© 2022. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Journal Pre-proof

Up-to-date strategies and future trends towards the extraction and purification of Capsaicin: A comprehensive review

Roberto Castro-Muñoz, Emilia Gontarek-Castro, Seid Mahdi Jafari

PII: S0924-2244(22)00099-1

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2022.03.014

Reference: TIFS 3751

To appear in: Trends in Food Science & Technology

Received Date: 29 July 2021
Revised Date: 11 March 2022
Accepted Date: 12 March 2022

TRENDS IN
FOOD SCIENCE
& TECHNOLOGY

Effect of polos desires field
propries of below to field
proprie

Please cite this article as: Castro-Muñoz, R., Gontarek-Castro, E., Jafari, S.M., Up-to-date strategies and future trends towards the extraction and purification of Capsaicin: A comprehensive review, *Trends in Food Science & Technology* (2022), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2022.03.014.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

"Up-to-date strategies and future trends towards the extraction and purification of Capsaicin: A comprehensive review"

Roberto Castro-Muñoz*, Emilia Gontarek-Castro, Seid Mahdi Jafari

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Gdansk University of Technology, Faculty of Chemistry, Department of Process Engineering and Chemical Technology, 11/12 Narutowicza St., 80-233, Gdansk, Poland.

E-mail: food.biotechnology88@gmail.com; castromr@tec.mx

Abstract

<u>Background:</u> According to the current need of manufacturing healthier products, food companies are seeking specific biomolecules that may offer additional added value (i.e., biological activities) to the new food formulations. Capsaicin, as the pungent ingredient of chili peppers, has become so far one of the target biomolecules explored since the 1950s. There is evidence demonstrating that capsaicin exhibits important biological properties in human health including inhibits acid secretion, stimulates alkali and mucus secretion and particularly gastric mucosal blood flow contributing to the prevention and healing of gastric ulcers, thermoregulation, among many other reported bioactivities.

Scope and Approach: However, one of the main bottlenecks deals with the proper protocol of extraction and purification of this compound since most of the conventional methods based on solvent extraction do not provide efficient yield, along with diminished bioactivity of the compounds. Therefore, this review comprehensively elucidates the current strategies proposed by researchers towards the sustainable extraction and purification of capsaicin from its natural source, and comparison over traditional extraction methods. Particular emphasis has been focused on the innovative extraction techniques and the relevant insights over the last five years.

<u>Key findings and conclusion</u>: A detailed discussion is provided on the advantages and drawbacks of the novel techniques, key interactions with target molecules and their effect on the bioactivity of capsaicin. To finalize, according to the findings of this review, the future trends, perspectives, and research gaps are also given.

Keywords

Capsaicin; innovative extraction techniques; green strategies; nutraceuticals.



1	Up-to-date strategies and future trends towards the extraction and purification of
2	Capsaicin: A comprehensive review
3	
4	Roberto Castro-Muñoz ^{1,2*} , Emilia Gontarek-Castro ¹ , Seid Mahdi Jafari ³
5	
6	¹ Gdansk University of Technology, Faculty of Chemistry, Department of Process
7	Engineering and Chemical Technology, 11/12 Narutowicza St., 80-233, Gdansk, Poland
8	
9	² Tecnologico de Monterrey, Campus Toluca. Av. Eduardo Monroy Cárdenas 2000 San
10	Antonio Buenavista, 50110, Toluca de Lerdo, Mexico.
11	
12	³ Faculty of Food Science and Technology, Gorgan University of Agricultural Sciences
13	and Natural Resources, Gorgan, Iran.
14	
15	*E-mail: food.biotechnology88@gmail.com; castromr@tec.mx (R. Castro-Muñoz)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	*Corresponding Author
21	
22	



Abstract

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

Background: According to the current need of manufacturing healthier products, food companies are seeking specific biomolecules that may offer additional added value (i.e., biological activities) to the new food formulations. Capsaicin, as the pungent ingredient of chili peppers, has become so far one of the target biomolecules explored since the 1950s. There is evidence demonstrating that capsaicin exhibits important biological properties in human health including inhibits acid secretion, stimulates alkali and mucus secretion and particularly gastric mucosal blood flow contributing to the prevention and healing of gastric ulcers, thermoregulation, among many other reported bioactivities. Scope and Approach: However, one of the main bottlenecks deals with the proper protocol of extraction and purification of this compound since most of the conventional methods based on solvent extraction do not provide efficient yield, along with diminished bioactivity of the compounds. Therefore, this review comprehensively elucidates the current strategies proposed by researchers towards the sustainable extraction and purification of capsaicin from its natural source, and comparison over traditional extraction methods. Particular emphasis has been focused on the innovative extraction techniques and the relevant insights over the last five years. Key findings and conclusion: A detailed discussion is provided on the advantages and drawbacks of the novel techniques, key interactions with target molecules and their effect on the bioactivity of capsaicin. To finalize, according to the findings of this review, the future trends, perspectives, and research gaps are also given.

44

45

43

Keywords: Capsaicin; innovative extraction techniques; green strategies; nutraceuticals.



64

46 Nomenclatur

- 47 ATPE: aqueous two-phase extraction
- 48 CO₂: carbon dioxide
- 49 DES: Deep eutectic solvents
- 50 EAE: enzyme-assisted extraction
- 51 HSCCC: high-speed countercurrent chromatography
- 52 IL: ionic liquids
- 53 ILAE: Ionic liquid-assisted extraction
- 54 PLE: pressurized liquid extraction
- 55 PHWE: pressurized hot water extraction
- 56 MAR: microporous adsorption resin
- 57 MSPE: magnetic solid-phase extraction
- 58 SAE: shaker-assisted extraction
- 59 SFE: supercritical fluid extraction
- TAPPIR: tunable aqueous polymer-phase impregnated resins
- TLPE: three-liquid-phase extraction
- 62 UAE: ultrasound-assisted extraction

1. Introduction

- Today, there is a current trend in the usage of bioactive compounds for manufacturing
- new food and pharmaceutical formulations, along with the improvement of the existing
- foods (Pateiro et al., 2021; Teixeira et al., 2014). The point of utilizing bioactive
- compounds concerns the production of healthier food options to the customers. The



bioactive compounds, generalized as nutraceuticals, are extra-nutritional elements that typically exist in low quantities in several foods, such as fruits, vegetables, fish, seaweeds, herbs, etc. These biologically active compounds are being intensively explored and studied due to their effects on health (Carunchia et al., 2015). Various chemical molecules are classified within the major category of bioactive compounds, including phenolic compounds, carotenoids, terpenes and terpenoids, nitrogen-containing and organosulfur compounds, and alkaloids (Azmir et al., 2013; Castro-Muñoz et al., 2016; Wallace et al., 2020).

Among the latter category of compounds, we can find the capsaicin, identified as (N-[(4-hydroxy-3-methoxypheny) methyl]-8-methyl-E-6-nonenamide) in IUPAC nomenclature, which is the characteristic ingredient present in chili peppers. In principle, capsaicin is a flavourless, odourless and colourless chemical molecule but displaying a pungent and irritating character when consumed (Al Othman et al., 2011). Capsaicin stands out as the primary compound within the category of capsaicinoids, followed by dihydrocapsaicin, nordihydrocapsaicin, homodihydrocapsaicin and homocapsaicin. Capsaicin and dihydrocapsaicin are estimated to be approximately 90% of the total capsaicinoids contained in the chili pepper (Usman et al., 2014); capsaicinoids are alkaloids (see **Figure 1**) mainly located in the placental tissue. The capsaicin owns a molecular weight of 305.40 g mol⁻¹, and it displays great lipophilicity (fat and oil-soluble) and also alcohol-soluble (De Lourdes Reyes-Escogido et al., 2011).

Figure 1. Chemical structures of capsaicin (top) and dihydrocapsaicin (bottom) (Usman et al., 2014).



93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

The first approach in capsaicin research has been documented in 1949 (Hippenmeier, 1949). Ever since, capsaicin, as the most recognized compound of the chili pepper, has been widely investigated and there is a deep interest in research developments toward its application in various fields (Szolcsányi, 2004). A continuous effort has been devoted to the exploration of capsaicin over the last two decades, as evidenced in Figure 2. Apart from the interest of capsaicin as an ingredient and nutraceutical in new food and pharmaceutical formulations, it can also be used as a feedstock for the synthesis of aromas (such as vanillin) via enzymatic treatment (Heuvel et al., 2001). However, the major importance relies on its plenty of biological activities documented by the research community, such as anticancer (Clark & Lee, 2016), mechanosensitive (Drew et al., 2002), antioxidant, anti-iron-binding (Dairam et al., 2008), analgesic (Duarte et al., 2020). anti-inflammatory, antiobesity (Narang et al., 2018), and antimicrobial properties (Molina-Torres et al., 1999), among many others. Such bioactivity can be potentially affected due to the use of unsuitable extraction and purification protocols while R&D centres require pure ingredients (ca. 99.9%) for the development of new food formulations and more importantly for therapeutic assays. In principle, capsaicin may present instability and be less active when downstream extraction techniques use high temperatures (over 100 °C), extreme pH values and longer extraction times (Si et al., 2014). Additionally, capsaicin undergoes many purification stages (such as crystallization and further recrystallization) to industrially obtain a high purity degree compound (Yang, 2010). Therefore, there is a need of finding more costeffective extraction and purification techniques to preserve the nutritional, functional and biological properties of capsaicin, together with high extraction yields. In this work, we



comprehensively review the latest advances in extracting capsaicin from its natural matrix. Apart from analyzing the most relevant insights in the field, great attention is paid to the innovative strategies proposed by the research community, comparing their advantages and disadvantages with conventional extraction techniques. After reviewing the latest research, the perspectives and research gaps are also stated.

Figure 2. Documented publications related to the research towards capsaicin over the last two decades (until July 15th, 2021; source: Scopus). Keyword: *Capsaicin*.

