

Article

Novel Cast Polyurethanes Obtained by Using Reactive Phosphorus-Containing Polyol: Synthesis, Thermal Analysis and Combustion Behaviors

Izabela Zagożdżon, Paulina Parcheta  and Janusz Datta *

Department of Polymer Technology, Faculty of Chemistry, Gdansk University of Technology,
G. Narutowicza St. 11/12, 80-233 Gdańsk, Poland; izabela.zagozdzon@pg.edu.pl (I.Z.);
paulina.parcheta@pg.edu.pl (P.P.)

* Correspondence: janusz.datta@pg.edu.pl

Abstract: Phosphorus-containing polyol applications in polyurethane synthesis can prevent volatilization of flame retardants and their migration on the surface of a material. In this work, novel cast polyurethanes were prepared by a one-step method with the use of different amounts of phosphorus-containing polyol, 4,4'-diphenylmethane diisocyanate and 1,4-butanediol. The chemical structure, thermal, physicochemical and mechanical properties and flame resistance of the prepared materials were investigated. The results obtained for cast flame-retarded polyurethanes were compared with cast polyurethane synthesized with commonly known polyether polyol. It has been shown that with an increasing amount of phosphorus content to polyurethane's chemical structure, an increased flame resistance and char yield were found during combustion tests. Phosphorus polyol worked in both the condensed (reduced heat and mass exchange) and gas phase (inhibition of flame propagation during burning). The obtained materials contained phosphorus polyol, indicating higher thermal stability in an oxidative environment than an inert atmosphere.

Keywords: phosphorus-containing polyol; cast polyurethanes; flame retardants; thermal properties; combustion behaviors.



Citation: Zagożdżon, I.; Parcheta, P.; Datta, J. Novel Cast Polyurethanes Obtained by Using Reactive Phosphorus-Containing Polyol: Synthesis, Thermal Analysis and Combustion Behaviors. *Materials* **2021**, *14*, 2699. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14112699>

Academic Editor:
Nicolas Sbirrazzuoli

Received: 27 April 2021
Accepted: 17 May 2021
Published: 21 May 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Cast polyurethanes are characterized by good physical and chemical properties and also high durability; therefore, they are commonly known in the plastic industry. They are often used to produce suspension bushes, boat trailer rollers, seals for pumps, cyclones liners and spigots, conveyor belts or encapsulation electrical and electronic elements. Their properties depend on the type of used components, chemical structure, average molecular weight, method of polymerization and the molar ratio of isocyanate groups to hydroxyl groups [NCO]/[OH] [1–3]. However, polyurethanes (PUs) have disadvantages, with the main one being high flammability. Therefore, the use of appropriate flame retardants is important to reduce the burning rate and examine the combustion behaviors of these materials [4,5].

The main components used in cast polyurethane production are polyols, isocyanates and low molecular weight chain extenders. Polyols are dominant components that can pose even more than 60 wt.% of PUs [1,6]. Due to the high flammability of polyurethanes, in order to increase their usage security, the combustion inhibitors are used. They can be divided into two groups: additive and reactive flame retardants (FRs). The first are added to the polymer during its processing. This type often require the use of additional flame retardants in order to achieve a synergistic effect. The reactive flame retardants form compounds containing functional groups, which can be chemically incorporated into the polymer chain during synthesis. This method prevents volatilization or migration of the combustion inhibitor to the surface of the material [7,8]. The most popular and promising substances used in recent years to obtain polyurethanes with improved

thermal stability and flame retardancy are metal compounds [5,9,10], melamine and its derivatives [11], boron compounds [12], polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxanes [13], functionalized graphene oxide [14,15], expanded graphite [16], carbon nanotubes [17] and phosphorus-containing substances. Due to environmental and health aspects, some halogenated substances, e.g., brominated and chlorinated compounds, are excluded from use, despite their high effectiveness [18,19].

Phosphorus flame retardants work in the condensed or the gas phase. They contribute to the formation of a char on the surface of the solid phase, which limits further access of heat and air to the burning material. They also prevent entering material decomposition gas products into a flame zone. As a result of the thermal decomposition of phosphorus compounds, phosphoric acid is mostly formed, which condenses quickly to obtain pyrophosphate structures (P-O-P) and H₂O. Phosphorus protects the polymer and the water rarefies the oxidizing gas phase. Phosphorus-containing combustion inhibitors can also take on the role of free radical scavengers in a gas phase. When they are incorporated into the polymer structure, they play an effective role in reducing heat release [18,20].

Phosphorous-based flame retardants comprise a wide range of products. Among them are red phosphorus (RP), inorganic phosphates and organic–inorganic compounds containing incorporated phosphorus atoms. However, red phosphorous has a major disadvantage, which is the release of highly toxic phosphine during melting and requires microencapsulation (mRP) [18,20]. Regarding ammonium polyphosphate (APP), there are some problems with its practical use. It is characterized by low flame retardancy, which makes it necessary to use it in large amounts. The disadvantage of using APP is the need to add it to PU together with a synergistic flame retardant [21].

Organophosphorus monomers are incorporated directly into the polyurethane structure. A. H. Yang et al. [22] obtained a polyphosphate ester containing Schiff-bases (SPE) and used it for the synthesis of thermoplastic polyurethane elastomers. It was shown that, with increasing amount of SPE, the content of residue at 700 °C in the thermogravimetric analysis also increased. The elastomer with the highest SPE content was classified as V-0 during the UL-94 vertical test, the LOI value was 29% and the heat release rate decreased by 61.7% compared to materials without SPE. W. H. Rao et al. [23] obtained phosphorus polyol (PDEO) by the reaction of phenylphosphonic dichloride and with ethylene glycol. Synthesized PDEO was used for the preparation of polyurethane materials. The incorporation of PDEO into the polymer matrix resulted in increased thermal stability and improved fire resistance. The residues at 600 °C in the TGA and LOI tests increased with the higher PDEO content in PU. H. Chen et al. [24] prepared a series of waterborne polyurethanes with different contents of reactive organophosphate Exolit[®] OP 550. The obtained materials showed significantly higher char yields compared to the material without Exolit[®] OP 550. Although the mechanical properties worsened, the combustion behaviors greatly improved—the LOI increased to 32% at 10 wt% organophosphate content.

The aim of this work was to investigate the novel cast polyurethanes characterized by improved flame retardancy and thermal stability, which could be used to encapsulate electrical and electronic elements. The obtained materials were synthesized by using different amounts of reactive phosphorus-containing polyol via a one-step polymerization method. The combustion behaviors were measured by a cone calorimeter, limiting oxygen index (LOI) method and the vertical burning test (UL-94). Thermal properties were performed with thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), both in nitrogen and air atmospheres, and dynamic mechanical thermal analysis (DMTA) measurements. Moreover, the chemical structure and physicochemical and mechanical properties were also investigated.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Polytetrahydrofuran (PTHF) (molecular weight: 1000 g/mol, hydroxyl number: 106.9–118.1 mg KOH/g, functionality: 2) was obtained from Cortex Chemicals (Tarnów, Poland). Highly reactive, halogen-free polyol, containing built-in phosphorus atoms,

Exolit® OP 550 (OP) (molecular weight: 680 g/mol, hydroxyl number: 170 mg KOH/g, phosphorus content: 16.0–18.0% w/w, functionality: 2), was kindly supplied by Clariant (Frankfurt, Germany). 4,4'-Diphenylmethane diisocyanate (MDI) was purchased from BorsodChem (Bolyai, Hungary) and 1,4-butanediol (BDO) was obtained from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

2.2. Synthesis of Polyurethanes

Before the syntheses, PTHF, phosphorus polyol (OP) and low molecular chain extender (BDO) were dried under vacuum for 2 h at a temperature of 95–100 °C.

