This author accepted manuscript is deposited under a Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC) licence. This means that anyone may distribute, adapt, and build upon the work for non-commercial purposes, subject to full attribution. If you wish to use this manuscript for commercial purposes, please contact permissions@emerald.com

Postprint of: Dvouletý O., Nikulin D., Dependent self-employed individuals: are they different from paid employees? Employee Relations (2023), DOI: 10.1108/ER-11-2022-0502

Employee Relations



Dependent self-employed individuals: Are they different from paid employees?

Journal:	Employee Relations
Manuscript ID	ER-11-2022-0502.R2
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Keywords: heterogeneity of entrepreneurs, dependent self-employment, bogu fake, false, sham, pseudo or involuntary self-employed	



This is Author Accepted Manuscript (AAM). Accepted for publication: 19 January 2023. Article publication date: 7 February 2023.

Dependent self-employed individuals: Are they different from paid employees?

Abstract

Purpose: This study focuses on dependent self-employment, which covers a situation where a person works for the same employer as a typical worker while on a self-employment contractual basis, i.e., without a traditional employment contract and without certain rights granted to "regular" employees.

Design/methodology/approach: The research exploits the individual-level dataset of 35 European countries extracted from the 2017 edition of the European Labour Force Survey and compares the characteristics of employees and dependent self-employed individuals. Methodologically, the study relies on the estimation of a multivariate logistic regression model.

Findings: The main hypothesis assuming that dependent self-employed work most often in low-skilled occupations was empirically supported. There was also a non-linear (u-shaped) relationship between the years of accumulated experience (with a turning point at 35 years) and the likelihood of being dependent self-employed. Other results showed that dependent self-employed are less likely to be women, and they are more likely born outside of the countries where they participate in the labour markets.

Originality/value: The study contributes to the field by adopting a comparable definition of dependent self-employment and exploiting the recent theoretical support of The Work Precarity Framework. The phenomenon should still be addressed by policymakers and labour office representatives, aiming to protect, primarily, vulnerable lower-skilled workers. The ongoing research should study the longitudinal dimension of dependent self-employment with a focus on motivational aspects.

Keywords: dependent self-employment; heterogeneity of entrepreneurs; bogus, fake, false, sham, pseudo or involuntary self-employed Post of the second

JEL codes: L26; J21; H55



1. Introduction

Given the proliferation of technological change and the appearance of new forms of employment, relations in the labour market have been altered fundamentally, including the complexity of self-employment. Growing attention is paid to the different forms of self-employment, which on the one hand, may be perceived as a way to circumvent labour regulations (Sargeant, 2017; Baker et al., 2018; MacDonald and Giazitzoglu, 2019), described as precarious work, with less stability, higher risk and uncertain income (Putniņš and Sauka, 2011; Aldén and Hammarstedt, 2016; Moore and Newsome, 2018; Conen and Schippers, 2019; Hernanz and Carrasco, 2021; Heyes and Tomlinson, 2021; Kitschelt and Rehm, 2022) but on the other hand, a way how to allow individuals to strive for more independence and freedom (Rustagi, 2013; Allen and Curington, 2014; Hagqvist et al., 2015; Murgia and Pulignano, 2021). Another perspective highlights the importance of self-employed persons working as freelancers, their role in enabling entrepreneurial attitudes (van Stel and de Vries, 2015; Burke et al., 2019) and their impact on the productivity and efficiency of other organisations (Popiel, 2017; Bologna, 2018; Drahokoupil and Fabo, 2019; Pichault and McKeown, 2019).

A burgeoning research stream focuses on motives, which explain why people choose self-employment as their career choice. In general, the push and pull factors are mentioned, which suggest two opposite drivers of becoming self-employed (Segal et al., 2005; Murnieks et al., 2020). Extensive research by Burke (2011; 2015) documents the variety among the self-employed labour force, which cover both less skilled vulnerable workers and well-educated, highly skilled professionals and freelancers. Similarly, Bögenhold and Klinglmair (2016, p.844) note in their study that: "the category of self-employment includes very privileged positions as well as very marginal ones, coexisting in the same category at the same time". Other scholars (Bögenhold, 2019; van Stel and van der Zwan, 2019; Dvouletý, 2020; Van Stel et al., 2021) also confirm the heterogeneous nature of self-employed individuals regarding income level, occupation, education, dependency and security level.

Based on these observations, some recent studies (Skrzek-Lubasińska and Szaban, 2019; Cieślik and Dvouletý, 2019) attempted to capture the diverse nature of people classified as self-employed into such categories as job creators, solo self-employed professionals and freelancers, dependent (also associated with several normative connotations and terms like fake, false, bogus, or pseudo) self-employed, part-time or hybrid self-employed. Each of these categories must be clearly defined; otherwise, the scholarly discussion will not move further, and the contradictory arguments regarding solo self-employment will remain.

This study focuses on one of these identified categories of self-employment – dependent self-employment, which is associated with the most problematic labour market-related aspects (Behling and Harvey, 2015; Moore and Newsome, 2018; Horodnic and Williams, 2019a; 2019b; Millán et al., 2020). Dependent self-employment covers a situation where a person conducts the same tasks and works for the same employer as a typical worker while on a self-employment contractual basis, i.e., without a traditional employment contract and without certain rights granted to "regular" employees (Burke, 2011; Román et al., 2011; Thörnquist, 2015). Such a working relationship is being used in order to circumvent labour market



regulations and for tax evasion purposes (Millán et al., 2018; Moore and Newsome, 2018; Koufopoulou et al., 2019).

Thus, it is not surprising that there is a significant interest of policymakers in this phenomenon related to both monitoring and potential policy responses. The issue was noted several times, for example, in reports of the European Parliament (Directorate General for Internal Policies), the International Labour Organization (ILO) or the Organization for Economic Development and Coordination - OECD (OECD, 2000; Eichhorst et al., 2013; ILO, 2016) and the current policy debate is driven mainly by the neoliberal approach towards public policymaking (Moisander et al., 2018). The 2015 statistics from the European Working Conditions Survey (EWCS) show that 55% of self-employed without employees in Europe are dependent on the main contractor and/or work without any authority (Williams and Horodnic, 2019, p. 73). However, these striking numbers were later calibrated in the Eurostat (2018) report on self-employment in Europe, which included additional conditions required to find the accurate and statistically feasible definition of dependent self-employment. Cieślik and Dvouletý (2019, p. 299) summarise these conditions as follows: individuals are called dependent self-employed if "they work full-time as solo self-employed for one client only (or one client is dominating, i.e., generating 75% or more income) and a (dominating) client decides their working hours". If we apply this definition, we see that the size of the issue is not that large, as indicated by Williams and Horodnic (2019) or earlier by Williams and Lapeyre (2017), but still considerable. Data from the 2017 European Labour Force Survey (EU LFS) show that only 5% of solo selfemployed are dependent (Eurostat, 2018; Cieślik and Dvouletý, 2019).