2. Traditional extraction techniques for capsaicin

Regardless of the type of purpose (extraction, purification, isolation and concentration), most of the methods towards the separation of bioactive compounds (like capsaicinoids) are classified as traditional and innovative techniques (Sagar et al., 2018). The traditional techniques are those that have been employed for a long time and they are based on solvent extraction combined with heat treatment. The classical methods are considered conventional techniques. Soxhlet extraction, hydro-distillation and maceration are, for instance, some of such traditional extraction techniques (Tsakona et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2018). To date, hexane-based extraction is likely to be the most explored method to recover capsaicin, however, this solvent is harmful and also produces undesired residues, compromising the final product quality. Alternatively, the extraction has been attempted using other less harmful solvents including methanol, ethanol, acetonitrile, and water, in which organic solvents offer a capsaicinoid recovery ranged from 70 to 92% (see **Table S1**, **supplementary material**), and interestingly, the extraction time is inversely

proportional to the polarity of the solvent (Lu et al., 2017).

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

138

Boonkird et al. (2008) reported a recovery rate of capsaicin as high as 92% using conventional Soxhlet. Using the same solvent (ethanol), the authors also compared Soxhlet extraction (for 5h) with simple maceration (for 15 h) and ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE) (for 3 h), exhibiting recovery of 79% and 87%, respectively. UAE offered approximately 10% greater capsaicinoid recovery in comparison with maceration but 5% lower recovery than Soxhlet extraction. In these experiments, Soxhlet extraction had the highest extraction yield since it was performed at the highest temperature (~78°C) and having the greatest concentration gradient comparing with all other extractions. The concentration gradient in Soxhlet extraction is due to the basics of the Soxhlet extraction process, where the extraction is done all the time with fresh solvent, unloaded with substances. However, UAE has been noted with an enhanced extraction yield when increased the temperature from 30 to 45°C showing a capsaicin recovery of > 95%. In principle, UAE accelerates the swelling and hydration, provoking an enlargement in the pores of the plant cell walls. Thus, an enhanced mass transfer of solutes from the matrix to solvent can be obtained. Also, the authors associated such an enhanced recovery thanks to the disruption of plant cells by microjet after the cavitation bubble collapsed that could

156 157

158

159

160

potentially promote the rate of solvent penetration into chilli tissue (Toma et al., 2001).

Unlike Boonkird's study (Boonkird et al., 2008), Santos et al. (2015) selected

dichloromethane in the Soxhlet method to extract the capsaicinoids from Malagueta

pepper (Capsicum frutescens L.). In preliminary studies, the authors evaluated various

166 167 efficient separation. Importantly, the solubility of capsaicin depends on both temperature 168 and solvent polarity; e.g. Yan et al. (2012) estimated the solubility of capsaicin in different 169 170 171 172 173

161

162

163

164

165

174

175

and thus more favourable dissolution.

182 183

180

181

solvents with different polarities, as reported in **Table S2**. It was observed that the highest capsaicin yields of Soxhlet were acquired with low polarity solvents, in which dichloromethane showed ca. 92% of total capsaicin recovery. Since the capsaicin is not totally water-soluble, aqueous systems are generally not preferred for the proper extraction of the target capsaicin (Sarma & del Valle, 2020). An important parameter when extracting capsaicin relies on its solubility into the used solvents, in which an estimation of the solubility may guideline for an optimized and

organic solvents as follows: n-hexane ≈cyclohexane < carbon disulfide < butyl ether< isopropyl ether. These findings were corroborated by solute-solvent intermolecular repulsive interactions and values of mixing Gibbs free energy (ΔG). Thanks to Yan's analysis, it was understood that dissolution of capsaicin in solvents comprises a spontaneous process; it means, lower ΔG values correspond to higher solubility values

Even though solvent extraction provides high extraction yields and total capsaicinoid

content depending on the method and operating conditions (Martins et al., 2017), most of

the traditional techniques demand large amounts of solvents and long extraction times;

which certainly raises the overall extraction cost. This is the main drawback that limits

their establishment, while overuse of harmful solvents also complicates meeting the

environmental and health guidelines. Therefore, according to the principles of "green

principles", there is today a strong need in developing cost-effective processes and

feedstocks implying the use of less hazardous materials, and minimal production of wastes (Anastas & Eghbali, 2010). Also, a major challenge concerns improving the traditional extraction and purification processes. The following section outlines the up-to-date strategies and innovative protocols towards the sustainable separation of capsaicin.

3. Latest strategies for the extraction and purification of capsaicin: A *last-five*vears outlook

The extraction process is a crucial challenging step with a meaningful impact on the production of bioactive compounds, which are generally present in low concentrations in foods and natural sources. As illustrated in **Figure 3**, most of the protocols used for extraction of bioactive compounds from food matrices imply different pre-treatment, extraction, purification and concentration. Of course, the sequence and strategies will depend on the type of target bioactive and food matrix (which usually contains plentiful compounds). In theory, the 'ideal' extraction method should eliminate undesired compounds while displaying high recovery rates in less time (Camara et al., 2021). The modern extraction methods include microwave, ultrasound and high-pressure extraction, supercritical and subcritical fluid extraction, electrotechnologies such as pulsed electric field, high voltage electric discharge and nanosorbent-based extraction techniques, while the purification techniques can be categorized as physical (fractional distillation, chromatographic techniques) and chemical methods (i.e. chemical reactions) (Favela-González et al., 2020).

Figure 3. Usual strategy used for the extraction of bioactive compounds from food

systems. Inspired by Camara et al. (2021).

Today, the research community has provided interesting breakthroughs utilizing the above-mentioned extraction techniques for the purification and polishing of capsaicin. Here, various operating conditions, supplies, and hybrid extraction processes (as the synergistic combination of more than two techniques) have been strategically implemented. **Table 1** enlists the most recent and innovative techniques and protocols used towards capsaicin purification.

Table 1. Ongoing progress on the extraction and purification of capsaicin applying emerging techniques and protocols.

One of the emerging extraction technologies for the extraction of high-added-value molecules is supercritical fluid extraction (SFE), which utilizes elevated operating pressures and temperatures to reach a critical point, in which the solvent (CO₂) owns the diffusivity properties of a gas and concurrently the solvation power of a liquid (Dias et al., 2021). Afterward, the solvent can be easily removed from the target molecule by depressurization; also, the product displays better stability since there is lower temperature and non-presence of organic solvent (Zougagh et al., 2004). Considering that capsaicin presents good solubility in CO₂ (Knez & Steiner, 1992), SFE is a promising emerging technique for its extraction, e.g., Aguiar et al. (2018) and Aguiar et al. (2020) explored the efficient extraction of capsaicin; they were able to obtain up to 115 mg capsaicinoid/g extract by means of SFE. By performing an economic analysis, they

speculated that optimal conditions (at 240 min, 50°C and 15 MPa) could offer an 230 estimated manufacturing cost of about 125.41 USD/kg of extract (Aguiar et al., 2018). 231 Here, Aguiar et al. (2018) provide a more attractive process since offering lower 232 manufacturing costs compared with Rocha-uribe et al. (2014) who estimated 233 approximately 600 USD/kg of extract. 234 235 Applying SFE combined with high-speed countercurrent chromatography (HSCCC), Yan et al. (2018) claimed exceptionally extraction yield (of about 93%) for both capsaicin and 236 dihydrocapsaicin. This process performed the extraction with aqueous methanol, followed 237 by crystallization via alkali extraction and acid precipitation. As a polishing step, capsaicin 238 and dihydrocapsaicin were subjected to further purification with HSCCC, in which n-239 hexane-ethyl acetate-methanol-water (1.4:0.6:1:1, v/v/v/v) was selected as a solvent. 240 The obtained capsaicin and dihydrocapsaicin presented a purity degree of 98.3 and 241 96.6%, respectively. Hamada et al. (2019) developed an online approach integrated by 242 243 SFE, dilution line and for the capsaicin extraction and measurement. The concentration of the capsaicin varied from 21 to 60 ng/g in various types of bell peppers. According to 244 the authors' findings, the extraction performance was greatly dependent on the pressure 245 246 since the pressure increment provokes a higher density of the critical fluid (i.e., CO₂) and thus raises solvating powder leading to better extraction yield (Gnayfeed et al., 2001). 247 Unfortunately, the yield could be lowered at low pressure due to the diminished diffusivity 248 249 decreases the interaction between the fluid and the matrix (Kwon et al., 2011). Therefore, 250 SFE could potentially benefit from other emerging techniques that may promote mass transfer via convection or diffusion (Stoica et al., 2016). For instance, Santos et al. (2015) 251 achieved to increase (up to 77%) the capsaicin yield in SFE by using ultrasound waves, 252

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

which substantially improved the SFE separation rate. UAE lies on creating small cavities to deliver energy into the product/solvent mixture facilitating the extraction of target analytes. Sricharoen et al. (2017) were able to extract several biomolecules (including capsaicin) embedded into an oleoresin from hot chili peppers. In principle, chili peppers contained between 614-25,976 mg/kg for capsaicin and 609-22,130 mg/kg for dihydrocapsaicin, of which UAE demonstrated a recovery efficiency ranging from 62 to 92%. Bajer et al. (2015), in their work, investigated the application of pressurized hot water extraction (PHWE) and thus compared it with conventional Soxhlet. At this time, the authors applied such processes for capsaicin recovery from several varieties of Capsicum chinenses and Capsicum annuum. Here, the relative efficiency of PHWE was observed at ca. 110% compared with Soxhlet; for example, PHWE was able to extract capsaicin as high as 12,000 µg/g while Soxhlet exhibited 12,000 µg/g, pointing out that the extraction time of PHWE was significantly shorter. It is worth mentioning that PLE with water presents a great potential when the capsaicin extracts are further processed for pepper sprays, in which solvents, like ethanol, are mostly employed.

