, Behlke, & Davidson, 2013; Mascini, 2009; Crivianu-Gaita & Thompson, 2016; Nguyen et al., 2014, Palchetti & Mascini, 2008, Amiri et al., 2017).

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small size: allows penetration of the tissue or passing through barriers such as the blood-brain barrier. • Nucleic acids prefer forming secondary structures resulting in significant number of receptor conformations. • Specificity to the target molecule, which is often at the antibody level. • Possible <i>in vitro</i> tests as well as <i>in vivo</i>. • Lack or low immune response and no toxicity. • Lower cost of production compared to competing antibodies. • The production proceeds <i>in vitro</i>, so there is no possibility of contamination with biological toxic factors that can induce immune response. • Aptamers are chemical synthesis without cell culture or animals, which eliminates ethical concerns. • High molecular stability (DNA is more stable than proteins) – resistance to temperatures and factors damaging proteins and the ability to easily renaturation, after disturbing the spatial structure. • A very large variety of target molecules ranging from small inorganic ions to cells. • Are able to renature and regain analyte binding ability after denaturation (possibility of multiple usages). • Low detection limits (even at z mol L^{-1} levels). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small size: aptamers can be easily rinsed out of the body, therefore, it is necessary to use modifications (or higher concentration) in order to prolong their presence in the human body. • Sensitive to nuclease degradation, this forces the use of phosphate backbone modifications. • Some targets due to the lack of functional groups or other properties will not bond with aptamers. • Bonds with target molecules are usually weaker than antibodies. • Aptamers can be easily digested by enzymes. • Due to the fact that it is still constantly evolving branch of science costs can be large in comparison to conventional methods. • Sequence determination <i>via</i> SELEX is still slow. • Around one-third of the target surface may be lost or unavailable after immobilization of the target molecule. • Immobilization steps can be unsuitable for small molecular targets, in particular when molecules have no functional groups.

2.1. Methods for generating aptamer sentences

2.1.1. SELEX

SELEX *in vitro* selection is the most widely used method for obtaining RNA and single strand DNA (ssDNA) aptamers with the desired physical and chemical properties. In the first step, a very large library of oligonucleotides is synthesized, consisting of randomly generated sequences and shapes of constant length surrounded by 5' and 3' ends. During the synthesis of different regions, monomer concentrations (pyridine and purine bases A, T/U, G, and C) in the reaction mixture are equimolar, so the probability of occurrence for each oligonucleotide combination is the same. In the case of n-nucleotide fragments, this gives 4^n different combinations; a pool of oligonucleotides that theoretically represent every possible nucleotide sequence (Groher & Suess, 2016).

The next step is incubation of the oligonucleotide library with the target molecule under specific conditions (pH, temperature and other) followed by elution. The target molecule is usually immobilized on a membrane. Sequences that specifically bind to the molecule are not removed by the following wash and are amplified by PCR (or by reverse transcription PCR in case of an RNA library) after elution from the target molecule. Obtaining




Figure 2. Schematic representation of basic SELEX (Klug & Famulok, 1994).

ssDNA after PCR amplification is the most critical step in the SELEX procedure. One method to prevent double strand DNA (dsDNA) formation is to use biotinylated reverse primers in the amplification step. Another method is asymmetrical PCR, which is performed with an excess amount of forward primer and very small amount of reverse primer. The selection process is repeated while gradually exacerbating the reaction conditions. During every amplification cycle and rinse, the participation of oligonucleotides that specifically recognize the target molecule increases (McKeague et al., 2015; Wei & Ling-Yun, 2009; Svobodová, Pinto, Nadal, & O' Sullivan, 2012). The last step of SELEX is duplication of the selected aptamers (see Figure 2 for details).

Then the oligonucleotides are tested for their binding strength (K_d) of the resulting complex and oligonucleotide affinities are determined; those characterized by the best performance are introduced into plasmids, cloned in bacterial cells, and sequenced. After learning the unique nucleotide sequence, multiple copies of the given aptamer are made by chemical synthesis. SELEX stages are presented schematically in Figure 2 (Klug & Famulok, 1994).

There are many variants of the SELEX method, including genomic SELEX to produce mirror aptamers (Spiegelmers), monoLEX, *in vivo*-SELEX, photo-SELEX, whole cell-SELEX, GO-SELEX, and *in silico*-SELEX.

259 This process is constantly being improved in order to shorten the process
260 duration and to obtain aptamers with a very high affinity for different tar-
261 gets (Toh et al., 2015; Berezovski, Musheev, Drabovich, & Krylov, 2006;
262 Wondergem, Schiessel, & Tompitak, 2017; Nguyen, Kwon, Kim, & Gu,
263 2014; Sefah, Shanguan, Xiong, O'donoghue, & Tan, 2010; Nitsche et al.,
264 2007). Additional information about SELEX types are presented in Table 3.
265 Unfortunatly, the SELEX approach is still relatively slow, can only be auto-
266 mated to a small degree, and is strongly biased, since efficiently amplified
267 poor affinity binders may mask low copy/high affinity aptamers. The most
268 time and labor-consuming step in developing aptamers is the affinity evalu-
269 ation of the isolated oligonucleotide sequences prior to choosing the
270 aptamer with the highest affinity.
271

272 **2.1.2. Non-SELEX methods: HAPIScreen and NECEEM**

273 HAPIScreen method is a non-SELEX method, which combines the target
274 molecule and the potential aptamer with donor and acceptor microbeads.
275 The aptamer interacts with the target molecule while simultaneously releas-
276 ing a fluorescent signal produced by the microbeads, which is detected
277 using a fluorescence reader (Dausse et al., 2011).
278

279 A precursor to HAPIScreen is the AlphaScreen® method (refer to
280 Figure 3). The biological interaction between molecules closes the beads
281 and further initiates a cascade of chemical reactions to obtain a greatly
282 enhanced signal. After laser excitation, photosensitizers in the donor bead
283 transform the surrounding oxygen into a more excited singlet state. The
284 oxygen molecules disperse and react with a chemiluminescencer in the
285 acceptor bead, which additionally activates the fluorophores that start to
286 emit light at 520–620 nm wavelength. This type of aptamer screening allows
287 for the selection of high-affinity aptamers within two hours (Dausse
288 et al., 2011).
289

290 Another non-SELEX method is NECEEM, which consists of two major
291 steps: incubation of the randomized DNA/RNA library with the target
292 molecule, and separation of the formed complexes from the unbound
293 nucleic acid ligands without the need for amplification. This is usually
294 repeated three times until a pool of nucleic acids containing high affinity
295 binders is obtained. Method principles rely on the assumption that when
296 using high voltage unbounded targets, oligonucleotides will migrate at a
297 different velocity than the target-aptamer complex. It is assumed that the
298 target molecule migrates faster than the oligonucleotide, and that the
299 complex of the two has an averaged velocity. After t_0 time this initial mix-
300 ture is divided into equivalent fractions (Figure 4; Krylov 2006; Kanoatov
301 et al., 2015).



Table 3. Variations of SELEX processes used to produce aptamers.

Name	Basic features	References
Whole cell-SELEX	In Whole cell-SELEX process ssDNA library is incubated with the target cells (i.e. cancer cell). Bounded sequences are recovered from the cells by heating the complexes up to 95 °C, followed by centrifugation and amplified by PCR. The procedure, from design of oligonucleotides to enrichment of the selected pools, takes around 3 months.	Sefah et al., 2010
TECS-SELEX MonolEX	Method for the identification of a high-affinity DNA aptamers. A single step with use of affinity chromatography, followed by subsequent physical segmentation on the affinity resin and a single final PCR amplification step of bound aptamers.	Ohuchi, Ohtsu, & Nakamura, 2006 Nitsche et al., 2007
Tailored SELEX	Allows selection of aptamers without sequence of primers. After each RNA selection cycle, the library is ligated with primers, reverse transcribed into complementary DNA (cDNA) and amplified by PCR. The reverse DNA strand is then cleaved by alkaline cleavage at a special site.	Vater, Jarosch, Buchner, & Klussmann, 2003 Smith & Gold, 2004
Conditional SELEX	Method enables the selection of aptamers that either can bind with the target only in the presence of a regulator molecule, or in contrary they can't bind to the target in the presence of regulator molecule.	Lai & Hong, 2014
MARAS	Magnetic-Assisted Rapid Aptamer Selection. Targeted protein is attached to magnetic nanoparticle, incubated with oligonucleotide library and subjected to rotation motion produced by an external magnetic field. This enables selection of high-affinity aptamers that remain bound with the target despite magnetic starrng.	Zimmermann, Bilusic, Lorenz, & Schroeder, 2010 Bae et al., 2013
GenomicSELEX	Method for studying the network of nucleic acid–protein interactions within organisms. GenomicSELEX starts from libraries derived from genomic DNA. High-affinity binding RNAs are enriched from the initial pool through multiple rounds of binding of the RNAs to a given ligand.	Szeto et al., 2013
Sol–gel SELEX	The method uses a specially fabricated advanced device utilizing microfluidics for aptamer selection. For protein immobilization, there are sol–gel arrays with droplets on the tops of individual microheaters of such microfluidics device used. After the target is immobilized, the solution containing oligonucleotide library is injected into the device and incubated with the target. Then each agarose droplet is individually heated and RNA aptamers are separately eluted, reverse transcribed to cDNA and amplified by PCR.	Zhu, Song, et al., 2014
RAPID-SELEX	Combination of conventional SELEX and non-SELEX approaches. Cycle performed by standard SELEX protocol is followed by next SELEX round where the amplification step is not included. This method reduces time of aptamer selection in comparison with conventional SELEX while retaining the same affinity aptamer to the target molecule.	Cheng et al., 2013
MSD-SELEX-Particle Display selection	Primer is immobilize on beads through NHS-ester. Beads are mixed with an oligonucleotide library in water-in-oil droplets and then incubated with a target. Bound sequences are isolated, amplified followed by identification and analysis.	Gawande et al., 2017
<i>In vivo</i> -SELEX	In the method laboratory animals are used. Random RNA library is injected to laboratory animals (in reference study mice). Then aptamers are recovered and purified with DNase and RNase, amplify and re-injected. After 12 rounds (study data) negative selection was conducted.	Zhang et al., 2015
MAI-SELEX	Method to generate aptamers that specifically bind to different subunits of a protein. In the first stage target molecule is immobilized on magnetic bead followed by magnetic separation of the beads (5 rounds). Next, the aptamer pool from the first stage is incubated with the target, in which only one of the protein subunits is presented.	Djordjevic, 2007
Tissue slide-based SELEX	DNA library is incubated with slices of cancerous tissue. After incubation period, the complex of DNA with the cancer tissue is removed and the oligonucleotide sequences are used in PCR amplification. In the second round of selection, the PCR products are incubated with normal tissue slices as a counter selection step.	Nguyen et al., 2014
SELEX-SAGE	The DNA library is incubated with proteins immobilized in 96-well plate, followed by washing, elution and PCR amplification of bound sequences. Amplified DNAs are sequenced using massively parallel single-molecule sequencing.	Wondergem et al., 2017
GO-SELEX	DNA library is pre-incubated with the target, then added to the graphene oxide (GO), which adsorb unbounded ssDNA while bound DNA remains in the solution. DNA is separated from the target and amplified by PCR. After several cycles are subjected to selection, cloning and sequencing.	
<i>In silico</i> -SELEX	Computer modelling using special software, to predict the outcome of the experiment under given conditions.	




Figure 3. Diagram of AlphaScreen® test, components A and B represent the target molecules and the oligonucleotide (AlphaScreen®, 2018).




Figure 4. Diagram of the process of non-equilibrium capillary electrophoresis of equilibrium mixture (NASEEM) for receiving aptamers (Toh et al., 2015).

2.2. DNA and RNA libraries and their modifications

The vast amount and variety of nucleic acids make it possible to choose an aptamer for virtually any target. Calculations have shown that the optimal number of different sequences tested ranges from 10^{13} to 10^{15} . Both DNA and RNA can be used as aptamer bases; RNA enables intracellular expression, while DNA shows greater stability (Radom et al., 2013).

DNA and RNA libraries may contain sequences that surround the targeted aptamers to form a specific secondary structure, or include modified nucleotides that can greatly broaden the range of possible sequences. This may also affect aptamer properties, such as *in vivo* stability or resistance to RNase and DNase. Oligonucleotides can be easily modified by the substitution of one of the ribose hydroxyl groups with a methyl or an alkyl group

(Cummins et al., 1995). Alkylation of nucleic acids creates aptamers containing 2'-O-methylpyrimidine; such modified aptamers are more stable and more resistant to the attacks of exonuclease enzymes; similar action was observed with substitution of the ribose 2'-OH group with an amino group or fluorine atoms, which increased resistance to degradation by blood serum enzymes (Scaggiante et al., 2013; Nawrot & Sipa, 2006). Dimerization of selected aptamers allows for a fold-increase in affinity of the ligand to the molecule, and an increase in the durability of the complex formed that enables an increased number of binding sites. Therefore, it is possible to interact with more than one target molecule (Hasegawa, Taira, Sode, & Ikebukuro, 2008). Modification of phosphate residues by replacing them with sulfur atoms increases resistance to 3'-exonuclease digestion (Kaur, Rob, Caton-Williams, & Huang, 2013). Oligonucleotides can also be modified to show specificity to one of the enantiomers. For example, ribonucleases can recognize and hydrolyze only oligoribonucleotides based on a series of L-ribose (so-called mirror aptamers) (Klussmann, Nolte, Bald, Erdmann, & Fürste, 1996). Additionally, aptamers can be combined with fluorescent dyes, the so-called 'bridging' molecules that enable the aptamer to be labeled without losing its affinity, and preserving the fluorescent properties of the dye. Labeling in the vicinity of the intermolecular recognition region enables researchers to follow the conformational changes of the aptamer; after binding to the target molecule, the aptamer itself acts as a biosensor (Babendure, Adams, & Tsien, 2003).

3. Aptamer-based sensors and aptamer-based tests in environmental research and monitoring

3.1. Aptamer-based sensors (aptasensors)

Biosensor technology has developed quickly since the days of the first enzyme electrode for glucose detection described by Clark and Lyons in the 1960s; in particular, those containing aptamers suitable for binding very different targets, from micromolecules such as metals to macromolecules such as proteins, various test configurations have been designed and reported (Clark & Lyons, 1962). The combination of biological and electronic elements allows for quick, sensitive, and precise detection of not only small amounts of chemical compounds, but also dangerous microorganisms or toxins. The majority of these designs fall into either single-site or dual-site binding categories (Figure 5; Song et al., 2008).

Dual-site binding tests ('sandwich' tests) are one of the most commonly used formats, in which the analyte is surrounded by a pair of aptamers (Figure 5b). One is the capture probe, usually immobilized on the surface of solid supports (electrodes, glass chips, nanoparticles, or microparticles),




Figure 5. Single-site binding a) or dual-site binding b) aptamer-based types of test (Song et al., 2008).

and the other is a reporter probe, often conjugated to signal residues (fluorophores, enzymes, or nanoparticles) (Song et al., 2008). Measurement of biological phenomena occurring in the sensor can take place according to the transduction principle means of electrochemical (potentiometric, amperometric, and conductometric), optical (optical fiber and surface plasmon resonance biosensors, colorimetric, and fluorescent) and piezoelectric (mass sensitive including quartz crystal microbalance) detectors (Justino, Duarte, & Rocha-Santos, 2017).

3.1.1. Electrochemical aptasensors

Changes in the electrical field occur during redox reactions, and are measured by a transducer electrode. Electrochemical techniques are simple to use, fast, cost-effective, and are characterized by high selectivity and sensitivity. Most of them use label-free electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) or semiconductor field-effect sensors (FET), which show great promise, as they are not destructive. EIS is a frequency domain measurement made by applying a sinusoidal perturbation, often a voltage, to a system and in transistors, in FET sensors, the interfacial potential between the sample and the gate is material depending on the target activity of the analyte measured (Kaisti, 2017; Muñoz, Montes, & Baeza, 2017). This is highly attractive for the detection of low-mass molecules and ions. In EIS, the change in electron transfer resistance is measured upon the aptamer binding to the target. The aptamer-target complex creates an isolating barrier on the electrode surface, and using FET sensors, the conductivity between two electrodes is gated by the change in surface potential that is induced by aptamer-target binding (Miranda-Castro, de-los-Santos-Álvarez, & Lobo-Castañón, 2017).

474 Most aptasensor electrode surfaces are coated with microwires formed of
475 platinum (PtNPs), silver (AgNPs) and gold (AuNPs) nanoparticles or dot-
476 ted carbon nanoparticles (GO, Graphene Oxide), on which aptamers are
477 placed using various immobilization strategies and/or detection formats
478 (Hayat & Marty, 2014; Zhang, Liu, et al., 2018). Flexible aptamers, which
479 form three-dimensional structures upon binding with the target molecule,
480 are immobilized on the electrode surface through chemisorption of thiol
481 groups on Pt, Ag, Au, or C surfaces. This is followed by the creation of a
482 self-assembled monolayer by the attachment of an amine-terminated
483 aptamer to a thiol group, most often via the 3' end, as this configuration
484 seems to be more resistant to nuclease (Hayat & Marty, 2014; Yang, Yang,
485 Pang, Vara, & Xia, 2015; Arroyo-Currás et al., 2017; Liu, Luo, et al., 2017).
486 The aptamer may also be conjugated by covalent bonds to a chemically
487 modified surface, most often hydroxyl, amino, or carboxylic acids, and less
488 often with silicates or silicones (Zhu, Suter, White, & Fan, 2006; Actis
489 et al., 2011; Taghdisi, Danesh, Emrani, Ramezani, & Abnous, 2015).
490 Electroactive probes such as methylene blue (MB), ferrocene (Fc),
491 $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6^{4-/3-}$ polymers containing ferrocene, and ruthenium complexes are
492 more often used for the transduction of biological information into electro-
493 active signals (Song, Lee, & Ban, 2012, Arroyo-Currás et al., 2017). Most of
494 these methods have great potential however, there are, some limitations to
495 electrochemine aptasensors that use nanomaterials that arise from intrinsic
496 properties that may result in creating inefficient aptasensors (Jalalian,
497 Karimabadi, Ramezani, Abnous, & Taghdisi, 2018).

498 MB and Fc are used both in labeled and non-labeled aptasensors
499 (Figure 6a and b). In labeled aptasensors, the electrochemical aptasensor
500 target induction causes the aptamer to stiffen into its three-dimensional
501 structure, which interrupts electron exchange between the MB and the elec-
502 trode surface, resulting in a decreased signal proportional to the amount of
503 analyte. In the label-free version, an aptamer structure contains many MB
504 probes that allow the flow of electrons. Changes in aptamer conformation
505 upon target binding result in the release of all MB probes affecting the sig-
506 nal (Cao et al., 2017; Tao, Zhong-Yuan, Lian-Zhe, & Guo-Bao, 2011). In
507 'sandwich' type aptasensors, the target first binds to the aptamer immobi-
508 lized on the electrode surface, and then the second aptamer binds to the
509 target. Therefore, this type of test is useful for detecting molecules with two
510 or more binding sites, such as proteins (Walter et al., 2012; Figure 6d).

511 Electrochemical aptasensors are already used to detect impurities in
512 environmental samples from low molecular weight heavy metals to endo-
513 crine disrupting chemicals (EDC), antibiotics, and pesticides. Methods for
514 utilization are constantly improved, as rapid and targeted determination of
515 small molecule compounds such as heavy metals in environmental samples
516




Figure 6. Labeled a) and label-free b) signal-off aptasensors. $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6^{4-/3-}$ probe c) is prevented from reaching the electrode surface by stiffened structure of the aptamer bonded with the target molecule. 'Sandwich' aptasensor d) – two aptamer bonded with one target molecule with more than one active place (Hayat & Marty, 2014, Mishra et al., 2018).

are very important for public health reasons. Intensive research is ongoing to develop very sensitive and selective aptamer biosensors to detect heavy metals at very low concentration levels.