The reference polyurethane was synthesized by the polyaddition reaction of dried polytetrahydrofuran (30.46 g) with 4,4'-diphenylmethane diisocyanate (20.04 g) and dried 1,4-butanediol (4.29 g). All monomers were mixed at 70 °C for 1.5 min under vacuum. After degassing, the mixture was poured into a preheated mold (80 °C).

Polyurethanes, which contain incorporated phosphorus atoms, were synthesized by the method described in patent application no. P.434425 (Polish Patent Office). All substrates used for the synthesis were heated to 70 °C. For preparation of material coded FPU-8.5, firstly, dried OP (27.84 g) was mixed with MDI (22.66 g) and dried BDO (4.29 g) under vacuum at a temperature of 70 °C. The reaction was carried out until the viscosity increased visibly (max. 6 min). After mixing and degassing, the mixture was poured into a preheated mold (80 °C). Figure 1 shows the scheme of the synthesis of new flame-retarded cast polyurethanes.




Figure 1. Scheme of the synthesis of flame-retarded cast polyurethanes by one-step method.

All cast PUs was cured at 80 °C for 24 h in a laboratory oven to complete the reaction between [NCO] and [OH] groups. The molar ratio of [NCO]/[OH] groups equaled 1.0 for all polyurethane materials. The flame-retarded polyurethanes differed in the molar ratios of substrates and percentage contents of phosphorous atoms (FPU-8.5, FPU-9.0 and FPU-9.5). The reference material was labeled as REF and it did not have any incorporated phosphorus atoms. Formulations of materials and a quantity of phosphorus in PUs are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. The formulations of synthesized materials.

PU Materials	PTHF (wt%)	OP (wt%)	MDI (wt%)	BDO (wt%)	Phosphorus (wt%)	Molar Ratio MDI:polyol:BDO
REF	55.59	-	36.58	7.83	0.00	1.00:0.38:0.60
FPU-8.5	-	50.81	41.36	7.83	8.63	1.00:0.45:0.53
FPU-9.0	-	53.34	39.73	6.93	9.07	1.00:0.50:0.49
FPU-9.5	-	55.87	38.15	5.98	9.50	1.00:0.54:0.44

2.3. Methods

The Fourier transform infrared spectra (FTIR) were acquired with the use of Nicolet FTIR 8700 spectrophotometer (Thermo Electron Corporation). The wavenumber ranged from 500 to 4000 cm^{-1} and the spectra were registered at room temperature with a resolution of 4 cm^{-1} and 64 scans. A carbonyl hydrogen bonding index (R), a degree of phase separation (DPS) and a degree of phase mixing (DPM) have been calculated according to the formulas:

$$R = \frac{A_z}{A_w} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{DPS} = \frac{R}{R + 1} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{DPM} = 1 - \text{DPS} \quad (3)$$

where A_z is an absorbance value of hydrogen-bonded carbonyl groups at the urethane bonds and A_w is the absorbance value of free carbonyl groups from the urethane bonds [1,25].

Hard (HS) and soft segment (SS) contents have been calculated according to the formulas:

$$\text{HS} = \frac{m_{\text{MDI}} + m_{\text{BDO}}}{m_{\text{MDI}} + m_{\text{BDO}} + m_{\text{OP}}} \cdot 100\% \quad (4)$$

$$\text{SS} = \frac{m_{\text{OP}}}{m_{\text{MDI}} + m_{\text{BDO}} + m_{\text{OP}}} \cdot 100\% \quad (5)$$

where m_{MDI} , m_{BDO} and m_{OP} are mass of MDI, BDO, OP [g], respectively [26].

X-ray diffraction (XRD) was used in order to determine the structural properties of the obtained flame-retarded cast polyurethanes. The measurements were conducted with a Phillips X'Pert Pro diffractometer (XRD) with $\text{CuK}\alpha$ radiation of wavelength $\lambda = 1.540 \text{ \AA}$ in 2θ angel in the range from 10 to 90° under 40 kV and 30 mA, at room temperature in air atmosphere.

Dynamic mechanical thermal analysis (DMTA) was conducted using a DMA Q800 Analyzer (TA Instruments) in accordance with the ISO 6721-1:2019 standard [27]. The 40 mm \times 10 mm \times 2 mm stripe-shaped samples were thermostated at -100°C for 1 min, then heated from -100°C to 150°C with a rate of $4^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out using a NETZSCH TG 209F3 analyzer. The weight of each sample was ca. 10 mg. The measurement temperature ranged from 35 to 700°C , at a rate of $10^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$. The analyses have been conducted both in nitrogen and air atmospheres.

Vertical burning test (UL-94) was performed in accordance with the PN-EN 60695-11-10:2014-02 standard [28]. The material samples with dimensions of 127 mm \times 12.7 mm \times 2 mm were placed vertically in the holder. Then, they were exposed to the fire at an angle of approximately 45° for 10 s and the fire was removed. When burning was stopped, the sample was exposed to the fire for an additional 10 s.

Limited oxygen index (LOI) values were measured using Concept Equipment oxygen index meter on stripe-shaped samples of size 100 mm \times 10 mm \times 4 mm according to the PN-EN ISO 4589-2:2017-06 standard [29].

Cone calorimetry (CC) was conducted using iCone mini calorimeter by Fire Testing Technology according to ISO 5660-1:2015 [30] under heat flux of $50 \text{ kW}/\text{m}^2$. The dimension

of specimens was 100 mm × 100 mm × 4 mm. A flame spread rate (FSR) has been calculated according to the formula:

$$FSR = \frac{pHRR}{TTI} \left(\frac{kW}{m^2 \cdot s} \right) \quad (6)$$

where pHRR is a maximum heat release rate (kW/m²) and TTI (s) is a time to ignition [31].

Tensile properties were carried out using a Zwick/Roell Z020 universal testing machine according to the PN-EN ISO 527-1:2020-01 standard [32]. The tensile tests were performed at room temperature. The paddle-shaped samples were tested at a rate of 100 mm/min.

Hardness was measured in accordance with the PN-EN ISO 868:2005 standard [33] by using a Zwick/Roell Shore durometer, type D. All measurements were carried out at room temperature. The presented results are the mean values of hardness based on ten independent measurements.