Very recently, Martins et al. (2017) screened and compared traditional (such as Soxhlet) and emerging extraction methods, such as UAE and shaker-assisted extraction (SAE), for the recovery of capsaicin from habanero chili. According to the experimentation, the total capsaicin content obtained by Soxhlet (using ethanol) demonstrated that the fruit has 2.2% (ca. 22.0 mg/g) of capsaicin, while UEA yielded 90.7% (between 14.2–19.9 mg/g) and SAE 76.1% (between 8.3–16.9 mg/g). In this approach, the highest extraction yield was acquired by the Soxhlet method that was ascribed to high extraction time (225

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

min), which supports Boonkird's idea, together with handled temperature (90°C) and molecule: solvent ratio fostering the solubility increase of capsaicin. Unfortunately, Soxhlet clearly showed a degradation of the capsaicin in the first 30 min of operation, while the other techniques proved their reliability in product stability. Even though SAE and UEA recovered less capsaicin (between 9.3-23.9 % less), they offered a 99% solvent saving and 86% less time in comparison to conventional solvent extraction. By comparing SAE and UEA, the latter extraction technique apparently gave a higher extraction yield thanks to the acoustic cavitation in the solvent due to the ultrasonic waves. In addition, the waves provoked a mechanical effect that results in enhanced penetration of the solvent into the fruits matrix and thus surface contact among the solid-liquid phase (Zhang et al., 2009). As a suggestion, the authors also pointed out that UAE displays several advantages over the other two techniques, however, the usage for the extraction of bioactive compounds must be selected carefully since the formation of cavity bubbles could raise in temperature (over 500 °C) and pressure (up to 550 atm) (Martins et al., 2017), which could indeed speed up the degradation of thermal-sensitive compounds (Schläfer et al., 2002). A liquid-liquid fractionation technique, such as aqueous two-phase extraction (ATPE), was proposed by Fan et al. (2017) for the extraction and purification of capsaicin from commercial oleoresin. ATPE uses two incompatible phases, such as polymer-salt, ionic liquid (IL)-salt, or alcohol-salt, for efficient extraction. Here, the two incompatible phases take place when one polymer is enriched on the top phase and the salt (or second

polymer) is also enriched but at the bottom side. In general, ATPE acts as a promising

method for the separation of biologically active compounds since such a technique



300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

possesses several advantages in terms of low cost, low equipment requirements, short extraction time, and uses 70-90% water. Based on this, Fan et al. (2017) explored ATPE for obtaining capsaicin and subsequently purified using adsorption process (with ADS-17) and AB-8 resin). Initially, the ATPE system (using ethylene oxide-propylene oxide copolymer and K₂HPO₄) exhibited ca. 95% extraction yield, while after the adsorption processes, the integrated technique containing ADS-17 resin showed a capsaicin recovery and purity of 83.7% and 50.3%, respectively, meantime AB-8 resin provided slightly higher recovery rate and high purity product (88.0% and 85.1%, respectively). Comparable outcomes (capsaicin purity of 85%) were also documented by Zhao et al. (2015) who employed D101 and SKP-10-4300 resin, while Cienfuegos et al. (2017) purified capsaicin (> 5-fold) from Capsicum chinense via ATPE, which was previously extracted by MAE. At the present work, the overall extraction efficiency of 85 % was reported, in which the active compounds proved ≈80% antioxidant activity. In a previous study, Dang et al. (2014) achieved to recover over 98% capsanthin from red pepper (Capsicum annum L.) via three-liquid-phase extraction (TLPE), without requiring any adsorption or additional purification step. As part of current ideas in process intensification, there is a necessity to developing simple and efficient extraction processes with a fewer number of processing steps, and importantly, they should work at all scales (Fernandez Rivas et al., 2020). Attending such a need, Lu & Cui (2019) integrated two techniques, such as ATPE and (MAR), to develop 'tunable aqueous polymer-phase impregnated resins' (TAPPIR), which was subsequently implemented into chromatography. At optimal conditions, the agueous polymer phase impregnated HZ816 resins containing 18.5% (w/w) PEG6000, 15% (w/w) sodium citrate,



and 10% (w/w) [Emim] [OAc] (at pH 6.5) yielded 95% capsaicin extraction, which was ultimately purified by SKP-10-4300 resin in chromatography. Basically, the overall system offered a capsaicin recovery and purity of about 85% and 92%, respectively.

Chemists are strongly exploring sustainable alternatives to replace conventional

molecular solvents. In this way, ionic liquids (ILs) stand out as a green alternative due to their low toxicity and biodegradability (Welton, 2011). Specific green solvents, such as cholinium (Ch)-based ILs, were explored in ATPE by Santos et al. (2016), who utilized it (together with acetonitrile and water) as an ideal phase to partition capsaicin. The general process followed by Santos et al. (2016) is illustrated in Figure 4. It was observed that the acetonitrile phase was preferentially enriched with the capsaicins while the IL captured other metabolites (like phenolic compounds). After the overall extraction process, the extraction efficiency was over 90% with a purification factor of ca. 3.20. Interestingly, it is known that the extraction efficiencies tend to be enhanced by raising the operating temperature when recovering solutes due to the enhanced solubility in liquid phases (Abe et al., 2014; Valencia-Arredondo et al., 2020), nevertheless, Santos et al. (2016) underlined that the extraction efficiency (between 89.7-93.0 %) was not greatly influenced by the temperature, this insight was obtained thanks to the analysis of the effect of the temperature on the capsaicin migration. By calculating thermodynamic parameters (Gibbs energy, enthalpy and entropy), the migration into acetonitrile (using ATPE) was apparently determined as spontaneous (negative Gibbs energy). On the contrary, the analysis suggested that transport of capsaicin into the cholinium (Ch)-based IL behaved as endothermic.

344

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

Figure 4. Integrated system applied for the extraction, purification and polishing of capsaicin (Santos et al., 2016).

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

345

346

Ultimately, the latest research refers to the exploration of new adsorbent materials for the purification and polishing of the pre-concentrated capsaicin. For instance, Lu et al. (2020) focused their research on exploring magnetic solid-phase extraction (MSPE) for the separation of capsaicin from gutter oil (cooking oil recovered from food waste). The authors proposed MSPE as a pre-treatment strategy to directly adsorb the target capsaicin while enriching and separating via an external magnetic field. In this work, a nanocomposite based on graphene oxide (GO)-Fe₃O₄ was synthesized by means of the co-precipitation method. The optimal amount of hybrid adsorbent (ca. 40 mg) offered capsaicin extraction recovery > 80%, which was increased by extending the extraction time (up to 100% for 20 min). It is important to point out that such a nanocomposite also exhibited a similar uptake rate for dihydrocapsaicin and N- vanillylnonanamide, in which its reuse is feasible by several times with no significant loss of performance. Such high recovery efficiencies are credited to the GO due to its large adsorption capacity as a result of its high surface area and two-dimensional structure (Cha-Umpong et al., 2020). Very recently, Genovese et al. (2021a) also assessed nineteen adsorbents (including hydrotalcites, lamellar solids, and phyllosilicates) to pre-concentrate the capsaicin from various cultivars of Capsicum annuum. To sum up, hydrotalcite magnesium aluminium azelate and bentonite exhibited the best performing extraction efficiencies, yielding between 73-91% and 68-71%, respectively. Bentonite especially possesses a large surface area and high water uptake properties (Claverie et al., 2018), this latter property was speculated to play an important role since it is quite possible that the transfer of the solvent (water) to the solid phase could have mechanically driven the capsaicin onto the sorbent and thus contributing to the high extraction yield. Regarding hydrotalcite magnesium aluminum azelate, its selectivity towards capsaicin was attributed to Van der Waals and hydrophobic interactions since capsaicinoids present linear 6-8 carbons acyl chains that are able to fit with the linear carbon skeleton of azelaic acid intercalated in the inner structure.

4. Other capsaicinoids and bioactive compounds extracted from chili pepper

Capsaicin and dihydrocapsaicin are the most prominent forms in the chili pepper fruit extracts, accounting for almost 90% of capsaicinoids. Nordihydrocapsaicin (7%), homocapsaicin (1%) and homodihydrocapsaicin (<1%) are always present at very low concentrations when compared to capsaicin and dihydrocapsaicin (De Lourdes Reyes-Escogido et al., 2011). Due to their low concentration, few studies and efforts were made to quantify those capsaicin analogs in chili pepper extracts. For instance, Genovese et al. (2021b) performed solid phase extraction of twenty-two cultivars of chili pepper using solid sorbents. The quantities of extracted capsaicinoids reached 865 mg of capsaicin (76%), 239 mg of dihydrocapsaicin (21%), 17 mg of nordihydrocapsaicin (2%), and 10 mg of homocapsaicine (1%) for the most effective sorbent. Liu et al. (2020), for instance, applied solvent extraction of chili pepper seeds. The nordihydrocapsaicin contents ranged from 43 up to 297 μ g/g depending on the type of seed and solvent used for extraction, while total capsaicinoids content range was between 1052 – 3692 μ g/g.

A similar group of compounds, named capsinoids, include capsiate, dihydrocapsiate, and

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

nordihydrocapsiate, are also naturally present in chili peppers. Capsinoids have the beneficial properties of capsaicinoids, however, due to their slightly different structure, they do not cause the characteristic of pungency (Hursel & Westerterp-Plantenga, 2010). The research group of Aguiar has worked with different extraction techniques applied to capsinoids recovery from biquinho pepper. They reported the presence of a considerable concentration of capsinoids in extract obtained by SFE at 60 °C and 15 MPa (Aguiar et al., 2014). Capsinoids are less polar than capsaicinoids, due to their ester bond that replaced the amide bond of capsaicinoids, thus supercritical CO₂ was selective for capsinoid compounds – capsiate and dihydrocapsiate. In a subsequent study, the authors pursued the intensification of the extraction process by combining SFE with pressurized liquid extraction (PLE), allowing the production of capsiate-enriched oleoresin from biquinho pepper (Aguiar et al., 2020). An extraction yield of 77% was obtained, together with a reduction 1.39 times of the manufacturing cost. At this point, this finding proves that the smart combination of different techniques makes the process more economically profitable.