Electrochemical aptasensors are used for the detection of heavy metals such as Hg^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , and As^{3+} . In one approach, an ultra-sensitive and highly selective electrochemical label-free aptasensor was proposed for the quantitative detection of Hg^{2+} in zeptomolar (zmol L^{-1}) levels. In a customized sensor, Amiri, Navaee, Salimi, and Ahmadi (2017) substituted thiol groups in single-stranded DNA, which then self-assembled on a gold electrode surface through the S-Au interaction. Hybridization of ssDNA with complementary DNA (cDNA), and the consequences of dehybridization in the presence of Hg^{2+} were followed through differential pulse voltammetry responses using a redox probe ($[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-/4-}$). An essential and desirable phenomenon for the detection of Hg^{2+} in environmental samples is the formation of a thymine- Hg^{2+} -thymine complex (Amiri et al., 2017; Mishra, Sharma, & Mishra, 2018).

In another aptameric study, Pb^{2+} ions were detected with sensors developed on the base of a carboxylic acid group in functionalized multi-walled carbon nanotubes and directly electrodeposited gold nanoparticles (GNPs). The DNA capture probe was self-assembled onto the surface of the modified electrode for hybridization with the guanine-rich aptamer probe to form a DNA double helix structure. Pb^{2+} ions added to the sample caused the DNA duplex to unwind, and formed a stabilized G-quadruplex due to the Pb^{2+} -induced G-rich DNA conformation. The aptasensor was able to detect lead ions at a 4.3 pmol L^{-1} detection limit (Zhu, Zeng, et al., 2014).

560 Furthermore, an ultrasensitive electrochemical aptasensor based on a
561 glass carbon electrode modified with AuNPs, chitosan, and aptamers has
562 been constructed to detect cadmium (II) ions. The presence of Cd^{2+} trig-
563 gers a change in aptamer conformation, causing the Cd/Cd-aptamer com-
564 plex to adsorb more electrochemical signal indicator $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_6]^{3+}$ than
565 poly-(diallyl dimethyl ammonium chloride) (PDDA), which is applied to
566 neutralize the Cd-aptamer *via* electrostatic interaction. Using differential
567 pulse voltammetry (DPV) detection, the peak current increases along with
568 the increase in Cd^{2+} concentration with a detection limit as low as
569 0.05 pmol L^{-1} (Liu, Lai, et al., 2017).

570 In another study, As^{3+} ions were detected using new thermally stable
571 polyarylidene (azomethine-ether)s and copolyarylidene (azomethine-ether)s
572 (PAAP) hybrids based on diarylidene cycloalkanone synthesis using a solu-
573 tion polycondensation method. A thin layer of PAAP was coated onto a
574 flat glassy carbon electrode (GCE) using a conducting nafion (5%, CAS no.
575 66796-30-3) coating agent to fabricate a sensitive and selective As^{3+} ion
576 aptamer with a short response time in a neutral buffer system. The detec-
577 tion limit was calculated at $6.8 \pm 0.1 \text{ nmol L}^{-1}$ (Rahman, Hussein, Aly, &
578 Asiri, 2018). Tang, Wang, Yu, Zhang, and He (2018) presented an aptasen-
579 sor containing a multi-channel one-time screen-operated carbon electrode
580 (SPCE) using open circuit technology (OCP) for the simultaneous detection
581 of three heavy metals, Hg^{2+} , Cd^{2+} and As^{3+} , with detection limits of 2.0,
582 0.62, and 0.17 pmol L^{-1} , respectively. More detailed review on possibility of
583 using aptamers and nanomaterials can be found in work of Farzin,
584 Shamsipur, and Sheibani (2017).

585 In addition to heavy metal identification, aptasensors can be used to
586 identify pesticides, antibiotics, and even bisphenols. Fei et al. (2015) pro-
587 posed a low-cost, selective, and sensitive electrochemical aptasensor using
588 the direct impedimetric method to detect the pesticide acetamiprid in
589 environmental samples. AuNPs with multiwalled carbon nanotube-reduced
590 GO-nanoribbon composites were synthesized in a one-pot reaction (Au/
591 MWCNT-rGONR). This unique composite was used as a platform to
592 immobilize aptamers. This aptasensor was successfully used to determine
593 pesticides of interest even when the sample contained interfering substances
594 with a detection limit of 0.17 fmol L^{-1} (Fei et al., 2015).

596 Another label-free electrochemical aptasensor was constructed by Zhan
597 et al. (2015) to detect tetracycline in dietary, environmental, and biological
598 samples in a cost-efficient, sensitive, and selective manner. A suitable
599 aptamer was immobilized on a composite consisting of reduced GO, mag-
600 netite (Fe_3O_4), and sodium alginate on the surface of a screen-printed car-
601 bon electrode (SPCE). Tetracycline could be quantified using DPV with a
602 detection limit of 0.6 nmol L^{-1} (Zhan et al., 2016).

603 Similarly Cui et al. (2015) presented a label-free, single-step method for
604 the detection of bisphenol A (BPA) in aqueous samples. An aptamer based
605 molecular probe was immobilized on a commercially available array of
606 interdigitated aluminum microelectrodes. To quantify the amount of BPA
607 in the sample, the interfacial capacitance rate change caused by the specific
608 binding between the analyte and the immobilized aptamer was measured.
609 The sensor reached a detection limit as low as 10 fmol L^{-1} , with a 20 s
610 response time. This inexpensive, highly sensitive, and rapid method is
611 promising technology for the on-site detection of BPA in food and water
612 samples (Cui et al., 2015).

613 Electrochemical aptasensors have also been used in the detection of
614 pathogens such as *Salmonella*. A biosensor based on a glassy carbon elec-
615 trode modified with GO and AuNPs was combined with specific aptamers.
616 In order to quantify the content of *Salmonella* sp., the electrochemical
617 impedance spectrum was measured. The more *Salmonella* was added to the
618 test solution, the more the current between the electrode and the electrolyte
619 was reduced, which enabled a detection limit of 3 colony forming units
620 (CFU) mL^{-1} . This aptasensor is useful for detecting *Salmonella* species in
621 water and food samples (Ma et al., 2014).

622 The use of electrochemical aptasensors also can involve problems, unfor-
623 tunately, these sensors are most often tested on spiked samples and there
624 are few studies that would be carried out on real samples. The electrochem-
625 ical methods themselves are sensitive to electromagnetic interference and
626 there is a need for buffers to maintain the aptamer working environment
627 (Zhang, Liu, et al., 2018).

628 **3.1.2. Optical aptasensors (fluorescence and colorimetric sensors)**

629 Fluorescence-based aptasensors are a type of optical sensor, which require
630 a fluorophore (a fluorescent chemical compound) and a quencher
631 (quenches fluorescence). These types of sensors are currently used with
632 great success in identification and quantification of environmental pollu-
633 tants such as heavy metals ions (García-Gutiérrez, Huerta-Aguilar,
634 Thangarasu, & Vázquez-Ramos, 2017).

635 In aptasensors the fluorophore part is attached to the other. In some
636 cases, a surface made of a GO-sheet can act as quencher and adsorb the
637 fluorophore-labeled aptamer in its unbound state. In other cases, the
638 quencher is attached to a complementary DNA sequence (cDNA) which is
639 unbound from the aptamer when the target molecule appears in the solu-
640 tion (Feng, Dai, & Wang, 2014). These types of aptasensors can be divided
641 into signal-on and signal-off categories (Figure 7a-c), which increase or
642 decrease the fluorescence signal upon binding with the target molecule. In
643 signal-on sensors, the initial conformation of the aptamer maintains the
644
645




Figure 7. Schematic presentation of fluorescent aptasensor: signal-on presented in a), signal-off presented in b) and c) of fluorescent aptasensors. d) Binding targets by aptamers immobilized on AuNPs initiates their aggregation resulting in color change from red to blue (Song et al., 2012, Feng et al., 2014).

fluorophore and quencher very close to each other and results in a low fluorescence signal. Off and On fluorescent-based sensors had been for some time used with success in detection environmental pollution (García-Gutiérrez et al., 2017). Binding to the target molecule causes a change in the aptamer conformation and separates the fluorophore from the quencher, resulting in a higher fluorescence signal. In signal-off sensors, the coupling of fluorophore and quencher occurs when the target molecule appears in the solution. This decreases the fluorescence signal (correlated to the concentration of the target), which otherwise remains strong when the elements are separated (Akki & Werth, 2018).

Colorimetric tests are easy to perform, and their results can be measured and determined with uncomplicated equipment and in some cases, by the naked eye (Lu et al., 2013). Most colorimetric aptamer based tests are based on the principle that the visible color of AuNP suspensions change depending on the dispersion and aggregation in the solution. For example, if aptamers are immobilized on AuNPs stabilized in highly concentrated salts (e.g. NaCl), they are dispersed in the solution and prevent nanoparticle aggregation. This would turn the sample solution red. As soon as an aptamer recognized analyte appears in the solution, the aptamer is separated from the AuNPs, which then aggregate and cause the solution to turn blue (Figure 7d). Change and color intensity provide qualitative and

689 quantitative information about the presence and concentration sample ana-
690 lyte, and the blue shift range is quantified as the ratio of absorbance from
691 620 nm to 520 nm ($\sim A_{620}/A_{520}$ nm) (Akki & Werth, 2018).

692 The literature also describes colorimetric tests that change from blue to
693 red after the analyte is introduced. For example, aptamer thiol groups are
694 covalently bound to AuNPs and the secondary sequence of aptamer-AuNPs
695 cause the aggregation of these complexes and turn the solution blue.
696 Introduction of the target to the solution causes the molecules to disperse,
697 which manifests as a color change to red. In some cases, a reactive chromo-
698 genic agent like tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) is used in conjunction with
699 H_2O_2 . TMB reacts on the surface of AuNPs, which serve as a catalyst to
700 promote peroxidase-like activity. When the target molecule binds with
701 aptamers, the AuNPs surface is released and can catalyze the oxidation of
702 colorless TMB to form TMB-diimine, which changes the color from red to
703 purplish-blue (Yuan, Zhao, Wang, & Quan, 2017; van den Kieboom et al.,
704 2015). As with fluorescence-based aptasensors, colorimetric assays are suc-
705 cessfully applied to detect analytes in tap and lake water, milk, soil, and
706 wastewater samples. The only potential analytical obstacle to using tests
707 containing AuNP particles as carriers is the sample matrix. This is why
708 environmental samples are usually diluted in special buffers, and only those
709 with a high salt content may be a problem (van den Kieboom et al., 2015).
710 Additionally, despite continuous improvement, there are still many difficul-
711 ties in the functioning of these aptasensors such as pH, ionic strength, and
712 temperature, viscosity and other external conditions. For some sensors
713 there is a need for using different types of buffers that can affect the quality
714 of the determination (Robati et al., 2016).

715 Small molecules such as heavy metal ions can be determined by optical
716 sensors; for example, Qian, Shan, Chai, Chen, and Feng (2015) developed a
717 fluorescent signal-on nanosensor for Pb^{2+} based on biocompatible gra-
718 phene QDs (quantum dots), GO and an aptamer in G-quadruplex forma-
719 tion with a detection limit of 0.6 nmol L^{-1} . Another simple and reliable
720 detector for As^{3+} in drinking water and blood serum was introduced by
721 Taghdisi, Danesh, Ramezani, Emrani, and Abnous (2018). The presented
722 sensor was the switch-on type. Upon introduction of As^{3+} to the solution,
723 the label-free aptamer was immobilized on silica nanoparticles coated with
724 a streptavidin surface and formed a hairpin structure, which strengthened
725 the fluorescence signal with a very low detection limit of 0.45 nmol L^{-1}
726 (Taghdisi et al., 2018). Lin, Yu, Li, Cao, and Guo (2016) and Yang, Qian,
727 et al. (2015) presented two different fluorescent sensors to determine the
728 presence of acetamiprid (Lin et al., 2016; Yang, Qian, et al., 2015). Lin
729 et al. (2016) used an aptamer-modified ZnS:Mn probe constructed by com-
730 bining ZnS:Mn QDs with an acetamiprid binding aptamer. Without the
731

732 presence of acetamiprid, the signal is switched off by means of multi-walled
733 carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) based on the fluorescence resonance energy
734 transfer (FRET) between the ZnS:Mn-aptamer complex and the MWCNTs.
735 FRET is a mechanism describing energy transfer between two light-sensi-
736 tive molecules called chromophores (or fluorophores). Donor chromo-
737 phore, when in its electronic excited state, may transfer energy to an
738 acceptor chromophore through nonradiative dipole-dipole coupling in
739 short range < 10 nm (Zal & Gascoigne, 2004).

740 Introduction of acetamiprid to the sample switches on the fluorescence
741 signal by the specific combination of the aptamer and the target molecule
742 with a detection limit of 0.7 nmol L^{-1} . This was satisfactorily used to detect
743 pesticides in environmental samples (Lin et al., 2016). Additionally, Yang,
744 Qian, et al. (2015) used hemin-functionalized reduced graphene oxide
745 (hemin-rGO) composites, which adsorb the aptamer and hemin that
746 catalyze 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) in the presence of H_2O_2 to
747 identify acetamiprid. At a suitable salt concentration, the proposed
748 colorimetric aptasensor reached a detection limit of 40 nmol L^{-1} (Yang,
749 Qian, et al., 2015).

750 FRET is also used to determine the presence of 17β -estradiol. An
751 aptamer duplex consisting of a carboxyfluorescein (FAM)-labeled E2 and
752 complementary DNA partially modified with Black Hole Quencher 1
753 (BHQ1) was used. After the introduction of 17β -estradiol into the sample
754 to compete for binding sites with cDNA, cDNA released from the aptamer/
755 DNA duplexes FAM fluorescence was recovered, and the fluorescent signal
756 was switched on. This sensor has been successfully applied to detect 17β -
757 estradiol in environmental, biological, and dietary samples with a 0.35 nmol
758 L^{-1} detection limit (Zhang, Li, Zhang, & Chen, 2018). Samples tested with
759 optical methods must maintain certain standards, they must be transparent
760 and, if possible, colorless; additionally, the cost and durability of fluorescent
761 markers must be taken into account.

763 **3.1.3. Piezoelectric (mass-dependent) aptasensors**

764 Piezoelectric aptasensors are less common than the previously mentioned
765 types, however, they include sensors for detecting viruses, bacteria, and tox-
766 ins. Mass-dependent sensors are label-free, have low noise and high sensi-
767 tivity, and include acoustic wave-based sensors such as quartz crystal
768 microbalance (QCM) and surface acoustic wave (SAW) sensors (Figure 8a),
769 micromechanical cantilever-based sensors (Figure 8b), and surface-plasma
770 resonance (SPR) sensors (Figure 8c) (van den Kieboom et al., 2015). The
771 piezoelectric effect is based on reversible electro-mechanical interactions
772 with substances that have crystalline materials and possesses an asymmet-
773 rical structure. The principle is that mechanical deformation causes voltage
774




Figure 8. Piezoelectric aptamer based-sensor with wave-detection (QCM and SAW) a) microcantilever sensor b) and with SPR detection c) (Song et al., 2008).

tension on the material, and *vice versa*. The relationship between the two is always linear (Aksel & Jones, 2010; Song et al., 2008). Other piezoelectric aptasensors use SAWs. Such devices are constructed from piezoelectric materials on which electrodes made from inert metals or alloys are arranged in the shape of a comb. Applying voltage to the electrode generates a wave that propagates through the piezoelectric material, and this wave can be disrupted by an analyte bound with an aptamer (Mujahid & Dickert, 2017). The operational principle of cantilevered aptasensors is completely different from those described above. In cantilevered sensors, the aptamer is placed on the surface of a microcantilever, which deflects when the aptamer is weighted by the bound target molecule. Such deflection can be measured optically or electronically (Zhao, Jia, Wen, & Yu, 2017; Lim, Kouzani, & Duan, 2010). SPR devices are capable of registering mass changes by the associated change in the refractive index at the surface bound to the aptamer (Figure 8c). In this case, the sensor measures the resonance angle changes that occur on the molecular surface that are altered when the aptamer binds to the target molecule (Guo, 2012).

Wave based-aptasensors were used by Ozalp, Bayramoglu, Erdem, and Arica (2015) to detect the presence of pathogenic *Escherichia* in food and water samples at a 100 CFU mL^{-1} detection limit. The sensor was based on a QCM with an aptamer-based magnetic separation system for the rapid enrichment of target pathogens for on-line monitoring (Ozalp et al., 2015). More examples utilizing aptasensors for the detection of environmental pollutants, as well as additional information are presented in Table 4. Unfortunately, piezometric methods are characterized by low sensitivity and high detection limits in relation to other methods described.

Table 4. List of aptasensors used for different types of environmental pollution analytical purposes.

Type	Analytes	Aptamer based on ...	Analytical method/transducer	LOD	Linear concentration range	Type of samples	References
Electrochemical sensors							
Polychlorinated biphenyls	PCB 77	DNA	DNA-aptamer-modified Au electrode modified with electroactive Fc	0.01 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	0.2–200 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	Environmental samples	Wu et al., 2016
Heavy metal	Cd^{2+}	DNA	RGO-AuNP-Apt/Au Electrode	0.1 pg L^{-1}	0.001–10,000 nmol L^{-1}	Tap water	Wu, Lu, Fu, Wu, & Liu, 2017
Heavy metal	As^{3+}	DNA	AuNPs/CS modified GCE	0.05 pmol L^{-1}	0.001–100 nmol L^{-1}	Tap water	Liu, Lai, et al., 2017
Heavy metal	Hg^{2+}	DNA	SAM on SPCE via Au-S bond	0.15 nmol L^{-1}	0.2–100 nmol L^{-1}	Water samples	Cui, Wu, & Ju, 2016
		DNA	DNA layer absorbent construct from nanocomposite of three-dimensional reduced GO and polyaniline	0.035 nmol L^{-1}	0.1–100 nmol L^{-1}	Aquarius solutions	Yang, Kang, et al., 2015
		DNA	Thymine- Hg^{2+} -thymine coordination chemistry and use of NPG for signal amplification	0.0036 nmol L^{-1}	0.01–5000 nmol L^{-1}	River and tap water, landfill leachate	Zeng et al., 2017
EDCs	BPA	DNA	Label-free based on the hybridization/dehybridization of double-stranded DNA on the Au electrode	0.6 zmol L^{-1}	5–55,000 zmol L^{-1}	Aqueous solutions	Amiri et al., 2017
		DNA	Nontarget-induced bridge assembly and aptamer extension reaction triggered by terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase	15 pmol L^{-1}	0.08–15 nmol L^{-1}	Tap water and food samples	Abnous, Danesh, Ramezani, Alibolandi, & Taghdisi, 2018
Antibiotic	Tetracyclines	DNA	Photoelectrochemical AuNPs/ZnO	0.5 nmol L^{-1}	1–1000 nmol L^{-1}	Drinking water	Qiao et al., 2016
		DNA	CNTs/G powders are significantly impeded by their poor 3D conductivity	0.3 nmol L^{-1}	0.010–1000 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$	Lake water	Wang, Wang, et al., 2015
Antibiotic	Ofloxacin	DNA	Reduced GO, magnetite (Fe_3O_4) and sodium alginate used to modify the surface of a screen-printed GCE	0.6 nmol L^{-1}	0.001–5 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$	Water, food samples	Zhan et al., 2016
EDCs/hormone	Progesterone	DNA	AuNPs on the surface of GCE through sulfhydryl-terminated monolayer in which thiolated ssDNA probes are immobilized	1 nmo L^{-1}	50–20,000 nmol L^{-1}	Tap and waste water	Pilehvar et al., 2017.
EDCs/hormone	17 β -estradiol	DNA	SAM/Au/Fe(CN) $_6^{3-4-}$ /EIS	900 ng L^{-1}	10–60 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	Tap water, wastewater, groundwater	Contreras Jimenez et al., 2015
Pesticide	Carbendazim	DNA	Ni^{3+} , Fe(CN) $_6^{3-}$ /AuNP	0.8 pmol L^{-1}	1–60 pmol L^{-1}	Wastewater samples	Fan, Zhao, Shi, & Liu, 2015
Pesticide	Acetamiprid	DNA	CoS/AuNPs	0.7 pmol L^{-1}	1–100 pmol L^{-1}	Water samples	Huang, Liu, Zhang, Cao, & Liu, 2015
Toxin	Atrazine	DNA	SAM thiol-modified aptamer on AuNPs electrodes	8.2 ng L^{-1}	0.01–10 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	Environmental and food samples	Eissa & Zourob, 2017
Toxin	Cyindrospermopsin	DNA	PrNPs were deposited in a bridge-like arrangement, in between IDEs	1 pmol L^{-1}	0.10–1 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$	Water samples	Madianos, Tsekenis, Skotadis, Patsouras, & Tsoukalas, 2018
		DNA	TH-G nanocomposite through the cross-linker GA	10 pmol L^{-1}	0.010–100 nmol L^{-1}	Lake water	Zhao, Chen, Ma, Liu, & Wang, 2015
		DNA	Label-free impedimetric aptasensor (fluorescence assay aptamer on the Au surface)	0.117 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	0.39–78 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	Fresh water	Elshefey, Sijaj, & Zourob, 2014
Toxin	Anatoxin-a	DNA	SAM formed on a Au electrode using the disulfide modified aptamer.	100 pmol L^{-1}	0.1–80 nmol L^{-1}	Drinking water	Elshefey, Sijaj, & Zourob, 2015
Toxin	Microcystin-LR	DNA	GCE SDD–Co(II) AgNPs	0.5 nmol L^{-1}	1–100 nmol L^{-1}	Water samples	Li, Cheng, et al., 2016
Plasticizer	Ethanolamine	DNA		0.37 nmol L^{-1}	7.5–500 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$	Tap water	
		DNA		0.08 nmol L^{-1}	0.16–16 nmol L^{-1}		