Density of materials was determined by using a RADWAG electronic analytical balance, in accordance with PN-EN ISO 1183-1:2019-05 standard [34]. The measurements were performed at room temperature with the use of methanol as an immersion liquid.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy Results (FTIR)

Figure 2 shows the FTIR spectra of all synthesized materials. The vibrations derived from characteristic functional groups have been analyzed. N–H stretching vibrations derived from the urethane group were registered at the wavenumber 3320 cm^{−1}. The peak at 2940 cm^{−1} corresponds to asymmetric vibrations of the –CH₂ groups, while the symmetrical vibration of the –CH₂ groups occurred at 2855 cm^{−1}. The lack of a visible peak at 2270 cm^{−1} confirmed the almost complete conversion of isocyanate groups to urethane groups. Depending on the origin, the peak indicating the symmetric vibration of a carbonyl groups could occur in the range 1670–1750 cm^{−1} [35]. The multiplet band of stretching vibrations of the C=O group, derived from the urethane group, appeared at 1730 cm^{−1} for free carbonyl groups, when at 1700 cm^{−1} the band of the hydrogen-bonded carbonyl groups is visible. The peak assigned at 1597 cm^{−1} was attributable to the N–H bending vibrations. The stretching vibrations of C–N bonding occurred at 1529 cm^{−1}. At 1414 cm^{−1}, the bending vibrations of the methylene groups are visible. The band presented at 1223 cm^{−1} was derived from stretching vibrations of the carbamate groups –C(O)O. The peaks of materials containing OP (FPU-8.5, FPU-9.0 and FPU-9.5) showed, at this wavenumber, higher absorbance values—in this instance, the band derived from the stretching vibrations of –P=O bond was overlapped to the peak of carbamate groups. Bands at 1088 cm^{−1} corresponded to the stretching vibrations of the ether groups in the REF material. Stretching vibrations at 1017 and 979 cm^{−1} confirmed the presence of P–O–C groups in OP-containing polyurethanes. Stretching vibrations of –CH groups derived from the aromatic ring are visible at 800 cm^{−1}. Spectra of materials containing OP confirm the incorporation of phosphorus polyol into the chain of obtained polyurethanes [24,35–37].

Table 2 contains the values of hydrogen bond index (R), degree of phase separation (DPS), degree of phase mixing (DPM) after the deconvolution of spectrum in the carbonyl regions and the hard (HS) and soft (SS) segment contents in the obtained PUs. The hard segments of polyurethane are derived from isocyanate and low molecular weight chain extender, while the soft segments are derived from polyol [26]. The presented results confirmed the degree of phase separation in the range of 0.60–0.70 for all tested samples of PU materials. A greater content of hydrogen bonds between urethane groups can be obtained due to a higher amount of diisocyanate [25]. The highest degree of phase separation was revealed in FPU-8.5, which characterized also the highest content of HS (49.19%). The strong hydrogen bond between the hard segments is one of the most important factors in microphase separation [1,25].




Figure 2. FTIR spectra of polyurethanes containing different amounts of phosphorus polyol (FPU-9.5, FPU-9.0, FPU-8.5) and reference material (REF).

Table 2. The values of hydrogen bond index (R), degree of phase separation (DPS), degree of phase mixing (DPM), and hard (HS) and soft (SS) segment contents of the polyurethanes.

PU Materials	HS (%)	SS (%)	R	DPS	DPM
REF	44.41	55.59	1.48	0.60	0.40
FPU-8.5	49.19	50.81	2.03	0.70	0.30
FPU-9.0	46.66	53.34	1.79	0.64	0.36
FPU-9.5	44.13	55.87	1.74	0.64	0.36

For polyurethane materials containing OP, the hydrogen bond index decreases with increasing amount of phosphorus polyol [38]. However, the presence of OP in the PU structure increased the DPS values compared to the REF material. Comparing materials REF and FPU-9.5, which had similar contents of hard and soft segments, due to the lower molecular weight of phosphorous polyol (680 g/mol) compared to PTHF (1000 g/mol) and therefore its shorter chain, there was a greater possibility of more urethane bond formation in OP-containing materials [39].

3.2. X-ray Diffraction (XRD)

The XRD results obtained for REF, FPU-8.5, FPU-9.0 and FPU-9.5 materials are presented in Figure 3. All prepared materials exhibit the typical XRD pattern with the most important diffraction signals at 2θ values 20.0, 19.9, 19.7 and 19.5 for REF, FPU-9.5, FPU-9.0 and FPU-8.5, respectively. The most important diffraction signals at 2θ values correspond to the (020) crystal plane [40]. All PUs show a typical crystal phase. Moreover, it was observed that the crystalline structures of the obtained materials decrease with incorporation of phosphorus-containing polyol in the polyurethane structure. The XRD pattern of FPU-9.5 shows a broad lump between 15 and 25°, which is associated with the increase in the amorphous domain at the polyol crystal structure [41]. The addition of OP could not change the crystalline structure, but could decrease the crystallinity of FPU-9.5 structure due to the decrease in the height of the diffraction peaks. We can probably claim that the presence of OP-containing polyol in the macromolecular chain is responsible for disrupting chain regularity and packing in case of polyurethanes [42].




Figure 3. XRD results of the obtained materials.

3.3. Dynamic Mechanical Thermal Analysis (DMTA)

Table 3 and Figure 4 show the results of the dynamic mechanical thermal analysis as a function of the storage modulus (E'), loss modulus (E'') and damping factor ($\tan \delta$) versus temperature (T). ΔT is a temperature range of efficient damping ($\tan \delta > 0.3$) and S is an integral area in the range of 140 °C in $\tan \delta$ -temperature curve [43].

Table 3. Values of damping factor ($\tan \delta$), the glass transition temperature of the soft segments (T_{gSS}), storage modulus corresponding to this temperature (E'_{SS}), storage modulus at room temperature ($E'_{23^\circ C}$), the peak of the loss modulus (E''), the temperature range of efficient damping (ΔT) and integral area $\tan \delta$ peaks (S).

PU Materials	$\tan \delta$	T_{gSS} (°C)	E'_{SS} (MPa)	$E'_{23^\circ C}$ (MPa)	E'' (MPa)	ΔT (°C)	S
REF	0.26	−21.2	394	93	176.6	-	13.48
FPU-8.5	0.24	25.1	624	719	248.3	-	15.74
FPU-9.0	0.33	30.5	471	927	248.3	11.46	17.00
FPU-9.5	0.39	38.4	354	1398	261.7	16.75	18.06

The results indicate that, with decreasing percentage of hard segments in the OP-containing polyurethane materials, the value of $\tan \delta$ increases. The materials with the highest phosphorus polyol contents (FPU-9.0 and FPU-9.5) had $\tan \delta$ values above 0.3, which proved they good damping properties. For these materials, ΔT was specified, which is defined as the damping temperature range. $\tan \delta$ values for REF and FPU-8.5 were similar. S was calculated from $\tan \delta$ peaks, for FPU in the range −25 to 115 °C and −70 to 70 °C for REF. This is an additional supplementary indicator to measure damping performance and increased with increasing OP amount. ΔT and S parameters were the highest for polyurethane, which had 9.5 wt% phosphorous atoms content [43].

The presence of OP in the polyurethane structure contributed to an increase in the glass transition temperatures by up to 60 °C, compared to the reference material, the T_{gSS} value for FPU-9.5 was 38.4 °C when for REF was −21.2 °C. This implies that OP-containing PUs were in a glassy state at room temperature. Obtained materials were elastomers. One of the reasons for this is the low molecular weight of phosphorus-containing polyol [44]. Moreover, the incorporation of an organophosphorus substrate in the polyurethane chain

may result in more ordered structures, which led to a limited movement of PU chains and increased the glass transition temperature [45]. The T_{gSS} values for polyurethanes containing OP were in the range of 25.1–38.4 °C and increased with the amount of phosphorus polyol. Polymers with higher T_{gSS} values have higher flame retardant activity [4], thus the obtained results could indicate an increase in the fire resistance of materials containing OP compared to REF.