Therefore, this paper focuses on dependent workers as defined in Cieślik and Dvouletý (2019, p. 299) and explores whether they systematically differ from paid employees. The need for a deeper investigation of dependent self-employment drives the primary motivation for this research. Therefore, we use the individual-level dataset of 35 European countries extracted from the 2017 edition of the LFS and examine the determinants of dependent self-employment. Our methodological approach is based on employing a rich range of socio-economic characteristics and estimation of a logistic regression model determining the likelihood of being dependent self-employed. Unlike most previous studies, we pay attention to the professional status of the labour force and find linkages between occupational characteristics and the inclination to work as dependent self-employed. In this way, we provide a novel empirical contribution to the knowledge on the characteristics and nature of this group of self-employed persons based on the rich and vast cross-country dataset.

The rest of the article is organised as follows. The next section reviews the existing studies dealing with dependent self-employment and introduces the central tested hypothesis. This section is followed by an introduction to the 2017 LFS dataset and a description of the analysed variables. Then we present findings from the estimated logistic regression model, which we discuss in the article's final section, including implications for future research and policymakers.



2. Background and hypothesis development

A good starting point for clarifying the relationship between employment, economic dependency and self-employment is a recent book edited by Williams and Horodnic (2019). Williams and Horodnic (2019) note that the previously published studies use different kinds of terms for capturing dependent self-employment. However, these often very normative terms, such as "bogus", "fake", "false", "sham", "involuntary", "misclassified", or "pseudo" self-employment (in German Scheinselbständigkeit, c. f. Behrmann, 2021) or "disguised" employment, tend to have almost identical meaning. In particular, these all terms describe a situation where a person conducts the same tasks and works for the same employer as a typical worker but on a self-employment contractual basis, i.e., without a traditional employment contract (Román et al., 2011; Wickham and Bobek, 2016; Adriaenssens and Hendrickx, 2019; Carrasco and Hernanz, 2021). We emphasise that to advance the existing research, the scholarly community needs to shift from using normative terminology to working only with the dependent self-employment term as a unified term used in this study from now on. The previous wordings were mentioned explicitly to illustrate how diverse is the existing work on the economic dependency of self-employed. In addition, we clarify that by a traditional employment contract, we mean a legally acceptable document signed by two parties, (potential) employee and employer, for a definite or indefinite period, determining the employment relationship, work-related duties and wage or salary paid to an employee (Simon, 1951; Ojala et al., 2018).

Then we need to concentrate on the working definition of dependent self-employment. According to the European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions – Eurofound (2017), in most cases, the dependent forms of self-employment are distinguished based on three criteria: (1) working for only one client, (2) having authority to hire staff, (3) having the authority to make important strategic decisions (Eurofound, 2017). This initial definition was later calibrated by Eurostat and summarised in a study by Cieślik and Dvouletý (2019, p. 299), noting that it is crucial to combine one client (or dominant client) condition with the decision on working hours to capture the most endangered solo self-employed. In other words, to statistically cover those whom the employer pushes to work under a self-employment contract (Nikulin, 2021).

Consequently, dependent self-employment implies a disguised employment relationship. It is argued that false self-employment is, therefore, a misuse of genuine self-employment relationship and is motivated by the desire of the employer to avoid taxes, collective agreements or other legal responsibilities, having thus precarious character (Thörnquist, 2011; 2015; Wagner and Berntsen, 2016; Heyes and Hastings, 2017; Allan et al., 2021), and it makes self-employed to bear the risks of work and receive limited social benefits (e.g., holiday/sickness pay) and statutory entitlements (Kalleberg and Vallas, 2017, p. 1). Besides, they may also experience fear and uncertainty regarding the continuity of their working and social relationships (Allan et al., 2021). The main channels through which the precarity may be observed are personal dependence if the main (only) contractor regulates the time, place and organisation of work (Muehlberger, 2007b) as well as economic dependence, where the costs and risk are transferred from



the customer to the self-employed (Thörnquist, 2015). That is why these falsely classified self-employed often do not carry out entrepreneurial activities but perform tasks ordered by a customer at a designated place and time (Eichhorst et al., 2013; Thörnquist, 2015; Gialis et al., 2017).

Still, it needs to be acknowledged that the type of working contract is a result of mutual agreement between employer and future (dependent) self-employed, despite that the bargaining powers of each of the sides are not equal and depend on several factors (Pulignano, 2017; Horodnic and Williams, 2019a). As described by the economic theory of the labour market (Chiang, 1986; Kugler and Saint-Paul, 2004; Foss et al., 2007), the business owners and their managers (employers) aim to minimise the personnel costs, thus candidates willing to work as dependent self-employed, while delivering the same level of work as staffed employees, will be preferred. Industrial and organisational psychology scholars (Duffy et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2019) use the psychology of working theory (PWT) to describe factors that explain work choices and hiring decisions. Allan et al. (2021) recently derived from PWT The Work Precarity Framework, which also includes factors that moderate precarious working relationships. The following potential moderators are included in the developed framework: work volition; resources and capital; social support; and social class (Allan et al., 2021, p. 5) and may serve as predictors of dependent self-employment. Notably, not only individual characteristics but also the economic and social conditions, employment protection legislation and industry-specific factors influence the proportions of dependent self-employed. These structural factors also include levels of labour market flexibilisation, privatisation and the concentration of economic power in global value chains (Muehlberger, 2007a, Román et al., 2011; Eichhorst et al., 2013; Moisander et al., 2018; Wiliams and Horodnic, 2019; Wright et al., 2019).