861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903

Optical sensors		G-quadruplex-EA binding interaction is presented by using G-rich aptamer DNA and ES					
Polychlorinated biphenyl	PCB 77	DNA	AuNPs as a colorimetric probe	0.05 nmol L ⁻¹	0.5–900 nmol L ⁻¹	River and pond water	Liang, Man, Jin, Pan, & Liu, 2016
Heavy metal	Pb ²⁺	DNA	Biosensor labeled with FAM	60.7 nmol L ⁻¹	100–1000 nmol L ^{-1a}	Serum and river water samples	Cheng, Liu, Shi, & Zhao, 2018
Heavy metal	Hg ²⁺	DNA	Label-free sensor with TH as the signaling molecule and GR as the signal-enhancing platform	0.032 pmol L ⁻¹	0.16–160 pmol L ⁻¹	Drinking, tap and lake water	Gao, Gao, He, Wang, & Wu, 2016
		DNA	Aptamer-based fluorescence-quenching LFS	130 ng L ⁻¹	130–4 000 ng L ⁻¹	River water	Wu, Shen, et al., 2017
			Fluorescence-labeled DNA containing T-T mismatch introduced to DNA probes immobilized onto the sensor surface	1.2 nmol L ⁻¹	0–100 nmol L ⁻¹	Lake water	Long, Zhu, Shi, Wang, & Liu, 2013
			Chemiluminescence biosensing platform with amplification mechanism from positively charged ((+)/AuNPs)	16 pmol L ⁻¹	620–1.2 μmol L ⁻¹	Tap and river water	Qi, Xiu, Yu, Huang, & Li, 2017
Heavy metals	Cu ²⁺	DNA	Light-switchable (photocontrolled inclusion and exclusion responses of CD-containing surface conjugated with photoisomerizable azobenzene) monolayer self-assembly and host-guest interactions	1.5 μmol L ⁻¹	1–14 μmol L ⁻¹	Water samples	Wang, Wong, et al., 2015
EDCs/Plasticizer	BPA	DNA	Aptamer based 'turn-off' fluorescent biosensor	0.1 μg L ⁻¹	1–10,000 μg L ⁻¹	Water samples	Ragavan, Selvakumar, & Thakur, 2013
		DNA	Aptasensor that uses a FRET mechanism. Water-soluble conjugated PFP is used as the energy donor and a FAM-labeled aptamer is used as the energy acceptor. Graphene oxide (GO)	5 ng L ⁻¹	0–1.0 μg L ⁻¹	Tap water	Guo, Hu, Zhang, & Tang, 2018
		DNA	FRET between fluorescein-labeled BPA aptamer and MGO	0.071 ng L ⁻¹	0.2–10 ng L ⁻¹	Tap, pure and river water samples	Hu et al., 2017
EDCs/Plasticizer	BPA and BPS	DNA	Anti-BPA aptamer and the AuNPs as the output signal	1.5 μg L ⁻¹ for BPA1.3 μg L ⁻¹ for BPS	4.4–66 μg L ⁻¹ for BPA, 2.5–75 μg L ⁻¹ for BPS	Water samples	Zhang et al., 2017
EDCs/hormone	17β-estradiol	DNA	Wave fiber-optic aptasensor	1.86 nmol L ⁻¹	2–100 nmol L ⁻¹	Water samples	Yildirim et al., 2014
		DNA	Raman reporter molecule 4-mercaptopbenzoic acid labeled Au-Ag core-shell nanoparticles and E2-aptamer endowed SERS	0.05 pmol L ⁻¹	0.001–10 nmol L ⁻¹	Water samples	Liu, Cheng, Chen, Shi, & Zhao, 2018
		DNA	FRET for determination of 17β-estradiol/FAM-labeled E2 aptamer and its partially complementary DNA modified with BHQ1 formed aptamer/DNA duplexes with a competitive 'turn-on' aptasensor	100 ng L ⁻¹	0.001–10 μg L ⁻¹	Water and food samples	Zhang, Li, et al., 2018
		DNA	Smartphone imaging-based fluorescence microscopy using a microarray platform on a substrate with MEF using Ag film and Al ₂ O ₃ nano-spacer	1 fg L ⁻¹	1–100 fg L ⁻¹	Wastewater samples	Lee et al., 2017

(continued)

Table 4. Continued.

Type	Analytes	Aptamer based on ...	Analytical method/transducer	LOD	Linear concentration range	Type of samples	References
EDCs/hormone	Progesterone	DNA	AuNPs and Rhodamine B as sensing probe, fluorescent quencher and fluorescent indicator Fluorescence detection ^b	0.48 nmol L ⁻¹ 110 pg L ⁻¹	0.48–200 nmol L ⁻¹ 10–100 ng L ⁻¹	Water samples Tap water	Ni et al., 2017 Alhadrami, Chinnappan, Eissa, Rahamm, & Zourab, 2017
Pesticide	Malathion	DNA	Cationic peptide and unmodified AuNPs	1.94 pmol L ⁻¹	0.01–0.75 nmol L ⁻¹	Environmental samples	Bala et al., 2017
Pesticide	Acetamiprid and Atrazine	DNA	Sputtering and e-beam lithography techniques, Pt NPs deposited in a bridge-like arrangement, in between IDEs	1 pmol L ⁻¹ 10 pmol L ⁻¹ for acetamiprid; for atrazine	0.10–100 nmol L ⁻¹ 0.1–1000 nmol L ⁻¹ for acetamiprid; for atrazine	Tap and mineral water	Madianos et al., 2018
Antibiotic	Oxytetra-cycline	DNA	GO hydrogel developed through a fast and facile gelation; immersion and fluorescence determination	25 µg L ⁻¹	25–1000 µg L ⁻¹	Environmental samples	Tan, Zhao, Du, Gan, & Quan, 2016
Antibiotic	Sulfadimetho-xine	DNA	Controllable peroxidase-like catalysis of a graphene/gold nanoparticle colorimetric aptasensor	91 nmol L ⁻¹	0.17–0.50 µmol L ⁻¹	Water samples	Yuan, Zhao, et al., 2017
Antibiotic	Ampicillin	DNA	Label-free tunable peroxidase-like activity of G/Ni-Pd hybrids – colorimetric aptasensor	0.7 µg L ⁻¹	1–500 µg L ⁻¹	Lake water	Wang et al., 2017
Piezoelectric sensors	Pb ²⁺	DNA	AuNPs/MBS	70 ng L ⁻¹	0.1–100 µg L ⁻¹	River water	Luo et al., 2017
Heavy metal	Pb ²⁺	DNA	SAM of the AuNPs on the QCM	4 nmol L ⁻¹	5–200 nmol L ⁻¹	Water samples	Yuan, Song, et al., 2017
Toxin	Ochratoxin A	DNA	EWA platform	3 nmol L ⁻¹	6–500 nmol L ⁻¹	Water and food samples	Wang, Xiang, Zhou, Liu, & Shi, 2015
Pathogen	<i>Salmonella enterica</i>	DNA	Aptamer-based QCM	—	100 CFU mL ⁻¹	Water and food samples	Ozalp et al., 2015

3D, three dimension; AuNPs, gold nanoparticles; BHQ1, Black Hole Quencher 1; CD, α -cyclodextrin; CFU, colony forming unit; CoS, cobalt sulfide nanosheet; CNTs, carbon nanotubes; CTAB, cetyltrimethylammonium bromide; EDCs, endocrine disrupting compounds; EIS, Aptamer-based label-free impedimetric biosensor for detection of progesterone; EWA, evanescent wave all-fiber; FAM, 6-carboxyl fluorescein; FC, ferrocene; FRET, fluorescence resonance energy transfer; G, graphene; GA, glutaraldehyde; GCE, glassy carbon electrode; GO, graphene oxide; IDEs, interdigitated electrodes; LFS, lateral flow strip; LOD, limit of detection; MBS, modified magnetic beads; MEF, metal-enhanced fluorescence; MGO, magnetic oxidation graphene; MIPMs, molecularly imprinted polymer microspheres; NPG, nanoporous gold; QCM, quartz crystal microbalance; PFP, poly(9,9-bis(6-N,N-trimethylammonium)hexyl)fluorene phenylene; PQC, piezoelectric quartz crystal; RGO, dotted reduction graphene oxide; RS, resonance scattering; SAM, self-assembled monolayer; SPCE, screen-printed carbon electrode; SERS, surface-enhanced Raman scattering; T, thymine; TH, thionine.

^aDissociation constants $K_d = 1.60 \pm 0.16 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ and $0.76 \pm 0.18 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ (for two studied aptamers)

^bDissociation constant $K_d = 2.1 \text{ nmol L}^{-1}$

3.2. Aptamer based tests

Aptamer-based assays may one day compete with the currently popular ELISAs (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays) where antibodies are used as the recognition element. ELISAs are very sensitive and may be selective towards various analytes. However, antibodies are still required for ELISAs and as previously mentioned, antibody procurement requires an animal source. This factor may play a significant role in future preference for aptamer-based assays vs. ELISAs. Enzyme-linked apta-sorbent assays (ELASA) can be performed with different variations (enzyme-linked aptamer assay (ELAA), enzyme-linked oligonucleotide assay (ELONA) and aptamer-linked immobilized sorbent assay (ALISA)). Aptamers in these tests may be labeled with fluorescein and replaced with a secondary antibody, or they may directly bind the target molecule being attached to the medium and act as a primary antibody. Comparisons of ELONAs with ELISAs show that ELONAs are also a very sensitive and precise method (Shi et al., 2014; Toh et al., 2015). Aptatests are performed mainly on multi-welled test plates and the test endpoint is observed (by eye) or measured (by special equipment) by a change in color or fluorescence signal.

Aptamers may also serve as recognition elements in lateral flow assays (LFAs), which are a rapid format platform for the detection and quantification of analytes in complex mixtures. Currently, LFAs are usually used for home-based or *in situ* diagnostics, such as popular pregnancy tests; these tests are inexpensive, easy to use and utilize antibodies as a target recognition element (Koczula & Gallotta, 2016). Despite the effectiveness of antibody-based LFAs, alternative versions based on aptamers are sought after (Chen & Yang, 2015). The LFA strip/dipstick consists of several overlapping membranes that are mechanically stabilized on a small backing card, usually made from nitrocellulose. A few drops of sample are placed in the designated area, which then migrate laterally to the membranes at the conjugation pad by capillary force. There the fluid rehydrates a detection label composed of a recognition element (antibody or aptamer) coupled to a reporter molecule (AuNP), that form a complex with the target (Schüling, Eilers, Scheper, & Walter, 2018). The target molecule connects to the capturing molecule (AuNPs), and as soon as the complex target-AuNPs crosses the test line containing the aptamers or antibodies, the color of the strip can be observed by the naked eye. To assure proper test operation (proper fluid flow, activity of recognition, and capture molecules), there is also a control strip placed on the test strip. This type of design is known as a competitive design (Figure 9b) (Schüling et al., 2018). In a 'sandwich-LFA' (Figure 9a), when an analyte has multiple binding sites, the detection labels provided within the conjugation pad react with the target analyte to form a complex. This complex is then captured in the test strip by an interaction




Figure 9. Sandwich LFA a) and competitive LFA with aptamer as recognition element (Bahadır & Sezgentürk, 2016; Jauset-Rubio, El-Shahawi, Bashammakh, Alyoubi, & O’Sullivan, 2017).

Table 5. Types of aptamer-based test and information about target molecules and lowest recorded concentration.

Test name	Aptamer type	Target/ Analytes	Samples	The lowest concentration of targets recorded in the research	References
ELASA	DNA	SGIV virus	Biological material	As low as 125 nmol L ⁻¹	Li, Zhou, et al., 2016
	DNA	Basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF)	Biological material	The minimum detection level of 7 pg mL ⁻¹	Golden, Collins, Willis, & Koch, 2000
ELONA	RNA	Cytokines	Biological material	The minimum detection level was 100 pg mL ⁻¹	Yan, Gao, Yao, & Zhang, 2004
	DNA	Zearalenone monoclonal antibody	Corn samples	—	Wang, Zou, et al., 2015
	DNA	<i>Leishmania infantum</i> H2A antigen	Biological material	—	Ramos et al., 2007
ALISA	DNA	Tulmeria antigen produced by <i>Francisella tularensis</i>	Bacterial cultures	Minimal concentration 100 ng mL ⁻¹	Vivekananda & Kiel, 2006
ELAA	DNA	Influenza A virus	Virus breeding	—	Shiratori et al., 2014
LFSa	DNA	Hg ²⁺	Water samples	LOD – 0.13 ng mL ⁻¹	Wu, Shen, et al., 2017
	DNA	Chloramphenicol	Food samples	LOD – 0.0031 ng mL ⁻¹	Yan et al., 2018

LOD, limit of detection; LOQ, limit of quantification; ELONA, enzyme-linked oligonucleotide assay; ELAA, enzyme-linked aptamer assay; LFA, Lateral Flow Assay; ALISA, aptamer-linked immobilized sorbent assay.

between the analyte and the corresponding immobilized aptamers. The fluid reaching the test zone contains labeled and unlabeled target molecules that compete to bind to the capture molecules immobilized in the test zone. Examples of this along with additional information are presented in Table 5.

The use of sensors (in which the recognition elements are aptamers) makes the number of purposes to be determined becomes virtually

1033 unlimited. Aptamers are small, chemically stable and cheaper compared to
1034 other sensors, in particular those based on antibodies. On the one hand,
1035 the ability to generate an aptamer to determine different targets is benefi-
1036 cial, but many of process of generating such aptamers are quite problem-
1037 atic. Aptasensors, in relation to other sensors, are characterized with high
1038 selectivity and sensitivity and the aptamers themselves are very sta-
1039 ble molecules.

1041 **4. Other aptamer applications**

1042 **4.1. Medical applications**

1043 Aptamers are considered ‘smart ligands’, which are ligands specially
1044 selected for specific parameters that generate a known biochemical reaction
1045 (Drabovich, Berezovski, Musheev, & Krylov, 2009). The aptamers most
1046 commonly used in medical applications focus on RNA bases that are more
1047 structurally diverse than DNA based aptamers. Known groups of aptamers
1048 can be directed to specific factors (A30, A9, A10, TTA1, and MUC1)
1049 located on the cytoplasmic membranes of tumor cells. These factors are
1050 extremely overexpressed only on the surface of tumor cell membranes
1051 (Pfeiffer & Mayer, 2016; Ferreira, Cheung, Missailidis, Bisland, & Gariepy,
1052 2009; Zhou et al., 2016; Marton, Reyes-Darias, Sánchez-Luque, Romero-
1053 López, & Berzal-Herranz, 2010; Hicke et al., 2006). Aptamers used in tar-
1054 geted anticancer therapy are most often carriers of polymeric nanoparticles
1055 that contain antimetabolic drugs such as paclitaxel; these medicines inhibit
1056 cancerous cell division (Dhar, Gu, Langer, Farokhzad, & Lippard, 2008;
1057 Tong, Yala, Fan, & Cheng, 2010).

1058 In 2004, the FDA (Food and Drug Administration) approved Macugen[®]
1059 (pegaptanib), an aptamer based drug used to treat adults who suffer from
1060 wet-form age-related macular degeneration. In this treatment, a modified
1061 pegylated oligonucleotide binds selectively and strongly to the extracellular
1062 form of endothelial growth factor (VEGF165) to inhibit its action. In
1063 January 2017, the FDA approved oligonucleotide therapies following assess-
1064 ment that aptamer-based drugs provided a clear benefit in rigorously con-
1065 trolled clinical trials (Stein & Castanotto, 2017).

1066 Radiology methods are used to identify, locate, and assess the degree of
1067 disturbance in the structure and function of examined organs. These meth-
1068 ods require the use of contrast-friendly agents with a suitable signal for
1069 detection and high tissue specificity. Such a role may be played by labeled
1070 aptamers capable of binding to proteins found on the surface of specific tis-
1071 sues or cells. Using established *in vitro* tumor cell lines, aptamers directed
1072 against tenascin C (a marker protein produced in large quantities by cancer
1073 cells) and the extracellular domain of the RTK receptor (a tyrosine kinase
1074
1075

1076 transmembrane protein involved in signal transmissions necessary for can-
1077 cer proliferation and growth) have been successfully isolated (Hicke
1078 et al., 2001).

1079 In addition to cancer cell detection, aptamers can be used to detect
1080 viruses, bacteria, and even parasites. There are reports of aptamers specific
1081 to the hepatitis C virus, influenza virus, type 1 human immunodeficiency
1082 virus, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, and parasites that cause mal-
1083 aria. These aptamers bind to proteins present on the target membrane, or
1084 to host antibodies due to the presence of a given bacterium, virus, or para-
1085 site (Misono & Kumar, 2005; Biroccio, Hamm, Incitti, De Francesco, &
1086 Tomei, 2002; Pavski & Le, 2001; Someya et al., 2012; Cao et al., 2009).

1087 Western blotting with aptamers instead of antibodies offers researchers
1088 multiple benefits including an increased number of fluorophores, which
1089 increase the signal-to-noise ratio, fewer nonspecific bands, direct conjuga-
1090 tion to the reporter molecule, and lower costs. Aptameric Western blotting
1091 allows the selective staining of proteins transferred to a membrane and is
1092 more effective than antibodies. Although not common the using an RNA
1093 His-tag-specific aptamer (which was further conjugated to a QD) has been
1094 reported (Shin, Kim, Kang, Yang, & Hah, 2010; Radom et al., 2013).
1095 Aptamers are also currently used in imaging diagnostics as carriers of
1096 fluorescent dyes, such as fluorescein or rhodamine, which can be detected
1097 by spectroscopic techniques following activation (Xu, Yang, Ye, He, &
1098 Fang, 2006).

1099 One of the very promising options for using aptamers is associated with
1100 point-of-care-testing (POCT) and personalized medicine of individual
1101 patients (Vashist, Luppa, Yeo, Ozcan, & Luong, 2015, Dhiman, Kalra,
1102 Bansal, Bruno, & Sharma, 2017). Among the many possibilities colometric
1103 and fluorescence tests offer the possibility of a quick visual assessment,
1104 which facilitates diagnostics and does not require specialist personel or
1105 equipment. The use of highly selective target binding aptamers enables fast
1106 color development that may be assessed qualitatively and/or quantitatively
1107 on simple spectrometer devices (Dhiman et al., 2017).