Chili peppers are also an excellent source of other phytochemicals, such as anthocyanins, vitamins, phenolic acids, flavonoids, and carotenoids. Various traditional and emerging techniques have been employed for the extraction of phytochemicals from chili peppers, including maceration (Luiza et al., 2020), solvent extraction (Bogusz et al., 2018), ultrasound-assisted extraction (Liu et al., 2020), supercritical fluid extraction (Sricharoen et al., 2017), and pressurized liquid extraction (Aguiar et al., 2020). The conventional methods focus in using organic solvents, such as methanol and ethanol, as the extraction vehicle of phytochemicals by the implementation of solvent extraction.

Nevertheless, various innovative technologies for the extraction of bioactive compounds from chili peppers have been also reported (see **Table 2**).

416

417

418

414

415

Table 2. Comparison of different extraction techniques used to recover the phytochemicals other than capsaicinoids.

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

Although the scientific interest focuses on capsaicinoids extraction and purification, most of the research has focused on characterizing the volatiles ones, since sensory characteristics are crucial factors determining the quality of chili peppers and thus affect consumer acceptance. The volatile fraction of chili peppers typically includes low molecular weight compounds and a class of lipophilic secondary metabolites with high vapor pressure (Sosa-Moguel et al., 2017). A few studies have been conducted to identify volatile compounds present in chili peppers varieties, such as Brazilian chili peppers (Bogusz Junior et al., 2015), Shimatogarashi chili peppers (Manikharda et al., 2018) and traditionally pickled Chinese chili peppers (Ye et al., 2020). Their results revealed a complex chemical composition with a total number of compounds, ranging from 127 up to 184, including esters, alcohols, aldehydes, alkanes, ketones, terpenes, ethers, pyrazine, and sulfur compounds. Esters are usually the major group in the volatile compounds profile of chili peppers in terms of amount and varieties, however, in the study reported by Patel et al. (2016), terpenes were found to be predominant volatiles (a total of 45 out of 127 identified compounds) in Peruvian chili pepper samples.

435

436

5. Concluding remarks, perspectives and guidelines for the new researchers

This review has elucidated the ongoing progress (over the last five years) on novel and emerging extraction techniques for the cost-effective purification of capsaicin from their natural-containing matrix (i.e., chili peppers). According to the current findings and other researchers' works (Wen et al., 2020), the combination of multiple extraction techniques (either traditional, emerging and novel) will continue to be a common practice for synergistic purification protocols conducting to an enhanced capsaicin recovery efficiency (Wang et al., 2021). In recent years, interesting yields (from 76 to 95%) and purity degrees (up to 98%) have been documented by the research community using hybrid systems (including SFE, PLE, UAE, ATPE, MAE, MARS, TAPPIR, among others, see **Table 1**). After reviewing the current literature, a general guideline process scheme, which is inspired by the efforts of researchers, can be established, as illustrated in **Figure 5**.

Figure 5. Process guideline for the efficient extraction and purification of capsaicin from chili peppers considering the current efforts of the research community.

This process basically implies three fundamental downstream stages such as pretreatment, extraction and purification, together with polishing. It is worth pointing out that the current efforts have been focused on emerging technologies for the extraction (Sereshti et al., 2019), and novel materials for the selective purification of capsaicin molecules. To finalize, the perspectives and current research gaps for new researchers in the field are given as follows:

 One of the current research interests deals with the discovery of the biosynthetic pathway of capsaicin and its precursors (Usman et al., 2014). However, such

unknown knowledge has not been limited to the research community at exploring alternatives for the capsaicin separation and purification towards its exceptional biological and pharmaceutical properties, along with sensorial features to new food formulations. Importantly, since chili pepper fruits are so far the only source used for obtaining such high-added-value molecules, future research will be certainly devoted to new strategies, including techniques, solvent phases, purification supplies, etc., for the cost-effective purification of capsaicin. To date, it is likely that most of the research has been done to directly extract the capsaicinoids from the chili peppers. However, researchers should extend their efforts on considering the wastes produced from artisanal and industrial processing since crop waste materials (seeds, skin, defective fruits) (Castro-Muñoz et al., 2020) are also a potential source of biomolecules and not limited to capsaicin only.

Pre-treatment and extraction: To date, maceration stands out as the easy-to-handle and typical methodology for the primary extraction and availability of capsaicin from the placental tissue where they are biosynthesized and accumulated (Santos et al., 2016). Apart from typical maceration for obtaining the capsaicin, enzyme-assisted extraction (EAE) could be an alternative as a way to acquire the bounded capsaicin into the cell wall and thus foster enhanced extraction yield. Until now, EAE has been successfully applied in the recovery of aromas (Galiano et al., 2019) and bioactive compounds (Figoli et al., 2006) from natural products but minimally explored in capsaicin recovery. Importantly, such enzymatic treatment can be combined with other extraction techniques, and it is recognized as an environmentally friendly strategy since water is commonly used

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

as a solvent. Here, different enzymes, such as cellulases, pectinases, betaglucosidases (Cortés-Ferré et al., 2021), must be suitable to hydrolyze the cell structure releasing the target analyte.

- Polishing of capsaicin as a final stage: adsorption processes aided with commercial microporous resins, such as SKP-10-4300, ADS-17 and AB-8, are the preferred strategy by the research community. Particular attention should be paid to the new hybrid materials with exceptional adsorption properties, e.g., graphene oxide (GO)-Fe₃O₄ composites have been introduced as an adsorptive material. displaying a capsaicin extraction recovery as high as 98% (Lu et al., 2020). It is worth mentioning that such new hybrid material presented promising reusability since it was used for extracting more than 10 times with unchanged performance. Apart from this, the chemistry should be properly studied to benefit from the large uptake capacities of such new materials. In this regard, further exploration of the physicochemical properties of the sorbents, such as interlayer distances, particle size, the polarity of the inner cavity, surface areas, introduction of selected ions in the crystal lattice, should be performed and extended to possibly tunning for an enhanced extraction. Here, chemical or physical treatments should be adapted emphasizing overall charge, polarity and further interactions, including van der Waals, hydrogen bonding, dipole-dipole forces, and cation-anion interactions (Cartalade & Vernhet, 2006; Galanakis, 2015; Sun & Leung, 2019).
- A non-typical practice from research regards the techno-economic feasibility of the applied emerging techniques. It could be interesting if the authors may provide an estimation of the extraction cost of capsaicin using these novel techniques and

506

520

521

519

522523

524525

526

527

528

related strategies (Aguiar et al., 2018; Aguiar et al., 2020; Rocha-uribe et al., 2014), this opens the possibility to have a clear and realistic overview about the feasibility of such techniques.

- The use of ILs, as non-volatile and tunable solvents, has been done for the capsaicin extraction (Lau et al., 2015), however, the green analogues of ILs, so-called deep eutectic solvents (DESs) or natural deep eutectic solvents (NADES), can potentially be applied. DESs are currently employed in various applications including extraction of biologically active compounds and pharmaceuticals (Faraz et al., 2021), analytical determinations (Zhang et al., 2012), extraction of heavy metals (Haq et al., 2021), among others. Therefore, a new research gap in the near future will refer to the sustainable extraction of capsaicin using DESs. However, particular attention should be devoted to the polarity of the DESs, which is influenced by the type of hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA) and hydrogen bond donor (HBD) and their molar ratio (Smith et al., 2014).
- In recent years, membrane-based technologies stand out as an alternative for recovery and concentration high-added values compounds from natural products and their by-products (Castro-Muñoz et al., 2020). Such technologies, implemented with ultrafiltration and nanofiltration membranes, could be an alternative for the concentration of capsaicin once they are available in a liquid system. Considering the molecular weight of the capsaicin (~305,41 g/mol), nanofiltration membranes can easily assist the concentration of these compounds depending on the membrane properties polymer (e.g., type, hydrophilicity/hydrophobicity, pore size, structure, morphology, etc.). It is important

23

529	to point out that there is no report so far on processing capsaicin extract via
530	membrane techniques.

Acknowledgments

Financial support from Polish National Agency for Academic Exchange (NAWA) under Ulam Programme (Agreement No. PPN/ULM/2020/1/00005/U/00001) is gratefully acknowledged. R. Castro-Muñoz also acknowledges the School of Engineering and Science and the FEMSA-Biotechnology Center at Tecnológico de Monterrey for their support through the Bioprocess (0020209113) Focus Group.