However, the empirical findings on the role of these factors are relatively scarce currently as the data availability is considerably limited. Up to date, most extensively, the authors worked with the EWCS survey data. An analysis by Wiliams and Horodnic (2018) based on the 2015 edition of the EWCS revealed that predominantly, men, older workers and those employed in the private sector have a greater probability of working as dependent self-employed. The authors also observed considerable variations across sectors: the highest share of dependent self-employed was observed in agriculture, forestry and fishing (22%), arts, entertainment, recreation and other service activities (14%) and professional, scientific and administrative workers, where the proportion was 11% (Williams and Horodnic, 2018). When analysing the inclinations to dependent self-employment by occupations with both available waves of EWCS (i.e., 2010 and 2015 data), Williams and Horodnic (2019) found that managers are less likely to be dependent self-employed in comparison to skilled agricultural, forestry and fishing workers, but more likely when compared to clerical support workers. However, the authors failed to empirically support a hypothesis assuming that lowerskilled occupations are more likely to be associated with dependent self-employment (Williams and Horodnic, 2019). The most recent study by Kösters and Smits (2021) was based on Dutch LFS data, and the conducted analysis also failed to support this assumption. These results are contradictory to the earlier established findings of Muehlberger (2007a), Fehringer (2014) or Thörnquist (2015), arguing in favour of this relationship. Thus, it is an interesting research question to see whether the established patterns and



theoretical expectations of PWT changed or were only time- and/or context-specific (Eichhorst et al., 2013; Carrasco and Hernanz, 2021; Allan et al., 2021). Therefore, we particularly state the following hypothesis to be verified:

 H_1 : Dependent self-employed individuals are more likely to work in low-skilled professions.

3. Data

The research is based on the 2017 edition of the European Union Labour Force Survey (EU LFS) data. The EU LFS is a representative survey managed by the national statistical authorities of 35 countries¹. Eurostat created the harmonised dataset, and the 2017 edition was already used by researchers studying selfemployment recently because it covers specific aspects of entrepreneurial behaviour (Dvouletý, 2020). Details about the questionnaire, data collection and all variables can be accessed online at the Eurostat website (Eurostat, 2018; 2019; 2020).

We focus on the phenomenon of dependent self-employment, so we extract from the whole 2017 LFS dataset information about individuals who are full-time employees and add those who meet the definition of dependent self-employment, as described by Cieślik and Dvouletý (2019). Therefore, by dependent self-employed individuals, we mean in our sample those: "who work full-time as solo self-employed for one client only (or one client is dominating, i.e., generating 75% or more income) and a (dominating) client decides their working hours" (Cieślik and Dvouletý, 2019, p. 299). The final dataset includes information about 278,708 economically active persons aged between 15 and 64 years pursuing a single job. The number of observations, however, varies depending on the availability of the remaining variables. The definitions of included variables of interest are available in Table 1, and descriptive statistics of the sample can be found in Table 2. Initially, we observe that the proportion of dependent self-employed individuals is relatively low; they constitute only 0.3% of the whole sample. This proportion is lower than the official LFS statistics because not all respondents have available data, and we focused our analysis only on full-time employed and self-employed individuals. The proportions differ across studied countries (see Appendix 1), but the variance seems to be relatively stable, not indicating any extremes. The highest proportions are observed in Romania (0.9%) and Italy (0.8%) and the lowest in Switzerland (0.04%) and Finland (0.04%). The analysed characteristics of individuals (see Table 1) include traditional determinants of labour market participation (Jenkins et al., 2003; Cipollone et al., 2014) and self-employment engagement (Cowling et al., 2019; Van Stel et al., 2021), particularly respondent's age, gender, nationality, education, years of experience, marital status, partner, household and children-related characteristics, and degree of urbanisation of the area of living.



¹ The 2017 LFS covers Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Switzerland, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Germany, Denmark, Estonia, Spain, Finland, France, Greece, Croatia, Hungary, Ireland, Iceland, Italy, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Latvia, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Sweden, Slovenia, and the United Kingdom.

Table 1. List of variables

Variable	Definition	
	Variable equals one if the respondent works full-time as a solo self-employed	
Dependent Self-employment	and works for one client only (or one is dominating, i.e., generating 75% or more	
	income) and a (dominating) client decides his/her working hours.	
Age	Respondent's age, classified into several age categories, reflecting a range	
z igt	between 15 and 64 years, coded as a set of dummy variables.	
Female	Variable coded as one if the respondent's gender is a female.	
Nationality non-Native	Variable equals one if the respondent holds a different nationality than the	
	native.	
Education	Set of dummy variables according to ISCED (International Standard	
Luncunon	Classification of Education, 2011) 2011 classification.	
Skill-level Classification of Professions	Set of dummy variables according to ISCO (International Standard	
	Classification of Occupations, International Labour Organization, 2008) 0-8	
	classification of professions based on the skill levels grouped into four ILO	
	categories, i.e., low, medium and high-skilled professions (without managerial	
	professions) and managers (highest level).	
Years of Experience	Respondent's accumulated years of experience in the current company or	
Teurs of Experience	organisation.	
Marital Status	Set of dummy variables according to respondent's marital status: widowed,	
with the status	divorced or legally separated; single or married.	
Partner/spouse living in the same	same Dummy variable, which equals 1 if the respondent lives together with his/her	
ousehold spouse/partner.		
Number of persons in the Household	A variable that reflects the number of persons living in respondent's household.	
Number of children in the household	A variable that reflects the number of children under 15 years old in	
aged less than 15 years	respondent's household.	
Dogwood I Inhanication	A set of dummy variables describing whether the respondent lives in cities;	
Degree of Urbanisation	towns and suburbs; or in rural area.	
Country	Respondent's country of residence.	