1108 Three different generations of Point-Of-Care (POC) tests are especifcally
1109 designed to work at different levels according to individual patient require-
1110 ments. The first ones include maintenance-free rapid tests (e.g. LFAs), the
1111 second-generation cover cartridge-based tests (e.g. nucleic acidamplification
1112 tests), the third generation of aptamer-besed POC tests include personal
1113 hand-held equipments (Pai, Vadnais, Denkinge, Engel, & Pai, 2012,
1114 Dhiman et al., 2017). Aptamers are a promising tool in the POC test group,
1115 are easier to obtain than crosses, it is possible to introduce aptamers to the
1116 development of commercial technologies, some of the POC tests already
1117 described in the literature are summarized in [Table 6](#).
1118

4.2. Analytical applications

Although aptamers are increasingly applied in medical science, the possibilities for their use extend beyond the medical arena. Aptamers are used successfully in forensic science for toxicological analysis, to target analytes, and for chemical and biological weapons (Yáñez-Sedeño, Agüí, Villalonga, & Pingarrón, 2014). Aptamers can reveal latent fingerprints (Wood, Maynard, Spindler, Lennard, & Roux, 2012) and a deoxyribonucleotide-based aptamer is used to detect cocaine in body fluids (Stojanovic, De Prada, & Landry, 2001).

In affinity chromatography used for protein purification, specific aptamers are immobilized on a chromatography support to create an affinity column that separates the sample ingredients (Romig, Bell, & Drolet, 1999). Aptamer based electrochemical sensors have great potential due to their ability to recognize a large number of targets simultaneously present in environmental samples (Wu, Liu, Zhan, Wang, & Zhou, 2012; Hoang, Oyama, Saito, Aono, & Nagao, 2013; Li, Dong, & Wang, 2009; Hayat & Marty, 2014; Lee et al., 2009). Compared to aptamers, antibodies used in affinity chromatography have to be thoroughly purified before sample separation, lest the impurities significantly impact target isolation and purification. Additionally, there significant costs associated with obtaining antibodies and quality differences in the received batches.

4.3. Aptamer based tests

Aptamer-based tests can be used to detect food contaminants, for quality control, and as a diagnostic tool for early and rapid detection of specific risk factors (Toh et al., 2015). ELONA and ELAA tests are being developed to rapidly identify various pathogens including influenza viruses H1N1, HPV and the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (protein MPT64) bacteria (Bai et al., 2018; Sypabekova et al., 2017).

Recently Mukherjee, Manonmani, and Bhatt (2018) introduced ultrasensitive ELASA to detect aflatoxin B₁ at a detection limit of 10 pg mL⁻¹ in a buffer. The aptamer based-test was characterized by higher sensitivity and recovery compared to antibody-based ELISA. Wu et al. (2015) described an LFA for the rapid visual assessment of the presence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 where as low as 10 CFU mL⁻¹ could be detected in laboratory tests.

For the time being, biological tests with aptamers are not as developed as antibody-based analogs. However, as previously mentioned, aptamers are a good alternative due to their simple preparation methods and the wide environmental ranges in which aptamers are active. Figure 10 and Table 7

Table 6. POC aptamer-based tests and additional information about their use as well as advantages and disadvantages.

POC-tests (devices)	Analyte	Type of sample	Limit of detection	Limitations	Advantages	Literature
Target-Responsive Hydrogel Pressure-Based Assay	Cocaine Ochratoxin A Pb ²⁺	Urine	Cocaine – 3.96 μmol L ⁻¹ Ochratoxin A – 37 nmol L ⁻¹ Pb ²⁺ – 72 nmol L ⁻¹	Need for a pressuremeter with excellent accuracy and selectivity	Simple sample processing, Good stability of PtNPs inside the hydrogel,	Liu, Jia, et al., 2017
Aptamer-based lateral flow assay	Cortisol	Sweat	1 nmol L ⁻¹	Currently tests have a short shelf time	No need of external fluid pumping (due to capillary flow in the cellulose materials), low cost of materials, operation with small sample volumes and without the need of skilled per-sonne	Dalirirad et al., 2019
Vancomycin (Van)-functionalized platinum nanoparticles (PtNPs@Van) and aptamer-coated magnetic CuFe ₂ O ₄ nanoprobles (PEDOT-OH:TSO) microelectrode array	<i>S. aureus</i>	Milk and saliva	1.0 cfu/mL	Need for a pressuremeter with excellent accuracy and selectivity	Safe use, no need for experience personnel, environmentally friendly products and substrats	Li et al., 2019
	Influenza A	Saliva	—	Cleaning and re-usability of the sensor is questionable	Highly sensitive, highly specific, highly stable, and has a very competitive response time	Kiilerich-Pedersen, Dapra, Cherré, & Rozlosnik, 2013
Colometric assay	Streptomycin	Biological material (chicken and milk)	94 nmol L ⁻¹	Possibility of color variation	Good selectivity, simple operation and on-site visualization (on simple device such as smartphone)	Lin et al., 2018




Figure 10. Applications of aptamers (Keefe, Pai, & Ellington, 2010; Daniel, Mélaïne, Roupioz, Livache, & Buhot, 2013; Hicke et al., 2006. Wiedman et al., 2017; Pfeiffer & Mayer, 2016; Jin et al., 2016; Battig & Wang, 2014; Darmostuk, Rimpelova, Gbelcova, & Ruml, 2015; Cruz-Aguado & Penner, 2008; Joshi et al., 2009; Hayat & Marty, 2014; Bagalkot et al., 2007; Wood et al., 2012. Song, J Jung, Park, & Kim, 2016).

contain a summary of the different applications of aptamers in various scientific fields.

5. Future perspectives

The emergence of artificial genetic polymers known as xeno nucleic acids (XNAs) offer several options for the creation and synthesis of polymers that function like DNA and RNA. However, XNAs are poorly recognized by organism nucleases, making them highly resistant to decay and resulting in longer half-lives *in vivo*. Modifications to the XNA backbone include sugar replacements, such as those in locked nucleic acids, arabinonucleic acids, cyclohexenyl nucleic acids, 1,5-anhydrohexitol nucleic acids, and 2'-fluoroarabinonucleic acids (Bruno, 2015; Østergaard et al., 2014; Istrate, Medvecky, & Leumann, 2015). XNAs can be used to develop ultra-stable aptamers, however, any such modification may increase the risk of an adverse patient reaction (Bruno, 2015).

Aptamers also demonstrate the potential for stem cell differentiation, possibility indicating the ability to replace expensive recombinant growth factors in regenerative medicine, or to discover unknown surface cell receptors (Bruno, 2017). Stem cell differentiation can be beneficial in targeted

Table 7. Examples of applications and additional information of aptamers in various fields of science.

Target/analyte	Features/Aptamer function	Type of samples/active sites	Comments	Reference
Cocaine	Electrochemical sensors Piezoelectric sensors	Human blood serum Biological material	LOD – 100 pmol L ⁻¹ LOD – 0.9 μmol L ⁻¹	Roushani & Shahdost-fard, 2015 Neves, Blazzykowski, Bokhari, & Thompson, 2015
Oxytetracycline	ELAA	Milk samples	2.3 μg L ⁻¹	Kim, Lee, Min, Lim, & Jeong, 2014
Tetracycline	ELASA	Food samples	LOD 0.88 ng mL ⁻¹	Lu, Tang, Liu, Kang, & Sun, 2015
Hg ²⁺	Turn-on fluorescence bioassay	Bovine milk	DNA – 15.7 mg L ⁻¹ , RNA – 10.1 mg L ⁻¹	Toh et al., 2015; Jeong & Rhee Paeng, 2012
Pb ²⁺	FI CV AAS ICP-MS	Food samples Biological material Biological sample	LOD – 60 nmol L ⁻¹ LOD – 0.05 μg L ⁻¹ LOD 0.05 μg L ⁻¹ , range 0.3–867.5 μg L ⁻¹	Liu, Ouyang, et al., 2018 Farzin, Shamsipur, & Tabrizi, 2015 Shamsipur, Farzin, Amouzadeh Tabrizi, & Shetbani, 2017
Dopamine	ELAA	Serum	no data	Paik & Paeng, 2011
Vaspin	LFA	Serum and buffer samples	Detectable concentrations up to 5 nmol L ⁻¹	Raston, Nguyen, & Gu, 2017
Purification of antibiotics	Affinity-based chromatography	Pure substances/solutions	—	Yang et al., 2017
Cancer markers	Piezoelectric sensors	Biological samples	High sensitivity (250 ng L ⁻¹), fast detection (within 30 min) with small samples (1 μl)	Su, Fong, Cheung, & Yang, 2017
Drug carrier for doxorubicin in cancer therapy	RNA	Cancer tissue	—	Zhuang et al., 2016
HIV-1 Tat protein	Piezoelectric sensor	Human blood serum	—	Tombelli, Minunni, Luzzi, & Mascini, 2005
Hepatitis C virus Envelop protein E2	Diagnostic tool	Biological fluids	—	Chen, Chen, Zhou, Chen, & Chen, 2015
H1N1 virus	Electrochemical sensors ELONA	Biological fluids	LOD – 0.3 ng μL ⁻¹ LOD – 0.9 pg μL ⁻¹	Bai et al., 2018
HPV	LFS	Biological fluids	—	Liu, Zhang, Liu, Sharma, & Ding, 2017
Leukocyte cell adhesion molecule	ELAA	Blood samples	Lowest detected concentration 0.31 ng mL ⁻¹	Her, Jo, & Ban, 2017
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	ELONA	Food	—	Liu, Lian, et al., 2014
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	LFA	Food samples	min. 10 CFU mL ⁻¹	Wu et al., 2015
<i>Salmonella enteritidis</i>	BI-3000 plasma resonance biosensor	Food samples	LOD – 2 CFU mL ⁻¹	Di, Du, Pan, & Wang, 2017
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> enterotoxin C1	Fluorescent bioassay	Food samples	LOD – 6 ng mL ⁻¹	Huang, Chen, et al., 2015
<i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> secreted protein MPT64	ELONA	Clinical sputum samples	—	Sypabekova et al., 2017
Ochratoxin A	Electrochemical sensor ALISA ELASA	Food samples Beer Wine	LOD and the LOQ are 0.82 and 2.5 mg L ⁻¹ Limit of detection 1 ng mL ⁻¹	Somerson & Placco, 2018 Costantini et al., 2016 Barthelmebs, Jonca, Hayat, Prieto-Simon, & Marty, 2011 Frohnemeyer, Frisch, Falke, Betzel, & Fischer, 2018
Cholera toxin	ELAA	Tap water	2.4 ng mL ⁻¹	

LOD, limit of detection; LOQ, limit of quantification; ELONA, enzyme-linked oligonucleotide assay; ELAA, enzyme-linked aptamer assay; LFA, Lateral Flow Assay; FI CV AAS, Flow Injection Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption Spectrometry.

1291 cancer therapy (Shamaileh et al., 2016). Aptamers have also proved to be
1292 promising biorecognition elements in the development of electrochemical-
1293 based sensors. Aptameric identification capabilities with selective detection
1294 capacities for electrochemical signal transduction enable an almost unlimited
1295 number of biosensors. Unfortunately, the currently limited number of proven
1296 aptamers hinders the progression of aptamer-based electrochemical sensor
1297 development (Liu, Morris, Macazo, Schoukroun-Barnes, & White, 2014).

1298 Due to their small sizes and dimensions, aptamers may cross physio-
1299 logical barriers, enabling the delivery of therapeutic and diagnostic agents
1300 to intracellular organelles. Targeted/tailored nano-delivery will allow cell-
1301 based strategies for delivery of active substances to the mitochondria,
1302 nucleus, lysosomes, or endoplasmic reticulum. However, it is important to
1303 understand the basics of organelle-targeted drug delivery strategies, which
1304 are critical to the design and successful use of biomedical nanomaterials for
1305 therapeutic treatment (Ma, Gong, Zhong, Sun, & Liang, 2016). The com-
1306 bination of liposomes and aptamers is characterized by high efficacy and
1307 selectivity in the treatment of many diseases, including many types of can-
1308 cer. In addition, aptamer-liposome combinations can be used as highly
1309 selective diagnostic sensors (Ries & Vogel, 2016).

1310 It is very likely that aptamers will replace antibodies in certain scientific
1311 and medical areas in the future (Li, Ho, & Ding, 2015). Research on
1312 aptamers continues to increase, and the PubMed publication database con-
1313 tains almost 8,000 articles on this subject (PubChem, 2018). In terms of
1314 environmental monitoring, aptamer potential is not yet fully utilized.
1315 Aptamer based biosensors or tests for the presence of environmental pollu-
1316 tants are still primarily in the prototype phase of development and are usu-
1317 ally tested on samples with simple matrices such as tap or ground water.
1318 However, current reports are very promising, especially regarding the abil-
1319 ity of aptamers to recognize a wide range of analytes at very low levels, in
1320 addition to the possibility of regeneration and their considerable stability
1321 (Justino et al., 2017; Verna and Bhardwaj, 2015).

1322 Based on a review of the current data, one can conclude that the utiliza-
1323 tion of aptamers in the 21st century is limited only by their intrinsic prop-
1324 erties and the imagination and skills of the researcher. Environmental
1325 protection and monitoring issues are of growing importance in developed,
1326 developing and underdeveloped countries, so intelligent solutions for rapid
1327 diagnostic measurements *in situ* are required. The ease of preparing arrays
1328 for quick-format biotests and detection systems makes aptameric testing a
1329 promising solution in the following nine areas:

- 1330 1. Aptamers can be used for designing rapid-format tests and portable
1331 analyzers for environmental monitoring and pollutant screening. This
1332
1333

1334 includes mixtures and samples characterized by complex matrix effects
1335 (Hsieh, Dantzler, & Weigl, 2017) and the automated assessment of risk/
1336 exposure to different organisms based on advanced big-data treatments
1337 growing more accessible to an increasing number of societies (Ali et al.,
1338 2016; Andreu-Perez, Poon, Merrifield, Wong, & Yang, 2015; Bellinger,
1339 Jabbar, Zaïane, & Osornio-Vargas, 2017; Lokers, Knapen, Janssen, van
1340 Randen, & Jansen, 2016; Romero, Hallett, & Jude, 2017).

- 1341 2. Aptamers can be used for performing quick and comprehensive certified
1342 patient diagnostics at the point-of-care (or even at an accident site) to
1343 facilitate the process of decision making and the undertaking of life-sav-
1344 ing actions (Burbelo, Ramanathan, Klion, Iadarola, & Nutman, 2008;
1345 Hesterberg & Crosby, 1996). Certain decisions on e.g. patients TRIAGE
1346 (French: to segregate) in cases of poisoning with unknown substances
1347 (or their mixtures, e.g. boosters), infection with unknown rare bacteria/
1348 viruses, detection of possible allergic reaction to some pharmaceuticals
1349 of first choice (e.g. anesthetics) or showing symptoms of unknown bio-
1350 chemical disorders can be undertaken already at first glance with basic-
1351 level medical personnel to facilitate efficiency of patients care and short-
1352 ening, afterwards, recovery time. Rapid development in this area of sci-
1353 ence justifies conclusion that it is worth to prepare separate scientific
1354 review paper on this topic of interest.
- 1355 3. Aptamers can be used as a certified early-warning system in households
1356 or public institutions (e.g. schools, hospitals) to rapidly detect the mal-
1357 function of media delivering systems, or even accidents or attacks with
1358 unknown agents that pose a threat to exposed individuals (Collins &
1359 Kapucu, 2008; Macherera & Chimbari, 2016; Moffitt et al., 2011;
1360 Greenhalgh, Robert, Macfarlane, Bate, & Kyriakidou, 2004).
- 1361 4. Aptamers can be used to create tailored 3D printed closed-loop modules
1362 that combine aptamers with bioassays (and possibly instrumental detec-
1363 tion systems) to conduct chronic exposure studies of single and combined
1364 pollutants in organisms from different trophic levels (Juraschek, Cerdas,
1365 Posselt, & Herrmann, 2017; Debski et al., 2018; Fang et al., 2017).
- 1366 5. Aptamers can be used for rapid screening for boosters or illegal prod-
1367 ucts in patient or convict body fluids for first-aid units or police or bor-
1368 der guards (Avtonomov & Kornienko, 2015; Bendahan, 2017).
- 1369 6. Anti-terror aptasensor arrays can be used for detecting attacks with
1370 nerve gases, explosives, and biological or chemical agents. These sensors
1371 could also identify the transport of these agents, which is currently a
1372 challenging task. This is especially true when dealing with air samples
1373 that need to be studied and treated, while simultaneously detecting
1374 active agents to undertake protective actions if required (Caygill, Davis,
1375 & Higson, 2012; Ma, Wang, & Wang, 2015; Wells & Bradley, 2012).
- 1376

- 1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
7. Aptamers can be used for food safety and packaging material stability research after elaborating universal and package oriented methodologies based on international standards (Szczepańska, Kudlak, & Namieśnik, 2018).
 8. They can be used to screen for doping incidences in human or animals sports (Alvarez-Risco, Del-Aguila-Arcenales, Delgado-Zegarra, Yáñez, & Diaz-Risco, 2019; Ayotte, Miller, & Thevis, 2017; Thevis, Kuuranne, Geyer, & Schänzer, 2017). In case of consumers point of view, the application of aptamers may be helpful in authentication of food products by performing cheap rapid-format aptasensors-based quickly read-out by consumers themselves (or quality control personnel).
 9. Target oriented aptasensor arrays can be used for screening the restoration/remediation process efficiency (Bardos et al., 2015; Sevcu et al., 2017). Automated read-out can be even performed by unskilled patients themselves or non-skilled personnel by taking photo (with smart- or wearable devices) of quick-assay result and transferring data to cloud; certainly, based on current developments in the field, results interpretation can be easily done either automatically or by professionals for initial screening of any above mentioned applications or to observe progress of ongoing processes e.g. of medical treatment at non-hospital conditions. More and more tests can be analyzed using generally available devices such as a camera, scanner or smatrhphone. Due to the possibility of taking good quality photos and various image processing software and portability, one of the best smartphones is considered image acquisition tools. Literature provides examples of the use of aptamer-based tests that can be analyzed with the use of a smratphone e.g. in 2019 Umrao et al. propose a FRET aptasensor with dye pairs on DNA aptamers to rapid and sensitive kanamycin detection, which reached the limit of detections of 28 nmol L^{-1} (Umrao, Anusha, Jain, Chakraborty, & Roy, 2019).

1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415

Additionally, technological development will contribute to the rapid production of highly selective aptamers and a reduction of their cost, which is smaller than that of antibodies but still significant (Song, Zhang, Zhu, & Yang, 2015). The rapid development of artificial intelligence (AI), especially in terms of data interpretation and big data mining, as well as the potential application in designing/tailoring aptamers and correlating results with individual patients should also be considered.

1416 **6. Conclusions**

- 1417
1418
1419
- i. Aptamers have unique intrinsic properties, manifesting in the specificity and selectivity of the interactions between aptamers and target

1420 molecules. These properties affect aptameric aptitude for therapeutic
1421 utilization, and environmental pollutant analyses.

1422 ii. The widespread use of aptamers depends on conditions including the
1423 cost, development of specific aptamers, and incorporation with other
1424 available technologies. All of these tasks are challenging but achievable,
1425 assuming rational cooperation between scientists including biologists,
1426 chemists, biotechnologists, chemometricians, toxicologists, and doctors,
1427 as well as stakeholders, authorities (and their social representatives),
1428 and NGOs.