Conflict of Interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

045	Abe, N., Sulli, T., & Roga, N. (2014). Temperature dependence of local solubility of
544	hydrophobic molecules in the liquid-vapor interface of water. Journal of Chemical
545	Physics, 141(18). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4896236

Aguiar, A. C., dos Santos, P., Coutinho, J. P., Barbero, G. F., Godoy, H. T., & Martínez, J. (2014). Supercritical fluid extraction and low pressure extraction of Biquinho pepper (Capsicum chinense). *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, *59*(2), 1239–1246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2014.06.014

Aguiar, C., Osorio-tobón, J. F., Paulo, L., Silva, S., Fernandez, G., & Martínez, J. (2018). Economic analysis of oleoresin production from malagueta peppers (



- Capsicum frutescens) by supercritical fl uid extraction. The Journal of Supercritical 552 Fluids, 133(July 2017), 86–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.supflu.2017.09.031 553 Aguiar, A. C., Osorio-Tobón, J. F., Viganó, J., & Martínez, J. (2020). Economic 554 evaluation of supercritical fluid and pressurized liquid extraction to obtain 555 phytonutrients from biquinho pepper: Analysis of single and sequential-stage 556 557 processes. The Journal of Supercritical Fluids, 165, 104935. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.supflu.2020.104935 558 Al Othman, Z. A., Ahmed, Y. B. H., Habila, M. A., & Ghafar, A. A. (2011). Determination 559 560 of capsaicin and dihydrocapsaicin in Capsicum fruit samples using high performance liquid chromatography. *Molecules*, 16(10), 8919–8929. 561 https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules16108919 562 Anastas, P. T., & Eghbali, N. (2010). Green Chesmitry: Principles and Practice. 563 Chemical Society Reviews, 39, 201-312. 564 Ayob, O., Hussain, P. R., Suradkar, P., Nagash, F., Rather, S. A., Joshi, S., & Ahmad 565 Azad, Z. R. R. A. (2021). Evaluation of chemical composition and antioxidant 566 activity of Himalayan Red chilli varieties. LWT, 146(March), 111413. 567 568 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.111413 Azmir, J., Zaidul, I. S. M., Rahman, M. M., Sharif, K. M., Mohamed, A., Sahena, F., 569 Jahurul, M. H. A., Ghafoor, K., Norulaini, N. A. N., & Omar, A. K. M. (2013). 570 Techniques for extraction of bioactive compounds from plant materials: A review. 571 Journal of Food Engineering, 117(4), 426–436. 572 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2013.01.014 573
- Bajer, T., Bajerová, P., Kremr, D., Eisner, A., & Ventura, K. (2015). Central composite

575	design of pressurised hot water extraction process for extracting capsaicinoids from
576	chili peppers. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 40, 32–38.
577	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2014.12.008
578	Bogusz Junior, S., Março, P. H., Valderrama, P., Damasceno, F. C., Aranda, M. S., Zini,
579	C. A., Caramão, E. B., Tavares Melo, A. M., Filho, J. T., & Godoy, H. T. (2015).
580	Analysis of volatile compounds in Capsicum spp. by headspace solid-phase
581	microextraction and GC × GC-TOFMS. Analytical Methods, 7(2), 521–529.
582	https://doi.org/10.1039/C4AY01455C
583	Bogusz, S., Libardi, S. H., Dias, F. F., Coutinho, J. P., Bochi, V. C., Rodrigues, D., Melo
584	A. M., & Godoy, H. T. (2018). Brazilian Capsicum peppers: capsaicinoid content
585	and antioxidant activity. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 98(1), 217-
586	224. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8459
587	Boonkird, S., Phisalaphong, C., & Phisalaphong, M. (2008). Ultrasound-assisted
588	extraction of capsaicinoids from Capsicum frutescens on a lab- and pilot-plant
589	scale. Ultrasonics Sonochemistry, 15(6), 1075–1079.
590	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2008.04.010
591	Camara, J., Albuquerque, B. R., Aguiar, J., Correa, R., Gonçalves, J., Granato, D.,
592	Pereira, J., Barros, L., & Ferreira, I. (2021). Food Bioactive Compounds and
593	Emerging Techniques for Their Extraction : Polyphenols as a Case Study. Foods,
594	10, 37.
595	Cartalade, D., & Vernhet, A. (2006). Polar interactions in flavan-3-ol adsorption on solid
596	surfaces. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 54(8), 3086–3094.
597	https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0514928



Carunchia, M., Wang, L., & Han, J. H. (2015). The use of antioxidants in the

620

598

preservation of snack foods. In F. Shahidi (Ed.), Handbook of Antioxidants for Food 599 Preservation (Vol. 6, Issue 2010, pp. 447–474). Elsevier Ltd. 600 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-78242-089-7.00019-1 601 Castro-Muñoz, R., Boczkai, G., Gontarek, E., Cassano, A., & Fíla, V. (2020), Membrane 602 603 technologies assisting plant-based and agro-food by-products processing: A comprehensive review. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 95, 219–232. 604 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.12.003 605 606 Castro-Muñoz, R., Yañez-Fernandez, J., & Fila, V. (2016). Phenolic compounds recovered from agro-food by-products using membrane technologies: An overview. 607 Food Chemistry, 213. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.foodchem.2016.07.030 608 Cha-Umpong, W., Hosseini, E., Razmiou, A., Zakertabrizi, M., Korayem, A. H., & Chen, 609 V. (2020). New molecular understanding of hydrated ion trapping mechanism 610 611 during thermally-driven desalination by pervaporation using GO membrane. Journal of Membrane Science, 598(November 2019), 117687. 612 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2019.117687 613 614 Chuichulcherm, S., Prommakort, S., Srinophakun, P., & Thanapimmetha, A. (2013). Optimization of capsaicin purification from Capsicum frutescens Linn. with column 615 chromatography using Taguchi design. Industrial Crops and Products, 44, 473-616 479. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.10.007 617 Cienfuegos, N. E. C., Santos, P. L., García, A. R., Soares, C. M. F., Lima, A. S., & 618 Souza, R. L. (2017). Integrated process for purification of capsaicin using aqueous 619

two-phase systems based on ethanol. Food and Bioproducts Processing, 106, 1-

10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbp.2017.08.005 621 Civan, M., & Kumcuoglu, S. (2019). Green ultrasound-assisted extraction of carotenoid 622 and capsaicinoid from the pulp of hot pepper paste based on the bio-refinery 623 concept. Lwt, 113(June), 108320. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.108320 624 Clark, R., & Lee, S. H. (2016). Anticancer properties of capsaicin against human cancer. 625 626 Anticancer Research, 36(3), 837–844. Claverie, M., Dumas, A., Carême, C., Poirier, M., Le Roux, C., Micoud, P., Martin, F., & 627 Aymonier, C. (2018). Synthetic Talc and Talc-Like Structures: Preparation. 628 Features and Applications. Chemistry - A European Journal, 24(3), 519–542. 629 https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201702763 630 Cortés-Ferré, H. E., Guaiardo-Flores, D., Romero-De La Vega, G., & Gutierrez-Uribe, J. 631 A. (2021). Recovery of Capsaicinoids and Other Phytochemicals Involved With 632 TRPV-1 Receptor to Re-valorize Chili Pepper Waste and Produce Nutraceuticals. 633 In Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems (Vol. 4, Issue January, pp. 1–17). 634 https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2020.588534 635 Dairam, A., Fogel, R., Daya, S., & Limson, J. L. (2008). Antioxidant and iron-binding 636 637 properties of curcumin, capsaicin, and S-allylcysteine reduce oxidative stress in rat brain homogenate. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 56(9), 3350–3356. 638 https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0734931 639 640 Dang, Y. Y., Zhang, H., & Xiu, Z. L. (2014). Three-liquid-phase Extraction and Separation of Capsanthin and Capsaicin from Capsicum annum L. Czech Journal 641 of Food Sciences, 32(1), 109-114. https://doi.org/10.17221/96/2013-cifs 642

De Lourdes Reyes-Escogido, M., Gonzalez-Mondragon, E. G., & Vazquez-Tzompantzi,

E. (2011). Chemical and pharmacological aspects of capsaicin. *Molecules*, 16(2), 644 1253-1270. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules16021253 645 Dias. A., Aquiar, C., & Rostagno, M. (2021). Extraction of natural products using 646 supercritical fluids and pressurized liquids assisted by ultrasound: Current status 647 and trends. Ultrasonic Sonochemistry, 74(May), 105584. 648 649 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2021.105584 Dias, A. L. B., Arroio Sergio, C. S., Santos, P., Barbero, G. F., Rezende, C. A., & 650 Martínez, J. (2016). Effect of ultrasound on the supercritical CO2 extraction of 651 652 bioactive compounds from dedo de moça pepper (Capsicum baccatum L. var. pendulum). Ultrasonics Sonochemistry, 31, 284–294. 653 https://doi.org/10.1016/i.ultsonch.2016.01.013 654 Drew, L. J., Wood, J. N., & Cesare, P. (2002). Distinct mechanosensitive properties of 655 capsaicin-sensitive and -insensitive sensory neurons. The Journal of 656 Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 22(12), 1–5. 657 https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.22-12-j0001.2002 658 Duarte, Y., Cáceres, J., Sepúlveda, R. V., Arriagada, D., Olivares, P., Díaz-Franulic, I., 659 660 Stehberg, J., & González-Nilo, F. (2020). Novel TRPV1 Channel Agonists With Faster and More Potent Analgesic Properties Than Capsaicin. Frontiers in 661 Pharmacology, 11(July), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2020.01040 662 663 Fan, Y., Lu, Y. min, Yu, B., Tan, C. ping, & Cui, B. (2017). Extraction and purification of capsaicin from capsicum oleoresin using an aqueous two-phase system combined 664 with chromatography. Journal of Chromatography B: Analytical Technologies in the 665 Biomedical and Life Sciences, 1063(December 2016), 11–17. 666

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2017.07.006 667 Faraz, N., Ul Hag, H., Balal, M., Castro-Muñoz, R., Boczkaj, G., & Khan, A. (2021). 668 Deep eutectic solvent based method for analysis of Niclosamide in pharmaceutical 669 and wastewater samples – a green analytical chemistry approach. Journal of 670 Molecular Liquids. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.mollig.2021.116142 671 672 Favela-González, K., Hernandez-Almanza, A., & Fuente-salcido, N. (2020). The value of bioactive compounds of cruciferous vegetables (Brassica) as antimicrobials and 673 antioxidants: A review. Journal of Food Biochemistry, July, 1-21. 674 https://doi.org/10.1111/jfbc.13414 675 Fernandez Rivas, D., Boffito, D. C., Faria-Albanese, J., Glassey, J., Cantin, J., Afraz, 676 N., Akse, H., Boodhoo, K. V. K., Bos, R., Chiang, Y. W., Commenge, J. M., Dubois, 677 J. L., Galli, F., Harmsen, J., Kalra, S., Keil, F., Morales-Menendez, R., Navarro-678 Brull, F. J., Noël, T., ... Weber, R. S. (2020). Process intensification education 679 contributes to sustainable development goals. Part 2. Education for Chemical 680 Engineers, 32, 15-24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ece.2020.05.001 681 Figoli, A., Tagarelli, A., Mecchia, A., Trotta, A., Cavaliere, B., Lavecchia, R., Sindona, 682 683 G., & Drioli, E. (2006). Enzyme-assisted pervaporative recovery of concentrated bergamot peel oils. Desalination, 199(1-3), 111-112. 684 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2006.03.025 685 686 Galanakis, C. M. (2015). Separation of functional macromolecules and micromolecules: From ultrafiltration to the border of nanofiltration. Trends in Food Science and 687 Technology, 42(1), 44–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2014.11.005 688

Galiano, F., Mecchia, A., Castro-Muñoz, R., Tagarelli, A., Lavecchia, R., & Figoli, A.