Figure 4. DTMA results: (a) the logarithm of storage modulus ($\log E'$) and loss modulus (E''); (b) and the damping factor ($\tan \delta$) as a function of the temperature (T) of the obtained polyurethanes.

The storage modulus values for PU materials containing OP significantly exceed the value of the reference polyurethane at room temperature (23 °C). These materials were more rigid and higher stresses were needed for their deformation [36]. For REF, the $E'_{23^\circ\text{C}}$ was 93 MPa, while for FPU-8.5, FPU-9.0 and FPU-9.5: 719, 927 and 1398 MPa, respectively. The values of $E'_{23^\circ\text{C}}$ and E'' increased with an increasing amount of phosphorus polyol, despite higher content of soft segments. The presence of the phosphate group could be a kind of a spatial hindrance—the OP chain is less flexible compared to polytetrahydrofurane. Materials with a higher loss modulus irreversibly lose less energy [36].

Implementation of phosphorus polyol in the PU chain improved the dynamic-mechanical properties at the ambient temperature. At higher temperatures, the chains loosen up and the situation was opposite—the E'_{SS} value at 60 °C was the lowest for FPU-9.5 (96 MPa) and the highest for FPU-8.5 (184 MPa).

3.4. Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)

Figure 5 shows the TGA and DTG curves of polyurethane materials containing different amounts of phosphorus polyol or PTHF. The tests were carried out in nitrogen (N_2) and air (N_2/O_2) atmospheres. Tables 4 and 5 contain a set of obtained results.

Table 4. TGA and DTG results for PU materials tested under nitrogen atmosphere.

PU Materials	$T_{5\%}$ (°C)	$T_{50\%}$ (°C)	$T_{70\%}$ (°C)	$T_{OP\ max}$ (°C)	$T_{HS\ max}$ (°C)	$T_{SS\ max}$ (°C)	Y (%)
REF	304.7	319.7	424.7	-	319.7	422.3	7.28
FPU-8.5	218.4	285.7	393.2	246.3	293.4	310.4	23.91
FPU-9.0	220.6	288.8	408.2	246.3	294.2	308.4	24.94
FPU-9.5	212.7	297.8	513.3	246.6	329.5	358.7	24.37



Table 5. TGA and DTG results for PU materials tested under air atmosphere.

PU Materials	T _{5%} (°C)	T _{50%} (°C)	T _{70%} (°C)	T _{1 max} (°C)	T _{2 max} (°C)	T _{3 max} (°C)	T _{4 max} (°C)	T _{5 max} (°C)	T _{6 max} (°C)	T _{7 max} (°C)	Y (%)
REF	307.3	397.3	464.8	317.3	334.8	347.3	367.3	382.3	409.8	502.3	0.00
FPU-8.5	216.6	448.4	628.4	243.3	306.4	451.3	-	-	-	-	25.16
FPU-9.0	217.7	449.9	640.7	242.6	317.5	458.8	-	-	-	-	26.92
FPU-9.5	217.2	459.2	665.7	245.2	316.5	476.9	-	-	-	-	30.14

The symbols T_{5%}, T_{50%} and T_{70%} correspond to temperatures at which the mass loss was 5%, 50% and 70%, respectively. Y is the percentage amount of residual mass of the polyurethane materials after test at 665 °C. Temperatures of maximum rates of mass loss are marked with the symbols T_{OP max}, T_{HS max} and T_{SS max} in the nitrogen atmosphere and from T_{1 max} to T_{7 max} in the air atmosphere.




Figure 5. Thermogravimetric analysis results: TGA curves for materials tested in the (a) nitrogen and (c) air atmospheres and DTG curves for materials tested in the (b) nitrogen and (d) air atmospheres.

The degradation of the reference material in the nitrogen atmosphere took place in three stages (Figure 5b). The first weight loss peak for the REF was observed at 319.7 °C, and it corresponded to the degradation of hard segments (T_{HS max}). The second one, which occurred at 351.7 °C, was probably caused by unreacted polyol residue after one-step

method synthesis [46]. The last peak was related to the degradation of soft segments ($T_{SS\ max}$) and was at a temperature of 422.3 °C.

The decomposition of polyurethanes containing OP in the nitrogen atmosphere consisted of three degradation steps (Figure 5b). The first peak on the DTG curve ($T_{OP\ max}$) corresponded to the degradation of the phosphate groups. The subsequent peaks at $T_{HS\ max}$ and $T_{SS\ max}$ temperatures concerned the degradation of urethane groups in the rigid segments and polyol chains in the soft segments, respectively [47]. This probably resulted from the energy of P–O bond, which is much lower than the C–O bond in the main chain of polyurethane. For P–O, this is 149 kJ/mol and for C–O this is equal to 256 kJ/mol [26,48]. The $T_{HS\ max}$ and $T_{SS\ max}$ temperatures of OP-containing PUs were typically much lower compared to the reference material tested in the nitrogen— $T_{SS\ max}$ differed by up to 100 °C (Table 4). The presence of the phosphoric acid from the degradation of OP could catalyze the depolymerization of the urethane bond in the second step and contribute to the dehydration of the polyol in the third step [26,38,47,49].

Comparing the results of $T_{5\%}$ for OP-containing materials, it can be seen that FPU-8.5 and FPU-9.0 reached the highest temperatures in the initial degradation stage (Figure 5a). At temperatures corresponding to 50% weight loss, the situation was the opposite—the highest $T_{50\%}$ value was achieved by the FPU-9.5. Comparing $T_{70\%}$ results for FPU-8.5, FPU-9.0 and FPU-9.5 materials, it can be seen that, with increasing phosphorus polyol content, these temperatures were higher and amounted to 393.2, 408.2 and 513.3 °C, respectively. OP accelerates the initial stage of degradation, but stabilizes the final decomposition of the material. Moreover, the incorporation of phosphorus into the polyurethane chain lowers the initial decomposition temperature but accelerates the char yield formation at high temperatures [23,47]. The Y values after testing in the N_2 atmosphere for FPUs, were even three times higher compared to the reference PU and ranged from 23.91% to 24.94% (Table 4).

Thermal decomposition of reference polyurethane in an oxidative environment was more complex than in an inert atmosphere (Figure 5c,d, Table 5). There were seven peaks of decomposition in the air atmosphere, which suggested an overlapping of multiple pyrolysis and oxidative reactions. The first peak in the DTG curve at about 322.2 °C ($T_{1\ max}$) corresponded to the degradation of PU under oxygen influence into oligomeric compounds, low molecular weight compounds containing hydroxyl groups, CO_2 and water. Then, the peak related to urethane bonds pyrolysis was observed at $T_{2\ max}$. The peaks at $T_{3\ max}$ and $T_{4\ max}$ corresponded to oxidation (347.3 °C) and pyrolysis (367.3 °C) of unreacted polyol residue, respectively. At $T_{5\ max}$, the oxidation of oligomeric compounds derived from PU chains decomposed with a high degradation rate. At this stage, the char, H_2CO , CH_4 , CO , CO_2 , H_2O and low molecular weight compounds containing –OH groups were probably formed. The next stage of degradation ($T_{6\ max}$) was the pyrolysis of soft segments derived from the PU chains, during which char, compounds containing hydroxyl groups, methane and water can be formed. The last characteristic peak ($T_{7\ max}$) was related to the char oxidation [50].