1429 iii. The review provides an overview of the current technologies and meth-
1430 odologies for the creation and utilization of aptamers with a specific
1431 focus on environmental and medical fields. The development of a vari-
1432 ety of applications and methods of utilizing aptamers are thoroughly
1433 discussed and for these reasons would interest readers in the fields of
1434 biosensors, medicine, or environmental monitoring; it makes a signifi-
1435 cant contribution to the literature by consolidating existing data on
1436 aptamers. This makes it possible to clearly see overlapping applications
1437 for this technology as well as the potential for cross-disciplinary
1438 collaboration.
1439

1440 **Acknowledgement**

1441 We dedicate this review paper o Prof. Jacek Namieśnik, our mentor, who has suddenly
1442 passed away on 14 April 2019.
1443

1444 **Disclosure statement**

1445 No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.
1446

1447 **Funding**

1448 The work has been co-financed by the **Error! Hyperlink reference not valid.**, Poland, with
1449 grant no. 2017/01/X/ST4/00474.
1450

1451 **ORCID**

1452 Monika Wiczczak  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3848-2039>
1453

1454 **References**

1455 Abnous, K., Danesh, N. M., Ramezani, M., Alibolandi, M., & Taghdisi, S. M. (2018). A
1456 novel electrochemical sensor for bisphenol A detection based on nontarget-induced
1457 extension of aptamer length and formation of a physical barrier. *Biosensors and*
1458 *Bioelectronics*, 119, 204–208. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2018.08.024
1459
1460
1461
1462

- 1463 Actis, P., Rogers, A., Nivala, J., Vilozny, B., Seger, R. A., Jejelowo, O., & Pourmand, N.
1464 (2011). Reversible thrombin detection by aptamer functionalized STING sensors.
1465 *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 26(11), 4503–4507. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2011.05.010
- 1466 Akki, S. U., & Werth, C. J. (2018). Critical Review: DNA aptasensors, Are they ready for
1467 monitoring organic pollutants in natural and treated water sources? *Environmental*
1468 *Science & Technology*, 52(16), 8989–9007. doi:10.1021/acs.est.8b00558
- 1469 Aksel, E., & Jones, J. L. (2010). Advances in lead-free piezoelectric materials for sensors
1470 and actuators. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, 10(3), 1935–1954. doi:10.3390/s100301935
- 1471 Alhadrami, H. A., Chinnappan, R., Eissa, S., Rahamn, A. A., & Zourob, M. (2017). High
1472 affinity truncated DNA aptamers for the development of fluorescence based progesterone
1473 biosensors. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 525, 78–84. doi:10.1016/j.ab.2017.02.014
- 1474 Ali, A., Qadir, J., Ur Rasool, R., Sathiaselan, A., Zwitter, A., & Crowcroft, J. (2016). Big
1475 data for development: Applications and techniques. *Big Data Analytics*, 1(1), 2. doi:10.
1476 1186/s41044-016-0002-4
- 1477 AlphaScreen®. (2018). Retrieved from [http://www.perkinelmer.com/Content/RelatedMaterials/
1478 Brochures/BRO_AlphaScreen2004.pdf](http://www.perkinelmer.com/Content/RelatedMaterials/Brochures/BRO_AlphaScreen2004.pdf)
- 1479 Alvarez-Risco, A., Del-Aguila-Arcentales, S., Delgado-Zegarra, J., Yáñez, J. A., & Diaz-
1480 Risco, S. (2019). Doping in sports: Findings of the analytical test and its interpretation
1481 by the public. *Sport Sciences for Health*, 15(1), 255–257. doi:10.1007/s11332-018-0484-8
- 1482 Amiri, S., Navaee, A., Salimi, A., & Ahmadi, R. (2017). Zeptomolar detection of hg2+
1483 based on label-free electrochemical aptasensor: One step closer to the dream of single
1484 atom detection. *Electrochemistry Communications*, 78, 21–25. doi:10.1016/j.elecom.2017.
1485 03.014
- 1486 Andreu-Perez, J., Poon, C. C. Y., Merrifield, R. D., Wong, S. T. C., & Yang, G.-Z. (2015).
1487 Big Data for health. *Ieee Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 19(4), 1193–1208.
1488 doi:10.1109/JBHI.2015.2450362
- 1489 Antunes, D., Jorge, N. A., Caffarena, E. R., & Passetti, F. (2018). Using RNA sequence and
1490 structure for the prediction of riboswitch aptamer: A comprehensive review of available
1491 software and tools. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 8, 231. doi:10.3389/fgene.2017.00231
- 1492 Aptagen LLC. (2019). Retrieved from <https://www.aptagen.com/>
- 1493 AptaMatrix Inc. (2019). Retrieved from <http://www.aptamatrix.com/>
- 1494 Arroyo-Currás, N., Somerson, J., Vieira, P. A., Ploense, K. L., Kippin, T. E., & Plaxco,
1495 K. W. (2017). Real-time measurement of small molecules directly in awake, ambulatory
1496 animals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(4), 645–650. doi:10.1073/
1497 pnas.1613458114
- 1498 Avtonomov, P., & Kornienko, V. (2015). Integrated System for Detection of Dangerous
1499 Materials and Illicit Objects in Cargoes. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 195,
1500 2777–2785. doi:10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.06.393
- 1501 Ayotte, C., Miller, J., & Thevis, M. (2017). Challenges in modern anti-doping analytical sci-
1502 ence. In O. Rabin, & Y. Pitsiladis (Eds.), *Acute topics in anti-doping. Medicine and Sport*
1503 *Science* (Vol. 62, pp. 68–76). Basel, Switzerland: Karger. doi:10.1159/000460701
- 1504 Babendure, J. R., Adams, S. R., & Tsien, R. Y. (2003). Aptamers switch on fluorescence of
1505 triphenylmethane dyes. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 125(48), 14716–14717.
doi:10.1021/ja037994o
- 1506 Bae, H., Ren, S., Kang, J., Kim, M., Jiang, Y., Yuanyuan, J., ... Kim, S. (2013). Sol-gel
1507 SELEX circumventing chemical conjugation of low molecular weight metabolites discov-
1508 ers aptamers selective to xanthine. *Nucleic Acid Therapeutics*, 23(6), 443–449. doi:10.
1509 1089/nat.2013.0437

- 1506 Bagalkot, V., Zhang, L., Levy-Nissenbaum, E., Jon, S., Kantoff, P. W., Langer, R., &
1507 Farokhzad, O. C. (2007). Quantum dot-aptamer conjugates for synchronous cancer
1508 imaging, therapy, and sensing of drug delivery based on bi-fluorescence resonance
1509 energy transfer. *Nano Letters*, 7(10), 3065–3070. doi:10.1021/nl071546n
- 1510 Bahadır, E. B., & Sezgintürk, M. K. (2016). Lateral flow assays: Principles, designs and
1511 labels. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 82, 286–306. doi:10.1016/j.trac.2016.06.006
- 1512 Bai, C., Lu, Z., Jiang, H., Yang, Z., Liu, X., Ding, H., ... Shao, N. (2018). Aptamer selection
1513 and application in multivalent binding-based electrical impedance detection of inacti-
1514 vated H1N1 virus. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 110, 162–167. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2018.03.
047
- 1515 Bala, R., Dhingra, S., Kumar, M., Bansal, K., Mittal, S., Sharma, R. K., & Wangoo, N.
1516 (2017). Detection of organophosphorus pesticide–Malathion in environmental samples
1517 using peptide and aptamer based nanoprobcs. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 311,
1518 111–116. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2016.11.070
- 1519 Bardos, P., Bone, B., Černík, M., Elliott, D. W., Jones, S., & Merly, C. (2015).
1520 Nanoremediation and international environmental restoration markets. *Remediation
1521 Journal*, 25(2), 83–94. doi:10.1002/rem.21426
- 1522 Barthelmebs, L., Jonca, J., Hayat, A., Prieto-Simon, B., & Marty, J. L. (2011). Enzyme-linked
1523 aptamer assays (ELAAs), based on a competition format for a rapid and sensitive detec-
1524 tion of ochratoxin A in wine. *Food Control*, 22(5), 737–743. doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2010.
11.005
- 1525 BasePair Biotechnologies Inc. (2019). Retrieved from <https://www.basepairbio.com/>
- 1526 Battig, M. R., & Wang, Y. (2014). Nucleic acid aptamers for biomaterials development. In
1527 S. Kumbar, C. Laurencin, & M. Deng (Eds.), *Natural and synthetic biomedical polymers*
(pp. 287–299). New York, NY: Elsevier Science.
- 1528 Bellingier, C., Jabbar, M. S. M., Zaïane, O., & Osornio-Vargas, A. (2017). A systematic
1529 review of data mining and machine learning for air pollution epidemiology. *BMC Public
1530 Health*, 17(1), 907. doi:10.1186/s12889-017-4914-3
- 1531 Bendahan, J. (2017). Vehicle and cargo scanning for contraband. *Physics Procedia*, 90,
1532 242–255. doi:10.1016/j.phpro.2017.09.003
- 1533 Berezovski, M., Drabovich, A., Krylova, S. M., Musheev, M., Okhonin, V., Petrov, A., &
1534 Krylov, S. N. (2005). Nonequilibrium capillary electrophoresis of equilibrium mixtures: A
1535 universal tool for development of aptamers. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*,
127(9), 3165–3171. doi:10.1021/ja042394q
- 1536 Berezovski, M., Musheev, M., Drabovich, A., & Krylov, S. N. (2006). Non-SELEX selection
1537 of aptamers. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 128(5), 1410–1411. doi:10.1021/
1538 ja056943j
- 1539 Bilibana, M. P., Williams, A. R., Rassie, C., Sunday, C. E., Makelane, H., Wilson, L., ...
1540 Iwuoha, E. I. (2016). Electrochemical aptatoxisensor responses on nanocomposites con-
1541 taining electro-deposited silver nanoparticles on poly (Propyleneimine) dendrimer for
1542 the detection of microcystin-LR in freshwater. *Sensors*, 16(11), 1901. doi:10.3390/
s16111901
- 1543 Biroccio, A., Hamm, J., Incitti, I., De Francesco, R., & Tomei, L. (2002). Selection of RNA
1544 aptamers that are specific and high-affinity ligands of the hepatitis C virus RNA-depend-
1545 ent RNA polymerase. *Journal of Virology*, 76(8), 3688–3696. doi:10.1128/jvi.76.8.3688-
1546 3696.2002
- 1547 Briggs, D. (2003). Environmental pollution and the global burden of disease. *British
1548 Medical Bulletin*, 68(1), 1–24. doi:10.1093/bmb/ldg019

- 1549 Bruno, J. G. (2015). Predicting the uncertain future of aptamer-based diagnostics and thera-
1550 peutics. *Molecules*, 20(4), 6866–6887. doi:10.3390/molecules20046866
- 1551 Bruno, J. G. (2017). Aptamers: Scope, limitations, and future prospects. In R. N. Veedu
1552 (Ed.), *Aptamers: Tools for nanotherapy and molecular imaging* (pp. 335). Boca Raton, FL:
1553 CRC Press.
- 1554 Burbelo, P. D., Ramanathan, R., Klion, A. D., Iadarola, M. J., & Nutman, T. B. (2008).
1555 Rapid, novel, specific, high-throughput assay for diagnosis of *Loa loa* infection. *Journal*
1556 *of Clinical Microbiology*, 46(7), 2298–2304. doi:10.1128/JCM.00490-08
- 1557 Cao, X., Li, S., Chen, L., Ding, H., Xu, H., Huang, Y., ... Shao, N. (2009). Combining use
1558 of a panel of ssDNA aptamers in the detection of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Nucleic Acids*
1559 *Research*, 37(14), 4621–4628. doi:10.1093/nar/gkp489
- 1560 Cao, X., Xia, J., Liu, H., Zhang, F., Wang, Z., & Lu, L. (2017). A new dual-signalling elec-
1561 trochemical aptasensor with the integration of “signal on/off” and “labeling/label-free”
1562 strategies. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 239, 166–171. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2016.08.009
- 1563 Caygill, J. S., Davis, F., & Higson, S. P. J. (2012). Current trends in explosive detection
1564 techniques. *Talanta*, 88, 14–29. doi:10.1016/j.talanta.2011.11.043
- 1565 Chen, A., & Yang, S. (2015). Replacing antibodies with aptamers in lateral flow immuno-
1566 assay. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 71, 230–242. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2015.04.041
- 1567 Chen, F., Chen, S. C., Zhou, J., Chen, Z. D., & Chen, F. (2015). Identification of aptamer-
1568 binding sites in Hepatitis C virus envelope glycoprotein E2. *Iranian Journal of Medical*
1569 *Sciences*, 40(1), 63.
- 1570 Chen, X., Pan, Y., Liu, H., Bai, X., Wang, N., & Zhang, B. (2016). Label-free detection of
1571 liver cancer cells by aptamer-based microcantilever biosensor. *Biosensors and*
1572 *Bioelectronics*, 79, 353–358. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2015.12.060
- 1573 Chen, Y., Li, H., Gao, T., Zhang, T., Xu, L., Wang, B., ... Pei, R. (2018). Selection of DNA
1574 aptamers for the development of light-up biosensor to detect Pb (II). *Sensors and*
1575 *Actuators B: Chemical*, 254, 214–221. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2017.07.068
- 1576 Cheng, C., Chen, Y. H., Lennox, K. A., Behlke, M. A., & Davidson, B. L. (2013). In vivo
1577 SELEX for Identification of Brain-penetrating Aptamers. *Molecular Therapy-Nucleic*
1578 *Acids*, 2, e67.
- 1579 Cheng, R., Liu, S., Shi, H., & Zhao, G. (2018). A highly sensitive and selective aptamer-
1580 based colorimetric sensor for the rapid detection of PCB 77. *Journal of Hazardous*
1581 *Materials*, 341, 373–380. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.07.057
- 1582 Cho, E. J., Lee, J. W., & Ellington, A. D. (2009). Applications of aptamers as sensors.
1583 *Annual Review of Analytical Chemistry (Palo Alto, Calif.)*, 2, 241–264. doi:10.1146/
1584 *annurev.anchem.1.031207.112851*
- 1585 Clark, L. C., Jr., & Lyons, C. (1962). Electrode systems for continuous monitoring in car-
1586 diovascular surgery. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 102(1), 29–45. doi:10.
1587 *1111/j.1749-6632.1962.tb13623.x*
- 1588 Collins, M. L., & Kapucu, N. (2008). Early warning systems and disaster preparedness and
1589 response in local government. *Disaster Prevention and Management: An International*
1590 *Journal*, 17 (5), 587–600. doi:10.1108/09653560810918621
- 1591 Contreras Jiménez, G., Eissa, S., Ng, A., Alhadrami, H., Zourob, M., & Sijaj, M. (2015).
Aptamer-based label-free impedimetric biosensor for detection of progesterone.
Analytical Chemistry, 87(2), 1075–1082. doi:10.1021/ac503639s
- Costantini, F., Sberna, C., Petrucci, G., Reverberi, M., Domenici, F., Fanelli, C., ... Caputo,
D. (2016). Aptamer-based sandwich assay for on chip detection of Ochratoxin A by an
array of amorphous silicon photosensors. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 230, 31–39.
doi:10.1016/j.snb.2016.02.036

- 1592 Crivianu-Gaita, V., & Thompson, M. (2016). Aptamers, antibody scFv, and antibody
1593 Fab'fragments: An overview and comparison of three of the most versatile biosensor bio-
1594 recognition elements. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 85, 32–45. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2016.04.
1595 091
- 1596 Cruz-Aguado, J. A., & Penner, G. (2008). Determination of ochratoxin A with a DNA
1597 aptamer. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 56(22), 10456–10461. doi:10.1021/
1598 jf801957h
- 1599 Csuros, M. (2018). *Environmental sampling and analysis for technicians*. Boca Raton, FL:
CRC Press.
- 1600 Cui, H., Wu, J., Eda, S., Chen, J., Chen, W., & Zheng, L. (2015). Rapid capacitive detection
1601 of femtomolar levels of bisphenol A using an aptamer-modified disposable microelec-
1602 trode array. *Microchimica Acta*, 182(13-14), 2361–2367. doi:10.1007/s00604-015-1556-y
- 1603 Cui, L., Wu, J., & Ju, H. (2016). Label-free signal-on aptasensor for sensitive electrochem-
1604 ical detection of arsenite. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 79, 861–865. doi:10.1016/j.bios.
1605 2016.01.010
- 1606 Cummins, L. L., Owens, S. R., Risen, L. M., Lesnik, E. A., Freier, S. M., McGee, D., ...
1607 Cook, P. D. (1995). Characterization of fully 2'-modified oligoribonucleotide hetero- and
1608 homoduplex hybridization and nuclease sensitivity. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 23(11),
1609 2019–2024. doi:10.1093/nar/23.11.2019
- 1610 Daniel, C., Mélaïne, F., Roupioz, Y., Livache, T., & Buhot, A. (2013). Real time monitoring
1611 of thrombin interactions with its aptamers: Insights into the sandwich complex forma-
1612 tion. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 40(1), 186–192. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2012.07.016
- 1613 Darmostuk, M., Rimpelova, S., Gbelcova, H., & Ruml, T. (2015). Current approaches in
1614 SELEX: An update to aptamer selection technology. *Biotechnology Advances*, 33(6),
1615 1141–1161. doi:10.1016/j.biotechadv.2015.02.008
- 1616 Dausse, E., Taouji, S., Evadé, L., Di Primo, C., Chevet, E., & Toulmé, J. J. (2011).
1617 HAPIScreen, a method for high-throughput aptamer identification. *Journal of*
1618 *Nanobiotechnology*, 9(1), 25. doi:10.1186/1477-3155-9-25
- 1619 Debski, P. R., Skłodowska, K., Michalski, J. A., Korczyk, P. M., Dolata, M., & Jakiela, S.
1620 (2018). Continuous recirculation of microdroplets in a closed loop tailored for screening
1621 of bacteria cultures. *Micromachines*, 9(9), 469. doi:10.3390/mi9090469
- 1622 Deng, B., Lin, Y., Wang, C., Li, F., Wang, Z., Zhang, H., ... Le, X. C. (2014). Aptamer
1623 binding assays for proteins: The thrombin example — A review. *Analytica Chimica Acta*,
1624 837, 1–15. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2014.04.055
- 1625 Dhar, S., Gu, F. X., Langer, R., Farokhzad, O. C., & Lippard, S. J. (2008). Targeted delivery
1626 of cisplatin to prostate cancer cells by aptamer functionalized Pt (IV) prodrug-
1627 PLGA-PEG nanoparticles. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(45),
1628 17356–17361. doi:10.1073/pnas.0809154105
- 1629 Dhiman, A., Kalra, P., Bansal, V., Bruno, J. G., & Sharma, T. K. (2017). Aptamer-based
1630 point-of-care diagnostic platforms. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 246, 535–553. doi:
1631 10.1016/j.snb.2017.02.060
- 1632 Di, W. T., Du, X. W., Pan, M. F., & Wang, J. P. (2017). The SPR detection of Salmonella
1633 enteritidis in food using aptamers as recognition elements. In *IOP Conference Series:
1634 Materials Science and Engineering* (Vol. 231, No. 1, p. 012114). Bristol, UK: IOP
Publishing. doi:10.1088/1757-899X/231/1/012114
- Djordjevic, M. (2007). SELEX experiments: New prospects, applications and data analysis
in inferring regulatory pathways. *Biomolecular Engineering*, 24(2), 179–189. doi:10.1016/j.
bioeng.2007.03.001