(2019). Enzyme-Mediated Extraction of Limonene, Linalool and Linalyl Acetate 690 from Bergamot Peel Oil by Pervaporation Graphical abstract Keywords. Journal of 691 Membrane Science & Research, 5, 187–193. 692 https://doi.org/10.22079/JMSR.2018.95080.1221 693 Genovese, S., Epifano, F., Marchetti, L., Bastianini, M., Cardellini, F., Spogli, R., & 694 695 Fiorito, S. (2021a). Pre-concentration of capsaicinoids from different cultivars of Capsicum annuum after extraction in heterogenous mixtures. Journal of Food 696 Composition and Analysis, 102(March), 104052. 697 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2021.104052 698 Genovese, S., Epifano, F., Marchetti, L., Bastianini, M., Cardellini, F., Spogli, R., & 699 Fiorito, S. (2021b). Pre-concentration of capsaicinoids from different cultivars of 700 Capsicum annuum after extraction in heterogenous mixtures. Journal of Food 701 Composition and Analysis, 102(March), 104052. 702 703 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2021.104052 Gnayfeed, M. H., Daood, H. G., Illés, V., & Biacs, P. A. (2001). Supercritical CO2 and 704 subcritical propane extraction of pungent paprika and quantification of carotenoids, 705 706 tocopherols, and capsaicinoids. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 49(6), 707 2761–2766. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf001292q 708 Hamada, N., Hashi, Y., Yamaki, S., Guo, Y., Zhang, L., Li, H., & Lin, J. M. (2019). 709 Construction of on-line supercritical fluid extraction with reverse phase liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry for the determination of capsaicin. 710 Chinese Chemical Letters, 30(1), 99–102. 711

31

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cclet.2018.10.029

- Hamed, M., Kalita, D., Bartolo, M. E., & Jayanty, S. S. (2019). Capsaicinoids,
- Polyphenols and Antioxidant Activities of Capsicum annuum: Comparative Study of
- the Effect of Ripening Stage and Cooking Methods. *Antioxidants*, 8(9), 364.
- 716 https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox8090364
- Haq, H. U., Balal, M., Castro-Muñoz, R., Hussain, Z., Safi, F., Ullah, S., & Boczkaj, G.
- 718 (2021). Deep eutectic solvents based assay for extraction and determination of zinc
- in fish and eel samples using FAAS. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 333, 115930.
- 720 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mollig.2021.115930
- 721 Heuvel, R. H. H. Van Den, Fraaije, M. W., Laane, C., & Berkel, W. J. H. Van. (2001).
- Enzymatic Synthesis of Vanillin. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 49,
- 723 2954–2958.
- Hippenmeier, F. (1949). Zur Gehaltsbestimmung von capsaicinhaltigen Arzneidrogen
- *und Arzneidrogenpräparaten* [ETH Zürich].
- 726 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-a-000101350
- Hursel, R., & Westerterp-Plantenga, M. S. (2010). Thermogenic ingredients and body
- weight regulation. *International Journal of Obesity*, 34(4), 659–669.
- 729 https://doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2009.299
- Knez, Z., & Steiner, R. (1992). Solubility of Capsaicin in Dense CO2. The Journal of
- 731 Supercritical Fluids, 251, 251–255.
- 732 Kwon, K. T., Uddin, M. S., Jung, G. W., Sim, J. E., Lee, S. M., Woo, H. C., & Chun, B.
- S. (2011). Solubility of red pepper (Capsicum annum) oil in near- and supercritical
- carbon dioxide and quantification of capsaicin. *Korean Journal of Chemical*
- 735 Engineering, 28(6), 1433–1438. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11814-010-0515-x



- Lau, B. B. Y., Panchompoo, J., & Aldous, L. (2015). Extraction and electrochemical 736 detection of capsaicin and ascorbic acid from fresh chilli using ionic liquids. New 737 Journal of Chemistry, 39(2), 860–867. https://doi.org/10.1039/c4nj01416b 738 Liu, Y., Chen, Y., Wang, Y., Chen, J., Huang, Y., Yan, Y., Li, L., Li, Z., Ren, Y., & Xiao, 739 Y. (2020). Total phenolics, capsaicinoids, antioxidant activity, and α -glucosidase 740 741 inhibitory activity of three varieties of pepper seeds. International Journal of Food Properties, 23(1), 1016–1035. https://doi.org/10.1080/10942912.2020.1775646 742 Lu, M., Ho, C. T., & Huang, Q. (2017). Extraction, bioavailability, and bioefficacy of 743 capsaicinoids. Journal of Food and Drug Analysis, 25(1), 27–36. 744 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfda.2016.10.023 745 Lu. Q., Guo. H., Li. D., & Zhao, Q. (2020). Determination of capsaicinoids by magnetic 746 solid phase extraction coupled with UPLC-MS/MS for screening of gutter oil. 747 Journal of Chromatography B: Analytical Technologies in the Biomedical and Life 748 Sciences, 1158(August), 122344. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2020.122344 749 Lu, Y., & Cui, B. (2019). Extraction and purification of capsaicin from capsicum 750 oleoresin using a combination of tunable aqueous polymer-phase impregnated 751 752 resin (TAPPIR) extraction and chromatography technology. *Molecules*, 24(21). https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24213956 753 Luiza, V., Farias, D., Maria, Í., Felinto, R., Garruti, S., Adolfo, G., & Pinto, S. (2020). 754 755 Enzymatic maceration of Tabasco pepper: Effect on the yield, chemical and sensory aspects of the sauce. LWT - Food Science and Technology, 127(June 756 2018), 109311. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2020.109311 757
- Manikharda, Takahashi, M., Arakaki, M., Yonamine, K., Hashimoto, F., Takara, K., &

759 Wada, K. (2018). Influence of Fruit Ripening on Color, Organic Acid Contents. Capsaicinoids, Aroma Compounds, and Antioxidant Capacity of Shimatogarashi 760 (Capsicum frutescens). Journal of Oleo Science, 67(1), 113–123. 761 https://doi.org/10.5650/jos.ess17156 762 Marincas, O., Feher, I., Magdas, D. A., & Puscas, R. (2018), Optimized and validated 763 764 method for simultaneous extraction, identification and quantification of flavonoids and capsaicin, along with isotopic composition, in hot peppers from different 765 regions. Food Chemistry, 267(September 2017), 255-262. 766 767 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.10.031 Martins, F. S., Borges, L. L., Ribeiro, C. S. C., Reifschneider, F. J. B., & Conceição, E. 768 C. (2017). Novel approaches to extraction methods in recovery of capsaicin from 769 habanero pepper (CNPH 15.192). Pharmacognosy Magazine, 13(50), S375-S379. 770 https://doi.org/10.4103/0973-1296.210127 771 Molina-Torres, J., Garcia-Chavez, A., & Ramirez-Chavez, E. (1999). Antimicrobial 772 properties of alkamides present in flavouring plants traditionally used in 773 Mesoamerica: affinin and capsaicin. PG - 241-8 AB - The bioactive amides affinin 774 775 and capsaicin isolated respectively from Heliopsis longipes roots and Capsicum 776 spp fr. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 64(3), 241-8. 777 Narang, N., Jiraungkoorskul, W., & Jamrus, P. (2018). Current Understanding of 778 Antiobesity Property of Capsaicin. *Pharmacognosy Reviews*, 1(2), 8–15. https://doi.org/10.4103/phrev.phrev 779 Nath, P., Kaur, C., Rudra, S. G., & Varghese, E. (2016). Enzyme-Assisted Extraction of 780

Carotenoid-Rich Extract from Red Capsicum (Capsicum annuum). Agricultural

804

Research, 5(2), 193–204. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40003-015-0201-7 782 Paduano, A., Caporaso, N., Santini, A., & Sacchi, R. (2014). Microwave and 783 Ultrasound-Assisted Extraction of Capsaicinoids From Chili Peppers (Capsicum 784 annuum L.) in Flavored Olive Oil. Journal of Food Research, 3(4), 51. 785 https://doi.org/10.5539/ifr.v3n4p51 786 Pateiro, M., Gómez, B., Munekata, P. E. S., Barba, F. J., Putnik, P., Kovačević, D. B., & 787 Lorenzo, J. M. (2021). Nanoencapsulation of promising bioactive compounds to 788 improve their absorption, stability, functionality and the appearance of the final food 789 790 products. Molecules, 26(6). https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26061547 Patel, K., Ruiz, C., Calderon, R., Marcelo, M., & Rojas, R. (2016). Characterisation of 791 volatile profiles in 50 native Peruvian chili pepper using solid phase 792 microextraction—gas chromatography mass spectrometry (SPME–GCMS). Food 793 Research International, 89, 471–475. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2016.08.023 794 Rocha-uribe, J. A., Novelo-pérez, J. I., & Ruiz-mercado, C. A. (2014). Cost estimation 795 for CO 2 supercritical extraction systems and manufacturing cost for habanero chili. 796 The Journal of Supercritical Fluids, 93, 38–41. 797 798 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.supflu.2014.03.014 Sagar, N. A., Pareek, S., Sharma, S., Yahia, E. M., & Lobo, M. G. (2018). Fruit and 799 800 Vegetable Waste: Bioactive Compounds, Their Extraction, and Possible Utilization. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, 17(3), 512–531. 801 https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12330 802 Santos, P., Aguiar, A. C., Barbero, G. F., Rezende, C. A., & Martínez, J. (2015). 803