During the decomposition of polyurethanes containing phosphorus polyol in an oxidizing atmosphere, three stages of degradation on the DTG curves were observed (Figure 5d). The $T_{1\ max}$, $T_{2\ max}$ and $T_{3\ max}$ temperatures corresponded to the degradation of phosphate groups, HS and SS, respectively. A similar course of the DTG curve in the air atmosphere, illustrating the degradation of phosphorus-containing PU, was presented by H. T. Q. Phan et al. [51]. The best thermal stability in N_2/O_2 atmosphere, among all the FPUs, was demonstrated for material, which had the highest amount of phosphorus polyol (FPU-9.5).

OP-containing polyurethanes showed, in the air atmosphere, similar to in the inert atmosphere, faster degradation in the initial stage compared to the reference material. A five percent mass loss for the REF was recorded at 307.3 °C, as well as for FPU-8.5 at 216.6 °C. It was also related to the lower thermal stability of phosphate groups in FPUs compared to ether groups in REF [52]. However, with growing temperature, the OP-

containing materials were much more resistant to oxidation. Temperatures of $T_{50\%}$ were higher by about 50 °C and $T_{70\%}$ even higher by 200 °C for the FPU, compared to the PTHF-containing material. The residual mass after the test for REF equaled to 0.00%, when for OP-containing polyurethanes R values were in the range 25.16–30.14%.

Comparing the effects of OP in the N_2 and N_2/O_2 atmosphere, it can be seen that the initial stage of degradation revealed at similar temperatures ($T_{5\%}$, $T_{OP\ max}$, $T_{1\ max}$). Mass losses of 50% and 70% occurred at much higher temperatures for the materials measured in the oxidizing atmosphere. After the end of the tests (at 665 °C), higher Y values for FPU-9.5 were recorded in the air atmosphere (30.14%), FPU materials were more resistant to degradation in an oxidizing atmosphere, which is significant in real conditions of high-temperature hazards.

3.5. The UL-94 Vertical Burning Test and LOI Results

Table 6 contains the flammability classification of all tested materials, LOI values and observations noted during UL-94 burning test. All polyurethanes containing OP were classified as V-0 according to the UL-94 standard. FPU were characterized by the char formation during burning, which prevented spreading the flame into the material. With increasing the phosphorus content, a shorter range of flame propagation along the material was noted, especially during I flame application. Furthermore, detachment of burning pieces and appearance of dripping drops were not observed. After removing the ignition source, all PUs containing OP were extinguished within 1 s, during both the first and second flame applications.

In contrast to the FPU, the reference material showed significantly lower flame resistance during UL-94 test performance. Flaming droplets dropped from the REF, which ignited the cotton placed on the base. For this reason, REF was classified as V-2. The burning time of this material after removing the ignition source during the first flame application was five times longer than polyurethanes containing incorporated phosphorous atoms. The char formation for PTHF-based PU was not reported.

A limited oxygen index is necessary to measure the fire spread density of a material exposed to fire in the presence of air [53]. Materials with an LOI of less than 21% are classified as highly flammable, as the air contains 21% oxygen. The higher the LOI value, the better the flame retardant properties [18]. The obtained PUs containing OP showed similar results to the LOI values, which are 3% higher than the reference material (22.4%). The presence of phosphorus polyol contributed to the improvement of the flame resistance. LOI values for OP-containing materials could probably be even better when adding suitable flame retardants to achieve a synergistic effect [54].

Table 6. UL-94 and LOI tests results.

PU Materials	Rating	Char Formation	The Burning Time of the Material after Removing the Fire Source		Dripping	LOI (%)
			I Flame Application	II Flame Application		
REF	V-2	no	5 s	2 s	yes	22.4
FPU-8.5	V-0	yes	1 s	1 s	no	25.4
FPU-9.0	V-0	yes	1 s	1 s	no	25.4
FPU-9.5	V-0	yes	1 s	1 s	no	25.2

3.6. Cone Calorimeter (CC)

HRR is one of the key parameters for assessing the combustion behaviors of materials [55]. Figure 6 shows the curves of the heat release rate (HRR) and total heat release (THR). Moreover, time to ignition (TTI), maximum heat release rate (pHRR), flame spread rate (FSR), maximum mass loss rate (pMLR), total heat release (THR), maximum average rate of heat emission (MAHRE), time to flameout (TTF), total oxygen consumed (TOC),

maximum smoke production rate (pSPR), effective heat of combustion of volatiles (EHC), and residue (R) data are presented in Table 7. The pHRR value for reference PU equaled 2232 kW/m^2 . After incorporating phosphorus polyol into the polyurethane chain instead of PTFE, this value was lowered to $643\text{--}664 \text{ kW/m}^2$ for FPU. The reason for appreciable decreases in the pHRR values was the char formation on the surface of OP-containing materials. This char limited heat and mass exchange between PU materials and the flame [55], which was confirmed by the pMLR and THR results. Figure 6a shows that a relatively stable char layer was formed after two small peaks of HRR to alleviate the further FPU combustion [31]. The lowest pHRR value (643 kW/m^2) was noted for FPU-9.5, which had the highest content of incorporated P atoms [56].




Figure 6. (a) Heat release rate and (b) total heat release curves for obtained polyurethanes.

Table 7. Cone calorimetry results, where TTI—time to ignition, pHRR—maximum heat release rate, FSR—flame spread rate, pMLR—maximum mass loss rate, THR—total heat release, MAHRE—maximum average rate of heat emission, TTF—time to flameout, TOC—total oxygen consumed, pSPR—maximum smoke production rate, EHC—effective heat of combustion of volatiles, R—residue.

PU Materials	TTI (s)	pHRR (kW/m^2)	FSR ($\text{kW}/(\text{m}^2\text{s})$)	THR (MJ/m^2)	MAHRE (kW/m^2)	pMLR (g/s)	TTF (s)	TOC (g)	EHC (MJ/kg)	pSPR (m^2/s)	R (%)
REF	24	2232	93.0	132.2	677.9	0.72	591	100.2	20.74	0.22	0.0
FPU-8.5	16	648	40.5	73.8	433.7	0.55	244	56.3	14.01	0.31	26.9
FPU-9.0	15	664	44.3	52.0	454.1	0.42	186	39.9	14.13	0.29	20.7
FPU-9.5	19	643	33.8	47.5	402.6	0.47	154	36.3	12.99	0.40	27.9

As mentioned in the description of the TGA tests, OP accelerates the initial stage of degradation, but stabilizes the final decomposition of the material. Time to ignition (TTI) for the reference PU was the longest and amounted to 24 s. Phosphorus flame retardants have low thermal stabilities, decompose earlier, but protect the underlying PU matrix [57]. Time to flameout (TTF) was about three times longer for REF compared to OP-containing materials and was equal to 591 s with 0.0% residue (R) after testing. The combustion of FPU-8.5, FPU-9.0, and FPU-9.5 ended after 244, 186 and 154 s, respectively, and the R values for these materials were in the range of 20.7–27.9%. Digital photographs of the materials before and after performing the cone calorimeter tests are shown in Figures 7 and 8, respectively.




Figure 7. Polyurethanes before cone calorimeter tests: (a) REF, (b) FPU-8.5, (c) FPU-9.0, (d) FPU-9.5.