- 1635 Drabovich, A. P., Berezovski, M. V., Musheev, M. U., & Krylov, S. N. (2009). Selection of
1636 smart small-molecule ligands: The proof of principle. *Analytical Chemistry*, 81(1),
1637 490–494. doi:10.1021/ac8023813
- 1638 Eissa, S., & Zourob, M. (2017). Selection and characterization of DNA aptamers for electro-
1639 chemical biosensing of carbendazim. *Analytical Chemistry*, 89(5), 3138–3145. doi:10.
1640 1021/acs.analchem.6b04914
- 1641 Ellington, A. D., & Szostak, J. W. (1990). In vitro selection of RNA molecules that bind
1642 specific ligands. *Nature*, 346(6287), 818. doi:10.1038/346818a0
- 1643 Elshafey, R., Siaj, M., & Zourob, M. (2014). In vitro selection, characterization, and biosens-
1644 ing application of high-affinity cylindrospermopsin-targeting aptamers. *Analytical
1645 Chemistry*, 86(18), 9196–9203. doi:10.1021/ac502157g
- 1646 Elshafey, R., Siaj, M., & Zourob, M. (2015). DNA aptamers selection and characterization
1647 for development of label-free impedimetric aptasensor for neurotoxin anatoxin-a.
1648 *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 68, 295–302. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2015.01.002
- 1649 Fan, L., Zhao, G., Shi, H., & Liu, M. (2015). A simple and label-free aptasensor based on
1650 nickel hexacyanoferrate nanoparticles as signal probe for highly sensitive detection of
1651 17 β -estradiol. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 68, 303–309. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2015.01.015
- 1652 Fang, S., Tian, H., Li, X., Jin, D., Li, X., Kong, J., ... Liu, T. (2017). Clinical application of
1653 a microfluidic chip for immunocapture and quantification of circulating exosomes to
1654 assist breast cancer diagnosis and molecular classification. *PLoS ONE*, 2017, 12, 1–13.
1655 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0175050
- 1656 Farzin, L., Shamsipur, M., & Sheibani, S. (2017). A review: Aptamer-based analytical strat-
1657 egies using the nanomaterials for environmental and human monitoring of toxic heavy
1658 metals. *Talanta*, 174, 619–627. doi:10.1016/j.talanta.2017.06.066
- 1659 Farzin, L., Shamsipur, M., & Tabrizi, M. A. (2015). Biomagnetic separation and preconcen-
1660 tration of trace amounts of Hg²⁺ in biological samples based on T-rich oligonucleotides
1661 modified magnetic beads. *Analytical Methods*, 7(20), 8947–8953. doi:10.1039/
1662 C5AY01827G
- 1663 Fei, A., Liu, Q., Huan, J., Qian, J., Dong, X., Qiu, B., ... Wang, K. (2015). Label-free
1664 impedimetric aptasensor for detection of femtomole level acetamiprid using gold nano-
1665 particles decorated multiwalled carbon nanotube-reduced graphene oxide nanoribbon
1666 composites. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 70, 122–129. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2015.03.028
- 1667 Feng, C., Dai, S., & Wang, L. (2014). Optical aptasensors for quantitative detection of small
1668 biomolecules: A review. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 59, 64–74.
- 1669 Ferreira, C. S., Cheung, M. C., Missailidis, S., Bisland, S., & Garipey, J. (2009). Phototoxic
1670 aptamers selectively enter and kill epithelial cancer cells. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 37(3),
1671 866–876. doi:10.1093/nar/gkn967
- 1672 Frohnmeier, E., Frisch, F., Falke, S., Betzel, C., & Fischer, M. (2018). Highly affine and
1673 selective aptamers against cholera toxin as capture elements in magnetic bead-based
1674 sandwich ELAA. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 269, 35–42. doi:10.1016/j.jbiotec.2018.01.012
- 1675 Gao, F., Gao, C., He, S., Wang, Q., & Wu, A. (2016). Label-free electrochemical lead (II)
1676 aptasensor using thionine as the signaling molecule and graphene as signal-enhancing
1677 platform. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 81, 15–22. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2016.01.096
- García-Gutiérrez, Y. S., Huerta-Aguilar, C. A., Thangarasu, P., & Vázquez-Ramos, J. M.
(2017). Ciprofloxacin as chemosensor for simultaneous recognition of Al³⁺ and Cu²⁺
by Logic Gates supported fluorescence: Application to bio-imaging for living cells.
Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 248, 447–459. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2017.03.140
- Gavrilescu, M., Demnerová, K., Aamand, J., Agathos, S., & Fava, F. (2015). Emerging pollu-
tants in the environment: Present and future challenges in biomonitoring, ecological

- 1678 risks and bioremediation. *New Biotechnology*, 32(1), 147–156. doi:10.1016/j.nbt.2014.01.
1679 001
- 1680 Gawande, B. N., Rohloff, J. C., Carter, J. D., von Carlowitz, I., Zhang, C., Schneider, D. J.,
1681 & Janjic, N. (2017). Selection of DNA aptamers with two modified bases. *Proceedings of*
1682 *the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(11), 2898–2903. doi:10.1073/pnas.1615475114
- 1683 Golden, M. C., Collins, B. D., Willis, M. C., & Koch, T. H. (2000). Diagnostic potential of
1684 PhotoSELEX-evolved ssDNA aptamers. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 81(2-3), 167–178. doi:
1685 10.1016/S0168-1656(00)00290-X
- 1686 Greenhalgh, T., Robert, G., Macfarlane, F., Bate, P., & Kyriakidou, O. (2004). Diffusion of
1687 innovations in service organizations: Systematic review and recommendations. *The*
1688 *Milbank Quarterly*, 82(4), 581–629. doi:10.1111/j.0887-378X.2004.00325.x
- 1689 Groher, F., & Suess, B. (2016). In vitro selection of antibiotic-binding aptamers. *Methods*,
1690 106, 42–50. doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2016.05.008
- 1691 Guo, L., Hu, Y., Zhang, Z., & Tang, Y. (2018). Universal fluorometric aptasensor platform
1692 based on water-soluble conjugated polymers/graphene oxide. *Analytical and*
1693 *Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 410(1), 287–295. doi:10.1007/s00216-017-0720-0
- 1694 Guo, X. (2012). Surface plasmon resonance based biosensor technique: A review. *Journal of*
1695 *Biophotonics*, 5(7), 483–501. doi:10.1002/jbio.201200015
- 1696 Hasegawa, H., Taira, K. I., Sode, K., & Ikebukuro, K. (2008). Improvement of aptamer
1697 affinity by dimerization. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, 8(2), 1090–1098. doi:10.3390/
1698 s8021090
- 1699 Hayat, A., & Marty, J. L. (2014). Aptamer based electrochemical sensors for emerging
1700 environmental pollutants. *Frontiers in Chemistry*, 2, 41. doi:10.3389/fchem.2014.00041
- 1701 He, J., Liu, Y., Fan, M., & Liu, X. (2011). Isolation and identification of the DNA aptamer
1702 target to acetamiprid. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59(5), 1582–1586. doi:
1703 10.1021/jf104189g
- 1704 He, L., Lamont, E., Veeregowda, B., Sreevatsan, S., Haynes, C. L., Diez-Gonzalez, F., &
1705 Labuza, T. P. (2011). Aptamer-based surface-enhanced Raman scattering detection of
1706 ricin in liquid foods. *Chemical Science*, 2(8), 1579–1582. doi:10.1039/c1sc00201e
- 1707 He, M., Li, Z., Ge, Y., & Liu, Z. (2016). Portable upconversion nanoparticles-based paper
1708 device for field testing of drug abuse. *Analytical Chemistry*, 88(3), 1530–1534. doi:10.
1709 1021/acs.analchem.5b04863
- 1710 Her, J., Jo, H., & Ban, C. (2017). Enzyme-linked antibody aptamer assays based colorimetric
1711 detection of soluble fraction of activated leukocyte cell adhesion molecule. *Sensors*
1712 *and Actuators B: Chemical*, 242, 529–534. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2016.11.070
- 1713 Hesterberg, L. K., & Crosby, M. A. (1996). An Overview of Rapid Immunoassays.
1714 *Laboratory Medicine*, 27(1), 41–47. doi:10.1093/labmed/27.1.41
- 1715 Hicke, B. J., Marion, C., Chang, Y. F., Gould, T., Lynott, C. K., Parma, D., ... Warren, S.
1716 (2001). Tenascin-C aptamers are generated using tumor cells and purified protein.
1717 *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 276(52), 48644–48654. doi:10.1074/jbc.M104651200
- 1718 Hicke, B. J., Stephens, A. W., Gould, T., Chang, Y. F., Lynott, C. K., Heil, J., ... Schmidt,
1719 P. G. (2006). Tumor targeting by an aptamer. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, 47(4),
1720 668–678.
- 1721 Hoang, C. V., Oyama, M., Saito, O., Aono, M., & Nagao, T. (2013). Monitoring the pres-
1722 ence of ionic mercury in environmental water by plasmon-enhanced infrared spectroscopy.
1723 *Scientific Reports*, 3(1), 1175. doi:10.1038/srep01175
- 1724 Hsieh, H. V., Dantzler, J. L., & Weigl, B. H. (2017). Analytical Tools to Improve
1725 Optimization Procedures for Lateral Flow Assays. *Diagnostics*, 7, 29.

- 1721 Hu, L. Y., Niu, C. G., Wang, X. Y., Huang, D. W., Zhang, L., & Zeng, G. M. (2017).
1722 Magnetic separate” turn-on” fluorescent biosensor for Bisphenol A based on magnetic
1723 oxidation graphene. *Talanta*, 168, 196–202. doi:10.1016/j.talanta.2017.03.055
- 1724 Huang, K. J., Liu, Y. J., Zhang, J. Z., Cao, J. T., & Liu, Y. M. (2015). Aptamer/Au nanopar-
1725 ticles/cobalt sulfide nanosheets biosensor for 17 β -estradiol detection using a guanine-rich
1726 complementary DNA sequence for signal amplification. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 67,
1727 184–191. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2014.08.010
- 1728 Huang, Y., Chen, X., Duan, N., Wu, S., Wang, Z., Wei, X., & Wang, Y. (2015). Selection
1729 and characterization of DNA aptamers against Staphylococcus aureus enterotoxin C1.
1730 *Food Chemistry*, 166, 623–629. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.06.039
- 1731 Istrate, A., Medvecky, M., & Leumann, C. J. (2015). 2'-Fluorination of tricyclo-DNA con-
1732 trols furanose conformation and increases RNA affinity. *Organic Letters*, 17(8),
1733 1950–1953. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.5b00662
- 1734 Jalalian, S. H., Karimabadi, N., Ramezani, M., Abnous, K., & Taghdisi, S. M. (2018).
1735 Electrochemical and optical aptamer-based sensors for detection of tetracyclines. *Trends*
1736 *in Food Science & Technology*, 73, 45–57. doi:10.1016/j.tifs.2018.01.009
- 1737 Jauset-Rubio, M., El-Shahawi, M. S., Bashammakh, A. S., Alyoubi, A. O., & O'Sullivan,
1738 C. K. (2017). Advances in aptamers-based lateral flow assays. *TrAC Trends in Analytical*
1739 *Chemistry*, 97, 385–398. doi:10.1016/j.trac.2017.10.010
- 1740 Jeong, S., & Rhee Paeng, I. (2012). Sensitivity and selectivity on aptamer-based assay: The
1741 determination of tetracycline residue in bovine milk. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2012,
1742 1. doi:10.1100/2012/159456
- 1743 Jin, B., Yang, Y., He, R., Park, Y. I., Lee, A., Bai, D., ... Lin, M. (2018). Lateral flow
1744 aptamer assay integrated smartphone-based portable device for simultaneous detection of
1745 multiple targets using upconversion nanoparticles. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*,
1746 276, 48–56. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2018.08.074
- 1747 Jin, C., Qiu, L., Li, J., Fu, T., Zhang, X., & Tan, W. (2016). Cancer biomarker discovery
1748 using DNA aptamers. *The Analyst*, 141(2), 461–466. doi:10.1039/c5an01918d
- 1749 Jo, H., & Ban, C. (2016). Aptamer–nanoparticle complexes as powerful diagnostic and
1750 therapeutic tools. *Experimental & Molecular Medicine*, 48(5), e230. doi:10.1038/emmm.
1751 2016.44
- 1752 Joshi, R., Janagama, H., Dwivedi, H. P., Kumar, T. S., Jaykus, L. A., Schefers, J., &
1753 Sreevatsan, S. (2009). Selection, characterization, and application of DNA aptamers for
1754 the capture and detection of *Salmonella enterica* serovars. *Molecular and Cellular Probes*,
1755 23(1), 20–28. doi:10.1016/j.mcp.2008.10.006
- 1756 Juraschek, M., Cerdas, F., Posselt, G., & Herrmann, C. (2017). Experiencing closed loop
1757 manufacturing in a learning environment. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 9, 57–64. doi:10.
1758 1016/j.promfg.2017.04.046
- 1759 Justino, C. I., Duarte, A. C., & Rocha-Santos, T. A. (2017). Recent progress in biosensors
1760 for environmental monitoring: A review. *Sensors*, 17(12), 2918. doi:10.3390/s17122918
- 1761 Kaisti, M. (2017). Detection principles of biological and chemical FET sensors. *Biosensors*
1762 *& Bioelectronics*, 98, 437–448. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2017.07.010
- 1763 Kampa, M., & Castanas, E. (2008). Human health effects of air pollution. *Environmental*
1764 *Pollution*, 151(2), 362–367.
- 1765 Kanoatov, M., Galievsky, V. A., Krylova, S. M., Cherney, L. T., Jankowski, H. K., & Krylov,
1766 S. N. (2015). Using nonequilibrium capillary electrophoresis of equilibrium mixtures
1767 (NECEEM) for simultaneous determination of concentration and equilibrium constant.
1768 *Analytical Chemistry*, 87(5), 3099–3106. doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.5b00171

- 1764 Kärkkäinen, R. M., Drasbek, M. R., McDowall, I., Smith, C. J., Young, N. W., & Bonwick,
1765 G. A. (2011). Aptamers for safety and quality assurance in the food industry: Detection
1766 of pathogens. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 46(3), 445–454. doi:10.
1767 1111/j.1365-2621.2010.02470.x
- 1768 Kaur, M., Rob, A., Caton-Williams, J., & Huang, Z. (2013). Biochemistry of nucleic acids
1769 functionalized with sulfur, selenium, and tellurium: Roles of the single-atom substitution.
1770 In J. L. Brumaghim, & C. A. Bayse (Eds.), *Biochalcogen chemistry: The biological chemis-*
1771 *try of sulfur, selenium, and tellurium* (pp. 89–126). Washington, DC: American Chemical
1772 Society.
- 1773 Keefe, A. D., Pai, S., & Ellington, A. (2010). Aptamers as therapeutics. *Nature Reviews.*
1774 *Drug Discovery*, 9(7), 537doi:10.1038/nrd3141
- 1775 Kiilerich-Pedersen, K., Daprà, J., Cherré, S., & Rozlosnik, N. (2013). High sensitivity point-
1776 of-care device for direct virus diagnostics. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 49, 374–379. doi:
1777 10.1016/j.bios.2013.05.046
- 1778 Kim, C. H., Lee, L. P., Min, J. R., Lim, M. W., & Jeong, S. H. (2014). An indirect competi-
1779 tive assay-based aptasensor for detection of oxytetracycline in milk. *Biosensors and*
1780 *Bioelectronics*, 51, 426–430. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2013.08.003
- 1781 Klug, S. J., & Famulok, M. (1994). All you wanted to know about SELEX. *Mol. Biol. Rep.*,
1782 20(2), 97–107.
- 1783 Klussmann, S., Nolte, A., Bald, R., Erdmann, V. A., & Fürste, J. P. (1996). Mirror-image
1784 RNA that binds D-adenosine. *Nature Biotechnology*, 14(9), 1112. doi:10.1038/nbt0996-
1785 1112
- 1786 Koczula, K. M., & Gallotta, A. (2016). Lateral flow assays. *Essays in Biochemistry*, 60(1),
1787 111–120. doi:10.1042/EBC20150012
- 1788 Krylov, S. N. (2006). Nonequilibrium capillary electrophoresis of equilibrium mixtures
1789 (NECEEM): A novel method for biomolecular screening. *Journal of Biomolecular*
1790 *Screening*, 11(2), 115–122. doi:10.1177/1087057105284339
- 1791 Kudlak, B., Wolska, L., & Namieśnik, J. (2011). Determination of EC 50 toxicity data of
1792 selected heavy metals toward *Heterocypris incongruens* and their comparison to “direct-
1793 contact” and microbiotests. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 174(1-4),
1794 509–516. doi:10.1007/s10661-010-1474-8
- 1795 Lai, J. C., & Hong, C. Y. (2014). Magnetic-assisted rapid aptamer selection (MARAS) for
1796 generating high-affinity DNA aptamer using rotating magnetic fields. *ACS Combinatorial*
1797 *Science*, 16(7), 321–327. doi:10.1021/co5000272
- 1798 Lee, H. J., Kim, B. C., Kim, K. W., Kim, Y. K., Kim, J., & Oh, M. K. (2009). A sensitive
1799 method to detect *Escherichia coli* based on immunomagnetic separation and real-time
1800 PCR amplification of aptamers. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 24(12), 3550–3555. doi:10.
1801 1016/j.bios.2009.05.010
- 1802 Lee, W. I., Shrivastava, S., Duy, L. T., Kim, B. Y., Son, Y. M., & Lee, N. E. (2017). A smart-
1803 phone imaging-based label-free and dual-wavelength fluorescent biosensor with high sen-
1804 sitivity and accuracy. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 94, 643–650. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2017.
1805 03.061
- 1806 Li, J., Jiang, H., Rao, X., Liu, Z., Zhu, H., & Xu, Y. (2019). Point-of-care testing of patho-
genic bacteria at the single-colony level via gas pressure readout using aptamer-coated
magnetic CuFe_2O_4 and vancomycin-capped platinum nanoparticles. *Analytical*
Chemistry, 91(2), 1494–1500. doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.8b04584
- Li, P., Ho, B., & Ding, J. L. (2015). Future perspectives on new approaches in pathogen
detection. *Biomedical Science Letters*, 21(4), 165–171. doi:10.15616/BSL.2015.21.4.165

- 1807 Li, P., Zhou, L., Wei, J., Yu, Y., Yang, M., Wei, S., & Qin, Q. (2016). Development and
1808 characterization of aptamer-based enzyme-linked aptasorbent assay for the detection of
1809 Singapore grouper iridovirus infection. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 121(3), 634–643.
1810 doi:10.1111/jam.13161
- 1811 Li, T., Dong, S., & Wang, E. (2009). Label-free colorimetric detection of aqueous mercury
1812 ion (Hg²⁺) using Hg²⁺-modulated G-quadruplex-based DNAzymes. *Analytical
1813 Chemistry*, 81(6), 2144–2149. doi:10.1021/ac900188y
- 1814 Li, X., Cheng, R., Shi, H., Tang, B., Xiao, H., & Zhao, G. (2016). A simple highly sensitive
1815 and selective aptamer-based colorimetric sensor for environmental toxins microcystin-LR
1816 in water samples. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 304, 474–480. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.
2015.11.016
- 1817 Liang, G., Man, Y., Jin, X., Pan, L., & Liu, X. (2016). Aptamer-based biosensor for label-
1818 free detection of ethanolamine by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. *Analytica
1819 Chimica Acta*, 936, 222–228. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2016.06.056
- 1820 Lim, Y. C., Kouzani, A. Z., & Duan, W. (2010). Aptasensors: A review. *Journal of
1821 Biomedical Nanotechnology*, 6(2), 93–105.
- 1822 Lin, B., Yu, Y., Cao, Y., Guo, M., Zhu, D., Dai, J., & Zheng, M. (2018). Point-of-care testing
1823 for streptomycin based on aptamer recognizing and digital image colorimetry by smart-
1824 phone. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 100, 482–489. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2017.09.028
- 1825 Lin, B., Yu, Y., Li, R., Cao, Y., & Guo, M. (2016). Turn-on sensor for quantification and
1826 imaging of acetamiprid residues based on quantum dots functionalized with aptamer.
1827 *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 229, 100–109. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2016.01.114
- 1828 Liu, D., Jia, S., Zhang, H., Ma, Y., Guan, Z., Li, J., & Yang, C. J. (2017). Integrating target-
1829 responsive hydrogel with pressuremeter readout enables simple, sensitive, user-friendly,
quantitative point-of-care testing. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 9(27),
22252–22258. doi:10.1021/acsami.7b05531
- 1830 Liu, D., Luo, Q., Deng, F., Li, Z., Li, B., & Shen, Z. (2017). Ultrasensitive electrochemical
1831 biosensor based on the oligonucleotide self-assembled monolayer-mediated immunosens-
1832 ing interface. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 971, 26–32. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2017.03.046
- 1833 Liu, G. Q., Lian, Y. Q., Gao, C., Yu, X. F., Ming, Z. H. U., Zong, K., ... Yan, Y. (2014). In
1834 vitro selection of DNA aptamers and fluorescence-based recognition for rapid detection
1835 *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 13(5), 1121–1129. doi:10.1016/
S2095-3119(14)60766-8
- 1836 Liu, J., Morris, M. D., Macazo, F. C., Schoukroun-Barnes, L. R., & White, R. J. (2014). The
1837 current and future role of aptamers in electroanalysis. *Journal of the Electrochemical
1838 Society*, 161(5), H301–313. doi:10.1149/2.026405jes
- 1839 Liu, S., Cheng, R., Chen, Y., Shi, H., & Zhao, G. (2018). A simple one-step pretreatment,
1840 highly sensitive and selective sensing of 17 β -estradiol in environmental water samples
1841 using surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 254,
1842 1157–1164. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2017.08.003
- 1843 Liu, W., Zhang, M., Liu, X., Sharma, A., & Ding, X. (2017). A point-of-need infrared medi-
1844 ated PCR platform with compatible lateral flow strip for HPV detection. *Biosensors and
1845 Bioelectronics*, 96, 213–219. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2017.04.047
- 1846 Liu, Y., Lai, Y., Yang, G., Tang, C., Deng, Y., Li, S., & Wang, Z. (2017). Cd-aptamer elec-
1847 trochemical biosensor based on AuNPs/CS modified glass carbon electrode. *Journal of
1848 Biomedical Nanotechnology*, 13(10), 1253–1259. doi:10.1166/jbn.2017.2424
- 1849 Liu, Y., Ouyang, Q., Li, H., Chen, M., Zhang, Z. Z., & Chen, Q. (2018). A turn-on fluore-
sence sensor for Hg²⁺ in food based on FRET between aptamers functionalized