Supercritical carbon dioxide extraction of capsaicinoids from malagueta pepper (



Capsicum frutescens L.) assisted by ultrasound. *Ultrasonics - Sonochemistry*, 22. 805 78–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2014.05.001 806 Santos, P. L., Santos, L. N. S., Ventura, S. P. M., de Souza, R. L., Coutinho, J. A. P., 807 Soares, C. M. F., & Lima, Á. S. (2016). Recovery of capsaicin from Capsicum 808 frutescens by applying aqueous two-phase systems based on acetonitrile and 809 810 cholinium-based ionic liquids. In Chemical Engineering Research and Design (Vol. 112). Institution of Chemical Engineers. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2016.02.031 811 Sarma, M., & del Valle, M. (2020). Improved Sensing of Capsaicin with TiO 2 812 Nanoparticles. *Electroanalysis*, 32, 230–237. 813 https://doi.org/10.1002/elan.201900400 814 Schläfer, O., Onyeche, T., Bormann, H., Schröder, C., & Sievers, M. (2002). Ultrasound 815 stimulation of micro-organisms for enhanced biodegradation. *Ultrasonics*, 40(1–8), 816 25-29. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0041-624X(02)00086-0 817 Sereshti, H., Khorram, P., & Nouri, N. (2019). Recent trends in replacement of disperser 818 solvent in dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction methods. Separation and 819 Purification Reviews, 48(2), 159–178. 820 821 https://doi.org/10.1080/15422119.2018.1460851 Shah, N. A., Prasad, R. V., & Patel, B. B. (2020). Optimization of Supercritical Fluid 822 Extraction of Paprika (cv. Reshampatti) Oil, Capsaicin and Pigments. Flavour and 823 Fragrance Journal, 35(5), 469–477. https://doi.org/10.1002/ffj.3579 824 Si, W., Man, S. W., Chen, Z. Y., & Chung, H. Y. (2014). Stability of capsaicinoid content 825 at raised temperatures. Natural Product Communications, 9(7), 985–988. 826 https://doi.org/10.1177/1934578x1400900726 827

850

Smith, E. L., Abbott, A. P., & Ryder, K. S. (2014). Deep Eutectic Solvents (DESs) and 828 Their Applications. *Chemical Reviews*, 114(21), 11060–11082. 829 https://doi.org/10.1021/cr300162p 830 Sosa-Moguel, O., Pino, J. A., Ayora-Talavera, G., Sauri-Duch, E., & Cuevas-Glory, L. 831 (2017). Biological activities of volatile extracts from two varieties of Habanero 832 833 pepper (Capsicum chinense Jacq.). International Journal of Food Properties. 20(sup3), S3042-S3051. https://doi.org/10.1080/10942912.2017.1397694 834 Sricharoen, P., Lamaiphan, N., Patthawaro, P., Limchoowong, N., Techawongstien, S., 835 & Chanthai, S. (2017). Phytochemicals in Capsicum oleoresin from different 836 varieties of hot chilli peppers with their antidiabetic and antioxidant activities due to 837 some phenolic compounds. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*, 38, 629–639. 838 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2016.08.018 839 Stoica, R., Moscovi, M., Tomulescu, C., & Babeanu, N. (2016). Extraction and analytical 840 841 methods of capsaicinoids-A review. Scientific Bulletin, XX, 93–97. Sun, Q., & Leung, W. W. F. (2019). Charged PVDF multi-layer filters with enhanced 842 filtration performance for filtering nano-aerosols. Separation and Purification 843 844 Technology, 212, 854–876. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2018.11.063 Szolcsányi, J. (2004). Forty years in capsaicin research for sensory pharmacology and 845 physiology. Neuropeptides, 38(6), 377-384. 846 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.npep.2004.07.005 847 Teixeira, A., Baenas, N., Dominguez-Perles, R., Barros, A., Rosa, E., Moreno, D. A., & 848 Garcia-Viguera, C. (2014). Natural bioactive compounds from winery by-products 849

as health promoters: A review. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 15(9),

15638-15678. https://doi.org/10.3390/iims150915638 851 Toma, M., Vinatoru, M., Paniwnyk, L., & Mason, T. J. (2001). Investigation of the effects 852 of ultrasound on vegetal tissues during solvent extraction. *Ultrasonic* 853 Sonochemistry, 8, 137-142. 854 Tsakona, S., Galanakis, C. M., & Gekas, V. (2012), Hydro-Ethanolic Mixtures for the 855 856 Recovery of Phenols from Mediterranean Plant Materials. Food and Bioprocess Technology, 5(4), 1384–1393. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-010-0419-0 857 Usman, M. G., Rafii, M. Y., Ismail, M. R., Malek, M. A., & Latif, M. A. (2014). Capsaicin 858 859 and dihydrocapsaicin determination in chili pepper genotypes using ultra-fast liquid chromatography. Molecules, 19(5), 6474-6488. 860 https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules19056474 861 Valencia-Arredondo, J. A., Hernández-Bolio, G. I., Cerón-Montes, G. I., Castro-Muñoz, 862 R., & Yáñez-Fernández, J. (2020). Enhanced process integration for the extraction. 863 concentration and purification of di-acylated cyanidin from red cabbage. Separation 864 and Purification Technology, 238(October 2019), 116492. 865 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2019.116492 866 867 Wallace, T. C., Bailey, R. L., Blumberg, J. B., Burton-Freeman, B., Chen, C. y. O., Crowe-White, K. M., Drewnowski, A., Hooshmand, S., Johnson, E., Lewis, R., 868 Murray, R., Shapses, S. A., & Wang, D. D. (2020). Fruits, vegetables, and health: A 869 870 comprehensive narrative, umbrella review of the science and recommendations for enhanced public policy to improve intake. Critical Reviews in Food Science and 871 Nutrition, 60(13), 2174–2211. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2019.1632258 872 873 Wang, F., Xue, Y., Fu, L., Wang, Y., He, M., Zhao, L., & Liao, X. (2021). Extraction,

purification, bioactivity and pharmacological effects of capsaicin: a review. Critical 874 Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 0(0), 1–29. 875 876 https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2021.1884840 Welton, T. (2011). Ionic liquids in Green Chemistry. Green Chemistry, 13(2), 225. 877 https://doi.org/10.1039/c0ac90047h 878 879 Wen, L., Zhang, Z., Sun, D., Sivagnanam, S. P., & Tiwari, B. K. (2020). Combination of emerging technologies for the extraction of bioactive compounds. Critical Reviews 880 in Food Science and Nutrition, 60(11), 1826–1841. 881 https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2019.1602823 882 Werner, J., Frankowski, R., Grześkowiak, T., & Zgoła-Grześkowiak, A. (2021). High-883 Performance Liquid Chromatography with Fluorescence Detection for the 884 Determination of Capsaicin and Dihydrocapsaicin in Fat-Burning Dietary 885 Supplements. Analytical Letters, 54(13), 2097–2112. 886 887 https://doi.org/10.1080/00032719.2020.1839759 Yan, H., Wang, Z., & Wang, J. (2012). Correlation of Solubility and Prediction of the 888 Mixing Properties of Capsaicin in Different Pure Solvents. 889 890 Yan, R., Zhao, L., Tao, J., Zou, Y., & Xu, X. (2018). Preparative isolation and purification of capsaicin and dihydrocapsaicin from Capsici Fructus using 891 supercritical fluid extraction combined with high speed countercurrent 892 chromatography. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 98(7), 2498-893 2506. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8736 894 Yang, H. (2010). Extraction of Capsaicin from Chili Extract Involves Filtering Chili 895

Extract Crude Product Dissolved in n-Hexane, Filling Extract in Column, Eluting

896

Column using Ethanol, and Crystallizing Eluted Liquid (Patent No. CN 101914033-897 A,). 898 Ye. Z., Shang, Z., Li, M., Qu, Y., Long, H., & Yi, J. (2020). Evaluation of the 899 physiochemical and aromatic qualities of pickled Chinese pepper (Paoiiao) and 900 their influence on consumer acceptability by using targeted and untargeted 901 902 multivariate approaches. Food Research International, 137(April), 109535. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109535 903 Zhang, H. F., Yang, X. H., Zhao, L. D., & Wang, Y. (2009). Ultrasonic-assisted 904 905 extraction of epimedin C from fresh leaves of Epimedium and extraction mechanism. Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies, 10(1), 54–60. 906 https://doi.org/10.1016/i.ifset.2008.09.007 907 Zhang, Q., De Oliveira Vigier, K., Royer, S., & Jérôme, F. (2012). Deep eutectic 908 solvents: Syntheses, properties and applications. Chemical Society Reviews, 909 910 41(21), 7108–7146. https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cs35178a Zhang, Q. W., Lin, L. G., & Ye, W. C. (2018). Techniques for extraction and isolation of 911 natural products: A comprehensive review. Chinese Medicine (United Kingdom), 912 913 13(1), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13020-018-0177-x Zhao, P. pei, Lu, Y. min, Tan, C. ping, Liang, Y., & Cui, B. (2015). Aqueous Two-Phase 914 915 Extraction Combined with Chromatography: New Strategies for Preparative Separation and Purification of Capsaicin from Capsicum Oleoresin. Applied 916 Biochemistry and Biotechnology, 175(2), 1018–1034. 917 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-014-1303-6 918 919 Zougagh, M., Valc, M., & Rı, A. (2004). Supercritical fluid extraction: a critical review of

920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931

U	ils analytical userumess. Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 23(5), 399–405
1	https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-9936(04)00524-2
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	



Figure 1. Chemical structures of capsaicin (top) and dihydrocapsaicin (bottom) (Usman et al., 2014).