Own calculations based on the Labour Force Survey (LFS) ad-hoc module 2017 data (Eurostat, 2018)



Table 2: Descriptive statistics (Dependent self-employed and wage employed, 15-64 years)

Variable	Frequency (%)		N	1		
Dependent self-employed (=1)	0.3	326,330				
15-19 years of age (=1)	1.5		326,			
20-24 years of age (=1)	6.7	326,330				
25-29 years of age (=1) 30-34 years of age (=1)	11.7 12.5		326,			
35-39 years of age (=1)	12.9		326,			
40-44 years of age (=1)		326,330 326,330				
45-49 years of age (=1)	13.1	·				
50-54 years of age (=1)	13.3	326,330				
	12.9	326,330				
55-59 years of age (=1)	10.3		326,			
60-64 years of age (=1)	5.0	326,330				
Female (=1)	40.9		326,			
Nationality non-Native (=1)	8.1	326,082				
Less than Primary Education (=1)	0.4	325,177				
Primary Education (=1)	2.1	325,177				
Lower Secondary Education (=1)	13.3	325,177				
Upper Secondary Education (=1)	43.8	325,177				
Post-secondary Non-tertiary Education (=1)	3.7	325,177				
Short-cycle Tertiary Education (=1)	6.2	325,177				
Bachelor's or Equivalent Level (=1)	13.9	325,177				
Master's or Equivalent Level (=1)	15.5	325,177				
Doctoral or Equivalent Level (=1)	1.2	325,177				
Low-skilled Professions (=1)	7.6	325,481				
Medium-skilled Professions (=1)	47.6	325,481				
High-skilled Professions without Managers (=1)	38.6	325,481				
High-skilled Professions - Managers (=1)	6.2	325,481				
Widowed, divorced or legally separated (=1)	9.0	326,191				
<i>Single (=1)</i>	39.0	326,191				
Married (=1)	52.0	326,191				
Partner/spouse living in the same household (=1)	65.6	278,708				
Cities (Densely populated area) (=1)	42.5	326,330				
Towns and suburbs (Intermediate populated area) (=1)	33.6	326,330				
Rural (Thinly populated area) (=1)	23.9	326,330				
Variable	Mean	SD	Min	Max	N	
Years of Experience	10.5	10.1	0	50	326,330	
Number of persons in the Household	3.0	1.3	1	17	278,708	
Number of children in the household aged less than 15 years	0.5	0.8	0	10	278,708	

Note: Post-stratification weights applied.

Own elaboration based on the Labour Force Survey (LFS) ad-hoc module 2017 data (Eurostat, 2018)



Analysis and results

Our analysis aims to explore whether dependent self-employed persons systematically differ from paid employees, with a particular focus on an individual's professional status. To achieve our research goal, we estimate a multivariate logistic regression model with the dependent variable capturing dependent selfemployment status. The econometric model is estimated on a sample of full-time employees (dependent variable = 0) and dependent self-employed only (dependent variable = 1). We present the obtained results in Table 3. The standard errors of the estimated coefficients are robust, and reported estimates were also adjusted for the relative size of the labour force of the included 35 countries. The econometric model also includes a series of country dummies, which were found to be statistically significant. However, they are not reported for parsimonious reasons. According to the Chi-square test of joint significance, the model was found to be statistically significant (Menard, 2001). Therefore, there are statistically significant variables which differentiate dependent self-employed persons from paid employees.

We find that dependent self-employment occurs less likely among women, but it does not seem to be associated with age. Interestingly, dependent self-employed are more likely to be born outside of the countries where they participate in the labour markets. Furthermore, the obtained results do not imply any relationship with the level of education. However, we observe a statistically significant association with the skill levels of professions and a non-linear (u-shaped) relationship with the years of accumulated experience (with a turning point at 35 years). The higher the skill level of a profession is, the lower the probability of being dependent self-employed. Thus, the lowest propensity is according to the obtained estimates for managerial professions, while the highest is for low-skilled occupations. Such a finding is in line with the stated hypothesis, which is, thus, based on our research sample empirically supported. Our results indicate a positive association between the number of persons living in the household and the likelihood of being dependent self-employed. However, the remaining family-related variables were not found to be statistically significant.



Table 3. Determinants of dependent self-employment

Independent variables/Dependent Self-employment =1 (i.e., works full-time as solo self-employed and working for one client only (or one is dominating, i.e., generating 75% or more income) and a (dominating) client decides his/her working hours)

20-24 years of age	-0.227
25-29 years of age	(0.411) 0.492
	(0.403)
30-34 years of age	0.111 (0.411)
35-39 years of age	0.460
	(0.409)
40-44 years of age	0.564 (0.419)
45-49 years of age	0.325
	(0.419)
50-54 years of age	0.594
55 50 years of are	(0.420) 0.356
55-59 years of age	(0.431)
60-64 years of age	0.951*
	(0.438)
Female	-0.747***
Nationality non-Native	(0.104) 0.522***
1 vanionally non 1 vanie	(0.148)
Primary Education	-0.149
	(0.545)
Lower Secondary Education	0.0465 (0.492)
Upper Secondary Education	-0.0756
	(0.491)
Post-secondary Non-tertiary Education	0.937
	(0.600)
Short-cycle Tertiary Education	-0.238 (0.527)
Bachelor's or Equivalent Level	-0.251
	(0.519)
Master's or Equivalent Level	0.170
Doctoral or Equivalent Level	(0.528) -0.0607
	(0.678)
Low-skilled Professions	1.250***
M. Jim., J. ill. J.D., G. iim.	(0.287)
Medium-skilled Professions	1.058*** (0.264)
High-skilled Professions without Managers	1.150***
	(0.255)
Years of Experience	-0.0577***
Years of Experience Squared	(0.0140) 0.000835*
	(0.000402)
Number of persons in the Household	0.150***
Widowed, divorced or legally separated	(0.0302) 0.136
m momen, meoreta or uguny separanta	(0.175)
Married	-0.0919



	(0.180)
Partner/spouse living in the same household	-0.0832
	(0.140)
Number of children in the household aged less than 15 years	-0.0374
	(0.0632)
Cities (Densely populated area)	0.0173
	(0.102)
Towns and suburbs (Intermediate density area)	0.00426
	(0.122)
Constant	-7.752***
	(0.744)
Country dummies	Yes
Sample description	Full-time employees and
	dependent self-employed.
Observations	277,424
Prob > chi2	0.000
Pseudo R ²	0.082
Akaike information criterion (AIC)	6334.7
Bayesian information criterion (BIC)	6903.5

Notes: Robust logistic regression estimates. Pooled sample of LFS countries. Countries included: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Switzerland, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Germany, Denmark, Estonia, Spain, Finland, France, Greece, Croatia, Hungary, Ireland, Iceland, Italy, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Latvia, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Sweden, Slovenia, United Kingdom. Post-stratification weights applied. Robust standard errors are in parentheses, stat. significance is reported as follows: + p < 0.10, *p < 0.05, *p < 0.01, **p < 0.01, **p < 0.001

Turning point for Years of Experience and Years of Experience Squared ≈ 35 years.