- 1850 upconversion nanoparticles and gold nanoparticles. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*
1851 *Chemistry*, 6 (24), 6188–6195. doi:10.1021/acs.jafc.8b00546
- 1852 Lokers, R., Knappen, R., Janssen, S., van Randen, Y., & Jansen, J. (2016). Analysis of Big
1853 Data technologies for use in agro-environmental science. *Environmental Modelling &*
1854 *Software*, 84, 494–504. doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2016.07.017
- 1855 Long, F., Zhu, A., & Shi, H. (2013). Recent advances in optical biosensors for environmen-
1856 tal monitoring and early warning. *Sensors*, 13(10), 13928–13948. doi:10.3390/s131013928
- 1857 Long, F., Zhu, A., Shi, H., Wang, H., & Liu, J. (2013). Rapid on-site/in-situ detection of
1858 heavy metal ions in environmental water using a structure-switching DNA optical bio-
1859 sensor. *Scientific Reports*, 3(1), 2308. doi:10.1038/srep02308
- 1860 Long, S. B., Long, M. B., White, R. R., & Sullenger, B. A. (2008). Crystal structure of an
1861 RNA aptamer bound to thrombin. *Rna (New York, N.Y.)*, 14(12), 2504–2512. doi:10.
1862 1261/rna.1239308
- 1863 Lu, C., Tang, Z., Liu, C., Kang, L., & Sun, F. (2015). Magnetic-nanobead-based competitive
1864 enzyme-linked aptamer assay for the analysis of oxytetracycline in food. *Analytical and*
1865 *Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 407(14), 4155–4163. doi:10.1007/s00216-015-8632-3
- 1866 Lu, Y., Liu, Y., Zhang, S., Wang, S., Zhang, S., & Zhang, X. (2013). Aptamer-based plas-
1867 monic sensor array for discrimination of proteins and cells with the naked eye.
1868 *Analytical Chemistry*, 85(14), 6571–6574. doi:10.1021/ac4014594
- 1869 Luo, Z., Wang, Y., Lu, X., Chen, J., Wei, F., Huang, Z., ... Duan, Y. (2017). Fluorescent
1870 aptasensor for antibiotic detection using magnetic bead composites coated with gold
1871 nanoparticles and a nicking enzyme. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 984, 177–184. doi:10.1016/
1872 j.aca.2017.06.037
- 1873 Ma, X., Gong, N., Zhong, L., Sun, J., & Liang, X. J. (2016). Future of nanotherapeutics:
1874 Targeting the cellular sub-organelles. *Biomaterials*, 97, 10–21. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.
1875 2016.04.026
- 1876 Ma, X., Jiang, Y., Jia, F., Yu, Y., Chen, J., & Wang, Z. (2014). An aptamer-based electro-
1877 chemical biosensor for the detection of Salmonella. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*,
1878 98, 94–98.
- 1879 Ma, Y., Wang, S., & Wang, L. (2015). Nanomaterials for luminescence detection of nitroaro-
1880 matic explosives. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 65, 13–21. doi:10.1016/j.trac.2014.09.007
- 1881 Macherera, M., & Chimbari, M. J. (2016). A review of studies on community based early
1882 warning systems. *Jambá: Journal of Disaster Risk Studies*, 8(1), a206. doi:10.4102/jamba.
1883 v8i1.206
- 1884 Madianos, L., Tsekenis, G., Skotadis, E., Patsiouras, L., & Tsoukalas, D. (2018). A highly
1885 sensitive impedimetric aptasensor for the selective detection of acetamiprid and atrazine
1886 based on microwires formed by platinum nanoparticles. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*,
1887 101, 268–274. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2017.10.034
- 1888 Marton, S., Reyes-Darias, J. A., Sánchez-Luque, F. J., Romero-López, C., & Berzal-Herranz,
1889 A. (2010). In vitro and ex vivo selection procedures for identifying potentially thera-
1890 peutic DNA and RNA molecules. *Molecules*, 15(7), 4610–4638. doi:10.3390/
1891 molecules15074610
- 1892 Mascini, M. (2009). *Aptamers in bioanalysis*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- McGown, L. B., Joseph, M. J., Pitner, J. B., Vonk, G. P., & Linn, C. P. (1995). The nucleic
acid ligand. A new tool for molecular recognition. *Analytical Chemistry*, 67(21),
663A–668A. doi:10.1021/ac00117a002
- McKeague, M., McConnell, E. M., Cruz-Toledo, J., Bernard, E. D., Pach, A., Mastronardi,
E., ... DeRosa, M. C. (2015). Analysis of in vitro aptamer selection parameters. *Journal*
of Molecular Evolution, 81(5-6), 150–161. doi:10.1007/s00239-015-9708-6

- 1893 Mehta, J., Rouah-Martin, E., Van Dorst, B., Maes, B., Herrebout, W., Scippo, M. L., ...
1894 Robbins, J. (2012). Selection and characterization of PCB-binding DNA aptamers.
1895 *Analytical Chemistry*, 84(3), 1669–1676. doi:10.1021/ac202960b
- 1896 Miranda-Castro, R., de-los-Santos-Álvarez, N., & Lobo-Castañón, M. J. (2017).
1897 Characterization of aptamer–ligand complexes. In Y. Dong (Ed.), *Aptamers for analytical*
1898 *applications: Affinity acquisition and method design* (pp. 109–110). Beijing, China: Wiley.
- 1899 Mishra, G. K., Sharma, V., & Mishra, R. K. (2018). Electrochemical aptasensors for food
1900 and environmental safeguarding: A review. *Biosensors*, 8(2), 28. doi:10.3390/bios8020028
- 1901 Misono, T. S., & Kumar, P. K. (2005). Selection of RNA aptamers against human influenza
1902 virus hemagglutinin using surface plasmon resonance. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 342(2),
1903 312–317. doi:10.1016/j.ab.2005.04.013
- 1904 Moffitt, T. E., Arseneault, L., Belsky, D., Dickson, N., Hancox, R. J., Harrington, H. L., ...
1905 Caspia, A. (2011). A gradient of childhood self-control predicts health, wealth, and public
1906 safety. *PNAS*, 108(7), 2693–2698. doi:10.1073/pnas.1010076108
- 1907 Mujahid, A., & Dickert, F. (2017). Surface acoustic wave (SAW) for chemical sensing appli-
1908 cations of recognition layers. *Sensors*, 17(12), 2716. doi:10.3390/s17122716
- 1909 Mukherjee, M., Manonmani, H. K., & Bhatt, P. (2018). Aptamer as capture agent in
1910 enzyme-linked apta-sorbent assay (ELASA) for ultrasensitive detection of Aflatoxin B1.
1911 *Toxicon*, 158, 28–33. doi:10.1016/j.toxicon.2018.11.001
- 1912 Muñoz, J., Montes, R., & Baeza, M. (2017). Trends in electrochemical impedance spectroscopy
1913 involving nanocomposite transducers: Characterization, architecture surface and
1914 bio-sensing. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 97, 201–215. doi:10.1016/j.trac.2017.
1915 08.012
- 1916 Nawrot, B., & Sipa, K. (2006). Chemical and structural diversity of siRNA molecules.
1917 *Current Topics in Medicinal Chemistry*, 6(9), 913–925. doi:10.2174/156802606777303658
- 1918 Neves, M. A., Blaszykowski, C., Bokhari, S., & Thompson, M. (2015). Ultra-high frequency
1919 piezoelectric aptasensor for the label-free detection of cocaine. *Biosensors and*
1920 *Bioelectronics*, 72, 383–392. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2015.05.038
- 1921 Nezlín, R. (2014). Aptamers in immunological research. *Immunology Letters*, 162(2),
1922 252–255. doi:10.1016/j.imlet.2014.10.001
- 1923 Ng, E. W., Shima, D. T., Calias, P., Cunningham, E. T., Jr, Guyer, D. R., & Adamis, A. P.
1924 (2006). Pegaptanib, a targeted anti-VEGF aptamer for ocular vascular disease. *Nature*
1925 *Reviews Drug Discovery*, 5(2), 123. doi:10.1038/nrd1955
- 1926 Nguyen, N. L. T., Park, C. Y., Park, J. P., Kailasa, S. K., & Park, T. J. (2018). Synergistic
1927 molecular assembly of aptamer and surfactant on gold nanoparticles for colorimetric
1928 detection of trace level As³⁺ ion in real samples. *New Journal of Chemistry*, 42(14),
1929 11530–11538. doi:10.1039/C8NJ01097H
- 1930 Nguyen, V. T., Kwon, Y. S., Kim, J. H., & Gu, M. B. (2014). Multiple GO-SELEX for effi-
1931 cient screening of flexible aptamers. *Chemical Communications*, 50(72), 10513–10516.
1932 doi:10.1039/C4CC03953J
- 1933 Ni, X., Xia, B., Wang, L., Ye, J., Du, G., Feng, H., ... Wang, W. (2017). Fluorescent apta-
1934 sensor for 17 β -estradiol determination based on gold nanoparticles quenching the fluor-
1935 escence of rhodamine b. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 523, 17–23. doi:10.1016/j.ab.2017.01.021
- 1936 Nitsche, A., Kurth, A., Dunkhorst, A., Pánke, O., Sielaff, H., Junge, W., ... Kage, A.
1937 (2007). One-step selection of Vaccinia virus-binding DNA aptamers by MonoLEX. *BMC*
1938 *Biotechnology*, 7(1), 48doi:10.1186/1472-6750-7-48
- 1939 Ohuchi, S. P., Ohtsu, T., & Nakamura, Y. (2006). Selection of RNA aptamers against
1940 recombinant transforming growth factor- β type III receptor displayed on cell surface.
1941 *Biochimie*, 88(7), 897–904. doi:10.1016/j.biochi.2006.02.004

- 1936 Østergaard, M. E., Dwight, T., Berdeja, A., Swayze, E. E., Jung, M. E., & Seth, P. P. (2014).
1937 Comparison of duplex stabilizing properties of 2'-fluorinated nucleic acid analogues with
1938 furanose and non-furanose sugar rings. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry*, 79(18),
1939 8877–8881. doi:10.1021/jo501381q
- 1940 Ozalp, V. C., Bayramoglu, G., Erdem, Z., & Arica, M. Y. (2015). Pathogen detection in
1941 complex samples by quartz crystal microbalance sensor coupled to aptamer functional-
1942 ized core-shell type magnetic separation. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 853, 533–540. doi:10.
1943 1016/j.aca.2014.10.010
- 1944 Pai, N. P., Vadnais, C., Denkinger, C., Engel, N., & Pai, M. (2012). Point-of-care testing for
1945 infectious diseases: Diversity, complexity, and barriers in low-and middle-income coun-
1946 tries. *PLoS Medicine*, 9(9), e1001306. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001306
- 1947 Palchetti, I., & Mascini, M. (2008). Nucleic acid biosensors for environmental pollution
1948 monitoring. *The Analyst*, 133(7), 846–854. doi:10.1039/b802920m
- 1949 Park, H., & Paeng, I. R. (2011). Development of direct competitive enzyme-linked aptamer
1950 assay for determination of dopamine in serum. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 685(1), 65–73.
1951 doi:10.1016/j.aca.2010.11.010
- 1952 Pavski, V., & Le, X. C. (2001). Detection of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 reverse
1953 transcriptase using aptamers as probes in affinity capillary electrophoresis. *Analytical*
1954 *Chemistry*, 73(24), 6070–6076. doi:10.1021/ac0107305
- 1955 Percival, R. V., Schroeder, C. H., Miller, A. S., & Leape, J. P. (2017). *Environmental regula-*
1956 *tion: Law, science, and policy*. Alphen aan den Rijn, the Netherlands: Wolters Kluwer
1957 Law and Business.
- 1958 Pereira, R. L., Nascimento, I. C., Santos, A. P., Ogusuku, I. E., Lameu, C., Mayer, G., &
1959 Ulrich, H. (2018). Aptamers: Novelty tools for cancer biology. *Oncotarget*, 9(42), 26934.
1960 doi:10.18632/oncotarget.25260
- 1961 Pfeiffer, F., & Mayer, G. (2016). Selection and biosensor application of aptamers for small
1962 molecules. *Frontiers in Chemistry*, 4, 25.
- 1963 Pilehvar, S., Reinemann, C., Bottari, F., Vanderleyden, E., Van Vlierberghe, S., Blust, R., ...
1964 De Wael, K. (2017). A joint action of aptamers and gold nanoparticles chemically
1965 trapped on a glassy carbon support for the electrochemical sensing of ofloxacin. *Sensors*
1966 *and Actuators B: Chemical*, 240, 1024–1035. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2016.09.075
- 1967 PubChem. (2018). Retrieved from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=aptamer>
- 1968 Qi, Y., Xiu, F. R., Yu, G., Huang, L., & Li, B. (2017). Simple and rapid chemiluminescence
1969 aptasensor for Hg²⁺ in contaminated samples: A new signal amplification mechanism.
1970 *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 87, 439–446. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2016.08.022
- 1971 Qian, Z. S., Shan, X. Y., Chai, L. J., Chen, J. R., & Feng, H. (2015). A fluorescent nanosen-
1972 sor based on graphene quantum dots-aptamer probe and graphene oxide platform for
1973 detection of lead (II) ion. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 68, 225–231. doi:10.1016/j.bios.
1974 2014.12.057
- 1975 Qiao, Y., Li, J., Li, H., Fang, H., Fan, D., & Wang, W. (2016). A label-free photoelectro-
1976 chemical aptasensor for bisphenol A based on surface plasmon resonance of gold nano-
1977 particle-sensitized ZnO nanopencils. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 86, 315–320. doi:10.
1978 1016/j.bios.2016.06.062
- 1979 Radom, F., Jurek, P. M., Mazurek, M. P., Otlewski, J., & Jeleń, F. (2013). Aptamers:
1980 Molecules of great potential. *Biotechnology Advances*, 31(8), 1260–1274. doi:10.1016/j.bio-
1981 techadv.2013.04.007
- 1982 Ragavan, K. V., Selvakumar, L. S., & Thakur, M. S. (2013). Functionalized aptamers as
1983 nano-bioprobes for ultrasensitive detection of bisphenol-A. *Chemical Communications*,
1984 49(53), 5960–5962. doi:10.1039/c3cc42002g

- 1979 Rahman, M. M., Hussein, M. A., Aly, K. I., & Asiri, A. M. (2018). Thermally stable hybrid
1980 polyarylidene (azomethine-ether) s polymers (PAAP): An ultrasensitive arsenic (III) sensor
1981 approach. *Designed Monomers and Polymers*, 21(1), 82–98. doi:10.1080/15685551.
1982 2018.1471793
- 1983 Ramos, E., Piñeiro, D., Soto, M., Abanades, D. R., Martín, M. E., Salinas, M., & González,
1984 V. M. (2007). A DNA aptamer population specifically detects Leishmania infantum H2A
1985 antigen. *Laboratory Investigation*, 87(5), 409. doi:10.1038/labinvest.3700535
- 1986 Raston, N. H. A., Nguyen, V. T., & Gu, M. B. (2017). A new lateral flow strip assay (LFSA)
1987 using a pair of aptamers for the detection of Vaspin. *Biosens Bioelectron*, 93, 21–25. doi:
1988 10.1016/j.bios.2016.11.061
- 1989 Ries, O., & Vogel, S. (2016). Aptamer–liposome conjugates: Current art and future pros-
1990 pects. In R. N. Veedu (Ed.). *Aptamers: Tools for nanotherapy and molecular imaging* (pp.
1991 223–251). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- 1992 Rimmele, M. (2003). Nucleic acid aptamers as tools and drugs: Recent developments.
1993 *Chembiochem*, 4(10), 963–971. doi:10.1002/cbic.200300648
- 1994 Robati, R. Y., Arab, A., Ramezani, M., Langroodi, F. A., Abnous, K., & Taghdisi, S. M.
1995 (2016). Aptasensors for quantitative detection of kanamycin. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*,
1996 82, 162–172. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2016.04.011
- 1997 Robertson, D. L., & Joyce, G. F. (1990). Selection in vitro of an RNA enzyme that specific-
1998 ally cleaves single-stranded DNA. *Nature*, 344(6265), 467doi:10.1038/344467a0
- 1999 Romero, J. M. P., Hallett, S. H., & Jude, S. (2017). Leveraging big data tools and technolo-
2000 gies: Addressing the challenges of the water quality sector. *Sustainability*, 9, 2160. doi:10.
3390/su9122160
- Romig, T. S., Bell, C., & Drolet, D. W. (1999). Aptamer affinity chromatography:
Combinatorial chemistry applied to protein purification. *Journal of Chromatography B:
Biomedical Sciences and Applications*, 731(2), 275–284. doi:10.1016/S0378-4347(99)00243-1
- Roushani, M., & Shahdost-Fard, F. (2015). A highly selective and sensitive cocaine aptasen-
sor based on covalent attachment of the aptamer-functionalized AuNPs onto nanocom-
posite as the support platform. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 853, 214–221. doi:10.1016/j.aca.
2014.09.031
- Scaggiante, B., Dapas, B., Farra, R., Grassi, M., Pozzato, G., Giansante, C., ... Grassi, G.
(2013). Aptamers as targeting delivery devices or anti-cancer drugs for fighting tumors.
Current Drug Metabolism, 14(5), 565–582.
- Schüling, T., Eilers, A., Scheper, T., & Walter, J. (2018). Aptamer-based lateral flow assays.
Aims Bioengineering, 5(2), 78–102. doi:10.3934/bioeng.2018.2.78
- Sefah, K., Shangquan, D., Xiong, X., O'donoghue, M. B., & Tan, W. (2010). Development of
DNA aptamers using Cell-SELEX. *Nature Protocols*, 5(6), 1169doi:10.1038/nprot.2010.66
- Selvakumar, L. S., & Thakur, M. S. (2012). Nano RNA aptamer wire for analysis of vitamin
B12. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 427(2), 151–157. doi:10.1016/j.ab.2012.05.020
- Sevcu, A., El-Temseh, Y. S., Filip, J., Joner, E. J., Bobčíková, K., & Černík, M. (2017). Zero-
valent iron particles for PCB degradation and an evaluation of their effects on bacteria,
plants, and soil organisms. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International*,
24(26), 21191–21202. doi:10.1007/s11356-017-9699-5
- Shamaileh, H. A., Xiang, D., Wang, T., Yin, W., Duan, W., & Shigdar, S. (2016). Stem-cell-
specific aptamers for targeted cancer therapy. In *Aptamers* (pp. 127–164). Singapore: Pan
Stanford.
- Shamsipur, M., Farzin, L., Amouzadeh Tabrizi, M., & Sheibani, S. (2017). Functionalized
Fe₃O₄/graphene oxide nanocomposites with hairpin aptamers for the separation and pre-
concentration of trace Pb²⁺ from biological samples prior to determination by ICP MS.