Flame spread rate can be calculated based on the pHRR and TTI values [31]. The lower the FSR value, the higher the fire safety of the material. For the reference PU, FSR was $93.0 \text{ kW}/(\text{m}^2\text{s})$, while with an increase in the phosphorus polyol content, these results were 40.5, 44.3, and $33.8 \text{ kW}/(\text{m}^2\text{s})$, respectively.

The values of total heat release (THR) decreased with the increase in the amount of phosphorus in the polyurethanes [56]. THR for REF was $132.2 \text{ MJ}/\text{m}^2$, while for FPU-9.5 was equal to $47.5 \text{ MJ}/\text{m}^2$. The steeper the THR curve, the faster the flame travels [58], as shown in Figure 6b.

MAHRE allows predicting fire advancement under full-scale conditions [55]. In this case, the positive effect of phosphorus polyol was also demonstrated—the value of the maximum average rate of heat emission was lowered. The result for the reference material was reduced from 677.9 to $433.7 \text{ kW}/\text{m}^2$, $454.1 \text{ kW}/\text{m}^2$ and $402.6 \text{ kW}/\text{m}^2$ for FPU-8.5, FPU-9.0, and FPU-9.5, respectively. The values of the maximum mass loss rate (pMLR) decreased by 0.17 – $0.30 \text{ g}/\text{s}$ depending on the amount of added phosphorus polyol, compared to REF.

Phosphorus atoms contribute to the durable and expansive char layer, which not only insulates the material from heat, but also from oxygen access [47,56]. The total oxygen consumed (TOC) decreased by more than 50% for OP-containing PUs compared to the reference material. Reducing the oxygen flow allows accelerating the break of the self-sustaining burning cycle [8]. The lowest TOC value equal to 36.3 g was recorded for FPU-9.5, containing the highest percentage of phosphorus.




Figure 8. Polyurethanes after cone calorimeter tests: (a) REF, (b) FPU-8.5, (c) FPU-9.0, (d) FPU-9.5.

The reduction in EHC, i.e., the effective heat of combustion of volatiles, was attributed to the gas-phase flame retardancy mechanism of OP [22,47]. This gas-phase could generate PO-type radicals and subsequently lead to flame inhibition during combustion [58]. The EHC values for the REF, FPU-8.5, FPU-9.0 and FPU-9.5 polyurethanes were 20.74, 14.01, 14.13, 12.99 MJ/kg, respectively.

Unfortunately, phosphorus flame retardants could contribute to increasing the amount of produced smoke [18,20]. The increase in the maximum smoke production rate (pSPR) for FPU was mainly attributed to the gas-phase action of decomposed phosphorus forms, which led to incomplete combustion [31]. The lowest pSPR value among the polyurethanes including phosphorus polyol was 0.29 m²/s for FPU-9.0, while for REF pSPR was 0.22 m²/s.

3.7. Hardness, Density and Tensile Properties

The results of mechanical resistance, hardness and density of polyurethanes are presented in Table 8. The tensile properties have shown that, along with increasing soft segment contents, the values of the elongation at break and permanent elongation after break, follow an upward trend. The tensile strength for the materials containing OP was in the range of ca. 16 to 19 MPa. The obtained results show the deterioration of the FPU strength properties in comparison with the reference polyurethane. Low ϵ values for FPU

are associated with very high T_{gSS} values for these materials, as determined by DMTA. Nevertheless, the FPU-9.5 showed higher ϵ_t parameters compared to REF.

The PUs containing OP showed higher hardness and density compared to the REF. Large standard deviations of hardness tests results is probably the effect from insufficient heterogeneity of materials at different places caused by the use of the one-step method during synthesis [59]. The lower the amount of phosphorus polyol in the polyurethane, the lower density of the materials.

Table 8. Tensile strength (R_m), elongation at break (ϵ), permanent elongation after break (ϵ_t), hardness (H) and density (ρ) of obtained polyurethanes.

PU Materials	R_m (MPa)	ϵ (%)	ϵ_t (%)	H (ShD)	ρ (g/cm ³)
REF	36.7 ± 1.7	720 ± 5	28.1 ± 0.6	37.9 ± 3.2	1.1243 ± 0.0003
FPU-8.5	16.3 ± 1.8	57 ± 2	18.7 ± 3.7	52.3 ± 5.7	1.3267 ± 0.0006
FPU-9.0	19.6 ± 2.2	63 ± 6	24.0 ± 3.4	53.8 ± 5.5	1.3336 ± 0.0003
FPU-9.5	17.6 ± 0.6	73 ± 1	31.6 ± 3.6	45.7 ± 5.7	1.3342 ± 0.0003

4. Conclusions

In this work, novel cast polyurethanes characterized by improved flame retardancy were prepared by a one-step method with the use of phosphorus-containing polyol. The FTIR spectra of materials containing OP confirm the incorporation of phosphorus polyol in the chain of obtained polyurethanes. The presence of OP in the PU structure improved the degree of phase separation (from 0.64 to 0.70 for FPU) compared to the reference material (0.60 for REF). All prepared materials exhibited the typical XRD pattern with the most important diffraction signals at 2θ values 20.0, 19.9, 19.7 and 19.5 for REF, FPU-9.5, FPU-9.0 and FPU-8.5, respectively. The DMTA results show that better damping ability can be obtained with the higher phosphorus polyol content at the materials. $\tan \delta$ peak values for FPU were in the range 0.24–0.39. FPU were in a glassy state at room temperature and the storage modulus values for these materials significantly exceeded the value for the reference polyurethane. For REF, the $E'_{23\text{ }^\circ\text{C}}$ was 93 MPa, while for FPU-8.5, FPU-9.0 and FPU-9.5, this was 719, 927 and 1398 MPa, respectively. Thermogravimetric analysis showed that OP accelerates the initial stage of degradation but stabilizes the final decomposition of the material by char formation. $T_{50\%}$ and $T_{70\%}$ results for FPU were better under air than nitrogen atmospheres. For example, for FPU-9.0, 50% and 70% mass losses occurred at 449.9 and 640.7 °C under air and at 288.8 and 408.2 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere, respectively. This is the opposite situation for REF mass loss and char values, which were higher under a nitrogen atmosphere. Cone calorimetry tests showed that OP worked in both the condensed and gas phases, contributing to char formation, which limited oxygen access, as well heat and mass exchange, and the production of decomposed phosphorus forms is initiated, which leads to inhibition of flame propagation during burning. The results show improved pHRR, THR, MAHRE, pMLR and EHC values compared to reference material. The maximum mass loss rate for REF was 2232 kW/m² and for FPU this was reduced to the range 643–664 kW/m². The obtained results of the initial process of degradation, the behavior in the presence of oxygen and mass residues after the tests are consistent with the thermogravimetric analysis results. All materials containing OP were classified as V-0 after performing the UL-94 test for them and the LOI values were equal to 25.2–25.4%. With increasing the phosphorus polyol content, better thermal and combustion results were observed. Unfortunately, phosphorus polyol contributed to increasing the amount of produced smoke and deterioration of the FPU strength properties, which should be improved in future scientific research. This facility of the method to produce flame-retarded cast polyurethanes and presented properties makes them promising materials to encapsulate electrical and electronic elements with improved fire safety.