Reference groups for dummy variables: Age (15-19 years); Male, Native of own Country; Less than Primary Education; Managers; Single; Partner/spouse does not live in the same household; Rural area (Thinly populated area).

Source: STATA 14, own estimates based on the Labour Force Survey (LFS) ad-hoc module 2017 data (Eurostat, 2018)

5. Discussion and conclusions

This research contributed to the state of knowledge on dependent self-employment from the perspective of the 2017 European Labour Force Survey (EU LFS) individual-level data covering 35 countries. The multivariate analysis was used to determine whether dependent self-employed persons systematically differ from paid employees and whether dependent self-employment occurs more among the low-skilled professions. The obtained results from the estimated logistic regression model showed that the highest probability of being dependent self-employed was for the low-skilled occupations, thus favouring the stated hypothesis. Put together with the non-linear u-shaped) relationship with the years of accumulated experience (with a turning point at 35 years), we document the importance of an individual's resources and capital as a part of the newly established Work Precarity Framework by Allan et al. (2021). In line with the psychology of working theory - PWT (Duffy et al., 2016), we see that people working in low-skilled occupations and, in addition, those being inexperienced (or "over experienced") face much more difficult situations when negotiating their work conditions, often ending in the dependent self-employment contract. Eichhorst et al. (2013) add that given the lower levels of experience and skills, the individuals lack the capacity to negotiate better conditions with their employers. Thus, being dependent self-employed goes hand in hand with higher levels of precarity, including lower social protection, work security and increased uncertainty (Thörnquist, 2015; Wagner and Berntsen, 2016). These observations align with the earlier research on dependent self-employment (Muehlberger, 2007a; Böheim and Muehlberger, 2009; Fehringer,



1

2014), highlighting its push character and increased volatility of persons working under these conditions. The push (necessity) aspect of dependent self-employed seems to be also more pronounced for individuals born outside of the country they work, who might find it even more challenging to secure an income and are willing to accept even worse working and financial conditions (Allan et al., 2021) as assumed earlier by Williams and Horodnic (2018) but not empirically validated. The gender dimension of dependent selfemployment is relatively consistent over past studies, indicating that males are more inclined to opt for it. As emphasised earlier, given the low-skilled profile, the dependent self-employed males often work in manual jobs in construction or manufacturing industries (Eichhorst et al., 2013; Williams and Horodnic, 2018; Kösters and Smits, 2021).

The contribution of this study to the current state of the art is in the adoption of the unified definition of dependent self-employment and in the theoretical underpinning of the phenomenon in The Work Precarity Framework, which should also be used in ongoing research to maintain comparability of future studies. The current state of knowledge on dependent self-employment, might be only moved forward by using the same terms, i.e., dependent self-employment, and by following the working definitions of the phenomenon, ensuring analytical rigour and comparability of (future) research findings. Otherwise, we agree with the previous researchers on the problematic aspects of dependent self-employment, which should be treated separately from the population of self-employed persons. However, the provided empirical findings are based on a cross-country sample and, thus, validate the previously obtained evidence also from the international (European) perspective, as the previous findings were driven mostly by singlecountry studies. This also enhances the contribution of our research study. Being dependent self-employed may represent only a temporary episode on the transition to long-term employment (Böheim and Muehlberger, 2009); however, when considered a long-term job, the harmful effects could accumulate even more and significantly influence mental and physical well-being (Allan et al., 2021). Therefore, policymakers and labour market representatives must proceed in increasing awareness about the phenomenon. The role of continuous onsite controls and regulatory enforcement leading to the mitigation of dependent selfemployment has been articulated many times by previous studies (Böheim and Muehlberger, 2009; Thörnquist, 2015; Williams and Horodnic, 2018). It is vital to spread information about the potentially harmful effects of dependent self-employment, for example, through the labour market office representatives, who often interact with the unemployed or individuals at risk of unemployment.

Nevertheless, policymakers also need to focus on the second aspect of the problem – employers who consider offering dependent contracts to their employees or those who favour them. There is a need to tighten the controlling mechanisms to decrease the number of dependent self-employed workers and protect vulnerable persons, as recently emphasised by Kösters and Smits (2021). Researchers and scholars could investigate to what extent country-level institutional, regulatory, and control mechanisms determine the national levels of dependent self-employment (see Appendix 1) and their development over time as we observe variation in the rates of dependent self-employment in our sample. Based on our summary statistics, we suggest future research to test a hypothesis assuming a negative relationship between the quality of



institutions and the rates of dependent self-employment. Finally, we need to acknowledge the cross-sectional dimension of the study as a severe limitation, preventing us from tracking the employment transitions of dependent self-employed, which could provide even more robust results regarding the duration of dependent self-employment. In particular, it would be interesting to see whether the more significant proportions of self-employed stay in dependency for shorter periods and how the proportions and duration differ across the regions, for example, developed vs those underdeveloped. Primary research could also focus on those individuals who work only part-time, as this study provided insights only from employed and self-employed who work full-time. We call for more research on the multiple jobs holding phenomenon (Boeri et al., 2020), asking if some dependent self-employed combine their primary job with other occupations and how they cope with it. This includes individuals who combine their main paid job with entrepreneurial activity, linked in the scholarly literature with the term hybrid entrepreneurs (Pollack et al., 2019; Dvouletý and Bögenhold, 2022; Asante et al., 2022). Our research is also limited by the potential biases caused by the self-declaring information from the respondents, even though the sample size is large and the survey was distributed by professionals representing national statistical authorities. More research is also needed to better capture the situations and motivations of individuals agreeing to become dependent self-employed. As we studied the European (institutional and political) context of dependent selfemployment, future research could also more extensively address the parallels, including historical roots, between dependent self-employment in the global and North and South, which was acknowledged by the International Labour Organization (ILO) in its Home Work Convention C177 in 1996 (Borris and Zimmermann, 2016). Another research area worth investigating in forthcoming studies is the role of structural factors determining dependent self-employment, such as levels of labour market flexibilisation, privatisation and the concentration of economic power in global value chains (Moisander et al., 2018). This amplifies the need to better understand dependent self-employment across sectors and linkages with particular occupations in horizontal and vertical ways. Such research could combine the neoliberal policymaking framework and the individual Work Precarity Framework in the multilevel analysis.