- Materials Science & Engineering, C, Materials for Biological Applications*, 77, 459–469. doi:10.1016/j.msec.2017.03.262
- Shi, S., Yu, X., Gao, Y., Xue, B., Wu, X., Wang, X., ... Zhu, H. (2014). Inhibition of hepatitis C virus production by aptamers against the core protein. *Journal of Virology*, 88(4), 1990–1999. doi:10.1128/JVI.03312-13
- Shin, S., Kim, I. H., Kang, W., Yang, J. K., & Hah, S. S. (2010). An alternative to Western blot analysis using RNA aptamer-functionalized quantum dots. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, 20(11), 3322–3325. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2010.04.040
- Shiratori, I., Akitomi, J., Boltz, D. A., Horii, K., Furuichi, M., & Waga, I. (2014). Selection of DNA aptamers that bind to influenza A viruses with high affinity and broad subtype specificity. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 443(1), 37–41. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.11.041
- Singh, H., Graber, M. L., & Hofer, T. P. (2016). Measures to improve diagnostic safety in clinical practice. *Journal of Patient Safety*. doi:10.1097/PTS.0000000000000338
- Smith, J. D., & Gold, L. (2004). U.S. Patent No. 6,706,482. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
- Somerson, J., & Plaxco, K. W. (2018). Electrochemical aptamer-based sensors for rapid point-of-use monitoring of the mycotoxin ochratoxin A directly in a food stream. *Molecules*, 23(4), 912. doi:10.3390/molecules23040912
- Someya, T., Baba, S., Fujimoto, M., Kawai, G., Kumasaka, T., & Nakamura, K. (2012). Crystal structure of Hfq from *Bacillus subtilis* in complex with SELEX-derived RNA aptamer: Insight into RNA-binding properties of bacterial Hfq. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 40(4), 1856–1867. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr892
- Song, K. M., Lee, S., & Ban, C. (2012). Aptamers and their biological applications. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*, 12(1), 612–631. doi:10.3390/s120100612
- Song, M. Y., Jurng, J., Park, Y. K., & Kim, B. C. (2016). An aptamer cocktail-functionalized photocatalyst with enhanced antibacterial efficiency towards target bacteria. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 318, 247–254. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.07.016
- Song, S., Wang, L., Li, J., Fan, C., & Zhao, J. (2008). Aptamer-based biosensors. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 27(2), 108–117. doi:10.1016/j.trac.2007.12.004
- Song, Y., Zhang, H., Zhu, Z., & Yang, C. (2015). The clinical application of aptamers: Future challenges and prospects. In T. Weihong, & X. Fang (Eds.), *Aptamers selected by cell-SELEX for theranostics* (pp. 339–352). Heidelberg, Germany: Springer.
- Stein, C. A., & Castanotto, D. (2017). FDA-approved oligonucleotide therapies in 2017. *Molecular Therapy*, 25(5), 1069–1075. doi:10.1016/j.ymthe.2017.03.023
- Stojanovic, M. N., De Prada, P., & Landry, D. W. (2001). Aptamer-based folding fluorescent sensor for cocaine. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 123(21), 4928–4931. doi:10.1021/ja0038171
- Su, L., Fong, C. C., Cheung, P. Y., & Yang, M. (2017). Development of novel piezoelectric biosensor using pzt ceramic resonator for detection of cancer markers. In A. Rasooly, & K. E. Herold (Eds.), *Biosensors and biodetection* (pp. 277–291). New York, NY: Humana Press.
- Svobodová, M., Pinto, A., Nadal, P., & O' Sullivan, C. K. (2012). Comparison of different methods for generation of single-stranded DNA for SELEX processes. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 404(3), 835–842. doi:10.1007/s00216-012-6183-4
- Sypabekova, M., Bekmurzayeva, A., Wang, R., Li, Y., Nogues, C., & Kanayeva, D. (2017). Selection, characterization, and application of DNA aptamers for detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* secreted protein MPT64. *Tuberculosis*, 104, 70–78. doi:10.1016/j.tube.2017.03.004



- Szczepańska, N., Kudlak, B., & Namieśnik, J. (2018). Recent advances in assessing xenobiotics migrating from packaging material – A review. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 1023, 1–21. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2018.03.045
- Szeto, K., Latulippe, D. R., Ozer, A., Pagano, J. M., White, B. S., Shalloway, D., ... Craighead, H. G. (2013). Rapid-SELEX for RNA aptamers. *PLoS One*, 8(12), e82667–11. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0082667
- Taghdisi, S. M., Danesh, N. M., Emrani, A. S., Ramezani, M., & Abnous, K. (2015). A novel electrochemical aptasensor based on single-walled carbon nanotubes, gold electrode and complimentary strand of aptamer for ultrasensitive detection of cocaine. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 73, 245–250. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2015.05.065
- Taghdisi, S. M., Danesh, N. M., Ramezani, M., Emrani, A. S., & Abnous, K. (2018). A simple and rapid fluorescent aptasensor for ultrasensitive detection of arsenic based on target-induced conformational change of complementary strand of aptamer and silica nanoparticles. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 256, 472–478. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2017.10.129
- Tan, B., Zhao, H., Du, L., Gan, X., & Quan, X. (2016). A versatile fluorescent biosensor based on target-responsive graphene oxide hydrogel for antibiotic detection. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 83, 267–273. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2016.04.065
- Tang, W., Wang, Z., Yu, J., Zhang, F., & He, P. (2018). Internal Calibration Potentiometric Aptasensors for Simultaneous Detection of Hg²⁺, Cd²⁺, and As³⁺ Based on a Screen-Printed Carbon Electrodes Array. *Analytical Chemistry*, 90(14), 8337–8344. doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.7b04150
- Tao, Y. U. A. N., Zhong-Yuan, L. I. U., Lian-Zhe, H. U., & Guo-Bao, X. U. (2011). Electrochemical and Electrochemiluminescent Aptasensors. *Chinese Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, 39(7), 972–977. doi:10.1016/S1872-2040(10)60451-3
- Tereshko, V., Skripkin, E., & Patel, D. J. (2003). Encapsulating streptomycin within a small 40-mer RNA. *Chemistry & Biology*, 10(2), 175–187. doi:10.1016/S1074-5521(03)00024-3
- Thevis, M., Kuuranne, T., Geyer, H., & Schänzer, W. (2017). Annual banned-substance review: Analytical approaches in human sports drug testing. *Drug Testing and Analysis*, 9(1), 6–29. doi:10.1002/dta.1928
- Toh, S. Y., Citartan, M., Gopinath, S. C., & Tang, T. H. (2015). Aptamers as a replacement for antibodies in enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 64, 392–403. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2014.09.026
- Tombelli, S., Minunni, M., Luzi, E., & Mascini, M. (2005). Aptamer-based biosensors for the detection of HIV-1 Tat protein. *Bioelectrochemistry*, 67(2), 135–141. doi:10.1016/j.bioelechem.2004.04.011
- Tombelli, S., Minunni, M., & Mascini, M. (2005). Analytical applications of aptamers. *Biosens Bioelectron*, 20(12), 2424–2434. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2004.11.006
- Tombelli, S., Minunni, M., & Mascini, M. (2007). Aptamers-based assays for diagnostics, environmental and food analysis. *Biomolecular Engineering*, 24(2), 191–200. doi:10.1016/j.bioeng.2007.03.003
- Tong, R., Yala, L., Fan, T. M., & Cheng, J. (2010). The formulation of aptamer-coated paclitaxel–polylactide nanoconjugates and their targeting to cancer cells. *Biomaterials*, 31(11), 3043–3053. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2010.01.009
- Tuerk, C., & Gold, L. (1990). Systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment: RNA ligands to bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase. *Science*, 249(4968), 505–510. doi:10.1126/science.2200121



- Umrao, S., Anusha, S., Jain, V., Chakraborty, B., & Roy, R. (2019). Smartphone-based kanamycin sensing with ratiometric FRET. *RSC Advances*, 9(11), 6143–6151. doi:10.1039/C8RA10035G
- van den Kieboom, C. H., van der Beek, S. L., Mészáros, T., Gyurcsányi, R. E., Ferwerda, G., & de Jonge, M. I. (2015). Aptasensors for viral diagnostics. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 74, 58–67. doi:10.1016/j.trac.2015.05.012
- Vashist, S. K., Luppá, P. B., Yeo, L. Y., Ozcan, A., & Luong, J. H. (2015). Emerging technologies for next-generation point-of-care testing. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 33(11), 692–705. doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2015.09.001
- Vater, A., Jarosch, F., Buchner, K., & Klusmann, S. (2003). Short bioactive Spiegelmers to migraine-associated calcitonin gene-related peptide rapidly identified by a novel approach: Tailored-SELEX. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 31(21), 130e. doi:10.1093/nar/gng130
- Vivekananda, J., & Kiel, J. L. (2006). Anti-Francisella tularensis DNA aptamers detect tularaemia antigen from different subspecies by Aptamer-Linked Immobilized Sorbent Assay. *Laboratory Investigation*, 86(6), 610. doi:10.1038/labinvest.3700417
- Walter, J. G., Heilkenbrinker, A., Austerjost, J., Timur, S., Stahl, F., & Schepe, T. (2012). Aptasensors for small molecule detection. *Zeitschrift Für Naturforschung B*, 67(10), 976–986. doi:10.5560/znb.2012-0147
- Wang, A., Zhao, H., Chen, X., Tan, B., Zhang, Y., & Quan, X. (2017). A colorimetric aptasensor for sulfadimethoxine detection based on peroxidase-like activity of graphene/nickel@ palladium hybrids. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 525, 92–99. doi:10.1016/j.ab.2017.03.006
- Wang, D., Wang, J., Liu, Z. E., Yang, X., Hu, X., Deng, J., ... Yuan, Q. (2015). High-performance electrochemical catalysts based on three-dimensional porous architecture with conductive interconnected networks. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 8(42), 28265–28273. doi:10.1021/acsami.5b08294
- Wang, R., Xiang, Y., Zhou, X., Liu, L. H., & Shi, H. (2015). A reusable aptamer-based evanescent wave all-fiber biosensor for highly sensitive detection of Ochratoxin A. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 66, 11–18. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2014.10.079
- Wang, W., Wong, N. K., Sun, M., Yan, C., Ma, S., Yang, Q., & Li, Y. (2015). Regenerable fluorescent nanosensors for monitoring and recovering metal ions based on photoactivatable monolayer self-assembly and host-guest interactions. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 7(16), 8868–8875. doi:10.1021/acsami.5b01509
- Wang, Y. K., Zou, Q., Sun, J. H., Wang, H. A., Sun, X., Chen, Z. F., & Yan, Y. X. (2015). Screening of single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) aptamers against a zearalenone monoclonal antibody and development of a ssDNA-based enzyme-linked oligonucleotide assay for determination of zearalenone in corn. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 63(1), 136–141. doi:10.1021/jf503733g
- Wei, W. A. N. G., & Ling-Yun, J. I. A. (2009). Progress in aptamer screening methods. *Chinese Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, 37(3), 454–460.
- Wells, K., & Bradley, D. A. (2012). A review of X-ray explosives detection techniques for checked baggage. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*, 70(8), 1729–1746. doi:10.1016/j.apradiso.2012.01.011
- Wiczczak, M., Namieśnik, J., & Kudlak, B. (2016). Bioassays as one of the Green Chemistry tools for assessing environmental quality: A review. *Environment International*, 94, 341–361. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2016.05.017
- Wiedman, G. R., Zhao, Y., Mustae, A., Ping, J., Vishnubhotla, R., Johnson, A. C., & Perlin, D. S. (2017). An aptamer-based biosensor for the azole class of antifungal drugs. *mSphere*, 2(4), e00274–17. doi:10.1128/mSphere.00274-17



- Wondergem, J. A. J., Schiessel, H., & Tompitak, M. (2017). Performing SELEX experiments in silico. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 147(17), 174101doi:10.1063/1.5001394
- Wood, M., Maynard, P., Spindler, X., Lennard, C., & Roux, C. (2012). Visualization of Latent Fingermarks Using an Aptamer-Based Reagent. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 51(49), 12272–12274. doi:10.1002/anie.201207394
- Wu, Z., Shen, H., Hu, J., Fu, Q., Yao, C., Yu, S., ... Tang, Y. (2017). Aptamer-based fluorescence-quenching lateral flow strip for rapid detection of mercury (II) ion in water samples. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 409(22), 5209–5216. doi:10.1007/s00216-017-0491-7
- Wu, L., Lu, X., Fu, X., Wu, L., & Liu, H. (2017). Gold nanoparticles dotted reduction graphene oxide nanocomposite based electrochemical aptasensor for selective, rapid, sensitive and congener-specific PCB77 detection. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 5191. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-05352-7
- Wu, L., Qi, P., Fu, X., Liu, H., Li, J., Wang, Q., & Fan, H. (2016). A novel electrochemical PCB77-binding DNA aptamer biosensor for selective detection of PCB77. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, 771, 45–49. doi:10.1016/j.jelechem.2016.04.003
- Wu, W., Zhao, S., Mao, Y., Fang, Z., Lu, X., & Zeng, L. (2015). A sensitive lateral flow biosensor for Escherichia coli O157: H7 detection based on aptamer mediated strand displacement amplification. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 861, 62–68. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2014.12.041
- Wu, Y., Liu, L., Zhan, S., Wang, F., & Zhou, P. (2012). Ultrasensitive aptamer biosensor for arsenic (III) detection in aqueous solution based on surfactant-induced aggregation of gold nanoparticles. *The Analyst*, 137(18), 4171–4178. doi:10.1039/c2an35711a
- Xu, Y., Yang, L., Ye, X., He, P., & Fang, Y. (2006). An aptamer based protein biosensor by detecting the amplified impedance signal. *Electroanalysis*, 18(15), 1449–1456. doi:10.1002/elan.200603566
- Yan, C., Zhang, J., Yao, L., Xue, F., Lu, J., Li, B., & Chen, W. (2018). Aptamer-mediated colorimetric method for rapid and sensitive detection of chloramphenicol in food. *Food Chemistry*, 260, 208–212. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.04.014
- Yan, X. R., Gao, X. W., Yao, L. H., & Zhang, Z. Q. (2004). Novel methods to detect cytokines by enzyme-linked oligonucleotide assay. *Sheng wu Gong Cheng Xue ba Chinese Journal of Biotechnology*, 20(5), 679–682.
- Yáñez-Sedeño, P., Agüí, L., Villalonga, R., & Pingarrón, J. M. (2014). Biosensors in forensic analysis. A review. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 823, 1–19. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2014.03.011
- Yang, X., Yang, M., Pang, B., Vara, M., & Xia, Y. (2015). Gold nanomaterials at work in biomedicine. *Chemical Reviews*, 115(19), 10410–10488. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00193
- Yang, Y., Kang, M., Fang, S., Wang, M., He, L., Zhao, J., ... Zhang, Z. (2015). Electrochemical biosensor based on three-dimensional reduced graphene oxide and polyaniline nanocomposite for selective detection of mercury ions. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 214, 63–69. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2015.02.127
- Yang, Y., Yin, S., Li, Y., Lu, D., Zhang, J., & Sun, C. (2017). Application of aptamers in detection and chromatographic purification of antibiotics in different matrices. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 95, 1–22. doi:10.1016/j.trac.2017.07.023
- Yang, Z., Qian, J., Yang, X., Jiang, D., Du, X., Wang, K., ... Wang, K. (2015). A facile label-free colorimetric aptasensor for acetamiprid based on the peroxidase-like activity of hemin-functionalized reduced graphene oxide. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 65, 39–46. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2014.10.004



- Yildirim, N., Long, F., He, M., Shi, H. C., & Gu, A. Z. (2014). A portable optic fiber aptasensor for sensitive, specific and rapid detection of bisphenol-A in water samples. *Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts*, 16(6), 1379–1386. doi:10.1039/C4EM00046C
- Yuan, F., Zhao, H., Wang, X., & Quan, X. (2017). Determination of oxytetracycline by a graphene—Gold nanoparticle-based colorimetric aptamer sensor. *Analytical Letters*, 50(3), 544–553. doi:10.1080/00032719.2016.1187160
- Yuan, M., Song, Z., Fei, J., Wang, X., Xu, F., Cao, H., & Yu, J. (2017). Aptasensor for lead (II) based on the use of a quartz crystal microbalance modified with gold nanoparticles. *Microchimica Acta*, 184(5), 1397–1403. doi:10.1007/s00604-017-2135-1
- Zal, T., & Gascoigne, N. R. (2004). Photobleaching-corrected FRET efficiency imaging of live cells. *Biophysical Journal*, 86(6), 3923–3933.
- Zeng, G., Zhang, C., Huang, D., Lai, C., Tang, L., Zhou, Y., ... Cheng, M. (2017). Practical and regenerable electrochemical aptasensor based on nanoporous gold and thymine-Hg²⁺-thymine base pairs for Hg²⁺ detection. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 90, 542–548. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2016.10.018
- Zhan, X., Hu, G., Wagberg, T., Zhan, S., Xu, H., & Zhou, P. (2016). Electrochemical aptasensor for tetracycline using a screen-printed carbon electrode modified with an alginate film containing reduced graphene oxide and magnetite (Fe₃O₄) nanoparticles. *Microchimica Acta*, 183(2), 723–729. doi:10.1007/s00604-015-1718-y
- Zhang, G., Li, T., Zhang, J., & Chen, A. (2018). A simple FRET-based turn-on fluorescent aptasensor for 17β-estradiol determination in environmental water, urine and milk samples. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 273, 1648–1653. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2018.07.066
- Zhang, J., Li, S., Liu, F., Zhou, L., Shao, N., & Zhao, X. (2015). SELEX aptamer used as a probe to detect circulating tumor cells in peripheral blood of pancreatic cancer patients. *PLoS One*, 10(3), e0121920. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121920
- Zhang, W., Liu, Q. X., Guo, Z. H., & Lin, J. S. (2018). Practical application of aptamer-based biosensors in detection of low molecular weight pollutants in water sources. *Molecules*, 23(2), 344.
- Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Zhu, W., Wang, J., Yue, X., Liu, W., ... Wang, J. (2017). Simultaneous colorimetric determination of bisphenol A and bisphenol S via a multi-level DNA circuit mediated by aptamers and gold nanoparticles. *Microchimica Acta*, 184(3), 951–959. doi:10.1007/s00604-017-2092-8
- Zhao, R., Jia, D., Wen, Y., & Yu, X. (2017). Cantilever-based aptasensor for trace level detection of nerve agent simulant in aqueous matrices. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 238, 1231–1239. doi:10.1016/j.snb.2016.09.089
- Zhao, Z., Chen, H., Ma, L., Liu, D., & Wang, Z. (2015). A label-free electrochemical impedance aptasensor for cylindrospermopsin detection based on thionine-graphene nanocomposites. *The Analyst*, 140(16), 5570–5577. doi:10.1039/C5AN00704F
- Zhou, G., Wilson, G., Hebbard, L., Duan, W., Liddle, C., George, J., & Qiao, L. (2016). Aptamers: A promising chemical antibody for cancer therapy. *Oncotarget*, 7(12), 13446. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.7178
- Zhu, H., Suter, J., White, I., & Fan, X. (2006). Aptamer based microsphere biosensor for thrombin detection. *Sensors*, 6(8), 785–795. doi:10.3390/s6080785
- Zhu, Y., Zeng, G. M., Zhang, Y., Tang, L., Chen, J., Cheng, M., ... He, Y. B. (2014). Highly sensitive electrochemical sensor using a MWCNTs/GNPs-modified electrode for lead (II) detection based on Pb²⁺-induced G-rich DNA conformation. *The Analyst*, 139(19), 5014–5020. doi:10.1039/C4AN00874J



- Zhu, Z., Song, Y., Li, C., Zou, Y., Zhu, L., An, Y., & Yang, C. J. (2014). Monoclonal surface display SELEX for simple, rapid, efficient, and cost-effective aptamer enrichment and identification. *Analytical Chemistry*, 86(12), 5881–5888. doi:[10.1021/ac501423g](https://doi.org/10.1021/ac501423g)
- Zhuang, Y., Deng, H., Su, Y., He, L., Wang, R., Tong, G., ... Zhu, X. (2016). Aptamer-functionalized and backbone redox-responsive hyperbranched polymer for targeted drug delivery in cancer therapy. *Biomacromolecules*, 17(6), 2050–2062. doi:[10.1021/acs.biomac.6b00262](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biomac.6b00262)
- Zimmermann, B., Bilusic, I., Lorenz, C., & Schroeder, R. (2010). Genomic SELEX: A discovery tool for genomic aptamers. *Methods (San Diego, Calif.)*, 52(2), 125–132. doi:[10.1016/j.ymeth.2010.06.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2010.06.004)