5. Patents

The method of obtaining flame-retarded polyurethanes described in this work was submitted for protection to the Patent Office of the Republic of Poland (patent application no. P.434425).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, I.Z., P.P. and J.D.; methodology, I.Z., P.P. and J.D.; software, I.Z. and P.P.; validation, J.D., I.Z. and P.P.; formal analysis, I.Z., P.P. and J.D.; investigation, I.Z. and P.P.; data curation, I.Z. and P.P.; writing—original draft preparation, I.Z. and P.P.; writing—review and editing, P.P. and J.D.; visualization, I.Z. and P.P.; supervision, J.D. and P.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data is contained within the article.

Acknowledgments: The authors wish to acknowledge Clariant for providing free Exolit[®]OP 550 samples that helped us with our research. The study was also realized with equipment allocated to Kazimierz Wielki University in Bydgoszcz—Department of Polyurethane Chemistry and Technology, Institute of Materials Engineering.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Parcheta, P.; Głowińska, E.; Datta, J. Effect of bio-based components on the chemical structure, thermal stability and mechanical properties of green thermoplastic polyurethane elastomers. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2020**, *123*, 109422. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Głowińska, E.; Datta, J.; Włoch, M.; Róžańska, M. The influence of chemical structure on mechanical and thermomechanical properties of cast biopolyurethane elastomers. *Elastomery* **2018**, *22*, 40–49.
- Clemitsen, I.R. *Castable Polyurethane Elastomers*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2015.
- Ray, S.S.; Kurkuma, M. Halogen-Free Flame-Retardants Polymers. Next-generation Fillers for Polymer Nanocomposite Applications. *Springer Ser. Mater. Sci.* **2020**, *294*, 113.
- Liu, X.; Hao, J.; Gaan, S. Recent studies of decomposition and strategies of smoke and toxicity suppression for polyurethane based materials. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 74742–74756. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Błażek, K.; Datta, J. Renewable natural resources as green alternative substrates to obtain bio-based non-isocyanate polyurethanes—review. *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *49*, 173–211. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Janowska, G.; Przygocki, W.; Włochowicz, A. *Palność Polimerów i Materiałów Polimerowych*; WNT: Warszawa, Poland, 2007.
- Węgrzyn, M. Development of the flame retardants used in polymers. *Zesz. Nauk. Sgsp* **2018**, *65*, 37–53.
- Cai, W.; Zhan, J.; Feng, X.; Yuan, B. Facile Construction of Flame-Retardant-Wrapped Molybdenum Disulfide Nanosheets for Properties Enhancement of Thermoplastic Polyurethane. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2017**, *56*, 25. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Shi, Y.; Yu, B.; Zhou, K.; Yuen, R. Novel CuCo₂O₄/graphitic carbon nitride nanohybrids: Highly effective catalysts for reducing CO generation and fire hazards of thermoplastic polyurethane nanocomposites. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2015**, *293*, 87–96. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Sut, A.; Metzsch-Zilligen, E.; Großhauser, M. Synergy between melamine cyanurate, melamine polyphosphate and aluminum diethylphosphinate in flame retarded thermoplastic polyurethane. *Polym. Test.* **2019**, *74*, 196–204. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Wang, J.; Zang, Y.; Cai, W.; Yao, C. Construction of multifunctional boron nitride nanosheet towards reducing toxic volatiles (CO and HCN) generation and fire hazard of thermoplastic polyurethane. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2018**, *362*, 482–494. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Szołyga, M.; Dutkiewicz, M.; Marciniak, B. Polyurethane composites based on silsesquioxane derivatives of different structures. *J. Anal. Calorim.* **2018**, *132*, 1693–1706. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Du, W.; Jin, Y.; Lai, S.; Shen, Y.; Pan, J. Urethane-silica functionalized graphene oxide for enhancing mechanical property and fire safety of waterborne polyurethane composites. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2019**, *492*, 298–308. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Wang, S.; Gao, R.; Zhou, K. The influence of cerium dioxide functionalized reduced graphene oxide on reducing fire hazards of thermoplastic polyurethane nanocomposites. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2019**, *536*, 127–134. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Wang, G.; Xu, W.; Chen, R.; Li, W. Synergistic effect between zeolitic imidazolate framework-8 and expandable graphite to improve the flame retardancy and smoke suppression of polyurethane elastomer. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2019**, *137*, 48048. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Ji, X.; Chen, D.; Wang, Q.; Shen, J. Synergistic effect of flame retardants and carbon nanotubes on flame retarding and electromagnetic shielding properties of thermoplastic polyurethane. *Compos. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *163*, 49–55. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Atagur, M.; Demiroglu, S. Flame retardancy of Composites and Nanocomposites Based on PU Polymers. *Polyurethane Polym.* **2017**, *17*, 499–524. [[CrossRef](#)]