6. References

- Adriaenssens, S., & Hendrickx, J. (2019). "Bad jobs": a case study of toilet attendants. *Employee Relations*, 41(3), 489-505.
- Aldén, L., & Hammarstedt, M. (2016). Discrimination in the credit market? Access to financial capital among self-employed immigrants. *Kyklos*, 69(1), 3-31.
- Allan, B. A., Autin, K. L., & Wilkins-Yel, K. G. (2021). Precarious work in the 21st century: A psychological perspective. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, *126*, 103491. Allen, W. D. and Curington, W. P. (2014) 'The Self-Employment of Men and Women: What are their Motivations?', *Journal of Labor Research*, 35(2), pp. 143–161. doi: 10.1007/s12122-014-9176-6.
- Asante, E. A., Danquah, B., Oduro, F., Affum-Osei, E., Twumasi, M. A., Azunu, C., & Li, C. (2022).



- Entrepreneurial career persistence of hybrid entrepreneurs: The opposing moderating roles of wage work-to-entrepreneurship enrichment and entrepreneurship-to-wage work enrichment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 132, 103660.
- Baker, M. et al. (2018) To what extent do policies contribute to self-employment? OECD Economics Department Working Papers, Paris, France. doi: https://doi.org/10.1787/18151973
- Behling, F., & Harvey, M. (2015). The evolution of false self-employment in the British construction industry: a neo-Polanyian account of labour market formation. *Work, employment and society*, 29(6), 969-988.
- Behrmann, M. (2021). Vertragsstrukturen in der Kultur-und Kreativwirtschaft. In Behrmann, M. *Creative Industry Management* (pp. 169-205). Springer Gabler, Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany.
- Boeri, T., Giupponi, G., Krueger, A. B., & Machin, S. (2020). Solo self-employment and alternative work arrangements: A cross-country perspective on the changing composition of jobs. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 34(1), 170-95.
- Bögenhold, D. and Klinglmair, A. (2016) 'Independent work, modern organisations and entrepreneurial labor: Diversity and hybridity of freelancers and self-employment', *Journal of Management & Organization*, 22(6), pp. 843–858. doi: 10.1017/jmo.2016.29.
- Bögenhold, D. (2019). From hybrid entrepreneurs to entrepreneurial billionaires: Observations on the socio-economic heterogeneity of self-employment. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 63(2), 129-146.
- Böheim, R., & Muehlberger, U. (2009). Dependent self-employment: workers between employment and self-employment in the UK. Zeitschrift für ArbeitsmarktForschung, 42(2), 182-195.
- Bologna, S. (2018). The rise of the European self-employed workforce. Mimesis International, Milan, Italy.
- Boris, E., & Zimmermann, S. (2016). The International Labour Organization and the gender of work. In Steans, J. & Tepe, D. (Eds.) *Handbook on Gender in World Politics*. Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham, United Kingdom.
- Burke, A. (2011) 'The Entrepreneurship Enabling Role of Freelancers: Theory with Evidence from the Construction Industry', *International Review of Entrepreneurship*, 9(3), pp. 1–28.
- Burke, A. (2015) 'Introduction: A Freelancing and Self-Employment Research Agenda', in Burke, A. (ed.)

 The Handbook of Research on Freelancing and Self-Employment. Senate Hall Academic Publishing, Dublin,
 Ireland, pp. iii–viii.
- Burke, A., Zawwar, I. and Hussels, S. (2019) 'Do freelance independent contractors promote entrepreneurship?', *Small Business Economics*. doi: 10.1007/s11187-019-00242-w.
- Carrasco, R. and Hernanz, V. (2021) Dependent self-employment across Europe: involuntariness, country's wealth and labor market institutions. University Carlos III of Madrid, Department of Economics, Madrid, Spain. Available at: http://hdl.handle.net/10016/31788
- Cieślik, J. and Dvoulety, O. (2019) 'Segmentation of the population of the solo self-employed', *International Review of Entrepreneurship*, 17(3), 281-304.
- Chiang, S. H. (1986). Cost savings, wages and the growth of the firm. The Economic Journal, 96(383), 798-807.
- Cipollone, A., Patacchini, E., & Vallanti, G. (2014). Female labour market participation in Europe: novel



- evidence on trends and shaping factors. IZA Journal of European Labor Studies, 3(1), 1-40.
- Conen, W. and Schippers, J. (2019) Self-employment as Precarious Work: A European Perspective. Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham, United Kingdom.
- Cowling, M., Millán, J. M., & Yue, W. (2019). Two decades of European self-employment: is the answer to who becomes self-employed different over time and countries? Journal of Business Venturing Insights, 12(2019), e00138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbvi.2019.e00138.
- Drahokoupil, J., & Fabo, B. (2019). Outsourcing, offshoring and the deconstruction of employment: New and old challenges, In: Serrano-Pascual, A., & Jepsen, M. (Eds.), The Deconstruction of Employment as a Political Question (pp. 33-61), Cham, Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Duffy, R. D., Blustein, D. L., Diemer, M. A., & Autin, K. L. (2016). The psychology of working theory. Journal of counseling psychology, 63(2), 127-148.
- Dvouletý, O. (2020). Classifying Self-employed persons using Segmentation Criteria available in the Labour Force Survey (LFS) Data, Journal of Business Venturing Insights, 14(2020), e00199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbvi.2020.e00199.
- Dvouletý, O., & Bögenhold, D. (2022). Exploring Individual and Family-related Characteristics of Hybrid Entrepreneurs. Entrepreneurship Research Journal, 12(4), 31.
- Eichhorst, W., Braga, M., Famira-Mühlberger, U., Gerard, M., Horvath, T., Kahanec, M., Kahancová, M., Kendzia, M. J., Martišková, M., Monti, Pedersen, J. L., Stanley, J., Vandeweghe, B., Wehner, C. & White, C. (2013). Social Protection Rights of Economically Dependent Self-Employed Workers. WIFO. Bonn, Switzerland. Available at: https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/etudes/join/2013/507449/IPOL-EMPL ET(2013)507449 EN.pdf
- European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions Eurofound. (2017). Sixth European Working Conditions Survey - Overview report (2017 update) | European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions. doi: 10.2806/784968.
- Eurostat (2018). Labour Force Survey (LFS) ad-hoc module 2017 on the self-employed persons Assessment report 2018 edition. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/documents/7870049/9439020/KS-39-18-011-EN-N.pdf/eabf6f91-01a1-4234-8a0a-43c13c3bd920.
- (2019). Labour Force Survey (LFS) Database User Guide. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/documents/1978984/6037342/EULFS-Database-UserGuide.pdf
- Eurostat (2020).Labour Force Survey. Available from: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/microdata/european-union-labour-force-survey.
- Fehringer, E. (2014). Tackling false (bogus) self-employment. *Politics & Society*, 32(1), 7-39.
- Foss, K., Foss, N. J., & Klein, P. G. (2007). Original and derived judgment: An entrepreneurial theory of economic organisation. Organisation Studies, 28(12), 1893-1912.
- Gialis, S., Tsampra, M. and Leontidou, L. (2017) 'Atypical employment in crisis-hit Greek regions: Local production structures, flexibilisation and labour market re/deregulation', Economic and Industrial Democracy, 38(4), pp. 656–676. doi: 10.1177/0143831X15586815.