Figure 2. Documented publications related to the research towards capsaicin over the last two decades (until July 15th, 2021; source: Scopus). Keyword: *Capsaicin*.






Figure 3. Usual strategies used for the extraction of bioactive compounds from food systems. Inspired by (Camara et al., 2021).






Figure 4. Integrated system applied for the extraction, purification and polishing of capsaicin (Santos et al., 2016).






Figure 5. Process guideline for the efficient extraction and purification of capsaicin from chili peppers considering the current efforts of the research community.






Table 1. Ongoing progress on the extraction and purification of capsaicin applying emerging techniques and protocols.

Technique/ technology	Source	Operating conditions	Yield/ purity/ capsaicin recovery	Reference
SFE	Malagueta pepper (Capsicum frutescens L.)	40 ∘C, 15 MPa, acetonitrile, acetic acid, water	115mg/g extract	(Aguiar et al., 2018)
SFE	Malagueta pepper (Capsicum frutescens L.)	40∘C, 15 MPa	Yield: 76.1%	(Santos et al., 2015)
SFE+UAE	Malagueta pepper (Capsicum frutescens L.)	SFE: 40°C, 15MPa, 150 min UAE: 360 W, 60 min	Yield: 79%	(Santos et al., 2015)
SFE	dedo de moça pepper (Capsicum baccatum L. var. pendulum)	60∘C, 25 MPa, 120 min	Yield: 88%	(Dias et al., 2016)
SFE	Habanero chili	10 MPa, 35∘C, 90.2 kg/h CO₂ flow rate	Yield: 92%	(Rocha-uribe et al., 2014)
UAE	Habanero chili	60min, 37°C, hydroethanolic solution, 40 KHz	19mg/g extract	(Martins et al., 2017)
SAE	Habanero chili	Hydroethanolic solution	16.9mg/g extract	(Martins et al., 2017)
UAE	Red Jalapeno pepper (Capsicum annuum L.)	40% amplitude, 40∘C, 400W and 24 kHz, 15 min, olive oil	-	(Civan & Kumcuoglu, 2019)
UAE	Red hot chili pepper powder (Capsicum annuum L.)	28.5/31.5 kHz, 20min, olive oil	169.9 mg/kg pepper	(Paduano et al., 2014)
ATPE+MAR	Capsicum oleoresin	Buffer pH: 2.74, sample: 0.35 g capsicum oleoresin, polymer concentration: 20 % (w/w) ethanol, 22.3 % (w/w) potassium carbonate.	Purity: 85%	(Zhao et al., 2015)
PLE	Capsicum chinenses, Capsicum annuum	20 MPa, 200 ∘C and 10 + 20 min of static extraction time	Capsaicin concentration: 20, 264 µg/g	(Bajer et al., 2015)
TLPE	Red pepper (<i>Capsicum annum</i> L.)	22% (w/w) acetone 20% (w/w) K₂HPO₄ 10% (w/w) <i>n</i> - hexane, 25 ∘C	Recovery: 98.15%	(Dang et al., 2014)
MAE	Red hot chili pepper powder (<i>Capsicum annuum</i> L.)	500 W, 60s, olive oil	164.7 mg/kg pepper	(Paduano et al., 2014)
ILAE	Green <i>Capsicum annuum</i> Bird's eye chilli (Thailand)	IL: chili ratio (5: 1), 50 °C, 1 h, IL's: 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate, 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium	-	(Lau et al., 2015)



\sim
0
(I)
-=
3
$\overline{+}$
S
0
Ξ
\equiv
0
£
0
0
$\overline{}$
$\overline{\alpha}$
0
$\overline{}$
\subseteq
>
0
h.
\rightarrow
ш
<
-
7.0
V
U
ĕ

		hydrogen sulfate		
SFE	Green, yellow and red bell pepper	Modifier: 5% methanol Total flow rate: 5 mL/min	60.33 ng/g, (Green) 31.79 ng/g, (Yellow) 35.38 ng/g, (Red)	(Hamada et al., 2019)
ATPE+MAR	Capsicum oleoresin	Buffer pH: 4.35, sample: 0.24 g capsicum oleoresin, polymer concentration: 16.3% UCON 50-HB-5100, 10% K ₂ HPO ₄ , 1% ethanol.	Yield: 95.5% Purity: 85.1%	(Fan et al., 2017)
MAE+ATPE	Cumari-do-Para (Capsicum chinense var.)	20 wt.% of ethanolic extract, 25 wt.% of NaH ₂ PO ₄	Extraction efficiency: 85.6%	(Cienfuegos et al., 2017)
MAE	Capsicum frutescens Linn.	90, 320, 360, 600 W ethanol, 5-20 min	5.2 mg/g dried chili	(Chuichulcherm et al., 2013)
UAE	Capsicum frutescens Linn.	40 kHz, 600 W ethanol, 5-30 min	4.0 mg/g dried chili	(Chuichulcherm et al., 2013)
UAE	Dietary supplements sold as fat burners	65% methanol	Recovery: 76-89 %	(Werner et al., 2021)
TAPPIR + Chromatography	Capsicum oleoresin	18.5% (w/w) PEG6000, 15% (w/w) sodium citrate, and 10% (w/w) [Emim] [OAc] at pH 6.5 SKP-10-4300 resin	Yield: 95.8 % Recovery: 85% Purity: 92%	(Lu & Cui, 2019)
SFE+HSCCC	Capsici fructus	SFE: 33MPa, 41 °C, co-solvent volume 75 mL. HSCCC: n-hexane-ethyl acetate-methanol-water (1.4:0.6:1:1, v/v/v/v), 25 °C, flow rate 2 mL/ min	Capsaicin extraction yield:93.1% Dihydrocapsaicin yield:93.4% Capsaicin purity:98.3% Dihydrocapsaicin purity:96.6%	(Yan et al., 2018)
ATPE	Capsicum frutescens var. malagueta	30 wt.% acetonitrile, 35 wt.% of [Ch]Cl, 35 wt.% of water, at 318 (±1) K	Extraction efficiency: 90%	(Santos et al., 2016)
SFE	Paprika (Capsicum annuum L.)	Temperature 35-75°C, pressure 100-500 bar, extraction time 60-180 min, particle size 0.25-1.25 mm.	Capsaicin content: 2.10%	(Shah et al., 2020)
UAE	Hot pepper (<i>Capsicum annuum</i> L.)	2 h, methanol, 50°C, sample-solvent ratio 1:8	Capsaicin concentration: 627 μg/g	(Marincaş et al., 2018)
UAE	Hot pepper (<i>Capsicum annuum</i> L.)	Water-methanol (20:80%v/v), 50°C, 20 min, 35 kHz and 640 W	Recovery efficiency: 62- 92%	(Sricharoen et al., 2017)



SAE	Hot pepper (Capsicum annuum L.)	2 h, sample-solvent ratio 1:8	Capsaicin concentration: 662 µg/g	(Marincaş et al., 2018)
MSPE+ Adsorption	Gutter oil	MSPE: 1 mL dichloromethane, 3 mL, 2% NaOH aqueous solution, 1500 rpm Adsorbent: GO-Fe ₃ O ₄	Recovery: >83%	(Lu et al., 2020)
Adsorption	Capsicum annuum	Adsorbents: Hydrotalcite magnesium aluminium azelate, and bentonite	Extraction yields:70- 92%	(Genovese et al., 2021a)

PLE: pressurized liquid extraction; MAE: microwave assisted extraction; SFE: supercritical fluid extraction; UAE: ultrasound-assisted extraction;

SAE: shaker-assisted extraction; ILAE: Ionic liquid-assisted extraction; ATPE: aqueous two-phase extraction; MAR: microporous adsorption resin;

TLPE: three-liquid-phase extraction; HSCCC: high-speed countercurrent chromatography, MSPE: magnetic solid phase extraction



Table 2. Comparison of different extraction techniques used to recover the phytochemicals other than capsaicinoids.

Extraction method	Operating conditions:	Extracted compound:	Reference:
Solvent extraction	Methanol 3 h, room temperature	Phenolic compounds	(Bogusz et al., 2018)
	Methanol 24 h, 25 °C	Phenolic compounds, flavonoids, Ascorbic acid	(Hamed et al., 2019)
	80% methanol 24 h, 25 ± 2 oC	Carotenoids, phenolics and flavonoids	(Ayob et al., 2021)
UAE	ethanol 80%, methanol 80% and acetone 80% 40 min, 40 °C 40 kHz	Phenolic compounds	(Liu et al., 2020)
Enzyme-Assisted extraction	 Cellulase, viscozyme L, pectinase 1 h, 60 °C Solvent Extraction: ethanol, 30 min 	Phenolic compounds, flavonoids, carotenoids	(Nath et al., 2016)
Enzymatic maceration	 Pectinex AR, Celluclast and combined 18 h, 50 °C Solvent Extraction: Water, 18 h, 50 °C 	Carotenoids	(Luiza et al., 2020)
PLE	65 °C, 10 MPa, ethanol and water, 60 min	Capsiate, phenolic compounds	(Aguiar et al., 2020)
SFE + PLE	 SFE: 50 °C, 15 MPa, 120 min PLE: 65 °C, 10 MPa, ethanol and water, 60 min 	Capsiate, phenolic compounds	(Aguiar et al., 2020)
SFE	50 °C, 15 MPa, 120 min 40 °C, 25 MPa, 80 min Ultrasonic power 600 W	Capsiate, phenolic compounds Phenolic compounds	(Aguiar et al., 2020) (Dias et al., 2016)

Highlights

A last 5 years comprehensive review on the current strategies towards capsaicin extraction is given.

Innovative techniques for the purification of capsaicin are highlighted.

The guidelines to the new scientists for the effective extraction are stated.

The current research gaps and perspectives in the field are outlined.