19. Bhoiyate, S.; Ionescu, M.; Radojicic, D.; Kahol, P.K. Highly flame-retardant bio-based polyurethanes using novel reactive polyols. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2017**, *135*, 46027. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Savas, L.A.; Deniz, T.K.; Tayfun, U.; Dogan, M. Effect of microencapsulated red phosphorus on flame retardant, thermal and mechanical properties of thermoplastic polyurethane composites filled with huntite & hydromagnesite mineral. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2017**, *135*, 121–129. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Chen, X.; Lai, Y.; Gu, Y.; Jiao, C.; Li, S. Effect of Functionalized Carbon Microspheres Combined with Ammonium Polyphosphate on Fire Safety Performance of Thermoplastic Polyurethane. *ACS Omega* **2020**, *5*, 6051–6061. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Yang, A.H.; Deng, C.; Chen, H. A novel Schiff-base polyphosphate ester: Highly-efficient flame retardant for polyurethane elastomer. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2017**, *144*, 70–82. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Rao, W.H.; Zhu, Z.M.; Wang, S.X.; Wang, T.; Wang, Y.Z. A reactive phosphorus-containing polyol incorporated into flexible polyurethane foam: Self-extinguishing behavior and mechanism. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2018**, *153*, 192–200. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Chen, H.; Luo, Y.; Chai, C.; Wang, J. Synthesis and Characterization of Phosphorus-Containing Waterborne Polyurethanes: Effects of the Organophosphonate Content on the Flame Retardancy, Morphology and Film Properties. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2008**, *110*, 3107–3115. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Kasprzyk, P.; Datta, J. Novel bio-based thermoplastic poly(ether-urethane)s. Correlation between the structure, processing and properties. *Polymer* **2019**, *160*, 1–10. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Chiu, S.H.; Wu, C.L.; Lee, H.Y. Synthesis and characterization of novel flame retardant polyurethanes containing designer phosphorus units. *J. Polym. Res.* **2016**, *23*, 205. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. International Organization for Standardization. *Plastics—Determination of Dynamic Mechanical Properties—Part 1: General Principles*; ISO 6721-1:2019-07; International Organization for Standardization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2019.
28. Polski Komitet Normalizacyjny. *Badanie Zagrozenia Ogniwego—Czesc 11-10: Płomienie Probiernicze—Metody Badania Płomieniem Probiernym 50 W przy Poziomym i Pionowym Ustawieniu Próbkki*; PN-EN 60695-11-10:2014-02; Polski Komitet Normalizacyjny: Warsaw, Poland, 2014.
29. Polski Komitet Normalizacyjny. *Tworzywa Sztuczne—Oznaczanie Zapalności Metodą Wskaźnika Tlenowego—Czesc 2: Badanie w Temperaturze Pokojowej*; PN-EN ISO 4589-2:2017-06; Polski Komitet Normalizacyjny: Warsaw, Poland, 2017.
30. International Organization for Standardization. *Reaction-to-Fire Tests—Heat Release, Smoke Production and Mass Loss Rate—Part 1: Heat Release Rate (Cone Calorimeter Method) and Smoke Production Rate (Dynamic Measurement)*; ISO 5660-1:2015; International Organization for Standardization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2015.
31. Wu, N.; Niu, F.; Lang, W.; Yu, J.; Fu, G. Synthesis of reactive phenylphosphoryl glycol ether oligomer and improved flame retardancy and mechanical property of modified rigid polyurethane foams. *Mater. Des.* **2019**, *181*, 107929. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Polski Komitet Normalizacyjny. *Tworzywa Sztuczne—Oznaczanie Właściwości Mechanicznych przy Statycznym Rozciąganiu—Czesc 1: Zasady Ogólne*; PN-EN ISO 527-1:2020-01; Polski Komitet Normalizacyjny: Warsaw, Poland, 2020.
33. Polski Komitet Normalizacyjny. *Tworzywa Sztuczne i Ebonit—Oznaczanie Twardości Metodą Wciskania z Zastosowaniem Twardościomierza (Twardość Metodą Shore'a)*; PN-EN ISO 868:2005; Polski Komitet Normalizacyjny: Warsaw, Poland, 2005.
34. Polski Komitet Normalizacyjny. *Tworzywa Sztuczne—Metody Oznaczania Gęstości Tworzyw Sztucznych Nieporowatych—Czesc 1: Metoda Zanurzeniowa, Metoda Piknometru Cieczowego i Metoda Miareczkowa*; PN-EN ISO 1183-1:2019-05; Polski Komitet Normalizacyjny: Warsaw, Poland, 2019.
35. Kasprzyk, P.; Sadowska, E.; Datta, J. Investigation of Thermoplastic Polyurethanes Synthesized via Two Different Prepolymers. *J. Polym. Environ.* **2019**, *27*, 2588–2599. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Głowińska, E.; Datta, J.; Rodriguez Romero, J.F. Novel cast polyurethanes based on dispersed polymeric polyols. *Polym. Test.* **2018**, *68*, 340–349. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Piszczyk, Ł. *Synteza i Właściwości Nowych Uniepalnionych Pianek Poliuretanowych Otrzymanych z Użyciem Surowców Odnawialnych*. Ph.D. Thesis, Gdansk University of Technology, Gdansk, Poland, 2011.
38. Gosz, K.; Haponiuk, J.; Piszczyk, Ł. The influence of Substitution of a Phosphorus-Containing Polyol with the Bio-polyol on the Properties of Bio-based PUR/PIR Foams. *J. Polym. Environ.* **2018**, *26*, 3877–3888. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Fuensanta, M.; Martin-Martinez, J.M. Influence of the hard segments content on the structure, viscoelastic and adhesion properties of thermoplastic polyurethane pressure sensitive adhesives. *J. Adhes. Sci. Tech.* **2020**, *34*, 2652–2671. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Hu, X.; Su, T.; Pan, W.; Li, P.; Wang, Z. Difference in solid-state properties and enzymatic degradation of three kinds of poly(butylene succinate)/cellulose blends. *RSC Adv.* **2017**, *7*, 35496. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Yang, C.C. Chemical composition and XRD analyses for alkaline composite PVA polymer electrolyte. *Mater. Lett.* **2004**, *58*, 33–38. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Nagane, S.S.; Verma, S.; Tawade, B.V.; Sane, P.S. Aromatic polyesters containing pendant azido groups: Synthesis, characterization, chemical modification and thermal cross-linking. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2019**, *116*, 180–189. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Zhao, X.; Shou, T.; Liang, R.; Hu, S. Bio-based thermoplastic polyurethane derived from polylactic acid with high-damping performance. *Ind. Crop. Prod.* **2020**, *154*, 112619. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Datta, J.; Kasprzyk, P. Thermoplastic polyurethanes derived from petrochemical of renewable resources: A comprehensive review. *Polym. Eng. Sci.* **2018**, *58*, E14–E35. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Singh, K.P.; Mishra, A.; Kumar, N. Evaluation of thermal, morphological and flame retardant properties of thermoplastic polyurethane polyphosphazene blends. *Polym. Bull.* **2018**, *75*, 2415–2430. [[CrossRef](#)]

46. Głowińska, E.; Datta, J.; Parcheta, P. Effect of sisal fiber filler on thermal properties of bio-based polyurethane composites. *J. Anal. Calorim.* **2017**, *130*, 113–122. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Wu, G.; Li, J.; Luo, Y. Flame Retardancy and Thermal Degradation Mechanism of a Novel Post-chain Extension Flame Retardant Waterborne Polyurethane. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2016**, *123*, 36–46. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Huang, W.-K.; Yeh, J.-T.; Chen, K.-J.; Chen, K.-N. Flame retardation improvement of aqueous-based polyurethane with aziridinyll phosphazene curing system. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2000**, *79*, 662–673. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Velencoso, M.M.; Ramos, M.J.; Klein, R. Thermal degradation and fire behaviour of novel polyurethanes based on phosphate polyols. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2014**, *101*, 40–51. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Pau, D.S.W.; Fleischmann, C.M.; Delichatsios, M.A. Thermal decomposition of flexible polyurethane foams in air. *Fire Saf. J.* **2020**, *11*, 102925. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Phan, H.T.Q.; Nguyen, B.T.; Pham, L.H. Excellent Fireproof Characteristic and High Thermal Stability of Rice Husk-Filled Polyurethane with Halogen-Free Flame Retardant. *Polymers* **2019**, *11*, 1587. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. de Haro, J.C.; López-Pedrajas, D.; Perez, A.; Rodriguez, J.F. Synthesis of rigid polyurethane foams from phosphorylated biopolyols. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2019**, *26*, 3174–3183. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
53. Mishra, V.K.; Patel, R.H. Processing and characterizations: Effect of PPG molecular weight on properties of phosphate based polyurethanes. *Prog. Org. Coat.* **2020**, *147*, 105868. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Toldy, A.; Harakaly, G.; Szolnoki, B. Flame retardancy of thermoplastic polyurethanes. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2012**, *97*, 2524–2530. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Sałaszińska, K.; Borucka, M.; Leszczyńska, M.; Zatorski, W. Analysis of flammability and smoke emission of rigid polyurethane foams modified with nanoparticles and halogen-free fire retardants. *J. Anal. Calorim.* **2017**, *130*, 131–141. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Chen, X.; Wang, W.; Jiao, C. A recycled environmental friendly flame retardant by modifying para-aramid fiber with phosphorus acid for thermoplastic polyurethane elastomer. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2017**, *331*, 257–264. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Amado, J.C.Q. Thermal Resistance Properties of Polyurethanes and Its Composites. In *Thermosoftening Plastics*; IntechOpen: London, UK, 2019. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Zhou, F.; Zhang, T.; Zou, B.; Hu, W. Synthesis of a novel liquid phosphorus-containing flame retardant for flexible polyurethane foam: Combustion behaviors and thermal properties. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* **2020**, *171*, 109029. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Hirzin, R.; Azzahari, A.; Yahya, R. The behavior of semi-rigid polyurethane film based on functionalized rubber by one-shot and two-shot method preparation. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2018**, *53*, 13280–13290. [[CrossRef](#)]