1

- Hagqvist, E., Toivanen, S. and Vinberg, S. (2015) 'Time strain among employed and self-employed women and men in Sweden', Society, Health & Vulnerability, 6(1). doi: 10.3402/shv.v6.29183.
- Hernanz, V., & Carrasco, R. (2021). Dependent self-employment across Europe: involuntariness, country's wealth and labor market institutions (No. 31788). Universidad Carlos III de Madrid. Departamento de Economía. Available at: https://e-archivo.uc3m.es/handle/10016/11
- Heyes, J. and Hastings, T. (2017) The Practices of Enforcement Bodies in Detecting and Preventing Bogus Self-Employment. Queen's Management School, Belfast, United Kingdom. Available at: https://pure.qub.ac.uk/en/publications/the-practices-of-enforcement-bodies-in-detecting-andpreventing-b
- Heyes, J., & Tomlinson, M. (2021). Underemployment and well-being in Europe. Human Relations, 74(8), 1240-1266.
- Horodnic, I. A. and Williams, C. C. (2019a) 'Evaluating the working conditions of the dependent selfemployed', International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour and Research, 26(2), pp. 326-348. doi: 10.1108/IJEBR-07-2018-0445.
- Horodnic, I. A., & Williams, C. C. (2019b). Evaluating policy approaches for tackling informal entrepreneurship. Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development, 26(4), 595-611.
- International Labour Organization ILO. (2008). Skill-level Classification of Professions. Available from https://www.ilo.org/ilostat-files/Documents/description_OCU_EN.pdf. Accessed on 21st July 2021.
- International Labour Organization ILO. (2016) Non-Standard Employment Around the World: Understanding Challenges, Shaping Prospects. Geneva, Switzerland: International Labour Organization.
- International Standard Classification of Education (2011). ISCED 2011 Classification. Available from http://uis.unesco.org/sites/default/files/documents/international-standard-classification-ofeducation-isced-2011-en.pdf. Accessed on 21st July 2021.
- Jenkins, A., Vignoles, A., Wolf, A., & Galindo-Rueda, F. (2003). The determinants and labour market effects of lifelong learning. Applied economics, 35(16), 1711-1721.
- Kalleberg, A., Vallas, S. (2017) 'Probing Precarious Work: Theory, Research, and Politics', in Kalleberg, A. L. and Vallas, S. P. (eds) Precarious Work. Emerald Publishing Limited (Research in the Sociology of Work), pp. 1–30. doi: 10.1108/S0277-283320170000031017.
- Kim, H. J., Duffy, R. D., Lee, S., Lee, J., & Lee, K. H. (2019). Application of the psychology of working theory with Korean emerging adults. *Journal of counseling psychology*, 66(6), 701.
- Kitschelt, H. P., & Rehm, P. (2022). Polarity Reversal: The Socioeconomic Reconfiguration of Partisan Support in Knowledge Societies. Politics & Society, 00323292221100220
- Kösters, L., & Smits, W. (2021). False self-employment: the role of occupations. International Journal of Manpower, 42(2), 322-337.
- Koufopoulou, P., Williams, C. C., Vozikis, A., & Souliotis, K. (2019). Shadow Economy: Definitions, terms & theoretical considerations. Advances in Management and Applied Economics, 9(5), 35-57.
- Kugler, A. D., & Saint-Paul, G. (2004). How do firing costs affect worker flows in a world with adverse



- selection?. Journal of Labor Economics, 22(3), 553-584.
- MacDonald, R., & Giazitzoglu, A. (2019). Youth, enterprise and precarity: or, what is, and what is wrong with, the 'gig economy'?. Journal of Sociology, 55(4), 724-740.
- Menard, S. (2001). Applied Logistic Regression Analysis. SAGE Publications, Thousand Oaks, London, United Kingdom.
- Millán, A., Millán, J. M. and Cacador-Rodrigues, L. (2020) 'Disclosing "masked employees" in Europe : job control, job demands and job outcomes of "dependent self-employed workers", Small Business Economics, 55, pp. 461-474.
- Millán, A., Millán, J. M. and Román, C. (2018) 'Are false own-account workers less job satisfied than true ones?', Applied Economics Letters, 25(13), pp. 945–950. doi: 10.1080/13504851.2017.1388902.
- Moisander, J., Groß, C., & Eräranta, K. (2018). Mechanisms of biopower and neoliberal governmentality in precarious work: Mobilising the dependent self-employed as independent business owners. Human Relations, 71(3), 375-398.
- Moore, S. and Newsome, K. (2018) 'Paying for Free Delivery: Dependent Self-Employment as a Measure of Precarity in Parcel Delivery', Work, Employment and Society, 32(3), pp. 475-492. doi: 10.1177/0950017018755664.
- Muehlberger, U. (2007a) 'Dependent self-employment: Workers on the Border between employment and self-employment', Dependent Self-Employment: Workers on the Border Between Employment and Self-Employment, (October), pp. 1–217. Palgrave MacMillan, New York, United States, doi: 10.1057/9780230288782.
- Muehlberger, U. (2007b) 'Hierarchical Forms of Outsourcing and the Creation of Dependency', Organization Studies. 28(5), pp. 709–727. doi: 10.1177/0170840607078119.
- Murgia, A., & Pulignano, V. (2021). Neither precarious nor entrepreneur: The subjective experience of hybrid self-employed workers. Economic and Industrial Democracy, 42(4), 1351-1377.
- Murnieks, C. Y., Klotz, A. C., & Shepherd, D. A. (2020). Entrepreneurial motivation: A review of the literature and an agenda for future research. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 41(2), 115-143.
- Nikulin, D. (2021) 'The Determinants of False Self-Employment: A Survey of Polish Enterprises The Determinants of False Self-Employment: A Survey of Polish enterprises', Eastern European Economics, 59(1), pp. 70–81. doi: 10.1080/00128775.2020.1782754.
- Ojala, S., Nätti, J., & Lipiäinen, L. (2018). Types of temporary employment: an 8-year follow-up of labour market attachment. Social Indicators Research, 138(1), 141-163.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development OECD. (2000). Employment Outlook, Employment Outlook. Paris, France, available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/empl_outlook-2000-en.
- Pichault, F., & McKeown, T. (2019). Autonomy at work in the gig economy: analysing work status, work content and working conditions of independent professionals. New Technology, Work and Employment, 34(1), 59-72.
- Pollack, J. M., Carr, J. C., Michaelis, T. L., & Marshall, D. R. (2019). Hybrid entrepreneurs' self-efficacy and



- persistence change: A longitudinal exploration. Journal of Business Venturing Insights, 12, e00143.
- Popiel, P. (2017). "Boundaryless" in the creative economy: assessing freelancing on Upwork. *Critical Studies in Media Communication*, 34(3), 220-233.
- Pulignano, V. (2017). Precarious work, regime of competition and the case of Europe. Research in the Sociology of Work, 31, 33-60. Emerald Publishing Limited, Bingley, United Kingdom.
- Putniņš, T. J., & Sauka, A. (2011). Size and determinants of shadow economies in the Baltic States. *Baltic Journal of Economics*, 11(2), 5-25.
- Román, C., Congregado, E. and Millán, J. M. (2011) 'Dependent self-employment as a way to evade employment protection legislation', *Small Business Economics*, 37(3), pp. 363–392. doi: 10.1007/s11187-009-9241-3.
- Rustagi, P. (2013) 'Changing patterns of labour force participation and employment of women in India', Indian Journal of Labour Economics, 56(2), pp. 215–241.
- Sargeant, M. (2017). The gig economy and the future of work. *Ejournal of International and Comparative Labour Studies*, 6(2), 1-12.
- Segal, G., Borgia, D., & Schoenfeld, J. (2005). The motivation to become an entrepreneur. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, 11(1), 42-57.
- Simon, H. A. (1951). A formal theory of the employment relationship. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, 19(3), 293-305.
- Skrzek-Lubasińska, M. and Szaban, J. M. (2019) 'Nomenclature and harmonised criteria for the self-employment categorisation. An approach pursuant to a systematic review of the literature', *European Management Journal*, 37(3), pp. 376–386. doi: 10.1016/j.emj.2018.11.001.
- Stewart, A., & Stanford, J. (2017). Regulating work in the gig economy: what are the options? *The Economic and Labour Relations Review*, 28(3), 420–437. doi: 10.1177/1035304617722461.
- Thörnquist, A. (2011) 'False Self-employment: A topical but old labour market problem.', in *Precarious employment in perspective: old and new challenges to working conditions in Sweden*. Brussels, Belgium: Peter Lang Publishing Group, pp. 101–129.
- Thörnquist, A. (2015) 'False Self-Employment and Other Precarious Forms of Employment in the "Grey Area" of the Labour Market', *International Journal of Comparative Labour Law and Industrial Relations*, 31(4), pp. 411–429.
- van Stel, A. and de Vries, N. (2015) 'The Economic Value of Different Types of Solo Self-Employed: A Review', in Burke, A. (ed.) *The Handbook of Research on Freelancing and Self-Employment.* Senate Hall Academic Publishing Dublin, Ireland, pp. 77–84.
- van Stel, A. and van der Zwan, P. (2019) 'Analysing the changing education distributions of solo selfemployed workers and employer entrepreneurs in Europe', *Small Business Economics*. doi: 10.1007/s11187-019-00243-9.
- van Stel, A., Barrientos-Marín, J., Caçador-Rodrigues, L., Millán, A., & Millán, J. M. (2021). Measuring performance differentials across entrepreneurship types. *International Entrepreneurship and Management*



Journal, (forthcoming),

- Wagner, I. and Berntsen, L. (2016) 'Restricted rights: obstacles in enforcing the labour rights of mobile EU workers in the German and Dutch construction sector', Transfer, 22(2), pp. 193-206. doi: 10.1177/1024258916636025.
- Wickham, J. and Bobek, A. (2016) Bogus self-employment in the Irish construction industry. Available at: https://www.nerinstitute.net/download/pdf/james_wickham_tcd_paper.pdf.
- Williams, C. & Lapeyre, F. (2017). Dependent self-employment: Trends, challenges and policy responses in the EU, ILO Employment Working Paper no. 228. Geneva, Switzerland: International Labour Organization.. Available at: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3082819
- Williams, C. and Horodnic, I. A. (2018) 'Evaluating the Prevalence and Distribution of Dependent Self-Employment: Some Lessons from the European Working Conditions Survey', Industrial Relations Journal, 49(2), pp. 109–127. doi: 10.1111/irj.12206.
- Williams, C. C. and Horodnic, I. A. (2019). (Eds.). Dependent Self-Employment: Theory, Practice and Policy. Cheltenham, United Kingdom: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Williams, C. C., & Oz-Yalaman, G. (2021). The coronavirus pandemic, short-term employment support schemes and undeclared work: some lessons from Europe. Employee Relations.(online first)
- Wright, C., Wood, A., Trevor, J., McLaughlin, C., Huang, W., Harney, B., Geelan, T., Colfer, B., Chang, C. & Brown, W. (2019). Towards a new web of rules: an international review of institutional experimentation to strengthen employment protections. Employee Relations, 41(2), 313-330.

